Deep Learning — Assignment 6

Assignment for week 6 of the 2022 Deep Learning course (NWI-IMC070) of the Radboud University.

Names:		
Group:		

Instructions:

- Fill in your names and the name of your group.
- Answer the questions and complete the code where necessary.
- Keep your answers brief, one or two sentences is usually enough.
- Re-run the whole notebook before you submit your work.
- Save the notebook as a PDF and submit that in Brightspace together with the .ipynb notebook file.
- The easiest way to make a PDF of your notebook is via File > Print Preview and then use your browser's print option to print to PDF.

Objectives

In this assignment you will

- 1. Build a graph neural network, using pytorch geometric
- 2. Compare a GNN with other network architectures
- 3. Compare different GNN layers and aggregation functions

Required software

As before you will need these libraries:

- torch, torch-sparse, torch-scatter, and torch-geometric for PyTorch,
- d2l, the library that comes with Dive into deep learning book.

The recommended way to install these libraries is described in the torchgeometric installation instructions.

```
In [ ]: # Replace ${TORCH} and ${CUDA} with your torch and cuda versions.
        # Or remove the -f argument to compile from source
        #!pip install torch-scatter torch-sparse -f https://data.pyg.org/whl/torch-$
        #!pip install torch-geometric
In [ ]: %config InlineBackend.figure formats = ['png']
        %matplotlib inline
        from d2l import torch as d2l
        import itertools
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import torch
        import torch geometric
        from torch import nn
        from torch.nn import Linear, Dropout
        from torch.nn import functional as F
        from torch geometric.datasets import Planetoid
        from torch geometric.transforms import NormalizeFeatures
        from torch geometric.nn import GCNConv, SAGEConv, GraphConv
```

6.1 A node classification dataset (1 point)

In this assignment we will be working on a node classification problem using the Citeseer dataset. This is a graph dataset that contains bag-of-words representation of documents and citation links between the documents. So there is an edge between document i and document j if one cites the other. This is an undirected edge.

```
In [ ]: dataset = Planetoid(root='data', name='Citeseer', transform=NormalizeFeature
```

(a) How many graphs are there in this dataset? How large are they (in terms of nodes and edges)? (1 point)

```
In [ ]: # TODO: your answer here
print(f'Number of graphs: {...}')...
```

In fact, we will continue the rest of this notebook using the first graph from the dataset.

```
In [ ]: data = dataset[0] # Get the first graph object.
```

We will be use a subset of the nodes for training, and another subset for testing. These subsets are indicated by data.train_mask and data.test_mask respectively.

6.2 MLP for node classification (6 points)

In theory, we should be able to classify documents based only on their content, that is, using the bag-of-words features, without taking the graph structure into account.

We can verify that by constructing a simple node-wise multilayer perceptron with a single hidden layer. This network does not use the edge information at all.

(a) Complete the code below.

(2 points)

The network should have 2 linear layers. The hidden layer should have size hidden_channels, use ReLU activations, and use dropout with a dropout rate of 0.1. Don't use an activation function after the final layer.

Hint: avoid using Sequential, it will make the assignment harder later on.

```
In [ ]: class MLP(torch.nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, num_features, num_classes, hidden_channels = 16):
        super().__init__()
        # TODO

def forward(self, x, edge_index):
        # TODO
```

(b) Complete the training loop below.

(2 points)

Hint: compute the loss only on the training nodes.

Hint 2: data.x contains the features for each node, data.y contains their labels.

Hint 3: model() takes two parameters: a tensor of node features, and a tensor of edges. See the test accuracy function.

```
train loss = loss fn(out[data.train mask], data.y[data.train mask]).
        train acc = accuracy(out[data.train mask], data.y[data.train mask])
        return train loss, train acc, test loss, test acc
def train(model, data, lr=0.01, weight decay=5e-4, epochs=400, plot=True):
   model = model.to(device)
   data = data.to(device)
   optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=lr, weight decay=wei
   loss fn = torch.nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
   if plot:
        animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, epochs], figsize=(1
                                legend=['train loss', 'train accuracy', 'tes
   for epoch in range(1, epochs+1):
       model.train()
       # TODO: Compute and optimize loss
        # Compute test accuracy, and plot
       if plot and epoch % 10 == 0:
            train loss, train acc, test loss, test acc = test(model, data)
            animator.add(epoch + 1, (train loss, train acc, test loss, test
   # Print final accuracy
   train loss, train acc, test_loss, test_acc = test(model, data)
    print(f'Train loss: {train loss:.4f}, Train accuracy: {train acc:.4f}')
    print(f'Test loss: {test loss:.4f}, Test accuracy: {test acc:.4f}')
```

(c) Now construct and train an MLP on this dataset.

(1 point)

```
In [ ]: # TODO: construct and train the model
    mlp_model = ...
```

(d) The MLP network does not use the citation information at all. Give a way to incorporate the edge information without using a graph neural network? (1 point)

Note that the method should still work for arbitrary citation graphs.

TODO: your answer here

6.3 A graph convolutional neural network (3 points)

Next, we will use a graph neural network based on the Graph Convolutional Network approach, which was introduced in the paper Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks.

(a) Implement a graph convolutional neural network, by replacing the linear layers in the MLP with GCNConv layers, and train the network.

The network should have two GCNConv layers. The rest of the architecture should stay as close as possible to the MLP.

```
In [ ]: class GCN(torch.nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, num_features, num_classes, hidden_channels = 16):
        super().__init__()
        # TODO: initialize network layers

def forward(self, x, edge_index):
        # TODO: compute network output

# TODO: construct and train the model
gcn_model = ...
```

(b) Compare the results of the MLP and the GCN. Which model is better? (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

(c) Has the GCN training converged? Can you expect higher test accuracies by training longer? Explain your answer. (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

6.4 Comparing GNN layers (8 points)

Two graph layers that are interesting to compare are SAGEConv and GraphConv. Aside from one of them supporting weighted graphs, these models differ only in the accumulation function.

(a) Look at the documentation for these two layers. What is the difference in the accumulation function? (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

To avoid having to copy the GNN structure every time, we can make our code generic in the type of layer to use.

(b) Make a generic graph neural network, that uses layers of type layer_type . (1 point)

Hint: you can construct layers with my_layer = layer_type(in_size,
out size, **layer args).

```
In [ ]: class GNN(torch.nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, layer_type, num_features, num_classes, hidden_channel
        super().__init__()
        # TODO: initialize network layers
```

```
def forward(self, x, edge_index):
    # TODO: same as before
```

(c) Train a SAGEConv network and a GraphConv network. (no points)

```
In [ ]: # TODO: construct and train a GNN with SAGEConv layers
sageconv_model = ...
```

```
In [ ]: # TODO: construct and train a GNN with GraphConv layers
   graphconv_model = ...
```

(d) Compare the performance of these two models, and also compare them to the GCN. (1 point)

Hint: look at the test loss.

TODO: your answer here

(e) Can you explain the observation in the previous question by looking at the aggregation functions? Why is one of them worse than the others?

(1 point)

TODO: your answer here

In fact, it is possible to use different aggregation functions, by passing aggr= to the network constructor.

(f) Compute the performance for GraphConv networks with 'mean', 'sum', 'min', 'max', and 'std' aggregation. (1 point)

Hint: train with plot=False to only show the final loss and accuracy.

Hint 2: if the performance is the same for all methods, there is most likely a bug in your GNN code.

```
In [ ]: # TODO: Your experiment here
```

(g) Which three aggregation methods are the worst? For each one, explain why that one would not work well. (3 points)

Hint: bag-of-word features are very sparse.

TODO: your answer here

6.5 Discussion (3 points)

(a) Our training procedure gets the entire graph, including test nodes. Is it possible for the model to cheat using leaked information? (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

(b) Can the GCN and GNN networks use information from neighbors of neighbors to classify a node? Briefly explain your answer. (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

(c) Do you think the trained model will generalize to other graphs?

Motivate your answer. (1 point)

TODO: your answer here

The end

Well done! Please double check the instructions at the top before you submit your results.

This assignment has 21 points.

Version f502e67 / 2023-10-04