

# 1 Modelling The Second International Nurse Rostering Competition (2014)

In short, the scheduling problem presented in [?] consists of assigning nurses to shifts in multiple consecutive planning periods. The competition was held in 2015, on the website the benchmarks and a solution validator are still available.

This is an example for a scenario (global part of the problem description) from a test instance:

SCENARIO = n005w4

WEEKS = 4

SKILLS = 2

HeadNurse

Nurse

SHIFT\_TYPES = 3

Early (2,5)

Late (2,3)

Night (4,5)

FORBIDDEN\_SHIFT\_TYPES\_SUCCESSIONS

Early 0

Late 1 Early

Night 2 Early Late

CONTRACTS = 2

FullTime (15,22) (3,5) (2,3) 2 1

PartTime (7,11) (3,5) (3,5) 2 1

NURSES = 5

Patrick FullTime 2 HeadNurse Nurse

Andrea FullTime 2 HeadNurse Nurse

Stefaan PartTime 2 HeadNurse Nurse

Sara PartTime 1 Nurse

Nguyen FullTime 1 Nurse

Only one time unit is necessary: a counter of days since the start of the planning horizon. Create groups: HeadNurse, Nurse, Early, Late, Night, Saturday1, Sunday1, Saturday2, Sunday2, Saturday3, Sunday3, Saturday4, Sunday4. Nurse can be used as an alias for Agent, Shift for TimedTask.

```
using Nurse = Agent<std::string, std::string, std::string>;
using Shift = TimedTask<std::string, std::string, std::string, int>;
```

Create each nurse, add the appropriate groups for roles. When reading from a file, this can be done in a loop; here only exemplary for a single nurse:

```
Nurse patrick("Patrick");
patrick.addGroup("HeadNurse");
patrick.addGroup("Nurse");
```

There is only a single type of assignment for this problem. Since the problem is to assign nurses *to* shifts, each shift will be fixed in one assignment.

```
class Shift : public Assignment {

    Expression generate() override;
    Agent nurse;
    const TimedTask shift;
    std::vector<Rule> requirements;

};
```

Create a TimedTask for every role required for each shift. Add the appropriate groups for role requirements, shift type and day of the week. Add these requirements to all Shifts *s*:

```
s.Require(shift.inGroup(HeadNurse) IMPLIES nurse.inGroup(HeadNurse));
s.Require(shift.inGroup(Nurse) IMPLIES nurse.inGroup(Nurse));
```

Ideas to model the constraints as described in [?] as rules:

- H1. Single assignment per day**  $A1.Simultaneous(A2) \text{ IMPLIES } A1.Nurse \neq A2.Nurse$ , or  
 $A1.Shift.StartTime == A2.Shift.StartTime \text{ IMPLIES } A1.Nurse \neq A2.Nurse$
- H2. Under-staffing** This is already enforced by making required tasks non-optional.
- H3. Illegal shift type successions** For every illegal combination (prec, succ):  
 $InGroup(A1.Shift, prec) \text{ AND } InGroup(A2.Shift, succ) \text{ AND } ImmediateSuccessor(A1.Shift, A2.Shift) \text{ IMPLIES } A1.Nurse \neq A2.Nurse$
- H4. Missing required skill** Enforced by making required role tasks non-optional.
- S1. Insufficient staffing for optimal coverage** Enforced by adding the appropriate weight (30) as penalty to optional shifts.
- S2. Consecutive assignments** Define  
 $SameType(A1, A2) := (A1.shift.inGroup(Early) \text{ AND } A2.shift.inGroup(Early))$   
 $OR (A1.shift.inGroup(Late) \text{ AND } A2.shift.inGroup(Late)) \text{ OR } (A1.shift.inGroup(Night)$   
 $AND A2.shift.inGroup(Night))$   
 $MinConsecutive(A1.nurse == A2.nurse \text{ AND } SameType(A1, A2)) \text{ } i = MIN$
- S3. Consecutive days off**  $MaxConsecutive(A1.nurse == A2.nurse \text{ AND } SameType(A1, A2)) \text{ } i = MAX$

S4. Preferences

S5. Complete week-end

S6. Total assignments (only evaluated at the end of the planning period)

S7. Total working week-ends (only evaluated at the end of the planning period)