

# Recollection Haskell, Part II

## The Basics of Types and Function Syntax

(Based on Chapters 2 and 3 of LYH)

CIS 352/Spring 2016

Programming Languages

January 21, 2016

## Types

*Well-typed programs cannot “go wrong.”* — Robin Milner

- Evaluation preserves well-typedness.
- A well-typed program never gets stuck (in an undefined state).

Well-typedness is a *safety property*.

**Safety**  $\equiv$  some particular bad thing never happens.  
**Liveness**  $\equiv$  some particular good thing eventually happens.

Haskell is a *strongly typed* language.

- strongly typed  $\implies$  good safety properties
- strongly typed  $\implies$  *very* fussy
- weakly typed  $\implies$  you can get away with murder  
but often you are the victim

## Arguing with the type inference engine *(it always wins)*

```
*Main> ['a', ('q', 'z')]
<interactive>:1:6:
  Couldn't match expected type 'Char' with actual type '(t0, t1)'
  In the expression: ('q', 'z')
  In the expression: ['a', ('q', 'z')]
  In an equation for 'it': it = ['a', ('q', 'z')]

*Main> :t 'a'
'a' :: Char
*Main> :t ('q', 'z')
('q', 'z') :: (Char, Char)

*Main> :t 4==5
4==5 :: Bool
```

## Explicitly declaring types

### someFuns.hs

```
zapUpper :: [Char] -> [Char]
zapUpper cs = [ c | c <- cs, c 'notElem' ['A'..'Z']]

addThree :: Int -> Int -> Int -> Int
addThree x y z = x+y+z
```

## Standard types

- Int
- Integer
- Float
- Double
- Bool
- Char
- **Type variables:**  $a, b, c, x, \dots, t, t_1, t_2, \dots$
- **Tuple types:**  $()$ ,  $(t_1, t_2)$ ,  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ ,  $\dots$
- List types:  $[t]$

## Type classes, a first look



Type classes are “clubs” types can join.

There are:

- membership requirements,
- membership benefits, and
- membership cards you can show to get into places

Some standard type classes:

<http://haskell.org/onlinereport/basic.html#sect6.3>

## The Eq type class

```
*Main> :i Eq
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  -- Defined in GHC.Classes
instance Eq Integer -- Defined in 'integer-gmp-1.0.0.0:GHC.Integer.Type'

:
instance Eq a => Eq (Maybe a) -- Defined in 'GHC.Base'

*Main> :t (==)
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

### sample.hs

```
twoEqChar :: Char -> Char -> Char -> Bool
twoEqChar c1 c2 c3 = (c1==c2) || (c1==c3) || (c2==c3)

twoEq :: (Eq a) => a -> a -> a -> Bool
twoEq x1 x2 x3 = (x1==x2) || (x1==x3) || (x2==x3)
```

## Some other type classes

**Ord** — for types that can be put in an order

```
*Main> :t (<)
(<) :: Ord a => a -> a -> Bool
```

**Show** — for types that can be printed

**Read** — for types that can be read

**Enum** — for sequentially ordered types

**Bounded** — for types with lower and upper bounds

**Num** — for numeric types

**Floating** — for floating point types

**Integral** — for whole number types

```
*Main> fromIntegral (length [1,2,3,4]) + 3.2
7.2
```

## Defining functions

Haskell program  $\approx$  series of definitions and comments  
Haskell definition  $\approx$  type declarations + equations

### General format

```
name ::  $\underbrace{t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t_k}_{\text{argument types}} \rightarrow \underbrace{t}_{\text{result type}}$ 
```

```
name x1 x2 ... xk = e  
variables x1 :: t1, x2 :: t2, ... , xk :: tk  
expression e :: t
```

### Examples

```
isPositive :: Int -> Bool  
isPositive num = (num > 0)
```

```
foo :: Int -> Int -> Int  
foo x y = x + (twice y) - 6
```

## Patterns: Constants and Variables

- A function definition can be a sequence of equations.
- When a function is applied to some values, the equations are tried from top to bottom to find one that “succeeds” for these values.
- The form of the left-hand-side of a defining equation is  
 $\text{funName } \text{pat}_1 \dots \text{pat}_n$
- A pattern that is a constant value matches only that value.
- A pattern that is a variable matches any value.

```
lucky7 :: Int -> String  
lucky7 7 = "You win"  
lucky7 x = "You loose"
```

```
myFun :: Int -> Int -> Int  
myFun 0 y = 15  
myFun x 0 = x + 11  
myFun x y = x + y * y + 3
```

What happens if none of the equations succeed?

## Patterns: Tuples

Correct, ...

```
addVectors :: (Double, Double) -> (Double, Double) -> (Double, Double)  
addVectors a b = (fst a + fst b, snd a + snd b)
```

but this is preferred

```
addVectors' :: (Double, Double) -> (Double, Double) -> (Double, Double)  
addVectors' (x1, y1) (x2, y2) = (x1 + x2, y1 + y2)
```

```
first :: (a, b, c) -> a  
first (x, _, _) = x
```

```
second :: (a, b, c) -> b  
second (_, y, _) = y
```

```
third :: (a, b, c) -> c  
third (_, _, z) = z
```

`_` is the wildcard pattern—it matches anything.

## Patterns: List comprehensions

```
*Main> [a+b | (a,b) <- [(2,3),(9,4),(0,5)]]  
[5,13,5]
```

```
*Main> [a | (a,5) <- [(10,5),(2,3),(9,4),(0,5)]]  
[10,0]
```

## Patterns: Lists

### The (x:xs) pattern

```
head' :: [a] -> a
head' (x:_) = x
head' []    = error "Can't call head on an empty list, silly!"
```

```
tell :: (Show a) => [a] -> String
tell []          = "The list is empty"
tell (x:[])      = "The list has one element: " ++ show x
tell (x:y:[])    = "The list has two elements: " ++ show x
                  ++ " and " ++ show y
tell (x:y:_)     = "This list is long. The first two elements are: "
                  ++ show x ++ " and " ++ show y
```

```
badAdd, betterAdd :: (Num a) => [a] -> a
badAdd (x:y:z:[]) = x + y + z
```

```
betterAdd xs = sum (take 3 xs) (Why better?)
```

## Guards

### Often patterns are not enough to distinguish cases

```
name p1 ... pk
  | test1      = e1
  | test2      = e2
  ...
  | otherwise  = ek
```

$\approx$

```
name p1 ... pk =
  if test1 then e1
  else if test2 then e2
  ...
  else ek
```

### Examples

```
-- This is a redefinition of the max function.
max :: Int -> Int -> Int
max x y
  | (x<=y)      = y
  | otherwise   = x

-- maxThree x y z = the max of the three numbers
maxThree :: Int -> Int -> Int -> Int
maxThree x y z
  | (x>=y) && (x>=z) = x
  | (y>=z)           = y
  | otherwise        = z
```

## Aside: Guards, more generally

If you have a function definition that includes the line

```
  :
fun p1 ... pk | test = e
  :
```

It means that **if** the patterns match and test succeeds  
**then** return the value of e  
**else** don't use this line but try the next.

### E.g.:

```
fact n | n<0 = error "fact given a negative argument"
fact 0      = 1
fact n      = n * fact (n-1)
```

## where and let, 1

You can introduce *local variables* that are visible only inside a definition. E.g.

```
maxSq :: Int -> Int -> Int
maxSq x y = max x2 y2
  where
    x2 = x*x  -- x2 is a local variable to maxSq
    y2 = y*y  -- y2 is a local variable to maxSq

maxSq' :: Int -> Int -> Int
maxSq' x y = max (sq x) (sq y)
  where
    sq x = x * x  -- sq is a function def local to maxSq'
```

### Alternatively,

```
maxSq'' :: Int -> Int -> Int
maxSq'' x y =
  let sq x = x * x  -- sq is a function def local to maxSq'
  in max (sq x) (sq y)
```

## where and let, 2

How are these two things different?

- let's are expressions
- where is part of the syntax for function definitions

✓ let y = (let x = 3 in x+2) in y+11

✗ let y = (x+2 where x=3) in y+11

✓  
f x y | y>z = ...  
     | y==z = ...  
     | y<z = ...  
     where z = x\*x

✗  
let z = x\*x  
in f x y | y>z = ...  
         | y==z = ...  
         | y<z = ...

## Case statements: Pattern matching in expressions

Syntax

```
case expression of
  pattern1 -> result1
  pattern2 -> result2
  pattern3 -> result3
  ...
```

```
describeList, describeList' :: [a] -> String
describeList ls
  = "The list is " ++ case ls of [] -> "empty."
                        [x] -> "a singleton list."
                        xs -> "a longer list."
```

```
-- alternatively
describeList' ls = "The list is " ++ what ls
  where what [] = "empty."
        what [x] = "a singleton list."
        what xs = "a longer list."
```

## Layout: Indentation matters!!!

- Haskell has a 2D syntax\*.
- Basic idea: layout determines where a definition start & stops
- The Rule: A definition ends at the first piece of text that lies at the same indentation (or to the left of) the start of that definition.

✓  
-- OK, if ugly.  
fun1 :: Int -> Int  
fun1 x = x  
      +1

✗  
-- This is misformatted  
fun2 :: Int -> Int  
fun2 x = x  
      +1

✗  
-- This is also bad  
fun3, fun4 :: Int -> Int  
fun3 x = x + 10  
      fun4 x = x \* 20

\* But there are  
{', }', and ';'s  
around if you  
really, *really* need  
them.