Kernel Embedding for Particle Gibbs-Based Optimal Control

L. Hochschwarzer

Intermediate Report Master's Thesis

Supervisor: R. Lefringhausen

Chair of Information-oriented Control

Technical University of Munich

Motivation

[Xiloyannis, Chiaradia, Frisoli and Masia 2019]

Challenges:

- Unknown Dynamic
- Latent States
- Safety

Introduction
○●○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○○○

Conclusion
○

2

Problem Statement - System

Given: Dataset $\mathbb{D} = \{\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{y}_t\}_{t=\text{-}T:\text{-}1}$ from unknown system

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{v}_t, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_t \sim \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}},$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_t = \boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{w}_t, \qquad \boldsymbol{w}_t \sim \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}$$

Assumptions

■ Known system structure

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{v}_t, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_t \sim \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}},$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_t = \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{w}_t, \qquad \boldsymbol{w}_t \sim \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

■ Known priors $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{-}T})$

Introduction
○●○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○○○

Conclusion
○

3

Problem Statement - Optimal Control Problem

Goal: Solve optimal control problem (OCP)

Stochastic OCP

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}} J_H(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H})$$

subject to:

$$P\left[h_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H}) \leq 0\right] \geq 1 - \alpha, \ \forall i = 1, ..., n_c$$

Problem: Underlying data distribution P is unknown

Introduction
○○●○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○○○

Conclusion
○

4

Related Works

Particle Gibbs Based Optimal Control **[Lefringhausen, Srithasan, Lederer and Hirche 2024]**
$\Rightarrow$ Risk factor α has to be calculated retroactively and cannot be controlled directly

Alternative Approaches:
- Wasserstein Ambiguity [Hota, Cherukuri and Lygeros 2019]
- Kernel Embeddings [Thorpe, Lew, Oishi and Zhu 2022]
  [Nemmour, Kremer, Schoelkopf and Zhu 2022]

Introduction
○○○●

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○○○

Conclusion
○

5

Particle Gibbs Scenarios

Particle Gibbs gives us the scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{v}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{w}_{0:H}\}^{[1:N]}$ that characterize the system

Goal: Reformulate chance-constraint problem with scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$

Chance Constraints

$$P\left[h_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H}) \leq 0\right] \geq 1 - \alpha, \ \forall i = 1, ..., n_c$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Scenario Approach (used in [Lefringhausen+ 2024])

$$h_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}^{[n]}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H}^{[n]}) \leq 0, \ \forall n = 1, ..., N, \ \forall i = 1, ..., n_c$$

$\Rightarrow$ Risk factor α not considered in optimization

Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD) ambiguity sets

Goal: Reformulate chance-constraint problem with scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$

Expanded Chance-Constraints

$$\inf_{\tilde{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \tilde{P}\left[h_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H}) \leq 0\right] \geq 1-\alpha.$$

$\mathcal{P}$ is constructed with the samples $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$ as

MMD ambiguity set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{\tilde{P} : \mathsf{MMD}(\tilde{P}, P_N) \leq \varepsilon\right\}.$$

With large enough $N \Rightarrow P$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}$

Constraint Reformulation

Goal: Reformulate chance-constraint problem with scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$

Feasible Region of chance constraint

$$Z_i := \left\{ \boldsymbol{u}_{0:H} \in \mathcal{U}^{H+1} : \inf_{\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{P}\left[\tilde{h}_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \leq 0\right] \geq 1 - \alpha \right\}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Reformulated Feasible Region [Nemmour+ 2022]

$$Z_i := \left\{ \boldsymbol{u}_{0:H} \in \mathcal{U}^{H+1} : \begin{array}{l} g_0 + \dfrac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\gamma})_n + \varepsilon\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \leq t\alpha \\[2mm] [\tilde{h}_i(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[n]}) + t]_+ \leq g_0 + (\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\gamma})_n, \ n = 0, ..., N \\[2mm] g_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\}$$

Introduction
oooo

Particle Gibbs
o

Kernel Embedding
o●o

Simulation
ooo

Conclusion
o

8

Problem Formulation

Goal: Reformulate chance-constraint problem with scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \{g_0, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, t\}^{[1:n_c]}} J_H(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}^{[n]}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H}^{[n]})$$

subject to: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq N}, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq H}^0, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n_c}$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}^{[n]} = \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t^{[n]}, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{v}_t^{[n]} \\[2mm] \boldsymbol{y}_t^{[n]} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t^{[n]}, \boldsymbol{u}_t\right) + \boldsymbol{w}_t^{[n]} \end{array} \right\} \text{Dynamic Constraints}$$

$$\left. \boldsymbol{u}_{0:H} \in Z_i(g_0^{[i]}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{[i]}, t^{[i]}) \right\} \text{Reformulated Chance Constraints}$$

Introduction
○○○○

Particle Gibbs
○

**Kernel Embedding**
○○●

Simulation
○○○

Conclusion
○

9

Simulation Setup

- Unknown system:
$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8x_1 - 0.5x_2 \\ 0.4x_1 + 0.5x_2 + u \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{v}_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.03 & \text{-}0.004 \\ \text{-}0.004 & 0.01 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

- Known system structure: $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, u) = \boldsymbol{A}\,[x_1, x_2, u]^\mathsf{T} + \boldsymbol{v}_t,\ \boldsymbol{v}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{Q})$
- Priors:
$$\boldsymbol{Q} \sim \mathcal{IW}(100\boldsymbol{I}_2, 10)$$
$$\boldsymbol{A} \sim \mathcal{MN}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{Q}, 10\boldsymbol{I}_2) \qquad \text{[Andrieu+ 2017]}$$
$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{-}T} \sim \mathcal{N}([2,2]^\mathsf{T}, \boldsymbol{I}_2)$$

- Known measurement model $g(\boldsymbol{x}, u) = x_1,\ w_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1)$
- Cost function $J_H = \sum_{t=0}^{H} u_t^2$
- Input constraints $|u| \leq 10$
- Gaussian kernels with bandwidth σ set via the median heuristic [Garreau+ 2018]
- Ambiguity set radius ε set via bootstrap construction [Nemmour+ 2022].

Introduction
0000

Particle Gibbs
0

Kernel Embedding
000

Simulation
●00

Conclusion
0

10

Optimal Control with Constrained Outputs

Number of scenarios used for optimization: $N = 200$

Scenario Approach

Kernel Approach ($\alpha = 0.1$)

Introduction
○○○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○●○

Conclusion
○

11

Optimal Control with Constrained Outputs

Number of scenarios used for optimization: $N = 200$


Scenario Approach

Kernel Approach ($\alpha = 0.01$)

Introduction
○○○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○●○

Conclusion
○

11

Optimal Control with Constrained Outputs

Number of scenarios used for optimization: $N = 200$

Scenario Approach

Kernel Approach ($\alpha = 0.3$)

Legend:
- $y_{0:H}$
- $\frac{1}{N} \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} y_{0:H}^{[n]}$
- $\left\{ y_{0:H}^{[1:N]} \right\}$

Introduction
○○○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○●○

Conclusion
○

11

Successrate of Solution

$N = 2000$: Number of Scenarios used to test $u_{0:H}$

Introduction
○○○○

Particle Gibbs
○

Kernel Embedding
○○○

Simulation
○○●

Conclusion
○

12

Conclusion

Summary: Kernel Embeddings allow for ...

- Solving of chance-constrained OCPs
- Controlling of risk factor α

Future Plans:

- Use Kernel Embeddings on non-linear systems
- Parameter tuning of σ
- Alternative approach of reformulating chance constraints

Introduction
oooo

Particle Gibbs
o

Kernel Embedding
ooo

Simulation
ooo

Conclusion
●

13

References

Christophe Andrieu, Arnaud Doucet and Roman Holenstein. **A flexible state-space model for learning nonlinear dynamical systems**.
In: *Automatica* 80 (2017), pp. 189–199.

Damien Garreau, Wittawat Jitkrittum and Motonobu Kanagawa. **Large Sample Analysis of the Median Heuristic**.
In: *arXiv:1707.07269* (2018).

Ashish R Hota, Ashish Cherukuri and John Lygeros. **Data-Driven Chance Constrained Optimization under Wasserstein Ambiguity Sets**.
In: *American Control Conference (ACC)* (2019).

Robert Lefringhausen, Supitsana Srithasan, Armin Lederer and Sandra Hirche.
Learning-Based Optimal Control with Performance Guarantees for Unknown Systems with Latent States.
In: *European Control Conference* (2024).

Yassine Nemmour, Heiner Kremer, Bernhard Schoelkopf and Jia-Jie Zhu.
Maximum Mean Discrepancy Distributionally Robust Nonlinear Chance-Constrained Optimization with Finite-Sample Guarantee.
In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11564* (2022).

Adam J. Thorpe, Thomas Lew, Meeko M. K. Oishi and Jia-Jie Zhu.
Data-Driven Chance Constrained Control using Kernel Distribution Embeddings.
In: *Learn. for Dynamics and Ctrl. Conf.* 168 (2022), pp. 790–802.

Michele Xiloyannis, Domenico Chiaradia, Antonio Frisoli and Lorenzo Masia.
Physiological and Kinematic Effects of a Soft Exosuit on Arm Movements. In: *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* 16 (2019).

Scenario Generation

Goal: Generate scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]}$ using the observations $\mathbb{D}$

Algorithm: Scenario Generation

For $n = 1, ..., N$:

1. Sample $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_{-T:-1}\}^{[n]}$ from $p\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_{-T:-1} \mid \mathbb{D}\right)$ using PMCMC [Lefringhausen+ 2024].

2. Sample $\boldsymbol{v}_t^{[n]}$ from $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_t^{[n]}$ from $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}}$ for $t = -1, ..., H$

3. Set $\boldsymbol{x}_0^{[n]} = \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{-1}^{[n]}, \boldsymbol{u}_{-1}\right) + \boldsymbol{v}_{-1}^{[n]}$

Output: Scenarios $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[1:N]} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{v}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{w}_{0:H}\}^{[1:N]}$

Bootstrap Construction

Algorithm: Bootstrap MMD ambiguity set

1. $\boldsymbol{K} \leftarrow kernel(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$
2. **For** $m = 1, ..., B$
3. $I \leftarrow N$ numbers from $\{1, \dots N\}$ with replacement
4. $K_x \leftarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} K_{ij}, \ K_y \leftarrow \sum_{i,j \in I} K_{ij}, \ K_{xy} \leftarrow \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_{ij}$
5. $\text{MMD}[m] \leftarrow \frac{1}{N^2} \left(K_x + K_y - 2K_{xy} \right)$
6. **End For**
7. $\text{MMD} \leftarrow sort(\text{MMD})$
8. $\varepsilon \leftarrow \text{MMD}[ceil(B\beta)]$

**Output:** Gram matrix $\boldsymbol{K}$, Radius of MMD ambiguity set ε

$B = 1000, \beta = 0.95$

Max Constraint

Chance Constraint

$$P\left[\max(\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H})) \leq 0\right] \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Constrained Output ($\alpha = 0.5$)

Max Constraint

Chance Constraint

$$P\left[\max(\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{u}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:H}, \boldsymbol{y}_{0:H})) \leq 0\right] \geq 1 - \alpha$$