

One of the main limitations of this thesis is the absence of a formal quality assessment of the included studies, which is part of the PRISMA guidelines \parencite{page2021prisma}. In addition to journal articles, conference papers were included, which may not always undergo a peer review. This could have introduced studies of potentially lower methodological standards. Furthermore, the analysis focused on categorizing topics, methods and collaboration patterns but did not assess the validity of individual studies. Therefore, conclusions about the "state of art" refer primarily to trends in publication content and research activity, rather than to the empirical robustness of the underlying evidence. kannst du den text grammatikalisch korrigieren und sprachlich verbessern aber bitte struktur beibehalten

Hier ist eine überarbeitete Fassung deines Textes – grammatikalisch korrigiert und sprachlich etwas flüssiger, aber mit beibehaltenem Aufbau:

One of the main limitations of this thesis is the absence of a formal quality assessment of the included studies, as recommended by the PRISMA guidelines \parencite{page2021prisma}. In addition to journal articles, conference papers were included, which may not always undergo peer review. This could have introduced studies with potentially lower methodological standards. Furthermore, the analysis focused on categorizing topics, methods, and collaboration patterns but did not assess the validity of individual studies. Therefore, conclusions about the "state of the art" primarily reflect trends in publication content and research activity rather than the empirical robustness of the underlying evidence.

Möchtest du, dass ich den Ton noch etwas **akademischer** (formeller) gestalte, oder soll er so bleiben – klar, aber gut lesbar?

Due to the inclusion criteria focusing on English-language journal articles and conference papers, there is a potential

publication and language bias, as relevant works published in other languages and "gray" literature have been overlooked. Another major limitation lies in the fact that the classifications of area of application and methodological approaches relied on subjective interpretation of the study content and were conducted by a single reviewer without a double-extraction process, without following a strictly systematic or standardized procedure. This may potentially lead to inconsistencies due to personal bias. To mitigate such bias in future work, the use of double-extraction and artificial intelligence could provide a more methodologically rigorous approach. However, transparent documentation of the classification process allows the reader to assess the plausibility of classifications and the replicability of the approach.

Hier ist eine grammatikalisch korrigierte und sprachlich flüssigere Version deines Textes, bei Beibehaltung der Struktur:

Due to the inclusion criteria focusing on English-language journal articles and conference papers, there is a potential publication and language bias, as relevant works published in other languages and in "gray" literature have been overlooked. Another major limitation lies in the fact that the classifications of areas of application and methodological approaches relied on subjective interpretation of the study content and were conducted by a single reviewer without a double-extraction process or a strictly systematic and standardized procedure. This may potentially lead to inconsistencies due to personal bias. To mitigate such bias in future work, the use of double-extraction and artificial intelligence could provide a more methodologically rigorous approach. However, transparent documentation of the classification process allows readers to assess the plausibility of the classifications and the replicability of the approach.

Wenn du willst, kann ich den gesamten Abschnitt, den du mir bisher geschickt hast, noch **stilistisch einheitlich zusammenführen**, sodass er wie ein flüssiger Absatz in deiner Thesis wirkt. Willst du, dass ich das mache?