'MATH+ECON+CODE' MASTERCLASS ON MATCHING MODELS, OPTIMAL TRANSPORT AND APPLICATIONS

Alfred Galichon (New York University)

Summer 2019
Block 13. Dynamic multinomial choice

LEARNING OBJECTIVES: BLOCK 13

- ► Finite-horizon Rust's model
- ► Identification and estimation
- ► Normalization issues
- ► Infinite-horizon case

REFERENCES FOR BLOCK 13

- ▶ Rust (1987): "Optimal replacement of GMC bus engines: an empirical model of Harold Zurcher. *Econometrica*.
- ► Aguirregabiria and Mira (2007): "Sequential estimation of dynamic discrete games." *Econometrica*.
- ▶ Pesendorfer and Schmidt-Dengler (2008): "Asymptotic least squares estimators for dynamic games." *Review of Economic Studies*.
- Arcidiacono and Miller (2011): "Conditional choice probability estimation of dynamic discrete choice models with unobserved heterogeneity." *Econometrica*.
- Chiong, Galichon and Shum (2016). "Duality in discrete choice models." Quantitative economics.
- ► Rosaia (2019). "Undiscounded dynamic discrete choice and regularized Koopmans problems".

RUST'S MODEL

- Recall the dynamic programming model seen in block 3. The setting is the same: there are n_x units (buses) in state x at the initial period (t=1); at each period, one must choose for each unit some alternative $y \in \mathcal{Y}$; the probability of transiting to state x' at period t+t conditional on being in state x and choosing alternative y at time t is $P_{x'|xy}^t$.
- ▶ The difference with the setting seen in block 3 is that, following Rust, the utility associated with choosing y in state x at t is no longer deterministic, but includes an additional random term $\varepsilon_y \sim \mathbf{P}_{xt}$, so it is

$$u_{xy}^t + \varepsilon_y$$
.

The stochastic structure is such that x_t , (x_t, ε) , (x_t, y_t) is a Markov chain – which rules out persistent shocks, i.e. there cannot be correlaton between ε_t and ε_{t+1} conditional on (x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) .

BELLMAN EQUATION

► As a result of the random utility term, Bellman's equation becomes

$$U_{x}^{t} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}_{xt}} \left[\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left\{ u_{xy}^{t} + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^{t+1} P_{x'|xy} + \varepsilon_{y} \right\} \right]$$
$$= G_{xt} (u_{x.}^{t} + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^{t+1} P_{x'|x.}).$$

▶ Set $W_{xt}\left(U\right) = G_{x(t-1)}(u_{x.}^{t-1} + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^{t} P_{x'|x.})$ for 1 < t < T, the equation becomes

$$U_{x}^{t-1}=W_{xt}\left(U^{t}\right) .$$

► Note that

$$\frac{\partial W_{xt}(U)}{\partial U_{x'}} = \sum_{y} P_{x'|xy} \sigma_{x(t-1),y}$$

is the conditional probability of a transition to x' given being at x at time t-1, denoted $\mu_{x'\mid x}^{t-t}$.

BELLMAN EQUATION, LOGIT CASE

► In the logit case, one has

$$U_x^t = \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp \left(u_{xy}^t + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^{t+1} P_{x'|xy} \right)$$

▶ Setting $V_x^t = \exp(U_x^t)$ and $v_{xy}^t = \exp(v_{xy}^t)$, this becomes an algebraic expression

$$V_{x}^{t} = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}} v_{xy}^{t} \prod_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} \left(V_{x}^{t}\right)^{P_{x'}|_{xy}}.$$

DUAL PROBLEM

► The dual problem can be expressed as:

$$\min_{U_x^t, \ x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x U_x^1
s.t. \ U_x^{t-1} = W_{xt}(U^t) \ 1 < t \le T
U_x^T = G_{xT}(u_{x.}^T)$$
(1)

▶ In the logit case, with $V_x^t = \exp(U_x^t)$ and $v_{xy}^t = \exp(v_{xy}^t)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{U_x^t, \ t \in \mathcal{T}, \ x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x \log V_x^1 \\ s.t. \ V_x^t &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} v_{xy}^t \prod_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} \left(V_x^t\right)^{P_{x'}|_{xy}}, \ t < T \\ V_x^T &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} v_{xy}^t. \end{aligned}$$

DUAL PROBLEM: FIRST ORDER CONDITIONS

▶ Set n_X^t the Lagrange multipliers associated with the constraints. First order conditions in the dual problem yield

$$\begin{aligned} n_{x} &= n_{x}^{1} \\ n_{x}^{t} &= \sum_{x'} \frac{\partial W_{x(t-1)}}{\partial U_{x'}^{t-1}} n_{x'}^{t-1}, 1 < t \leq T \end{aligned}$$

► The second line are Kolmogorov-forward equations (forward propagation of mass)

$$\sum_{x'} \mu_{x'|x}^{t-t} n_{x'}^{t-1} = n_x^t.$$

PRIMAL PROBLEM

▶ Let $W_t\left(U^t; n^{t-1}\right) = \sum_x n_x^{t-1} W_{xt}(U_x^t)$, and let $W_t^*\left(n^t; n^{t-1}\right)$ be its Legendre transform with respect its first variable.

Theorem. The value of the primal problem is

$$\max_{n^t} \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x^T G_{xt}(u_{x.}^T) - \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ 1 < t \le T}} W_t^* \left(n^t; n^{t-1} \right) \right\}$$
s.t. $n_x^1 = n_x$

PROOF OF THE DUALITY

► Start from the dual

$$\min_{U_x^t} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x U_x^1
s.t. \ U_x^{t-1} = W_{xt}(U^t) \ 1 < t \le T
U_x^T = G_{xT}(u_x^T)$$
(2)

▶ Write the saddlepoint formulation

$$\min_{U^t} \max_{n^t} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x U_x^1 - \sum_{x,1 \leq t \leq T} n_x^t U_x^t \\ + \sum_{x,1 < t \leq T} n_x^{t-1} W_{xt}(U^t) \\ + \sum_{x} n_x^T G_{xT}(u_x^T) \end{array} \right\}$$

PROOF OF THE DUALITY (CONTINUED)

Saddlepoint rewrites

$$\max_{n^{t}} \min_{U^{t}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{x} n_{x}^{T} G_{xt}(u_{x.}^{T}) + \sum_{x} (n_{x} - n_{x}^{1}) U_{x}^{1} \\ + \sum_{1 < t \le T} n_{x}^{t-1} W_{xt}(U_{x}^{t}) - n_{x}^{t} U_{x}^{t} \end{array} \right\}$$

▶ Recall that $W_t(U^t; n^{t-1}) = \sum_x n_x^{t-1} W_{xt}(U_x^t)$, and $W_t^*(n^t; n^{t-1})$ be its Legendre transform with respect its first variable, one has

$$\max_{\substack{n_{x}^{t}, t \geq 1 \\ s.t. \ n_{x}^{t} = n_{x}}} \left\{ \sum_{t < T} n_{x}^{T} G_{xt}(u_{x.}^{T}) - \sum_{1 < t \leq T} W_{t}^{*} \left(n^{t}; n^{t-1} \right) \right\}$$

QED.

PRIMAL PROBLEM: FIRST ORDER CONDITIONS

► Recall

$$\max_{\substack{n_x^t, t \geq 1 \\ s.t. \ n_x^T = n_x}} \left\{ \sum_{t < T} n_x^T G_{xt}(u_{x.}^T) - \sum_{1 < t \leq T} W_t^* \left(n^t; n^{t-1} \right) \right\}$$

 \blacktriangleright For $1 \le t < T$, one has

$$\frac{\partial W_t^*}{\partial n_x^t} \left(n^t; n^{t-1} \right) + \frac{\partial W_{t+1}^*}{\partial n_x^t} \left(n^{t+1}; n^t \right) = 0,$$

and note that

$$\frac{\partial W_t^*}{\partial n_v^*} = U_x^t \text{ and } \frac{\partial W_{t+1}^*}{\partial n_v^*} \left(n^{t+1}; n^t \right) = -W_{xt+1}(U^{t+1})$$

hence the first order condition recovers the Bellman equation.

PRIMAL PROBLEM: LOGIT CASE

► One has

$$W_t\left(U; n^{t-1}\right) = \sum_{x} n_x^{t-1} \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(u_{xy}^{t-1} + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^t P_{x'|xy}\right)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & W_t\left(n^t; n^{t-1}\right) \\ &= \max_{U} \left\{ \sum_{x} n_x^t U_x - \sum_{x} n_x^{t-1} \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(u_{xy}^{t-1} + \sum_{x'} U_{x'}^t P_{x'|xy}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

► Sadly, no closed-form formula.

INFINITE-HORIZON VERSION

▶ Rust studies the infinite-horizon version of the problem, in which case u^t_{xy} does not depend on t, and, if $\beta>0$ is a discount factor, then the intertemporal utility is given by the set of equations

$$U_x = W_x(\beta U),$$

where
$$W_x(\beta U) = \mathbb{E}\left[\max_y\left\{u_{xy} + \sum_{x'}\beta U_{x'}P_{x'|xy} + \varepsilon_y
ight\}\right]$$
.

▶ It's possible to show that $(U_x) \to (W_x(\beta U))$ is a contraction mapping, so the above equation has a unique solution.

IDENTIFICATION IN INFINITE-HORIZON RUST'S MODEL

► Let

$$w_{xy} = u_{xy} + \sum_{x'} \beta U_{x'} P_{x'|xy}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} w_{xy} = \ln \pi_{y|x} + a_x \\ U_x = \log \sum_y \exp w_{xy} \end{cases}$$

IDENTIFICATION IN INFINITE-HORIZON RUST'S MODEL

▶ If we choose the normalization $u_{x0} = 0$, we have

$$w_{x0} = \sum_{x'} \beta U_{x'} P_{x'|x0}$$

and

$$w_{xy} - w_{x0} = \ln \frac{\pi_{y|x}}{\pi_{0|x}}$$

▶ Hence

$$U_x = w_{x0} - \log \pi_{0|x}$$

► Thus

$$U_{x} = \sum_{x'} \beta U_{x'} P_{x'|x0} - \log \pi_{0|x}$$
 (3)

SOLVING THE MODEL

▶ Let L be the column vector whose general term is $\left(\log \pi_{0|x}\right)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$, let U be the column vector whose general term is $(U_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$, and let Π be the $|X| \times |X|$ matrix whose general term is

$$\Pi_{xx'} = \Pr\left(x_{t+1} = x' | x_t = x, y = 0\right).$$

Equation (3), rewritten in matrix notation, is

$$L = (\beta \Pi - I) U$$

▶ It is possible to show that for $\beta < 1$, matrix $I - \beta \Pi^0$ is invertible. Thus Equation (3) becomes

$$U = (\beta \Pi - I)^{-1} L. \tag{4}$$

▶ Therefore U_x is identified from data.

SOLVING THE MODEL (CTD)

▶ It follows that all the remaining quantities are also identified by

$$w_{x0} = U_x + \log \pi_{0|x}$$

 $w_{xy} = w_{x0} + \log \frac{\pi_{y|x}}{\pi_{0|x}}$
 $u_{xy} = w_{xy} - \beta \mathbb{E} [U_{x'}|x, y]$

XXX ADDITIONAL AG

We have
$$W\left(n^{0}, u^{1}\right) = \sum_{x} n_{x}^{0} G\left(\alpha_{xy} + \beta \sum_{x'} P_{x'|xy} u_{x'}^{1}\right)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{x}^{0} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{x}^{0}} \\ n_{x}^{1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{x}^{1}} \end{cases}$$

therefore

XXX ADDITIONAL AG

▶ When $\beta = 1$, stationarity is given by

$$\begin{cases} u_{x} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{x}^{0}} \\ n_{x} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{x}^{1}} \end{cases}$$

which is the first order condition to

$$\min_{n:\sum_{x}n_{x}=1}\max_{u}\sum_{x}n_{x}u_{x}-W\left(n,u\right)$$

One has

$$\max_{u} \left\{ \sum n_{x} u_{x} - \sum n_{x} G_{x} \left(\alpha + \beta P u \right) \right\}$$

by FOC

$$n_{x'} = \beta \sum_{xy} P_{x'|xy} \exp \left(\alpha_{xy} + (Pu)_{xy} - G_x\right) n_x$$

Consider
$$M_{x'x} = \beta \sum_{y} P_{x'|xy} \exp\left(\alpha_{xy} + (Pu)_{xy} - G_x\right)$$
, so that n is given by $n = Mn$ u is determined by $u = \beta G_x \left(\alpha + \beta Pu\right)$ In Kronecker product notation,

in reflecter product notation,

ESTIMATION

$$W(n^{0}, u^{1}) = \sum_{x} n_{x}^{0} G\left(\alpha_{xy} + \beta \sum_{x'} P_{x'|xy} u_{x'}^{1}\right)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{x}^{0} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{x}^{0}} \\ n_{x}^{1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{x}^{1}} \end{cases}$$

that is, do

$$\min_{n:\sum_{x}n_{x}=1}\max_{u}\sum_{x}n_{x}u_{x}-W\left(n,u\right)$$