

1

Algorithm 1 MAML

```

Randomly initialize  $\mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta^2$ 
while not done do
  for task  $\mathcal{T}_i \sim p(\mathcal{T})$  do
    Draw support set  $\mathcal{D}_i^S = \{(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}_j)\}_{j=1 \dots K}$  from  $\mathcal{T}_i$ 
    sample  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta^2)$ 
    Adapt parameters  $\theta_i = \theta - \alpha \nabla_\theta \mathcal{L}_i(\theta, \mathcal{D}_i^S)$ 
    Draw test samples  $\mathcal{D}_i^Q = \{(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}_j)\}$  from  $\mathcal{T}_i$ 
  end for
  Meta-Update:  $\mu_\theta \leftarrow \mu_\theta - \beta \nabla_{\mu_\theta} \sum_i \mathcal{L}_i(\theta_i, \mathcal{D}_i^Q)$ 
  Meta-Update:  $\sigma_\theta^2 \leftarrow \sigma_\theta^2 - \beta \nabla_{\sigma_\theta^2} \sum_i \mathcal{L}_i(\theta_i, \mathcal{D}_i^Q)$ 
end while

```

MAML

2 graphical models

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\theta, \phi_{1:T}, \mathbf{x}_{1:T, 1:N+M}, \mathbf{y}_{1:T, 1:N+M}) \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^T \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N+M} p(\mathbf{x}_{1:T, 1:N+M}, \mathbf{y}_{1:T, 1:N+M} | \phi_i) \right) p(\phi_i | \theta) \right) p(\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

What does it mean to have observed data? In Meta Training we basically have given all samples. In meta testing we dont have the test sample targets. Classical MAML:

$$p(y_i^{test} | x_i^{test}, x_i^{tr}, y_i^{tr}) = \int p(y_i^{test} | x_i^{test}, \phi_i) p(\phi_i | x_i^{tr}, y_i^{tr}) d\phi_i$$

3 first lower bound equation

General Variational Bayes:

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z)} \right] + KL(q(z) \| p(z|x)) \quad (1)$$

Mapping from general VB to the Probabilistic MAML case:

$$p(x) = p(y_i^{test} | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr}) \quad (2)$$

$$p(z) = p(\phi_i, \theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr}) \quad (3)$$

$$p(x|z) = p(y_i^{test} | \phi_i, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr}) \quad (4)$$

$$q(z) = q_\psi(\phi_i | \theta, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) \quad (5)$$

Lower Bound for Probabilistic MAML:

$$\log p(y_i^{test} | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr}) \quad (6)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{\theta, \phi_i \sim q_\psi} [\log p(y_i^{test} | \phi_i, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr}) + \log p(\phi_i, \theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr})] \quad (7)$$

$$- \mathbb{E}_{\theta, \phi_i \sim q_\psi} [\log q_\psi(\phi_i | \theta, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test})] \quad (8)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta, \phi_i \sim q_\psi} [\log p(y_i^{test} | \phi_i, x_i^{test}) + \log p(\phi_i, \theta, y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr}) - \log p(y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr})] \quad (9)$$

$$+ \mathcal{H}(q_\psi(\phi_i | \theta, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test})) + \mathcal{H}(q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test})) \quad (10)$$

Only the red part:

$$\log p(y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr}) = \text{const} \quad (11)$$

$$\log p(\phi_i, \theta, y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr}) = \log p(y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr}, \phi_i, \theta) p(\phi_i, \theta | x_i^{tr}) \quad (12)$$

$$= \log p(y_i^{tr} | x_i^{tr}, \phi_i) + \log p(\phi_i | \theta) + \log p(\theta) \quad (13)$$

4

4.1 Gradient-based Meta Learning with variational Inference

In LLAMA we used deterministic $p(\theta)$ and did gradient descent on $\log(y^{tr} | x^{tr}, \theta)$ to get the next ϕ_{k+1} . Now we use structured variational inference to approximate $p(\phi_i, \theta)$ by $q_i(\phi_i | \theta) q_i(\theta)$. We can avoid storing two distributions for each task by parameterizing one distribution family.

$$p(\phi_i, \theta) \approx q_\psi(\phi_i | \theta, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) \quad (14)$$

We set up variational inference lower bound we want to maximize. We choose prior $p(\theta) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta^2)$ and $p(\phi_i | \theta) = \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma_\phi^2)$. For the inference networks we choose

$$q_\psi(\phi_i | \theta, x_i^{tr, test}, y_i^{tr, test}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_\theta + \gamma_q \nabla_{\mu_\theta} \log p(y_i^{tr, test} | x_i^{tr, test}, \mu_\theta), v_q) \quad (15)$$

for the other inference network this can be done as well, but only for meta-training. in meta-testing we don't have y_i^{test} so we need to do something different.

Overview According to the left model we have:

$$\phi_i \sim p(\phi_i | x_i^{\text{tr}}, y_i^{\text{tr}}) \propto \int p(y_i^{\text{tr}} | x_i^{\text{tr}}, \phi_i) p(\phi_i | \theta) p(\theta) d\theta \quad (16)$$

which is totally intractable, so we use point approximation of ϕ in the next section.

5 Probabilistic MAML with Hybrid Inference

we use maml to compute $p(\phi_i | x_i^{\text{tr}}, y_i^{\text{tr}}, \theta) \approx \delta(\phi - \phi^*)$ where ϕ^* is obtained by gradient descent over $\log p(y_i^{\text{tr}} | x_i^{\text{tr}}, \theta)$. Again we define Lower Bound, but now only over θ as ϕ^* is now deterministic.

$$\log (y_i^{\text{test}} | x_i^{\text{tr}+\text{test}}, y_i^{\text{tr}}) \geq \text{Lower Bound}(\psi) \quad (17)$$

$$= E_{\theta \sim q_\psi} [\log p(y_i^{\text{test}} | x_i^{\text{test}}, \phi^*) + \log p(\theta)] + H(q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{\text{test}}, y_i^{\text{test}})) \quad (18)$$

$$= E_{\theta \sim q_\psi} [\log p(y_i^{\text{test}} | x_i^{\text{test}}, \phi^*)] - KL(q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{\text{test}}, y_i^{\text{test}}) || p(\theta)) \quad (19)$$

In the end $q_\psi(\theta | x_i^{\text{test}}, y_i^{\text{test}})$ approximates $p(\theta | x_i^{\text{tr}, \text{test}}, y_i^{\text{tr}, \text{test}})$.