



Evaluation of Neural Object Detection Models for Human Detection in Infrared Images

PROJECT REPORT T1000

from the course of studies Computer Science - Artificial Intelligence

at the Cooperative State University Baden-Württemberg Ravensburg Campus Friedrichshafen

by

Lukas Florian Richter

19.08.2025

Completion Time: 16 Wochen **Student ID, Course:** None, TIK24

Company: Airbus Defence & Space, Taufkirchen

Supervisor in the Company: René Loeneke



Declaration of Authorship

In accordance with clause 1.1.13 of Annex 1 to §§ 3, 4 and 5 of the Cooperative State University Baden-Württemberg's Study and Examination Regulations for Bachelor's degree programs in the field of Technology, dated 29.09.2017. I hereby declare that I have written my thesis on the topic:

Evaluation of Neural Object Detection Models for Human Detection in Infrared Images

independently and have used no other sources or aids than those specified. I further declare that all submitted versions are identical.

Taufkirchen 19.08.2025	
Lukas Florian Richter	



Abstract

This project report evaluates the performance of neural object detection models for detecting humans in infrared images. The study focuses on comparing different variations of the SSD (Single Shot Mutlibox Detector) model architecture, assessing their accuracy and inference speed, and identifying the most suitable model for the given task. Additionally, different preprocessing techniques are evaluated to improve the detection performance.

More specifically, the main contributions of this project are:

- Conceptualization of a simple and cost-efficient hardware setup for the purpose of on-premise human detection in infrared images
- Evaluation of different SSD model architectures
- Comparison between different preprocessing techniques
- Identification of the most suitable model for the given task
- A theoretical pipeline for the secure transmission of the detection results to a remote server



Table of Contents

1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1 Re	esearch Objectives and Contributions	2
	1.2 Th	nesis Organization	3
2	Litour	sture Deview and Theoretical Deckground	4
_		ature Review and Theoretical Background	
		bject Detection Fundamentals	
		1.2 Deep Learning-Based Object Detection	
		cochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) as Optimizer in Deep Learning	
		2.1 The Vanishing Gradient Problem	
		2.2 Residual Layers to Mitigate the Vanishing Gradient Problem 1	
		ngle Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) Architecture	
		3.1 Backbone Networks for Feature Extraction	
		3.2 Feature Maps and Anchor Boxes	
		3.3 MultiBox Loss Function1	
		nermal Image Processing1	
ว	Moth	odology 1	7
3		ataset Description	
		lodel Implementation	
		kperimental Design	
4		ts and Analysis1	
		raining Performance	
		etection Accuracy Analysis	
	4.3 Pr	reprocessing Impact Evaluation2	U
5	Discu	ssion 2	1
		odel Performance Comparison	
	5.2 Pr	ractical Deployment Considerations	1
6	Concl	usion and Future Work 2	2
7	Fyam	ples 2	3
•		gures and Tables	
		1.1 Figures	
		1.2 Tables	

Table of Contents



7.2 Code Snippets	 23
References	



List of Figures

Figure 1	Image Example 2) =
iguic i	IIIIuge Lauinpie 2	



•	ic+	of.	Tab	lac
ᆫ	IJι	OI.	ıav	162

Table 1	Table Example	23



△ I	•	
Code	Sni	ppets
	•	

Listing 1	Codeblock Exam	ple	 	24



List of Acronyms

AI Artificial Intelligence

AP Average Precision

API Application Programming Interface

AdaBoost Adaptive Boosting

BGD Batch Gradient Descent

BN Batch Normalization

CNN Convolutional Neural Network

COCO Common Objects in Context

CPU Central Processing Unit

CUDA Compute Unified Device Architecture

DL Deep Learning

FC Fully Connected

FN False Negative

FP False Positive

FP16 16-bit floating point

FP32 32-bit floating point

FPS Frames per Second

GAN Generative Adversarial Network

GPU Graphics Processing Unit

HNM Hard-Negative Mining

HOG Histogram of Oriented Gradients

HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol

List of Acronyms



HTTPS Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure

INT8 8-bit integer

IR Infrared

IoU Intersection over Union

ML Machine Learning

MPS Metal Performance Shaders

NMS Non-Maximum Suppression

NN Neural Network

OSI Open Systems Interconnection

R-CNN Region-based Convolutional Neural Network

RAM Random Access Memory

REST Representational State Transfer

RGB Red, Green, Blue

RNN Recurrent Neural Network

ROI Region of Interest

RPN Region Proposal Network

ReLU Rectified Linear Unit

ResNet Residual Network

SGD Stochastic Gradient Descent

SSD Single Shot MultiBox Detector

SVM Support Vector Machine

TCP Transmission Control Protocol

TL Transfer Learning

TN True Negative

TP True Positive



TPU Tensor Processing Unit

VGG Visual Geometry Group

VGP Vanishing Gradient Problem

VOC Visual Object Classes

ViT Vision Transformer

YOLO You Only Look Once

mAP mean Average Precision



1 Introduction

With the increase in security threats to critical infrastructure, automated surveil-lance systems have become essential for ensuring the safety and security of people, infrastructure and property at scale. The ability to detect individuals on critical infrastructure premises is crucial to preventing unauthorized access and potential damage to assets. While conventional RGB-based surveillance systems remain prevalent in many application, they face inherent limitations in challenging scenarios such as low-light conditions, adverse weather, fog, smoke and complete darkness during nighttime [1].

A compelling alternative to systems operating in the visible light domain are such capturing wavelengths in the infrared spectrum and thus offering consistent detection capabilities that are fundamentally independent of ambient lighting conditions. Unlike regular RGB cameras, thermal sensors detect light with longer wavelengths that correspond to the heat signatures emitted directly by objects. This characteristic provides unique advantages for human detection, as the human body maintains a relatively constant temperature of approximately 37°C, creating distinct thermal signatures that remain visible regardless of environmental illumination [2].

The integration of deep learning architectures with thermal imaging thus opens new possibilities for automated systems that can reliably detect humans in the aforementioned scenarios with adverse conditions for conventional RGB-based concepts. However, most state-of-the-art object detection models have been primarily developed for and trained on RGB imagery. Given that the spectral, tectural and contrast characteristics of infrared images differ substantially from visible-light imagery, both due to the properties of those wavelengths themselves and of the sensors, those existing models might need to be adapted to achieve optimal performance.



This research addresses the critical need for systematic evaluation of neural object detection models specifically tailored for thermal human detection applications. The study focuses on the Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) architecture, a prominent one-stage detection framework known for its balance between accuracy and computational efficiency. By examining multiple model variants with different backbone networks (VGG16 and ResNet152), initialization strategies (pretrained versus scratch training), and thermal-specific preprocessing techniques (image inversion and edge enhancement), this work provides comprehensive insights into optimal configurations for infrared surveillance systems.

The practical significance of this research extends beyond academic interest, addressing real-world challenges faced by the security and defense industry. In partnership with Airbus Defence & Space, this project explores the development of cost-efficient, edge-deployable thermal surveillance solutions that can operate reliably in challenging environments where traditional RGB systems fail.

1.1 Research Objectives and Contributions

This thesis makes several key contributions to the field of thermal image processing and computer vision:

- Preprocessing Technique Analysis: Quantitative evaluation of thermal-specific image enhancement methods, including polarity inversion and edge enhancement, and their impact on detection accuracy.
- 2. **Backbone Network Comparison**: Detailed comparison between VGG16 and ResNet152 architectures in the context of thermal imagery, addressing the trade-offs between model complexity and performance.
- 3. **Practical Implementation Guidelines**: Development of actionable recommendations for deploying thermal surveillance systems in real-world environments, considering computational constraints and accuracy requirements.



4. **Dataset Integration Framework**: Unified evaluation approach across five diverse thermal datasets (FLIR ADAS v2 [3], AAU-PD-T [4], OSU-T [5], M3FD [6], KAIST-CVPR15 [7]), enabling robust performance assessment.

1.2 Thesis Organization

The remainder of this thesis is structured to provide a comprehensive examination of thermal human detection using neural networks. Section 2 presents a thorough review of object detection fundamentals, SSD architecture principles, and thermal image processing techniques, establishing the theoretical foundation for the experimental work. Section 3 details the systematic approach employed for model evaluation, including dataset preparation, experimental design, and evaluation metrics. Section 4 presents comprehensive performance analysis across all model configurations and preprocessing techniques. Section 5 interprets the findings within the context of practical deployment scenarios and industrial requirements. Finally, Section 6 synthesizes the key contributions and outlines directions for future research in thermal surveillance technologies.



2 Literature Review and Theoretical Background

The field of object detection has undergone significant evolution from traditional computer vision techniques to sophisticated deep learning architectures. Understanding this progression is essential for contextualizing the current work's contribution to thermal image analysis. This section examines the theoretical foundations of object detection, with particular emphasis on the Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) architecture and its applicability to thermal imagery processing challenges.

Key areas to develop:

- · Evolution from traditional methods (HOG, SIFT) to deep learning
- Comparison of one-stage vs. two-stage detection models
- SSD architecture fundamentals and anchor box mechanisms
- Backbone network analysis (VGG vs. ResNet trade-offs)
- Thermal imaging characteristics and preprocessing challenges
- Existing work on infrared human detection
- · Gap analysis: Limited research on SSD for thermal surveillance

2.1 Object Detection Fundamentals

Most object detection methods can be broadly categorized into two main approaches: traditional methods and deep learning-based methods. Traditional methods mainly rely on handcrafted features and sliding window techniques, while deep learning-based methods in this field leverage Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) or Vision Transformer (ViT) architectures to automatically learn features from data.

2.1.1 Traditional Object Detection Methods

Simple approaches to object detection entail applying manually constructed feature detector kernels in a sliding window fashion to images.



An example of this is the **Viola-Jones-Algorithm** [8]:

- 1. Compute the integral image of the input image, that is, the sum of pixel intensities from the top-left corner of the image to each pixel. This allows for quick computation of the sum of pixel intensities in any rectangle in the image by subtracting the value of the upper left pixel of the rectangle from that of the lower right pixel.
- 2. Apply a series of Haar-like features to detect potential objects. Haar-like features are computed by subtracting the sum of pixels in one rectangle from the sum of pixels in an adjacent rectangle. These features capture various simple patterns, such as edges and lines.
- 3. Use the Adaptive Boosting (AdaBoost) technique to build a cascaded strong classifier consisting of several weak classifiers that can detect simple patterns consisting of Haar-like features.
- 4. Split the image into subwindows and classify each subwindow using the cascaded classifier as either containing the object or not.

Other approaches employ Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) descriptors. The HOGs are attained by dividing the image into a grid of cells, contrast-normalizing them and then computing the vertical as well as horizontal gradients of their pixels. The gradients for each cell are accumulated in a one-dimensional histogram which serves as that cell's feature vector. After labeling the cells in the training data, a Support Vector Machine (SVM) can be trained to find an optimal hyperplane separating the feature vectors corresponding to the object that should be detected from those that do not contain the object.

2.1.2 Deep Learning-Based Object Detection

However, those methods are either highly dependent on engineering the correct priors, such as the Haar-like features, or limited to binary classification scenarios, as is the case for HOG-based SVMs. Thus, newer Object Detection methods employ more complex deep-learning architectures that require less manual feature engi-



neering. The best-performing models nowadays are ViTs using Attention mechanisms [9] to learn relationships between patterns in different parts of images. However, they will not be further examined in this thesis, due to computational constraints that make them unfeasible for the edge-deployable solution sought in this work [9].

Relevant for this examination are their predecessors, CNNs. The main mechanism they use to extract information from images are convolutional layers. Those convolutional layers get passed an image in the form of a tensor and perform matrix multiplication on that input tensor and a kernel tensor in a sliding window fashion to compute subsequent feature maps. Those will be passed on as input to the next layer. [10]

At their core, these convolutional layers do not work inherently different from fully connected layers that compute several weighted sums across all components of the input tensor. More specifically, fully connected layers can be described as convolutional layers whose kernel dimensions are identical to those of the input tensor.

Resorting to smaller kernels, however, serves as a prior making use of the heuristic that in most cases, the features composing an object in an image lie closely together. Thus, it is not necessary to process the entire image to detect an object that occupies only part of it. Convolutional neural nets hence save computational resources by focusing on smaller regions. In many cases it is advantageous to use those savings to increase network depth in order to make it possible for the network to learn more complex high-level features in subsequent layers.

Object detection, as opposed to image classification, consists of two main tasks: locating where an object is and classifying which class it belongs to. In the context of machine learning, that means two concepts must be used: regression to approximate the location of an object and classification to determine its class. CNNs solving these tasks can be categorized into two main categories:



- **Two-Stage Detectors**: These detectors operate in two stages. The first stage proposes regions of interest and the second stage classifies which object they contain. In more detail, that means regressing bounding boxes and assessing the "objectness" of that region, for example by using logistic regression. If the confidence this region contains an object exceeds a given threshold, the second stage then classifies the object in that region. That requires a second pass of the extracted region through a classifier network. This two-stage approach can be computationally expensive, especially when dealing with a large number of proposals. Examples of two-stage detectors include Region-based Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNNs) [11], Fast R-CNNs [12], and Faster R-CNNs [13].
- **Single-Stage Detectors**: These detectors perform both tasks simultaneously in a single pass through the network. That means passing the image through a network that both regresses bounding boxes and classifies objects in those boxes at the same time. Examples include You Only Look Once (YOLO) [14] and SSD [15]. This approach can be faster but may sacrifice some accuracy compared to two-stage detectors, as the feature extractor is not optimized for both tasks.

Given the computational constraints imposed by the requirement for edge-deployment, single-stage detectors were chosen. Past research has shown that SSD-variants with Inception-v2 and MobileNet-v1 backbones perform notably faster than their Faster R-CNN counterparts, namely 4 to 7 times as fast [2].

Furthermore, benchmarks of SSD and YOLO on the MS COCO dataset yielded similar results favoring SSD in terms of speed when deployed on edge devices, namely the Raspberry Pi 4 both with and without a dedicated Tensor Processing Unit (TPU) [16]. YOLO did deliver higher mean Average Precision (mAP) scores, but the difference was not significant enough to justify the trade-off in speed, in particular taking into account the benefit of speed for real-time applications when multiple images are captured each second and fast enough processing allows for multiple attempts at detection. Additionally, the SSD models tested consumed less energy than their YOLO counterparts [16], making them a more suitable choice that minimizes the need for human intervention to replace the battery of the edge



device, which is a significant factor in the cost of deployment and maintenance of the system.

2.2 SGD as Optimizer in Deep Learning

Deep Learning Models are optimized by minimizing the loss function $L(\hat{y},y)$, which is a measure of the difference between the predicted output \hat{y} and the expected output y, i.e. the ground truth. The loss function is typically a differentiable function, which means that it can be used to compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the model parameters. The gradient is then used to update the model parameters in the direction that minimizes the loss function, hence the name gradient descent.

This happens in reverse order of the forward pass, since gradients of the loss function w.r.t. earlier layer's parameters are computed using the chain rule, which is why this process is also called backpropagation. More specifically, the algorithm:

- 1. First computes the gradient of the loss function w.r.t. a layer's output
- 2. Then computes the gradient of the loss function w.r.t. the layer's parameters using the chain rule.
- 3. updates the layer parameters are updated using the gradient computed in the second step
- 4. Now the algorithm moves on to the next (earlier) layer and repeats the process until it reaches the first layer of the network.

The challenges posed by this process are discussed in Section 2.2.1

In most cases, the training dataset is too large to compute the gradient with respect to all data at once. Instead, the optimization takes place in so-called minibatches of training data of a fixed size, under the assumption that the gradient computed with respect to a mini-batch of training data is a good approximation of the gradient that would be obtained if the calculation was performed across the entire training dataset.



This differentiation of the loss function with respect to a mini-batch of training data is called Stochastic Gradient Descent, (SGD).

As desribed before, SGD is a first-order optimization algorithm, which means that it only considers the first-order derivatives of the loss function with respect to the model parameters. This is in contrast to second-order optimization algorithms, such as Newton's method, which consider the second-order derivatives of the loss function with respect to the model parameters. However, first-order optimization algorithms are generally preferred in deep learning because they are computationally more efficient and can be easily implemented on hardware accelerators such as Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) and TPUs, which is why second-order optimization algorithms will not be further discussed in this work.

2.2.1 The Vanishing Gradient Problem

One limitation of SGD lies in the so-called Vanishing Gradient Problem (VGP), which occurs due to the calculation of the partial derivates by means of the chain rule. The chain rule for differentiation states that the derivative of a composite function is the product of the derivatives of its components:

$$(f(g(x)))' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) \tag{1}$$

In this equation, f and g are the functions applying the linear transformations corresponding to a convolutional layer, its successor layer and their activation functions, respectively. Since both convolutional kernels contain a large number of parameters, each individual parameter only has a small impact on the overall loss function. As a result, the product of the derivatives of the loss function with respect to the parameters of the two layers can become very small, leading to a phenomenon known as the VGP.

In the context of deep learning, this means that deeper models are particularly likely to suffer from this problem, as more layers amplify the core issue. One approach to mitigate this problem is to introduce the so-called residual layers allowing for an identity mapping of the input to the output of a layer, which is a key component of the Residual Network (ResNet) architecture.



2.2.2 Residual Layers to Mitigate the Vanishing Gradient Problem

Residual layers are a key component of the ResNet as well as other modern architectures, and are designed to mitigate the VGP problem. The idea behind residual layers is to introduce a shortcut connection that allows the input to the layer to be added to the output of the layer. This shortcut connection allows the gradient to "flow directly through the layer", which helps to prevent the gradient from vanishing as it is backpropagated through the network. The following equation shows the residual layer:

$$\hat{y} = F(x) + x \tag{2}$$

where F is the residual function, x is the input to the layer, and \hat{y} is the output of the layer. The residual function F is typically a stack of convolutional layers, Batch Normalization (BN) layers, and Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation functions. [17]

If the function F is a composite function F(x) = f(g(x)), then the derivative of \hat{y} with respect to x is given by the chain rule:

$$\hat{y}'(x) = F'(x) + x'$$

= $f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) + 1$ (3)

Here, the derivative of x with respect to itself is 1. In reality, x would be the result of a previous layer's function $h(x_0)$, which implies for the derivative of \hat{y} with respect to x_0 :

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}(x)' &= \hat{y}(h(x_0))' \\ &= \hat{y}'(h(x_0)) \cdot h'(x_0) \\ &= (F'(h(x_0)) + 1) \cdot h'(x_0) \\ &= f'(g(h(x_0))) \cdot g'(h(x_0)) \cdot h'(x_0) + h'(x_0) \end{split} \tag{4}$$

It becomes apparent that introducing residual layers and identity shortcuts helps to mitigate the VGP by preserving the gradient w.r.t. previous layers' outputs



without multiplying them with many small derivatives of subsequent layers. F(x) can be an arbitrarily deep function and the gradient w.r.t. x_0 will still be $h'(x_0)$ plus a term that is multiplied by the derivatives of the residual function F(x). This is in contrast to the gradient in a standard CNN where repeated application of the chain rule is likely to cause the gradient to vanish.

When the input and output dimensions of a residual layer do not match, a linear projection is used to match the dimensions of the input and output before adding the two together. This linear projection is typically implemented using a 1x1 convolutional layer [17]. Even though this practice introduces additional parameters that need to be learned and makes the identity shortcut actually not an identity function anymore, it is still beneficial to the training process, as the residual function can be used to significantly increase the network's depth while preserving the gradient flow.

TODO ONCE TEXT IS DONE: Residual Layer visualization

2.3 Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) Architecture

The SSD architecture is a single-stage detector that uses a base network to extract features from the input image and then applies additional convolutional layers as well as Fully Connected (FC) layers to predict bounding boxes and class scores for each feature map. The following sections provide a more detailed explanation of the SSD architecture and its components.

2.3.1 Backbone Networks for Feature Extraction

Explores the role of backbone networks (VGG, ResNet) in feature extraction and their impact on SSD performance.

The SSD architecture uses a base network to extract features from the input image. The base network is typically a pre-trained CNN, such as Visual Geometry Group (VGG) or ResNet, which has been trained on a large dataset like ImageNet. This assumption that features learned for other tasks can be reused for object detec-



tion is known as Transfer Learning (TL) and has proven to often be very effective in practice. [4], [18]

2.3.1.1 VGG

The VGG network is a deep CNN that consists of 16 or 19 layers, depending on the variant. It is known for its simplicity and effectiveness in image classification tasks. In its vanilla configuration, it takes 224x224 RGB images as input and outputs a 1000-dimensional vector of class probabilities. It only uses 3x3 convolutional layers and 2x2 max-pooling layers for feature extraction and the ReLU activation function for non-linearity. Eventually, it employs three FC layers for classification. The softmax activation function is used in the final layer to predict the class probabilities. Overall, the number of trainable parameters for the VGG–16 network is 138 million [19]. VGG is the default backbone network employed in the original SSD paper [15].

2.3.1.2 ResNet

While the ResNet architecture is mostly similar to the VGG architecture in that it is a CNN, it uses a couple of more advanced techniques for feature extraction.

Firstly, it uses residual blocks to address the vanishing gradient problem while significantly increasing network depth through employment of considerably more convolutional layers. Secondly, it uses batch normalization to stabilize and accelerate training. Batch normalization layers perform normalization of their input along the batch dimension, although batch in this case refers to the channels of the input tensor and not to the batch size. Batchnorm layers only have two trainable parameters, the scale and shift parameters, which are learned during training and are used to scale and shift the normalized input.

In order to find out whether ResNets offer significant advantages over traditional CNNs models, the ResNet–152 model, which is one of the deepest ResNet configurations consisting of 152 convolutional layers, is used for comparison to the VGG–16 model.

To keep the network size manageable, the ResNet-152 model configures many of its residual blocks to use a bottleneck architecture. This architecture uses a first convolutional layer to reduce the number of channels in the input tensor, followed



by additional convolutional layers that use the reduced number of channels to perform the actual feature extraction. The output of the last convolutional layer of each bottleneck is in most cases upsampled again to a higher number of channels.

2.3.2 Feature Maps and Anchor Boxes

As mentioned, SSD is a single-stage detector, which means that it does not use a region proposal network to generate candidate regions for object detection. Instead, it uses a set of default boxes, also known as anchor boxes, to predict the location and class of objects in the image. The anchor boxes are generated at multiple scales and aspect ratios to cover a wide range of object sizes and shapes.

To realize this, the network will always predict a pre-defined number of bounding boxes, regardless of the number of objects in the image. Since the receptive field of the convolutional layers grow with each layer, the network will predict bounding boxes at multiple scales by using feature maps from different layers of the network.

This means that the network has several predictor heads, each of which will get a feature map from the base network as input and will predict a pre-defined number of bounding boxes for each location in the feature map. The bounding box prediction is thus defined as a regression task, in which the network generates a set of offsets relative to the default anchor boxes.

The network also predicts a vector of class probabilities for each bounding box, which indicates the confidence of the network that the bounding box contains an object of a particular class - each vector component is assigned to a class, and the sum of all components is 1. To ensure that all confidence scores lie between 0 and 1 and add up to 1, the network applies the softmax activation function to the output vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with components $x_1, ..., x_n$:

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{softmax}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x_1} \\ \dots \\ e^{x_n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i}}$$
 (5)

[15], [20]



2.3.3 MultiBox Loss Function

First of all, in order to compute a loss, the network needs to know which anchor boxes are positive and which are negative. To determine which ground-truth object is assigned to which anchor box, the network uses the Intersection over Union (IoU) metric, also known as the Jaccard index. That is a measure for the overlap between two bounding boxes, defined as the area of their intersection divided by the area of their union:

$${\rm IoU}(B_1,B_2) = \frac{B_1 \cap B_2}{B_1 \cup B_2} \tag{6}$$

The network then assigns each anchor box to the ground-truth object with the highest IoU score.

Positive Anchor Boxes: Positive anchor boxes are anchor boxes that have an IoU with a ground-truth box greater than a certain threshold. In the original SSD paper, this threshold is set to 0.5.

Negative Anchor Boxes: Negative anchor boxes are anchor boxes that have an IoU whose maximum IoU with any ground-truth box is less than the specified threshold.

Since the network is supposed to reliably detect objects in images, it needs a large quantity of anchor boxes to cover all possible object sizes and aspect ratios. For reference, the original SSD paper uses an overall 8732 anchor boxes. However, during training this leads to a large number of negative anchor boxes that do not contain any ground-truth objects.

For this reason, it is not feasible to use all negative anchor boxes for training, as this would heavily skew the loss function towards the negative class. Instead, the network uses a hard negative mining technique to select a fixed number of negative anchor boxes for training.

Hard Negative Mining: Hard negative mining means only selecting the most difficult negative examples for training. This is done by sorting the negative anchor



boxes by their confidence loss and selecting the top k anchor boxes with the highest confidence loss. In practice, this is done by defining a ratio between negative and positive anchor boxes and selecting the according number of negative anchor boxes. This ensures that the network is trained on the training examples it finds most difficult to classify correctly as negative. All other negative anchor boxes are ignored, that means they do not contribute to the loss function.

Additionally, models of the SSD family employ a technique called Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS) to filter out duplicate detections and improve detection accuracy. It his highly likely that an input image of dimensions 300x300 will have multiple of the 8732 anchor boxes overlapping with each other and picking up on the same ground-truth object.

NMS: After assigning each anchor box to a ground-truth object (or counting it to the negative anchor boxes) the network applies NMS to filter out duplicate detections. Algorithmically, NMS is carried out as follows:

- 1. Group all anchor boxes that have been assigned to the same ground-truth object.
- 2. Fort each ground-truth object, sort its assigned anchor boxes by their confidence score in descending order.
- 3. Select the anchor box with the highest confidence score as one of the final detections that will be accounted for in the loss functions.
- 4. Remove all anchor boxes that have an IoU with the selected anchor box greater than a threshold (typically 0.5)
- 5. Repeat the process for the remaining anchor boxes until no more anchor boxes are left.

Once the NMS algorithm has selected the anchor boxes relevant to the loss function, the network can compute the actual loss. That has to account for two different types of errors:

1. The first one is the localization error, which is the difference between the predicted bounding box and the ground-truth bounding box. For obvious reasons, this loss is only computed for the positive anchor boxes selected by NMS, as it



- does not make sense to teach the network to regress negative bounding boxes around the background.
- 2. The second part is the classification error, which is the difference between the predicted class and the ground-truth class.

2.4 Thermal Image Processing

Discusses characteristics of thermal images, preprocessing techniques (inversion, edge enhancement), and challenges specific to infrared imagery.



3 Methodology

This study employs a systematic experimental approach to evaluate the effectiveness of SSD-based neural networks for human detection in thermal imagery. The methodology encompasses dataset selection and preparation, implementation of multiple model variants with different backbone architectures, application of thermal-specific preprocessing techniques, and comprehensive evaluation metrics. The experimental design ensures reproducible results while addressing the unique challenges posed by infrared image characteristics.

Key areas to develop:

- Dataset description: FLIR ADAS v2, AAU-PD-T, OSU-T, M3FD, KAIST-CVPR15
- Model configurations: SSD300-VGG16 vs. SSD300-ResNet152
- Training setup: Pretrained vs. scratch initialization strategies
- Preprocessing techniques: Image inversion and edge enhancement
- Data augmentation and split strategies (train/validation/test)
- Evaluation metrics: mAP, precision, recall, inference speed
- Hardware setup and computational requirements
- Statistical significance testing approach

3.1 Dataset Description

Details the thermal image datasets (FLIR ADAS v2, AAU-PD-T, OSU-T, M3FD, KAIST-CVPR15) and their characteristics.

3.2 Model Implementation

Explains the implementation of SSD models with different backbones and preprocessing configurations.

TODO: Incorporate switch to multi-label setup later



3.3 Experimental Design

Outlines the systematic approach to comparing model variants and the evaluation framework.



4 Results and Analysis

The experimental evaluation reveals significant performance variations across different model configurations and preprocessing approaches when applied to thermal human detection tasks. This section presents comprehensive results from training 16 distinct model variants, combining backbone architectures (VGG16 vs. ResNet152), initialization strategies (pretrained vs. scratch), and preprocessing techniques (none, inversion, edge enhancement, combined). The analysis demonstrates clear patterns in model behavior and identifies optimal configurations for thermal surveillance applications.

Key areas to develop:

- Training convergence analysis: Loss curves and stability patterns
- Detection accuracy results: mAP scores across all model variants
- Preprocessing impact: Quantitative comparison of enhancement techniques
- Backbone architecture comparison: VGG16 vs. ResNet152 performance
- Initialization strategy effects: Pretrained vs. scratch training outcomes
- Computational efficiency: Inference speed and memory requirements
- Dataset-specific performance: Results breakdown by thermal dataset
- Error analysis: Common failure cases and detection limitations

4.1 Training Performance

Reports training loss curves, converegence behavior, and computational requirements for different model variants.

4.2 Detection Accuracy Analysis

Provides detailed mAP scores and detection performance metrics for each model configuration and preprocessing technique.



4.3 Preprocessing Impact Evaluation

Analzyes the effects of image inversion and edge enhancement on detection performance.



5 Discussion

The experimental results provide valuable insights into the practical applicability of SSD architectures for thermal human detection systems. While certain configurations demonstrate superior performance, the choice of optimal model depends on specific deployment requirements, including accuracy thresholds, computational constraints, and operational environments. This section interprets the findings within the context of real-world surveillance applications and addresses the broader implications for thermal imaging-based security systems.

Key areas to develop:

- Performance trade-offs: Accuracy vs. computational efficiency analysis
- Preprocessing effectiveness: When and why certain techniques work better
- Backbone selection criteria: Situational advantages of VGG16 vs. ResNet152
- Real-world deployment implications: Edge computing considerations
- Limitations and constraints: Environmental factors affecting performance
- Comparison with existing thermal detection systems
- Cost-benefit analysis for industrial implementation
- Future optimization potential and research directions

5.1 Model Performance Comparison

Compares SSD-VGG and SSD-ResNer performance and discusses trade-offs between accuracy and computational efficiency.

5.2 Practical Deployment Considerations

Discusses real-world application scenarios and system requirements for thermal surveillance.



6 Conclusion and Future Work

This thesis has systematically evaluated the application of Single Shot MultiBox Detector architectures for human detection in thermal imagery, providing empirical evidence for optimal model configurations in surveillance applications. The comprehensive analysis of 16 model variants across multiple thermal datasets has yielded practical insights for deploying neural networks in infrared-based security systems. The findings contribute to both academic understanding and industrial implementation of thermal computer vision technologies.

Key areas to develop:

- Key findings summary: Best-performing model configurations identified
- Methodological contributions: Systematic evaluation framework for thermal detection
- Practical implications: Guidelines for industrial thermal surveillance deployment
- Technical achievements: Successful adaptation of RGB models to thermal domain
- Research limitations: Dataset constraints and environmental factors
- Future research directions: Advanced architectures and multi-modal approaches
- Industry impact: Potential applications beyond security surveillance
- Recommendations: Implementation guidelines for practitioners



7 Examples

7.1 Figures and Tables

Create figures or tables like this:

7.1.1 Figures



Figure 1 — Image Example

7.1.2 Tables

	Area	Parameters
cylinder.svg	$\pi h \frac{D^2 - d^2}{4} \tag{7}$	h: height D : outer radius d : inner radius
tetrahedron.svg	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}a^3\tag{8}$	a: edge length

Table 1 — Table Example

7.2 Code Snippets

Insert code snippets like this:



Listing 1 — Codeblock Example



For example this Table 1 references the table on the previous page.



Glossary

AP Average Precision. Calculated as the area under the

precision-recall curve.

AdaBoost Adaptive Boosting. A type of ensemble learning algo-

rithm that combines multiple weak classifiers to form

a strong classifier.

BGD Batch Gradient Descent. A type of gradient descent

algorithm that updates the weights of the neural network using the entire training dataset at once.

BN Batch Normalization. A technique used in deep

neural networks to normalize the activations of the layers. It helps to speed up the training of the net-

work and improve its performance.

Batch A batch is a group of data processed together as

a unit.

Batch Gradient Descent Batch Gradient Descent is an optimization algorithm

used to minimize the loss function in machine learning models by iteratively updating the model parameters based on their gradients with respect to the

entire training dataset.

CNN A convolutional neural network (CNN) is a type of

neural network designed to process data with a grid-

like topology, such as images.



CUDA Compute Unified Device Architecture. A parallel com-

puting platform and programming model developed

by NVIDIA for general computing on GPUs.

FC Layer A fully connected layer is a layer in a neural network

where each neuron is connected to every neuron in

the previous layer.

HOG Histogram of Oriented Gradients. A feature descrip-

tor used in computer vision and image processing for the purpose of object detection. It is based on the distribution of intensity gradients or edge directions

in an image.

IoU Intersection over Union. A metric used to evaluate

the accuracy of object detection models. It is calculated as the ratio of the area of overlap between the predicted bounding box and the ground truth

bounding box to the area of union between the two

boxes.

MPS Metal Performance Shaders. A framework for accel-

erating machine learning workloads on Apple Silicon

devices.

MS COCO Microsoft Common Objects in Context. A large-

scale object detection, segmentation, and captioning

dataset.

NMS A technique used to eliminate redundant bounding

boxes in object detection models. It works by selecting the bounding box with the highest confidence

score and eliminating all other bounding boxes that



have an IoU greater than a specified threshold with the selected bounding box.

PASCAL VOC Pascal Visual Object Classes. A dataset for object

detection and segmentation tasks.

ReLU Rectified Linear Unit. A type of activation function

used in neural networks. It is defined as

 $f(x) = \max(0, x).$

SGD is an optimization algorithm used to minimize

the loss function in machine learning models by iteratively updating the model parameters based on their partial derivatives with respect to a small subset of

the training data.

A single shot multibox detector (SSD) is a type of

object detection model that uses a single forward pass of the network to predict the bounding boxes

and class scores for all objects in the image.

SVM Support Vector Machine. A type of supervised learn-

ing algorithm that is used for classification and regression tasks. It is based on the idea of finding a hyperplane that best separates the data into differ-

ent classes.

TL Transfer Learning. A technique used in machine

learning where a pre-trained model is used as the starting point for a new model. It helps to speed up the training of the new model and improve its perfor-

mance.

Glossary

Tensor A tensor is a mathematical object that generalizes

scalars, vectors, and matrices to higher-dimensional

arrays.

VGP Vanishing Gradient Problem. A problem that occurs

in deep neural networks where the gradients of the loss function with respect to the weights become very small, making it difficult to train the network.

ViT Vision Transformer. A type of transformer model that

is designed for computer vision tasks.

YOLO You Only Look Once. A type of object detection model

that uses a single forward pass of the network to predict the bounding boxes and class scores for all

objects in the image.

mAP Mean Average Precision. Calculated as the mean of

the AP values for each class.



References

- [1] M. A. Farooq, P. Corcoran, C. Rotariu, and W. Shariff, "Object Detection in Thermal Spectrum for Advanced Driver-Assistance Systems (ADAS)," no. arXiv:2109.09854. arXiv, Oct. 2021. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2109.09854.
- [2] K. R. Akshatha, A. K. Karunakar, S. B. Shenoy, A. K. Pai, N. H. Nagaraj, and S. S. Rohatgi, "Human Detection in Aerial Thermal Images Using Faster R-CNN and SSD Algorithms," *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 1151, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/electronics11071151.
- [3] "FREE FLIR Thermal Dataset for Algorithm Training | OEM.FLIR.Com."
- [4] N. U. Huda, B. D. Hansen, R. Gade, and T. B. Moeslund, "The Effect of a Diverse Dataset for Transfer Learning in Thermal Person Detection," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 7, p. 1982, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20071982.
- [5] J. W. Davis and M. A. Keck, "A Two-Stage Template Approach to Person Detection in Thermal Imagery," in *2005 Seventh IEEE Workshops on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV/MOTION'05) Volume 1*, Jan. 2005, pp. 364–369. doi: 10.1109/ACVMOT.2005.14.
- [6] J. Liu *et al.*, "Target-Aware Dual Adversarial Learning and a Multi-scenario Multi-Modality Benchmark to Fuse Infrared and Visible for Object Detection," no. arXiv:2203.16220. arXiv, Mar. 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2203.16220.
- [7] S. Hwang, J. Park, N. Kim, Y. Choi, and I. S. Kweon, "Multispectral Pedestrian Detection: Benchmark Dataset and Baseline," in *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Boston, MA, USA: IEEE, Jun. 2015, pp. 1037–1045. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298706.



- [8] P. Viola and M. Jones, "Rapid Object Detection Using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features," in *Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. CVPR 2001*, Kauai, HI, USA: IEEE Comput. Soc, 2001, p. I–511–I–518. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2001.990517.
- [9] A. Dosovitskiy *et al.*, "An Image Is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale," no. arXiv:2010.11929. arXiv, Jun. 2021. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.11929.
- [10] Y. LeCun *et al.*, "Handwritten Digit Recognition with a Back-Propagation Network," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, Morgan-Kaufmann, 1989.
- [11] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, and J. Malik, "Rich Feature Hierarchies for Accurate Object Detection and Semantic Segmentation," no. arXiv:1311.2524. arXiv, Oct. 2014. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1311.2524.
- [12] R. Girshick, "Fast R-CNN," no. arXiv:1504.08083. arXiv, Sep. 2015. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1504.08083.
- [13] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks," no. arXiv:1506.01497. arXiv, Jan. 2016. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1506.01497.
- [14] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection," no. arXiv:1506.02640. arXiv, May 2016. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1506.02640.
- [15] W. Liu *et al.*, "SSD: Single Shot MultiBox Detector," vol. 9905. pp. 21–37, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46448-0_2.
- [16] D. K. Alqahtani, A. Cheema, and A. N. Toosi, "Benchmarking Deep Learning Models for Object Detection on Edge Computing Devices," no. arXiv:2409.16808. arXiv, Sep. 2024. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2409.16808.



- [17] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition," no. arXiv:1512.03385. arXiv, Dec. 2015. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1512.03385.
- [18] A. Deng, X. Li, D. Hu, T. Wang, H. Xiong, and C. Xu, "Towards Inadequately Pretrained Models in Transfer Learning," no. arXiv:2203.04668. arXiv, Aug. 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2203.04668.
- [19] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition," no. arXiv:1409.1556. arXiv, Apr. 2015. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1409.1556.
- [20] J. Bridle, "Training Stochastic Model Recognition Algorithms as Networks Can Lead to Maximum Mutual Information Estimation of Parameters," in *Advances* in Neural Information Processing Systems, Morgan-Kaufmann, 1989.