

2.9 A language can be split into two languages which are defined as follows,

$$A_1 = a^i b^j c^k | i, j, k \geq 0, i = j \text{ and } A_2 = a^i b^j c^k | i, j, k \geq 0, j = k$$

using the language A_1 and A_2 the users can construct a CFG for A_1 and A_2 the grammar for language A is the union of grammar of two languages which is defined as follows $S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2$

in the language A_1 the values of i and j are equal so there must be equal number of a 's and b 's in the language A^1 CFG for language A^1 and A^2 are given below

$$S^2 \rightarrow aS^2 | F | \epsilon$$

$$F \rightarrow bFc | \epsilon$$

since the generating string $w = abc$ using the language a , either s_1 or s_2 can be user. therefore the context free grammar for the language A is ambiguous.

2.10 As we know from previous example we have A_1 and A_2 $A_1 = a^i b^j c^k | i, j, k \geq 0, i = j$ and $A_2 = a^i b^j c^k | i, j, k \geq 0, j = k$

push down automation follows as

Read and push a 's

read b while popping a 's

if b 's finish when stack is empty skip c 's on input and accept.

2.14 lets add a new start variable S_0 and rule $S_0 \rightarrow A$. so grammar is

$$S_0 \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | \epsilon$$

$$B \rightarrow 00 | \epsilon$$

now we remove rules that contain ϵ $S_0 \rightarrow A | \epsilon$

$$A \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | BB$$

$$B \rightarrow 00$$

the rule $S_0 \rightarrow \epsilon$ is accepted since S_0 is the start variable and that is allowed in Chomsky normal form.

now remove the unit rules

$$S_0 \rightarrow A | \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | 00 | BB$$

$$B \rightarrow 00$$

$$S_0 A \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | 00 | BB$$

$$B \rightarrow 00$$

$$S_0 \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | 00 | BB | \epsilon$$

$$a \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | 00 | BB$$

$$B \rightarrow 00$$

now we replace third placed terminals 0 by variable U with new.

$$S_0 \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | UU | BB | \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow BAB | BA | AB | UU | BB$$

$$B \rightarrow UU$$

$$U \rightarrow 0$$

$$A_1 \rightarrow AB$$

This is the final CFG in Chomsky normal form equivalent to the given CFG.

2.26 Given that G is a CFG in Chomsky normal form. the length of the string $w \in L(G)$ is $n \geq 1$ for the string w . it is required to show that exactly $2n - 1$ steps are required for the derivation of string w . it can be proved applying the induction method by on the string w of length n .

for $n = 1$ consider string " a " of length 1 in Chomsky normal form the valid derivation for this will be $s \rightarrow a$. the number of steps can be obtained as follows

$$\text{the number of steps can be obtained as follows } 2n - 1 = 2(1) - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$$

now $n = k + 1$ is in chomsky normal form.

since $n > 1$ consider a language in CNF where derivation starts with start symbol S .

$$S \rightarrow BC$$

$$B \rightarrow *x$$

$$C \rightarrow *y$$

using the inductive hypothesis, for the above language in CNF the length of any derivation of string w must be.

$$1 + 2(|x| - 1) + (2|y| - 1) = 2|x| + 2|y| + 1 - 1 - 1 = 2(|x| + |y|) - 1$$

$$\text{here } n = |x| + |Y|$$

since $B \rightarrow *x$ has a length of $|x|$ and $C \rightarrow *y$ has a length of $|y|$.

hence it is proved that it requires $2n-1$ steps required for the derivation of string $w \in L(G)$ in Chomsky normal form.