

# USER MANUAL FOR MICROSTRIPOPTIM

BY

LUIS ARTURO GARCÍA DELGADO

RAFAEL SABORY-GARCÍA

ALEJANDRO GARCÍA-JUÁREZ

J. R. NORIEGA

RICARDO PÉREZ-ALCOCER

MILKA ACOSTA-ENRIQUEZ

Date - October 2023

## **Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>MicroStripOptim for analysis of microstrip filters</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Function of the buttons</b>	<b>4</b>

# 1 MicroStripOptim for analysis of microstrip filters

MicroStripOptim is a GUI for study and optimize the design of microstrip low pass filters. The GUI enables to set the parameters to analyze one specific filter, as well as to analyze the variation of the parameters in the filter response. MicroStripOptim can run either in MATLAB or Octave.




Figure 1: Graphical User Interface for analyzing microstrip low pass filters.

In Figure 1 the MicroStripOptim GUI is shown. In the upper left side, there are edit-boxes to configure the properties for obtaining one specific filter. These properties are:

- Dielectric constant,  $\epsilon_r$
- Height of the substrate,  $h$ , in mm
- Cutoff frequency,  $f_c$ , in GHz
- Filter order,  $n$ , from 3 to 9
- Source impedance,  $Z_0$ , in  $\Omega$
- Width of high impedance line,  $W_L$ , in mm
- Width of low impedance line,  $W_C$ , in mm

In the middle of the window there is a popup-menu to select the type of filter, with the following options:

- Butterworth - 0.5 dB
- Butterworth - 3 dB

- Butterworth - MAXIMALLY FLAT
- Chebyshev -  $L_{Ar} = 0.01$  dB
- Chebyshev -  $L_{Ar} = 0.04321$  dB
- Chebyshev -  $L_{Ar} = 0.1$  dB

In the lower-left side, there are edit-boxes to set the range of values to conduct sensitivity analysis on the overall filter response. These options will be explained further.

In the right side of the window there are 8 buttons, labeled as: “Scattering”, “Print data”, “Find limits”, “Limits vs  $Z_0$ ”, “ $TL$  vs  $f_c$ ”, “Simulate”, “ $TL, L_A, L'_A$ ” and “ $TL$  const”.

The function of the buttons will be explained in the next section.

## 2 Function of the buttons

In this section, we will explain the function of each button embedded in the GUI. In order to illustrate one case of study, the design data inputs shown in Table 1 will be introduced in the edit-boxes in the upper-left side of the GUI.

Table 1: Values introduced to design a filter.

Parameter	Label	Value
Dielectric constant	$\epsilon_r$	4.4
Height of the substrate (mm)	$h$	1.27
Cutoff frequency (GHz)	$f_c$	2
Filter order	$n$	7
Source impedance ( $\Omega$ )	$Z_0$	50
Width of high impedance line (mm)	$W_L$	0.6
Width of low impedance line (mm)	$W_C$	6.183
Filter type		Chebyshev - $L_{Ar} = 0.01$ dB

- **Scattering** takes the data for filter design, values in Table 1, from the edit-boxes of the GUI. Then, it computes the widths and lengths of the filter lines. Afterwards, the program produces the plot of the scattering parameters. The result of this function is shown in two plots. The first plot, see Figure 2(a), corresponds to the scattering parameters  $|S_{11}|$  and  $|S_{21}|$  of the filter generated using the data of Table 1. The second plot, Figure 2(b), shows the derivative of the attenuation,  $L'_A$ , given in dB/GHz.
- **Print Data.** This function takes the data of Table 1, from the edit-boxes in the GUI and retrieves a table with many information about the calculated filter. Figure 3 is an example of the tables retrieved. The table in the left gives information about the filter, like the filter




Figure 2: Scattering plots. (a)  $|S_{11}|$  in red and  $|S_{21}|$  in black. (b) Derivative of attenuation,  $L'_A$ .

parameters, source impedance,  $Z_0$ , limits for  $W_L$  and  $W_C$ , total length, width of the filter, the maximum attenuation and the derivative of maximum attenuation. The table in the right side of Figure 3 shows the widths and lengths of all the lines of the microstrip, as well as their inductance and capacitance equivalent values.

Parameter						
	Parameter	Value	Length	Val. (mm)	L, C	Val. (H, F)
1	Chebyshev - Lar=0.01 dB	0	1	I_Zo	5.1365	0
2	Filter order, n	7	2	Wo	2.4305	0
3	Cutoff frequency, fc (GHz)	2	3	W_L	0.6000	0
4	Source impedance, Zo (Ohms)	50.0000	4	W_C	6.1830	0
5	Dielectric constant, Eo	4.4000	5	I_L1	5.5585	L_1 3.1712e-09
6	Substrate height, h (mm)	1.2700	6	I_C2	9.5331	C_2 2.2161e-12
7	Limit of max W_L	0.7954	7	I_L3	13.4357	L_3 6.9555e-09
8	Limit of min W_C	5.0727	8	I_C4	10.6730	C_4 2.5992e-12
9	Total length (mm)	78.0005	9	I_L5	13.4357	L_5 6.9555e-09
10	Total width (mm)	8.1830	10	I_C6	9.5331	C_6 2.2161e-12
11	max(L_A), (dB/GHz)	26.8045	11	I_L7	5.5585	L_7 3.1712e-09
12	max(L_A), (dB)	28.7529				

Figure 3: Data displayed by the program for a requested filter design.

- **Find Limits.** This button runs one algorithm to find the minimum value for  $W_C$  and the maximum value for  $W_L$ , named as  $W_{Cmin}$  and  $W_{Lmax}$ , respectively. The first action of this button is to compute  $W_{Cmin}$  and  $W_{Lmax}$  for the filter type and filter order,  $n$ , set in the edit-boxes. Then, the program displays these values in the edit-boxes  $W_{Lmax}$  and  $W_{Cmin}$  of the GUI. Following to the computation of  $W_{Lmax}$  and  $W_{Cmin}$  for the selected filter, the software

computes the same parameters for all other filter architectures supported in this version of the program. The results are plotted all together, including the width of the source impedance line,  $W_0$ , in Figure 4. From this figure it can draw some conclusions. As a first conclusion, it can be said that, in general, as the order of the filter increases, the limits move away from the value  $W_0$ . The only exception is in the limits of  $W_C$  for the Butterworth - MAXIMALLY FLAT filter, which has its minimum value in the fifth order. On the other hand, the second conclusion appointed is that the value of  $W_{Lmax}$ , in the case of the Butterworth 0.5 dB and 3 dB filters, is very low, making them infeasible, thus, these two filters will be discarded in the next analyzes.




Figure 4: Limit width values for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  versus  $n$  for different type of filters.

From the limit values for the different filters and orders, further inspection can be made. For example, the total physical length,  $TL$ , of the filter varies with the widths of  $W_C$  and  $W_L$ , observing that the minimum  $TL$  for some filter is obtained with  $W_{Lmin}$  and  $W_{Cmax}$ , whereas the maximum  $TL$  is obtained with  $W_{Lmax}$  and  $W_{Cmin}$ . For each filter, the values  $W_{Lmax}$  and  $W_{Cmin}$  are computed using the previous analysis, while  $W_{Lmin}$  and  $W_{Cmax}$  are taken by default as 4 mm and 50 mm, respectively, and are obtained from the edit-boxes in the lower-left side of the GUI. The values for  $W_{Lmin}$  and  $W_{Cmax}$  were selected considering 4 mm as a feasible thin line for  $W_L$  and considering 50 mm as the widest desirable  $W_C$ . However, the values for  $W_{Lmin}$  and  $W_{Cmax}$  can be modified by the user. Figure 5(a) shows the values of  $TL_{min}$  and  $TL_{max}$  for the feasible filters, *i. e.*, the Butterworth MAXIMALLY FLAT and the three Chebyshev considered. This plot shows that the Butterworth MAXIMALLY FLAT filter yields a lower  $TL$ , while the Chebyshev -  $L_{Ar} = 0.1$  dB filter yields greater values of  $TL$ .

Other important analysis considering the maximal and minimal values for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  is the variation of  $\max(L_A)$ . In this case, the maximum value of  $\max(L_A)$  is obtained with  $W_{Lmin}$




Figure 5: (a) Total length versus  $n$  for different type of filters. (b)  $\max(L_A)$  versus  $n$  for different type of filters.

and  $W_{Cmax}$ , and the minimum value of  $\max(L_A)$  is obtained with  $W_{Lmax}$  and  $W_{Cmax}$ . Figure 5(b) shows a plot of the maximum attenuation for different filter types versus the order,  $n$ . From this figure it can be noticed that the maximum attenuation increases with the order of the filter. By comparing the filters, it can be seen that the Butterworth - MAXIMALLY FLAT filter gives the highest attenuation in the third order response, but gives the least attenuation for higher orders. The filter that reaches the highest attenuation for  $n > 3$  is Chebyshev -  $L_{Ar} = 0.01$  dB.

- **limits vs  $Z_0$**  performs an analysis of the limits for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$ , for one filter with the characteristics expressed in the GUI edit-boxes, varying two parameters: the source impedance,  $Z_0$ , from  $25 \Omega$  to  $50 \Omega$ , and the dielectric constant,  $\epsilon_r$  from 4 to 12. The plots in Figure 6 show that the limit values for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  decrease when  $Z_0$  and/or  $\epsilon_r$  increases. It can be seen, from the figure, that at  $Z_0 = 50 \Omega$ , a high value of  $\epsilon_r$  could lead to a filter unfeasible since this reduces considerably the limit for  $W_{Lmax}$ .
- **TL vs  $f_c$** . The total length of a filter,  $TL$ , is greatly affected by the cutoff frequency,  $f_c$ . This button carries out this analysis. The function of this button is to take the data from the edit-boxes to select the characteristics of the filter, but, this time, the total length will be obtained for  $f_c$  in a rank from 0.5 to 5 GHz. From Figure 7 it can be seen that  $TL$  decreases exponentially as  $f_c$  increases.
- **Simulate**. This button runs a simulation to obtain data like  $TL$ ,  $\max(L_A)$ ,  $\max(L'_A)$ , by sweeping values of  $W_C$  and  $W_L$ , where the minimum and maximum values, as well as the step increments, are indicated in the edit-boxes at the bottom of the GUI. These plots are important to analyze the effect of the widths  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  in the  $TL$  or the maximum attenuation






Figure 6: Limit width values for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  versus  $Z_0$ .




Figure 7: Total length versus  $f_c$ .

of the filter. The parameters of the filter are taken from the data on the top of the GUI, but  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  are swept as mentioned. In this sense, one simulation will give results for one specific filter type and order, while for another type of filter, or order, etc., a new simulation must be run.

- **TL,  $L_A$ ,  $L'_A$ .** After one simulation is executed, the next buttons are enabled.. The function of this button is to lay out a 3D-plot, where the first two axes are  $W_L$  and  $W_C$ , while the third

axis, the height of the plot, indicates the variable of  $TL$ ,  $\max(L_A)$  or  $\max(L'_A)$ , respectively. Figure 8 shows the plot of (a) total length, (b)  $\max(L_A)$  and (c)  $\max(L'_A)$ , for a range of values of  $W_L$  and  $W_C$ . From Figure 8(a), it can be noticed that  $TL$  decreases significantly with lower  $W_L$  and higher  $W_C$ . On the other hand, from Figures 8(b) and (c), it can be seen that a low value for  $\max(L_A)$  and  $\max(L'_A)$  occurs with minimum values for  $W_C$ , and with medium or higher values of  $W_C$ , these two parameters increases with lower values of  $W_L$ . From these three plots, one can conclude that for a low value of  $W_L$  and a high value of  $W_C$ , the length of the filter will be lower, and the parameter  $\max(L_A)$  will be higher.




Figure 8: (a) Total length, (b)  $\max(L_A)$  and (c)  $\max(L'_A)$ , for a range of values of  $W_L$  and  $W_C$ .

- **TL const**, takes the value from the edit-box “TL const” at the bottom of the GUI, in order to trace a 3D surface of  $TL$  versus  $W_C$  and  $W_L$ , previously obtained with the simulation, then, the above surface is overlapped with another surface corresponding to the constant  $TL$ . The points where the surface of constant  $TL$  intersects with the  $TL$  surface, forms a curve with the values of  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  that yield a filter of length equal to  $TL$  const. Figure 9 shows the surfaces of  $TL$  and  $TL$  constant, as well as the curve of intersection points between the two surfaces. In this case,  $TL$  const is set to 78 mm.

In addition to the plot of Figure 9, another figure is displayed, where are shown four plots. The first one is a plot of the curve  $W_C$ - $W_L$  for constant  $TL$ , then the plots of  $W_C$ - $\max(L_A)$  and  $W_L$ - $\max(L_A)$  in the range of values for  $W_L$  or  $W_C$ , and finally a 3-D curve with the three parameters,  $W_C$ - $W_L$ - $\max(L_A)$ , as the axes. Figure 10 shows the plots mentioned in the case of constant  $TL = 78$  mm. It can be seen in this figure that the maximum of the curve of  $\max(L_A)$  occurs in the point  $W_L = 0.6$  mm and  $W_C = 6.183$  mm, with  $\max(L_A) = 28.7529$  dB.

The analysis of constant  $TL$  curves reveals the existence of a maximum point, representing the optimal configuration that minimizes or maximizes a specific parameter while keeping the filter length constant. The three parameters that can be optimized are the minimal width of the filter, maximal attenuation, and maximal attenuation rate. The GUI also generates a table that provides the optimal values for  $W_C$  and  $W_L$  in each optimization scenario. Figure 11 displays this table, where the user can choose the desired optimization criterion. For




Figure 9: Curve for constant  $TL = 78$  mm.





Figure 10:  $\max(L_A)$  along the curve for constant  $TL = 78$  mm.

instance, when selecting the point of maximum attenuation, the table indicates the values  $W_C = 6.183$  mm and  $W_L = 0.6$  mm, with  $\max(L_A) = 28.7529$  dB.



A screenshot of a software window titled "Optimal Values". The window contains a table with 5 columns: an index column, a "Parameter" column, a "Value" column, a "W\_C" column, and a "W\_L" column. The table lists three parameters: "Min(Total Width)", "Max(L\_A)", and "Max(L\_A')".

	Parameter	Value	W_C	W_L
1	Min(Total Width)	7.5981	5.5981	0.5000
2	Max(L_A)	28.7526	6.1829	0.6000
3	Max(L_A')	26.8675	5.9077	0.5600

Figure 11: Optimal values obtained for constant  $TL = 78$  mm.