X IÈ X IE	Program	nming	Guide
-------------------------	---------	-------	-------

A beginner guidance for the XièXie programming language

Lukas Hermanns

Updated on April 24, 2015

About the Author

My name is Lukas Hermanns (age-group 1990) and I started this project during my studies in 2014. By now I have over 12 years of experience in computer programming, started at the age of 12. I have been writing programs in Basic languages such as QBasic, PureBasic, and Blitz3D; in high level languages such as C, C++, C#, Objective-C, and Java; but also in scripting languages such as JavaScript and Python. I'm actually a preferred programmer in C++ (meanwhile C++11), low level stuff, and graphics programming with shading languages such as GLSL and HLSL.

The XièXie programming language is intended to be simple and not tuned for performance. It was originally designed to be used for scripting in video games, but can also be used for general purposes.

If you like, you can follow me on Twitter, YouTube, GitHub, or Bitbucket.

ToDo List

The compiler, and partially the virtual machine, are not yet completed. Some rules and explanations in this report may change over time. Here is a rough ToDo-list:

Parser							
Implementation of parser against grammar specification	done						
Context Analyzer							
Expression Type Check	done						
Cast Type Check	incomplete						
Automatic Type Deduction	done						
Procedure Overloading	done						
Procedure Overriding	done						
Named Parameters	done						
Static and Non-Static Procedure Behavior	done						
Class and Procedure Attributes	done						
Code Generator							
Common CFG and TAC Generation from DAST	incomplete						
CFG Simplification	done						
If Statement	done						
Switch Statement	incomplete						
Return Statement	done						
For Loop	done						
Range-Based For Loop	done						
Repeat Loop	done						
While Loop	done						
Do-While Loop	done						
Optimizer							
Constant Folding	done						
Local Constant Propagation	almost done						
Local Copy Propagation	almost done						
Local Variable Clean	almost done						
Local Variable Reduction	incomplete						
XASM Backend							
Final code generation for XASM	incomplete						
Register Allocator	incomplete						
Core Assembly File	incomplete						

Contents

I	The XièXie Programming Language	6
1	Introduction	7
	1.1 What is XièXie?	7
	1.2 Why is it called "XıèXıE"?	7
	1.3 Motivation	7
	To Motivator	•
2	Syntax	8
	2.1 Basics	8
	2.1.1 Commentaries	8
	2.1.2 Identifiers	8
	2.1.3 Literals	ç
	2.1.4 String Interpolation	ç
	2.2 Operators	ç
	2.3 Type Denoters	10
	2.3.1 Built-in Types	10
		10
		10
	2.3.4 Automatic Type Deduction	11
	2.4 Statements	11
	2.4.1 Branch Statements	12
	2.4.2 Loop Statements	12
	2.5 Expressions	14
	2.5.1 Macros	14
2	Cl	1.
3	Classes	15
	3.1 Getting Started	15
	3.2 Declaration Rules for Classes	16
	3.3 Procedures	17
	3.3.1 Procedure Signature	17
	3.3.2 Procedure Overloading	17
	3.3.3 Procedure Overriding	17
	3.3.4 Named Arguments	18
	3.4 Attributes	19
	3.5 Anonymous Classes	19
	3.6 Class Visibilites	20
4	Modules	21
	4.1 Using Modules	21
	4.2 Creating Modules	21
	D 1 m 1	-
II	Developer Tools	2 3
_	Compiler	~
5	Compiler	24
	5.1 Command Line Tool	24
	5.1.1 Output	24
	5.1.2 Script	24
6	Vinteral Machine	24
6	Virtual Machine	26 26
	6.1 Executing Programs	

II	II Low-Level Programming 2 ^t						
			sembler	28			
	7.1	Instru	ıction Set	28			
		7.1.1	Registers	28			
		7.1.2	OpCodes	28			
		7.1.3	Memory Alignment	29			
	7.2	Proced	dure Calling	30			
		7.2.1	Calling Convention	30			
		7.2.2	Intrinsics	30			

Part I The XIÈXIE Programming Language

Introduction

First of all, "xièxie" is the chinese word for "thanks" or "thank you" (see hantrainerpro.com) and is roughly pronounced "Sh-eh sh-eh".

The design of the XièXie programming language is overall influenced by Java, C++, Swift, and Python.

1.1 What is XIÈXIE?

The XièXie programming language is a high-level, object-oriented, scripting language with compiler and virtual machine. The XièXie compiler (XXC) translates the XièXie code (XX) to a virtual assembler (XASM), then assembles it to XièXie byte code (XBC), which can then be interpreted by the XièXie virtual machine (XVM).

1.2 Why is it called "XIÈXIE"?

Some years, before I started with the development of this compiler, I already had some ideas about a name for it — at this time the compiler was intended to be a cross compiler, i.e. to compile the XièXie code to C++. The first idea I had in mind, was to call it "C+=2" or "C++++" because it should be a more comfortable and easier C++ variant. But this name looked and sounded strange. Another idea was to call it "C power of C" (in mathematical notation C^C). But that still was not what I was looking for. Then I remembered me to the Chinese word "Xièxie" which means "Thanks" in English and it sounds a little similar to "CC" which could be seen as a shortcut for C^C . That's the XièXie compiler's story about its name.

Or to make a long story short: I saw this word on a napkin in a Chinese restaurant and thought to my self: That's it! :-)

1.3 Motivation

There are many great programming languages out there. Some produce faster code than others, but some are simpler and have a better learning curve. Using a new language doesn't mean to give up a previous one, because every language has its own domain. For example, the performance of interpreted languages such as Python is totally sufficient for many applications. We don't need maximal performance for a script which does some socket connection or text processing for instance. But I would not write the compiler and interpreter for Python in a scripted language. There are also many ways to combine several languages: an interpreter could be used for scripting in video games for instance. But the game engine is written in C++. This is how it is done in Unity3D (see www.unity3d.com). They use Mono as compiler and interpreter framework for C#. But the engine itself is written in C++.

Now XièXie is aimed to be used for small scripting purposes, with a gentle learning curve. The great thing about its interpreter is, that it is very tiny and can easily be integrated into existing C or C++ code. This virtual machine only consists of a single code file (written in C99) and can simply be included into any C99 compliant project.

Syntax

We start out with the syntax.

2.1 Basics

2.1.1 Commentaries

Commentaries are a fundamental part of programming languages and they are nearly identical to those in Java:

```
// Single-line comment
/* Single-line comment */
/*
Multi-line comment
*/
```

Although they are very similar to the commentaries in Java, nested multi-line comments are allowed as well:

```
/*
Outer comment
/* Nested comment */
*/
```

2.1.2 Identifiers

Identifiers (for variables, classes, etc.) must only contain alpha-numeric characters and the underscore. They must also begin with a letter or an underscore. But there is a small exception: they must not begin with __xx__, because all identifiers with this prefix are reserved for internal use of the compiler.

Valid identifiers are:

- _name_
- FooBar
- number_of_wheels
- Customer01
- •

Invalid identifiers are:

- naïve
- Foo.Bar
- number-of-wheels
- 3over2
- ...

2.1.3 Literals

These are the kinds of literals:

- Boolean Literal: true, false
- Integer Literal (Binary): e.g. 0b11001, 0b0000
- Integer Literal (Octal): e.g. 0o24, 0o01234567
- Integer Literal (Decimal): e.g. 3, 12, 999, 1234567890
- Integer Literal (Hexa-Decimal): e.g. 0xff, 0x00, 0xaB29
- Float Literal: e.g. 0.0, 3.5, 12.482
- String Literal: e.g. "Foo Bar", "Hello, World", "\n\t", "1st fragment" 2nd fragment
- Verbatim String Literal: e.g. @"\home\test", @"a ""b"" c"

Integer and float literals may optionally contain the single quotation mark as digit separator, for better readability. If it's used, all separators must satisfy the following rules:

- 1. For decimal literals, the separators must be **three steps apart** from each other, beginning at the dot. Example: 12'345, 3.141'592'654, or -27'836.283'74.
- 2. For non-decimal literals, the separators must be **four steps apart** from each other, beginning at the dot. Example: 0xff0'214b, 0b100'1101'1011, 0o1234'5670
- 3. A separator must not appear at the beginning or the end of the literal. Counterexample: '123'456'.
- 4. No valid separator must be omitted. Counterexample: 1234'567'890.

2.1.4 String Interpolation

The syntax for *string interpolation* is equal to that in Swift and allows you to easily concatenate strings with other objects or primitive elements, such as integers or floating-point values. If you want to concatenate strings for yourself, it may look like this:

```
var x := 5, y := -3
var s1 := "x = ".append(x).append(", y = ").append(y)
```

This will write "x = 5, y = -3" into s1. The same result can be achieved with the following string interpolation:

```
var s2 := "x = \(x), y = \(y)"
```

With the escape character '\', everthing in the parenthesis will be read an arbitrary expression, which is appended (or concatenated) to the string on its left. Thus, the string literal s2 is equivalent to s1.

2.2 Operators

The most operators as in Java or C++ are also available in XièXie:

```
/* Arithmetic Operators */
a + b
       // Addition
       // Substration
a - b
a * b
       // Multiplication
       // Division
a / b
a % b
       // Modulo
a << b // Left Shift
a >> b // Right Shift
        // Negate
/* Bitwise Operators */
       // Bitwise AND
a & b
       // Bitwise OR
a | b
       // Bitwise XOR
a ^ b
        // Bitwise NOT
~a
```

```
/* Boolean Operators */
not a  // Logic NOT
a and b  // Logic AND
a or b  // Logic OR

/* Relation Operators */
a = b  // Equality
a != b  // Inequality
a < b  // Less
a <= b  // Less Or Equal
a > b  // Greater
a >= b  // Greater Or Equal
```

As the interested reader may have noticed, the *equality operator* is different to that in the most languages. This is because the *copy assignment* operators is := and not =.

In the above example a := b := 3 is invalid, because on the right hand side of the first := must be an expression. But per definition in XièXie b := 3 is not an expression, but a statement! The variable list assignment (a, b, c := ...) is a comfort functionality, which is only supported for the copy assignment.

The modify-assign operators are available as well:

```
a += b
a -= b
a *= b
a *= b
a /= b
a %= b
a %= b
a <<= b
a >>= b
a &= b
a &= b
a |= b
a ^= b
```

However, they are also not allowed inside another expression.

2.3 Type Denoters

2.3.1 Built-in Types

There are only the following three built-in data types:

```
bool // Boolean type; can be 'true' or 'false'
int // 32-bit signed integral type
float // 32-bit floating-point type
```

2.3.2 Objects

Types for class objects (more about classes in chapter 3) are written as follows:

```
// Empty string
String s

// List of strings
String s1 := "Hello, World", s2 := "Foo", s3 := "Bar"
```

2.3.3 Arrays

The only generic way for lists are the built-in arrays:

```
// Declare array objects with initializer lists
int[] intArray := { 1, 2, 3 }
float[][] floatArrayArray := { { 0.0, 1.5 }, { 3.5, 1.23 } }
String[] stringArray := { "a", "b", "c" }

// Access array elements
String s1 := stringArray[0]
String s2 := stringArray[intArray[0]]
float[] floatArray := floatArrayArray[1]
```

2.3.4 Automatic Type Deduction

Whereas automatic type deduction in C++11 is a very extensive language feature, in XièXiE it can be summarized in this section. There are two keywords for automatic type deduction: var and const. As the name implies var denotes a variable type and const denotes a constant type. The latter type is the only way to define constants in XièXiE. Here are a few examples:

```
// i is from type 'int'
                   // f is from type 'float'
     f := 3.5
var
     s := "."
                   // s is from type 'String'
var
                   // a is from type 'array of int'
var
     a := { 5 }
    aa := {
                   // aa is from type 'array of array of String'
var
 { "test" },
 { "a", "b" }
const ci := 5
                   // ci is a constnat int with value 5
const cj := ci*2
                   // cj is a constant int with value 10
                   // cf is a constant float
const cf := 3.14
const cb := ci > cj // cb is a constant bool with value 'false'
```

2.4 Statements

Unlike C++ and Java, there are no semicolons in XièXie to terminate statements. Only the regular for-statement has two semicolons, to separate the initializer statement, the conidition expression, and the increment statement. This means the compiler (or rather the *parser*, which reads the source code) always knows when a statement or expression is complete. But it also means that you — the programmer — can do weird things with this syntax. Consider the following code sample:

```
int a:=3
-4
,b:=-
5+2 int c
```

This is valid XièXie code and it contains only two statements! If we write it in a more common convention, it may look like this:

```
int a := 3-4,
    b := -5+2
int c
```

Hence, the readability of your code is up to you and your programming style :-). The only language I've worked with, which forces you to practice better readability is Python. Actually a great principle, but with XièXie you have complete freedom.

The absence of statement terminators is the reason for the *double paren* syntax of attributes:

```
[[attribute]]
```

To understand why this is the case, let's assume attributes are written with a single paren and consider the following class declaration:

Now this doesn't seem very complex. But the parser runs into trouble when reading [entry]. This is because the parser reads it as follows:

```
int v := c[entry]
```

But c is not an array. This is why attributes are written with a double paren, because array accesses never begin with '[['. They may end with ']]', but this is not important for the parser.

In the following sections, we will see several types of statements, which are:

- Branch Statements: if, switch.
- Loop Statements: for, foreach, while, do-while, repeat.
- Control Transfer Statements: break, continue, return.

2.4.1 Branch Statements

A branch statement represents a branch in the control flow of a program, i.e. the program may pass through different paths during execution, depending on which branch is taken.

if Branch

```
// If x is greater than y, then execute the following code block
if x > y  {
    doSomethingUseful()
// Nested boolean expression: x must be equal to y,
  and the condition inside the parentheses must be 'false'
if x = y and not (x != 3 \text{ or } y = -7) {
   thenDoThis()
} else {
    otherwiseDoThat()
 / Classic if/elseif/else statement
if conditionA {
} else if conditionB {
} else if conditionC {
     * ... */
} else {
       ... */
```

switch Branch

```
// Switch statement with many cases (only integers are allowed)
int idx := getSwitchIndex()
switch idx {
   case 1: // idx = 1
      print("case 1")
    case 2: // idx = 2
       print("case 2")
    case 3 .. 10: // idx >= 3 and idx <= 10
       print("case 3")
    case 11, 20 .. 25, 30: // idx = 11 or (idx >= 20 and idx <= 25) or idx = 30
       print("case 4")
    default:
       print("default case")
}
// Switch with break statements
const caseIndex := 11
int idx := getSwitchIndex()
switch idx {
    case 1:
       int x := 42 // each case has its own scope
    case 2 .. 10:
        int x := idx*2 // declares a new variable in this scope
        if x > 10 {
           break // jump out of switch statement
       print("hi there!")
    case caseIndex:
       print("case \(caseIndex)")
}
```

2.4.2 Loop Statements

It follows several examples of loop statements.

for Loop

```
// This regular for loop iterates 'i' from 0 to 9 (similar to Java)
for int i := 0; i < 10; i++ {
    // Infinite loop (also similar to Java)
    for ;; {
        if i >= 0 {
            // Break infinite loop
            break
        }
    }
    // Inner iteration variable 'j' is implicit initialized to 0.0
    float j
    for ; j < 3.5; {
        j += 0.5
    }
}</pre>
```

Range-Based for Loop

foreach Loop

```
// Iterate over array with elements 1, 2, and 3
foreach i : { 1, 2, 3 } {
    print(i)
}

// Iterate over a 'superList' from type (array of arrays of strings)
String[][] superList := { "a", "b" }, { "c" } }
foreach list : superList {
    // Iterate over all elements in the current sub list
    foreach str : list {
        // Do something with this string
        print(str)
    }
}
```

repeat Loop

```
// Repeat for unconditional iterations
// (This is internally a "ForEver"-loop)
repeat {
    // Condition to break the loop
    if magicFunction() {
        // Break loop
        break
    }
}

// Do something 10 times (with invisible index variable)
// -> This is internally a 'range-based for loop',
// i.e. equivalent to 'for i : 1 .. 10'
repeat 10 {
    doSomething()
}
```

while Loop

```
// Regular while loop
while magicFunction() {
   doSomething()
}
```

do/while Loop

```
// Regular do-while loop
do {
   doSomething()
} while magicFunction()
```

2.5 Expressions

2.5.1 Macros

XIÈXIE does not support the declaration of macros. However, there are a few built-in macros, which represent additional reserved identifiers:

- __FILE__ String which contains the current filename.
- __CLASS__ String which contains the current class name.
- __PROC__ String which contains the current procedure name.
- __LINE__ Integer which contains the current line number.
- __DATE__ String which contains the current date and time.
- __VERSION__ Integer which contains the compiler version number (e.g. 200 for version "2.00").

Classes

A XièXie program can only consist of imports, modules, and class declarations. And classes can only be defined in the global scope. That means every procedure must be defined inside a class and inner classes are currently not supported.

3.1 Getting Started

To get started, take a look at the following example program which prints the classical phrase "Hello, World!" onto the standard output:

```
// XieXie Hello World Program
import System
class HelloWorld {
    static void main() {
        System.out.writeLine("Hello, World!")
    }
}
```

This merely writes the line "Hello, World!" to the standard output. Let's take a closer look at each line. Line 2 imports the "System.xx" file from the XièXie standard library:

```
import System  // either this ...
import "System.xx" // ... or this
```

If the imported file is in another directory, the string version of import is the only choice. This can also be omitted if the file is added to the compilation process. The files for the classes Object, String, Array, and Intrinsics are always implicit imported, because they are 'internal' classes the compiler knows generally. Note that verbatim strings are allowed wherever string literals are allowed, i.e. the following example is valid XièXie code:

```
import "C:\\Program Files\\Test1.xx" // either this ...
import @"C:\Program Files\Test1.xx" // ... or this
```

The import keyword is different to that in Java and also different to the #include directive in C++. Although it takes a filename as parameter (like C++'s #include), it does not *include* the file in place. Whenever an import is read by the *parser*, the filename is added to the set of import files. After all source files have been read, which were passed as input to the compiler, all import files will be read next. This will be repeated until no new files are added to the set. Consider this is a *set* of files, i.e. several import commands may occur with the same filename, but it will be read only once. This is why the above sample is valid XièXie code. It also means that recursive imports are allowed as well:

```
// File1.xx
import "File2.xx"
```

```
// File2.xx
import "File1.xx"
```

Line 3 declares the class HelloWorld which implicit inherits from the base class Object, like it is done in Java:

```
3 class HelloWorld { /* ... */ }
```

To inherit from other classes, just write a colon and the identifier of the base class:

```
class SubClass : BaseClass { /* ... */ }
```

There is no multiple inheritance like in C++ or interfaces like in Java!

The next line declares the procedure main.

```
4 static void main() {
```

This is the main entry point for the program. There can only be one main entry point, but there are several possible signatures for this procedure:

```
// No return value, no arguments
static void main() { /* ... */ }

// No return value, arguments
static void main(String[] args) { /* ... */ }

// Return value, no arguments
static int main() { /* ... */ }

// Return value, arguments
static int main(String[] args) { /* ... */ }
```

The last line of code prints the message to the standard output:

```
5 System.out.writeLine("Hello, World!")
```

System is a class from the standard XièXie library, out is a *static* member from the type 'OutputStream', and writeLine is a function which takes a string as input.

3.2 Declaration Rules for Classes

In XièXie there is no need for *forward declarations*. Everything can be declared in the respective scope and is accessible throughout the entire program (except private scope). This is why the following code is valid:

```
// First declare sub class
class SubClass : BaseClass { /* ... */ }

// Then declare base class
class BaseClass { /* ... */ }
```

The same applies for procedure declarations:

```
class B {
    static void procB1() {
        A.procA() // no forward declaration required ...
        B.procB2() // ... same here
    }
    static void procB2() { /* ... */ }
}
class A {
    static void procA() { /* ... */ }
}
```

This works because the *context analyzer* of the compiler works in several phases:

- 1. Class symbols are registered in global scope.
- 2. Class signatures are analyzed (attributes and base class).
- 3. Class inheritance is verified (check for cycles).
- 4. Member procedures are registered in respective class scope.
- 5. Member variables are registered in respective class scope.
- 6. Run-time type information (RTTI) for the entire class hierarchy is generated.
- 7. Procedure code is analyzed.

3.3 Procedures

In XièXie we talk about *procedures* (somethings called *methods*), because in the strict sense *functions* have no side effects. Functions have only input parameters which are calculated to a result. But in XièXie every procedure can have side effects, meaning that they can modify the program state (with static variables for instance).

3.3.1 Procedure Signature

A *procedure signature* is the extended identification of a procedure beyond its identifier string. The signature consists of the identifier string, its parameter list, and the procedure's return type. However, the return type is never used for identification.

3.3.2 Procedure Overloading

XIÈXIE supports overloading of procedures. This means the same identifier can be used several times for procedures inside a class declaration (including its inheritance hierarchy). This requires that the following rules are satisfied:

All procedures with the same identifier inside a class declaration can be distinguished by their parameter count or parameter types.

Here is an example of procedure overloading.

When overloading procedures, try to avoid ambiguities with default arguments. Adding default arguments to all parameters of all overloaded procedures is allowed, but procedure calls may be ambiguous for the compiler:

3.3.3 Procedure Overriding

XIÈXIE supports overriding of procedures. This means the same procedure signature can be used inside a class and its base class. The procedure calls of overloaded and overridden procedures require that the following rules are satisfied:

If a procedure with identifier *P* is declared inside a class *C*, a procedure with the same identifier is declared in its base class *B* but with another signature, and another procedure inside class *C* calls the procedure *P* from class *B*, then the identifier super must be specified in front of the call.

To better understand this awkward definition, take a look at this example:

3.3.4 Named Arguments

Named arguments, like in C#, are supported as well. They also have the same rules as in C#, i.e. if you started to write named arguments in a procedure call, you need to finish it till the last argument. Here is an example and counter-example:

```
// Example:
class Widget {
    void f(int x := 1, int y := 2, int z := 3)
    void call() {
        int y := 12
                            // Use all default arguments
        f()
                            // Equivalent to f(1, -5, 3)
        f(y: -5)
                            // Equivalent to f(1, y, 3)
        f(y: y)
                            // Equivalent to f(-1, 2, 0)
        f(-1, z: 0)
        f(z: 1, y: 2, x: 3) // Equivalent to f(3, 2, 1)
    }
// Counter-example:
class Widget {
    void f(int x := 1, int y := 2, int z := 3)
    void call() {
                     // Error, parameter 'x' already assigned
        f(1, x: 1)
        f(1, y: 2, 3) // Error, can not start with named arguments and continue with unnamed
```

But what are named arguments good for? Consider the following function signature:

```
/ User account class.
2
    class UserAccount {
3
        init(
            String name := "<unnamed>",
5
            int age := 0,
            String location := "",
6
            String city := "",
7
8
            int postalCode := 0
9
            int flags := 0
10
        )
11
        /* · · · */
12
   }
13
14
     / Creates an account, only initialize with basic information.
15
    class AccountManager() {
16
         // Alternative 1
17
        UserAccount createAccountAlt1(String name, int flags := 0) {
18
            return new UserAccount(name: name, flags: flags)
19
20
21
        // Alternative 2
22
        UserAccount createAccountAlt2(String name, int flags := 0) {
23
            return new UserAccount(name, 0, "", "", 0, flags)
24
25
```

In this example alternative 2 (Line 23) is not much more to type. But alternative 1 (Line 18) is much better to read :-). You see immediately which arguments are passed to which parameters. No matter if the parameter order will change, your procedure call will still work as suggested. Moreover, the default arguments in the procedure declaration may change, but your procedure call will still use them correctly.

3.4 Attributes

There are several attributes for class-, procedure-, and variable declarations:

```
Class A is marked as 'deprecated'.
[[deprecated]]
class A {
    // Declare some procedure
    void p() {}
// Class B is marked as 'deprecated' with a hint.
 Since B is deprecated as well, the deprecation of A is ignored here.
[[deprecated("hint...")]]
class B {
     // Mark 'p' to override 'A.p'. If 'p' does not match the signature
    // of procedure 'A.p', the compiler will throw an error message.
    [[override]]
    void p() {}
}
// Class C is 'final', i.e. no class can inherit from C.
 / Because A is deprecated but not C, the compiler will throw a warning.
[[final]]
class C : A {}
```

Here is a summary of all attributes:

- deprecated, deprecated(String hint) Marks a class, procedure, or member-variable as deprecated.
- **export**, **export**(**String label**) Exports the address of a *static procedure*.
- **bind**, **bind**(**String name**) Binds a *module* of the specified name. The default name is: "<module-name>/<module-name> where <module-name> is the name of the module.
- **override** Overrides a procedure from a class in its inheritance hierarchy.
- final Makes a class final, which disables to inherit from this class.

3.5 Anonymous Classes

XIÈXIE supports the declaration of anonymous classes. This can be quite comfortable when a class needs to be instantiated only once:

```
class Hello {
    // No code block after procedure declaration inside
    // a non-extern class implies an abstract procedure
    String say()
}
class EnglishHello : Hello {
    [[override]]
    String say() { return "Hello" }
class Main {
    static void main() {
         // Allocate class "EnglishHello"
        var englishHello = new EnglishHello()
        // Allocate anonymous class (internal name "__xx__AnonymousClass0")
        var germanHello = new Hello() {
            [[override]]
            String say() { return "Hallo" }
        }
    }
```

Now it should be clear why identifiers with the prefix "__xx__" are reserved.

3.6 Class Visibilites

Like in C++ and Java, XièXie provides visibilites for all members within a class. The syntax for these visibilites are a mixture of C++ and Java. You can declare the visibility for each class member like in Java and/or for a whole bunch of members like in C++:

```
class C {
public:
                      publicVar0
              int
              float
                      publicVar1
    private
                      privateVar0
              int
              String publicVar2
    protected int
                      protectedVar0
              float[] privateVar1
    private
              int[]
                      publicVar3
private:
                      privateVar2
              int
    public
              bool
                      publicVar4
              bool[] privateVar3
```

Unlike in common object-oriented languages, the default visibility in XièXie is public. This is because there are only classes and no structures like in C++. So a small class, which only has variables and acts like a data structure can be written with as less effort as possible:

```
// Examples of simple data structures
class Vector3 { float x, y, z }
class FileHeader {
   int magicNumber
   int formatVersion
}
```

Modules

A *module* consists of a shared library (*.dll on Windows and *.so on GNU/Linux) and a XièXie module file. They are similar to Python modules.

4.1 Using Modules

Module declarations are similar to external classes. But they can only have static procedures. Here is an example:

```
module MyModule {
    static void doSomething(int x)
}
```

Using this in your XièXie code will then look like this:

```
import MyModule
class MyClass {
    static void main() {
        MyModule.doSomething(42)
    }
}
```

4.2 Creating Modules

Modules must be written in plain C or C++. A minimal module would consist of three files. Supposed our example module is named "MyModule" we would have these files:

- MyModule.c Module code written in C.
- MyModule.dll (Windows)/*.so (GNU/Linux) Shared library which runs the module code.
- MyModule.xx XièXie code file which declares the module interface.

The C code file must implement the following function interfaces to be a valid XièXie module:

```
// Returns the number of module procedures.
int xx_module_proc_count();

// Returns the procedure for the respective index.
XVM_INVOCATION_PROC xx_module_fetch_proc(int index);

// Returns the procedure identifier for the respective index.
const char* xx_module_fetch_ident(int index);
```

Our simple module example from above could be implemented as follows:

```
// Include XieXie module header
#include <xiexie/xx_module.h>

// This is our module procedure "soSomething",
// all module procedures must have this interface
void doSomething(XVM_Env env) {
    // Get 1st parameter from XVM environment
    int x = XVM_ParamInt(env, 1);
```

Part II Developer Tools

Compiler

5.1 Command Line Tool

The compiler can be used as command line tool. The appropriate program is named xxc. Enter xxc help in a command line to see the manual pages.

In contrast to most other command line tools, the commands for xxc don't have the '-' prefix. Instead the command options use this prefix. Here is an example:

```
xxc C -f FILE1 -f FILE2 -0
```

The above command line uses the C command (also compile) with the flags '-f' and '-O'.

5.1.1 Output

The compiler tries to show you where an error or warning occurred. If possible, it is highlighted with a *line marker*. Consider the following code sample:

```
class Foo {
    void Bar() {
        int x := y
     }
}
```

Now consider the compiler processes this code with the following command line:


```
xxc C -f Core.xx -f Foo.xx
```

Then the compiler indicates that the variable 'y' was not declared (see Figure 5.1). As you can see, some errors may produce multiple outputs. In this case, 'y' is undeclared which produces two error outputs here:


- 1. The identifier 'y' is undeclared, so the appearance in the initializer expression is invalid.
- 2. The type of the initializer expression for the declaration of x can not be deduced, so the type check fails.

5.1.2 Script

If xxc has no arguments, it will switch to the *immediate script* mode. This allows the user to immediately script XièXie code inside the command line (see Figure 5.2).



(a) Output in PowerShell on Windows 7



(b) Output in Terminal on Kubuntu GNU/Linux

Figure 5.1: Compiler output for the above code sample.




Figure 5.2: Compiler immediate script mode.

Virtual Machine

The XièXie Virtual Machine (XVM) is a separated program (named xvm), written in pure C99.

6.1 Executing Programs

To execute (or run) a virtual program, just enter the filename of an *.xbc file into the xvm: xvm HelloWorld.xbc

Part III Low-Level Programming

Virtual Assembler

The XièXie Virtual Assembler (XASM) is the low level language which is used as interface to the xvm. It's a very low level language, with similarities to ARM[®] Assembler.

7.1 Instruction Set

7.1.1 Registers

The xvm is a register machine, i.e. it uses registers as operands for its instructions instead of the stack. This commonly increases performance but is a little more tricky to use, when you run out of registers. Each register index inside an instruction is stored with 4 bits, thus there are 16 registers and this is the list:

- 1. **\$r0** General Purpose Register 0.
 - :
- 25. \$r24 General Purpose Register 24.
- 26. \$ar Argument Return.
- 27. \$xr Extended Register.
- 28. **\$gp** Global Pointer.
- 29. **\$cf** Conditional Flag.
- 30. **\$1b** Local Base Pointer.
- 31. **\$sp** Stack Pointer.
- 32. **\$pc** Program Counter.

All pointer registers and the program counter (i.e. \$gp, \$1b, \$sp, and \$pc) are all 'real' pointers to the memory in your operating system.

7.1.2 OpCodes

Each instruction stores its mnemonic (Greek 'memory' $\hat{}$ instruction identifier) in the first 6 bits. This provides a maximum of 64 instructions. However, only 52 mnemonics are currently in use.

	3-Register Instruction OpCodes (00)							
Mnemonic	0pCode	Dest.	LSource	RSource	Unused	Description		
ritemotic	3126	2521	2016	1511	100	Description		
AND	000001	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Bitwise AND (D := $L \& R$).		
OR	000010	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Bitwise OR (D := $L \mid R$).		
XOR	000011	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Bitwise XOR (D := $L \hat{R}$).		
ADD	0 0 0 1 0 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Integer add. (D := $L + R$).		
SUB	0 0 0 1 0 1	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Integer sub. $(D := L - R)$.		
MUL	0 0 0 1 1 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Integer mul. (D := $L \times R$).		
DIV	0 0 0 1 1 1	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Integer div. (D := $L \div R$).		
MOD	0 0 1 0 0 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Modulo (D := $L \% R$).		
SLL	0 0 1 0 0 1	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Shift left (D := $L \times R$).		
SLR	0 0 1 0 1 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Shift right (D := $L \gg R$).		
ADDF	0 0 1 0 1 1	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Float add. (D := $L + R$).		
SUBF	0 0 1 1 0 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Float sub. $(D := L - R)$.		
MULF	0 0 1 1 0 1	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Float mul. (D := $L \times R$).		
DIVF	0 0 1 1 1 0	DDDDD	LLLLL	RRRRR	00000000000	Float div. (D := $L \div R$).		

	2-Register Instruction OpCodes (01)					
Mnemonic	0pCode	Dest.	Source	Unused or Value	Description	
memonic	3126	2521	2016	150	Description	
MOV	0 1 0 0 0 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	00000000000000000	Move $(D := S)$.	
NOT	0 1 0 0 0 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	00000000000000000	Bitwise NOT (D := \sim S).	
FTI	0 1 0 0 1 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	00000000000000000	Float to integer (D := $(int)S$).	
ITF	0 1 0 0 1 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	00000000000000000	Integer to float $(D := (float)S)$.	
AND	0 1 0 1 0 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	<u> </u>	Bitwise AND (D := $S \& V$).	
OR	0 1 0 1 0 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Bitwise OR (D := $S \mid V$).	
XOR	0 1 0 1 1 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Bitwise XOR (D := $S ^V$).	
ADD	0 1 0 1 1 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Modulo (D := $S \% V$).	
SUB	0 1 1 0 0 0	DDDDD	S	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Shift left (D := $S \times V$).	
MUL	0 1 1 0 0 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Shift right (D := $S \times V$).	
DIV	0 1 1 0 1 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Integer add. (D := $S + V$).	
MOD	0 1 1 0 1 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Integer sub. $(D := S - V)$.	
SLL	0 1 1 1 0 0	DDDDD	SSSSS	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Integer mul. (D := $S \times V$).	
SLR	0 1 1 1 0 1	DDDDD	SSSSS	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Integer div. (D := $S \div V$).	
CMP	0 1 1 1 1 0	X X X X X	YYYYY	00000000000000000	Integer comparison (\rightarrow \$cf).	
CMPF	0 1 1 1 1 1	XXXXX	YYYYY	00000000000000000	Float comparison (\rightarrow \$cf).	

	1-Register Instruction OpCodes (100)						
Mnemonic	0pCode 3126	Reg. 2521	Unused, Value, or Offset	Description			
PUSH	100000	RRRRR	000000000000000000000	Push register onto stack.			
POP	100001	RRRRR	000000000000000000000	Pop register from stack.			
INC	100010	RRRRR	000000000000000000000	Increment integer (D++).			
DEC	100011	RRRRR		Decrement integer (D–).			
MOV	100100	RRRRR	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Move integer (D := V).			
LDA	100101	RRRRR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Load address from program (D := ByteCode + R_{word} + O_{byte}).			

	Jump Instruction OpCodes (101)						
Mnemonic	0pCode 3126	Reg. 2521	Offset 200	Description			
JMP	101000	RRRRR	0000000000000000000000	Jump.			
JE	101001	RRRRR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jump if equal.			
JNE	101010	RRRRR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jump if not-equal.			
JG	101011	RRRRR	0000000000000000000000	Jump if greater.			
JL	101100	RRRRR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jump if less.			
JGE	101101	RRRRR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jump if greater or equal.			
JLE	1 0 1 1 1 0	RRRRR	0000000000000000000000	Jump if less or equal.			
				Push dynamic link (\$1b and \$pc)			
CALL	101111	RRRRR		onto stack; Set \$1b to new stack frame; Jump to address.			

Load/Store Instruction OpCodes (1100)							
Mnemonic	0pCode	Reg.	Addr.	Offset	Description		
memonic	3126	2521	2016	150	Description		
LDB	1 1 0 0 0 0	RRRRR	AAAAA	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Load byte from memory.		
STB	1 1 0 0 0 1	RRRRR	AAAAA	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Store byte to memory.		
LDW	1 1 0 0 1 0	RRRRR	AAAAA	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Load word from memory.		
STW	1 1 0 0 1 1	RRRRR	AAAAA	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Store word to memory.		

	Special-1 Instruction OpCodes							
Mnemonic	0pCode	Unused or Value	Description					
nnemonic	3126	250	Description					
STOP	000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Stop program execution.					
PUSH	1 1 1 0 0 0	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Push integer onto stack.					
INVK	1 1 1 0 0 1	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Invoke external procedure.					
INSC	1 1 1 0 1 0	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Call intrinsic.					

	Special-2 Instruction OpCodes							
Mnemonic	0pCode 3126	Result Size	Argument Size	Description				
	3120	2310	25					
RET	1 1 1 0 1 1	RRRRRRRRR	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Pop R wrods from stack and buffer them; Pop current stack frame; Pop A words from stack; Push stored R words back onto stack; Restore dynamic link (\$1b and \$pc)				

7.1.3 Memory Alignment

Some instructions use byte alignment and some others use word alignment.

7.2 Procedure Calling

7.2.1 Calling Convention

In XASM, the calling convention provides that the *callee* removes the procedure arguments from the stack. After any procedure call, the *dynamic link* is stored at the beginning of the *stack frame* and reserves the first 8 bytes.

dynamic link

Consists of two words: 32-bit value of the \$1b register *before* the procedure call and 32-bit value of the \$pc register *before* the procedure call.

Local variables can be store by increasing the *stack pointer* (\$sp) and load/store instructions at the *local base pointer* (\$lb) plus 8 bytes (after the *dynamic link*). Here is an example:

The arguments for a procedure call are meant to be pushed onto the stack from *right-to-left*. In this way the arguments can be accessed from *left-to-right*. Here is an example:

```
1    ; int proc(int x, int y) { return x+y }
2    proc:
3    LDW $r0, ($lb) -4 ; Fetch first argument (x) from stack
4   LDW $r1, ($lb) -8 ; Fetch second argument (y) from stack
5   ADD $ar, $r0, $r1 ; Calculate ar := x + y
6   RET 2    ; Return: $ar and pop 2 arguments from stack
```

7.2.2 Intrinsics

Intrinsics are the internal primitive procedures of the xvm. All intrinsics store the result (if they have one) in the \$ar register. An intrinsic can be used like this:

```
1 ; Allocate memory for 10 bytes.
2 ; Pointer will be in $ar register.
3 PUSH 10
INSC AllocMem
```