# 'MATH+ECON+CODE' MASTERCLASS ON OPTIMAL TRANSPORT AND ECONOMIC APPLICATIONS

Alfred Galichon (NYU)

Monday: Linear programming Block 1. Linear programming duality

#### PRELIMINARY STUFF

- ► Schedule: Mon 1/20 Fri 1/24, 2020, 8:30am-12:30pm and 1:30pm-3:30pm.
- ► Location: NYU Courant Institute, Warren Weaver Hall, 251 Mercer St, Room 101.
- ► Office hours: by appointment.
- ► Course webpage: http://alfredgalichon.com/mec\_optim
- ► Text (optional): Galichon (2016). *Optimal Transport Methods in Economics*, Princeton.

#### INTRODUCING OURSELVES

- ► Introducing me: A. Galichon, professor of economics and of mathematics at NYU (ag133@nyu.edu)
- ► Introducing course TA/guru/whiz: James Nesbit, NYU graduate student (jmn425@nyu.edu)
- ► Introducing you

#### REMARKS ON THIS COURSE

- ► Targeting Math and Econ students. Self-contained for both audiences
- ► Teaching format: 15 "blocks"; each block = 50 minutes of theory + 1 hour of coding
  - coding most often based on an empirical application related to the theory just seen
  - students are expected to write their own code; we'll ensure that it is operational at the end of each block
  - You are free to remain in the classroom after the lecture for work in group.
- ▶ Programming: our demos will be done in R and Python and the support will be in these languages only, but you are welcome to use the language of your choice e.g. Matlab, C++, Julia... Solvers used will be Gurobi (for LP) and NLOPT (for nonlinear optimization), so make sure your language of choice has a convenient interface to these.
- ► Questions?

#### THIS COURSE

- ▶ This course is focused on models of demand, matching models, and optimal transport methods, with various applications pertaining to labor markets, economics of marriage, industrial organization, matching platforms, networks, and international trade, from the crossed perspectives of theory, empirics and computation.
- ▶ It will introduce tools from economic theory, mathematics, econometrics and computing, on a needs basis, without any particular prerequisite other than the equivalent of a first year graduate sequence in econ or in applied math.

 $\bigcirc$  2018-2020 by Alfred Galichon. Support from NSF grant DMS-1716489 is acknowledged.

#### **OUTLINE**

- ► Part I: Tools
  - ► Monday 6/17: linear programming
  - ► Tuesday 6/18: optimal transport toolbox 1 duality
  - ▶ Wednesday 6/19: optimal transport toolbox 2 convex analysis
- ► Part II: Models
  - ► Thursday 6/20: static and dynamic multinomial choice
  - ► Friday 6/21: statistical estimation of models of matching with transfers

# **SOFTWARE INSTALLATION**

lacktriangle See the setup.md document in the github repository

# Knowing you

Please fill out:

https://goo.gl/forms/5WLfkpc3GNCecd6A3

#### ASSESSMENT

- ► Students needing a grade have a choice between:
  - ► Either a take-home exam (24 hours) available e.g. from Saturday Feb 1 noon, until Sunday Feb 2 noon (to be confirmed with the class),
  - ▶ Or a short paper (12 pages or more), to be discussed with the instructor. The paper will bear some connections, in a broad sense, with the topics of the course. Many papers are considered acceptable: original research paper, survey paper, report on numerical experiments, replication of existing empirical results... are all acceptable. The requirement is to be innovative on a theoretical, empirical, or computational level. This work should be submitted before June 30, 2020.
- ► Email me to indicate which of these you are opting for.

# Block 1: Linear programming

# LEARNING OBJECTIVES: BLOCK 1

- ► Linear programming duality
- ► Economic interpretation of the dual
- ► Numerical computation

## REFERENCES FOR BLOCK 1

- ► [OTME], App. B
- ▶ Stigler (1945), The cost of subsistence. Journal of Farm Economics.
- ▶ Dantzig (1990), The diet problem. *Interface*.
- ► Complements:
  - ► Gale (1960), The theory of linear economic models.
  - ▶ Vohra (2011), Mechanism Design: A Linear Programming Approach.
- www.gurobi.com
- www.gnu.org/software/glpk/

# Section 1

# MOTIVATION: THE DIET PROBLEM

# STIGLER'S DIET PROBLEM

- ▶ During World War II, engineers in US Army were wondering how to feed their personnel at minimal cost, leading to what is now called the "optimal diet problem".
  - ► Nutritionists have identified a number of vital nutrients (calories, protein, calcium, iron, etc.) that matter for a person's health, and have determined the minimum daily intake of each nutrient
  - ► For each basic food (pasta, butter, bread, etc), nutritionists have characterized the intake in each of the various nutrients
  - Each food has a unit cost, and the problem is to find the optimal diet = combination of foods that meet the minimal intake in each of the nutrients and achieves minimal cost
- ▶ The problem was taken on by G. Stigler, who published a paper about it in 1945, giving a first heuristic solution, exhibiting a diet that costs \$39.93 per year in 1939 dollars. Later (in 1947) it was one of the first application of G.B. Dantzig's method (the simplex algorithm), which provided the exact solution (\$39.67). It then took 120 man-day to perform this operation. At the end of this block, the computer will perform it for us in a fraction of second.
- ► However, don't try this diet at home! Dantzig did so and almost died from it...

#### A LOOK AT OUR DATA

► Our dataset (directory '01-appli-diet') was directly taken from Stigler's article. It is a csv file called 'StiglerData1939.txt':

# A LOOK AT OUR DATA

	Commodity	Unit	Price Aug. 15, 1989 (cents)	Edible Weight per \$1.00 (grams)	Calories (1,000)	Protein (grams)	Calcium (grams)	Iron (mg.)	Vitamin A (1,000 I.U.)	Thiamine (mg.)	Ribo- flavin (mg.)	Niacin (mg.)	Ascorbic Acid (mg.)
	Wheat Flour (Enriched)	10 lb.	86.0	12,600	44.7	1,411	2.0	365		55.4	33.3	441	
2.	Macaroni	1 lb.	14.1	3,217	11.6	418	7	54		3.2	1.9	68	
8.	Wheat Cereal (Enriched) Corn Flakes	28 oz.	24.2	3,280	11.8	377 252	14.4	175 56		14.4	8.8	114	
	Corn Meal	1 lb.	4.6	9.861	36.0	897	1.7	99	30.9	13.5 17.4	7.9	68 106	
	Hominy Grits	24 oz.	8.5	8,005	28.6	680	.8	80	30.9	10.6	1.6	110	
	Rice	1 lb.	7.5	6,048	21.2	460	.6	41		2.0	4.8	60	
	Rolled Oats	i lb.	7.1	6.389	25.3	907	5.1	341		37.1	8.9	64	
	White Bread (Enriched)	1 lb.	7.9	5,742	15.0	488	2.5	115		13.8	8.5	126	
	Whole Wheat Bread	1 lb.	9.1	4.985	12.2	484	2.7	125		13.9	6.4	160	
	Rve Bread	1 lb.	9.2	4.930	12.4	439	1.1	82		9.9	8.0	66	
	Pound Cake	1 lb.	24.8	1,829	8.0	130	.4	81	18.9	2.8	3.0	17	
	Soda Crackers	1 lb.	15.1	3,004	12.5	288	.5	50					
	Milk	1 qt.	11.0	8,867	6.1	310	10.5	18	16.8	4.0	16.0	7	177
	Evaporated Milk (can)	141 oz.	6.7	6,035	8.4	422	15.1	9	26.0	3.0	23.5	11	60
	Butter	1 lb.	80.8	1,478	10.8	9	.9	8	44.2		.2	2	
	Oleomargarine	1 lb.	16.1	2,817	20.6	17	. 6	6	55.8	.2			
18.	Eggs Cheese (Cheddar)	1 doz.	32.6 24.2	1,857	2.9	238 448	1.0	52	18.6	2.8	6.5	1	
	Cream	1 lb.	14.1	1,874	3.5	49	16.4	19	28.1 16.9	.8	10.3	4	17
20.	Cream Peanut Butter	i lb.	17.9	2,534	15.7	661	1.7	48	16.9	9.6	8.5	471	17
00	Mayonnaise	1 pt.	16.7	1.198	8.6	18	1.0	8	2.7	.4	.5	9/1	
	Crisco	ilb.	20.3	2.234	20.1	10	. *		2.1	. •	. 0		
	Lard	i lb.	9.8	4,628	41.7				.2		. 5	5	
	Sirloin Steak	1 lb.	89.6	1.145*	8.9	166	.1	34	.2	2.1	2.9	69	
	Round Steak	1 lb.	36.4	1.246*	2.2	214	.1	32	.4	2.5	2.4	87	
27.	Rib Roast	1 lb.	29.2	1.553*	8.4	213	.1	53			2.0	٠.	
	Chuck Roast	1 lb.	22.6	2,007*	3.6	309	. 2	46	.4	1.0	4.0	120	
	Plate	1 lb.	14.6	8,107*	8.5	404	.2	6.5		.9			
	Liver (Beef)	1 lb.	26.8	1,692*	2.2	333	.2	139	169.2	6.4	50.8	316	525
31.	Leg of Lamb	1 lb.	27.6	1,613	3.1	245	.1	20		2.8	8.9	86	
	Lamb Chops (Rib)	1 lb.	86.6	1,239*	3.3	140	.1	15		1.7	2.7	54	
33.	Pork Chops Pork Loin Roast	1 lb.	24.2	1.874*	3.5	196	.2	30 37		17.4 18.2	2.7	60 79	
	Pork Loin Roast Bacon	1 lb.	25.6	1,772*	10.4	152	.2	23		1.8	1.8	71	
	Ham—smoked	1 lb.	27.4	1.655*	6.7	212	.2	31		9.9	3.3	50	
	Salt Pork	1 lb.	16.0	2,835*	18.8	164	.1	26		1.4	1.8	00	
38.	Roasting Chicken	i lb.	80.3	1.497*	1.8	184	.î	30	.1	9	1.8	68	46
39.	Veal Cutlets	1 lb.	42.3	1,072*	1.7	156	.1	24		1.4	2.4	57	
40.	Salmon, Pink (can)	16 oz.	13.0	3,489	5.8	705	6.8	45	3.5	1.0	4.9	209	
	Apples	1 lb.	4.4	9,072	5.8	27	.5	36	7.3	3.6	2.7	5	544
	Bananas	1 lb.	6.1	4,982	4.9	60	.4	30	17.4	2.5	8.5	28	498
	Lemons	1 doz.	26.0	2,380	1.0	21	. 5	14	2000	. 5	20027	4	952
44.	Oranges	1 doz.	30.9	4,439	2.2	40	1.1	18	11.1	3.6	1.3	10	1,998
45.	Green Beans	1 lb.	7.1	5,750	2.4	138	8.7	80	69.0	4.8	5.8	37	862
46.	Cabbage	1 lb.	3.7	8,949	2.6	125	4.0	36	7.9	9.0	4.5	26	5,369
	Carrots Celery	1 stalk	7.3	6,080 3,915	2.7	73 51	9.8 3.0	43 23	188.5	6.1	1.4	89	608 313
	Lettuce	1 head	8.2	2,247	.4	27	1.1	22	112.4	1.8	8.4	11	449
	Onione	1 lb.	3.6	11.844	5.8	166	8.8	59	16.6	4.7	5.9	21	1,184

#### MOTIVATION: THE DIET PROBLEM

- ▶ Problem setup:
  - Assume there are nutrients  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  (calories, protein, calcium, iron, etc.) that matter for a person's health, in such way that the minimum daily intake of nutrient i should be  $d_i$ .
  - Nutrients do not come as standalone elements, but are combined into various foods. Each unit of food  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  yields a quantity  $N_{ij}$  of nutrient  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ . The dollar cost of food j is  $c_j$ .
- ▶ The problem is to find the diet that achieves the minimal intake of each nutrient at a cheapest price. If  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector such that  $q_j \geq 0$  is the quantity of food i purchased, the quantity of nutrient i ingested is  $\sum_{j=1}^n N_{ij} q_j$ , and the cost of the diet is  $\sum_{j=1}^n q_j c_j$ . The optimal diet is therefore given by

$$\min_{q \ge 0} c^{\top} q \tag{1}$$

 $s.t. Nq \ge d.$ 

# Section 2

# A CRASH COURSE ON LINEAR PROGRAMMING

#### LINEAR PROGRAMMING IN STANDARD FORM

▶ Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , A be a  $m \times n$  matrix, and consider the following problem

$$V_P = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} c^\top x$$

$$s.t. Ax = d$$
(2)

This problem is a *linear programming problem*, as the objective function, namely  $x \to c^\top x$  is linear, and as the constraint, namely  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  and Ax = d are also linear (or more accurately, affine). Problem (2) is called *primal program*, for reasons to be explained soon. The set of x's that satisfy the constraint are called *feasible solutions*; the set of solutions of problem (2) are called *optimal solutions*.

- ► Remarks:
  - ► The previous diet problem can be reformulate into this problem why?
  - ▶ A problem does not necessarly have a feasible solution (e.g. if A = 0 and  $d \neq 0$ ), in which case (by convention)  $V_P = -\infty$ .
  - ▶ The whole space may be solution (e.g. if A=0 and d=0), in which case  $V_P=+\infty$ .

## **DUALITY**

There is a powerful tool called duality which provides much insight into the analysis of problem (2). The idea is to rewrite the problem as

$$V_{P} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \left\{ c^{\top} x + L_{P} \left( d - Ax \right) \right\}$$

where  $L_P(z)$  is a penalty function whose value is zero if the constraint is met, that is if z=0, and  $-\infty$  if it is not, namely if  $z\neq 0$ . The simplest choice of such penalty function is given by  $L_P(z)=\min_{y\in\mathbb{R}^m}\left\{z^\top y\right\}$ . One has

$$V_P = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ c^\top x + (d - Ax)^\top y \right\}.$$

# **DUALITY (CTD)**

However, the minimax inequality  $\max_x \min_y \leq \min_y \max_x$  always holds, thus

$$V_{P} \leq \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} \left\{ c^{\top}x + (d - Ax)^{\top}y \right\} = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} \left\{ x^{\top} \left( c - A^{\top}y \right) + d^{\top}y \right\}$$
$$\leq \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \left\{ d^{\top}y + L_{D} \left( c - A^{\top}y \right) \right\} =: V_{D}$$

where  $L_D(z) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+} \left\{ x^\top z \right\}$  is equal to 0 if  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n_-$ , and to  $+\infty$  if not. Therefore, the value  $V_D$  is expressed by the *dual program* 

$$V_D = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} d^\top y,$$

$$s.t. \ A^\top v > c$$
(3)

and the weak duality inequality  $V_P \leq V_D$  holds. It turns out that as soon as either the primal or dual program has an optimal solution, then both programs have an optimal solution and the values of the two programs coincide, so the weak duality becomes an equality  $V_P = V_D$  called strong duality. Further, if  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is an optimal primal solution, and  $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is an optimal dual solution, then complementary slackness holds, that is  $x_i^* > 0$  implies  $(A^\top y^*)_i = c_i$ .

## **DUALITY THEOREM**

We summarize these results into the following statement.

**Theorem.** In the setting described above:

(i) The weak duality inequality holds:

$$V_P \leq V_D$$
.

(ii) As soon as the primal or the dual program have an optimal solution, then both programs have an optimal solution, and strong duality holds:

$$V_P = V_D$$
.

(iii) If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is an optimal primal solution, and  $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is an optimal dual solution, then complementary slackness holds:

$$x_i^* > 0$$
 implies  $\left(A^\top y^*\right)_i = c_i$ .

# BACK TO STIGLER'S PROBLEM

► Recall the optimal diet problem

$$\min_{q \ge 0} c^{\top} q$$
 $s.t. Nq \ge d.$ 

which has minimax formulation  $\min_{q\geq 0} \max_{\pi\geq 0} c^\top q + d^\top \pi - q^\top N^\top \pi$ , so the dual is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\pi \geq 0} d^\top \pi \\ s.t. \ N^\top \pi \leq c \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation: imagine that there is a new firm called Nutrient Shoppe, who sells raw nutrients. Let  $\pi_i$  be the price of nutrient i. The cost of the diet is  $d^{\top}\pi$ . Consumer purchase raw nutrients and can generate "synthetic" foods. The cost of the synthetic version of food j is  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} N_{ij} \pi_i = (N^{\top}\pi)_j$ . The constraint thus means that each "synthetic" food is more affordable than its natural counterpart.

# BACK TO STIGLER'S PROBLEM (CTD)

- ► The duality means that it is possible to price the nutrients so that the synthetic foods are cheaper than the natural ones, in such a way that the price of the synthetic diet equals the price of the natural diet.
- ► Complementary slackness yields:
  - $= q_j > 0$  implies  $(N^T\pi)_j = c_j$ ; that is, if natural food j is actually purchased, then the prices of its synthetic and natural versions coincide
  - $ightharpoonup \pi_i > 0$  implies  $(Nq)_i = d_i$ ; that is, if nutrient i has a positive price, then the natural diet has the "just right" amount.
- And now, let's code!