Table 2. Overview of Producibility, Consistency, and Effectiveness Scores (with Standard Deviations) for the five Major and five Minor Study Parts, as well as Total Scores for the Major Study Parts.

	Producibility				Consistency				Effectiveness			
Major study parts	0	1	2	Score (SD)	0	1	NA	Score (SD)	0	1	2	Score (SD)
Measured variable (<i>N</i> =164) *	28	61	75	1.29	45	91	28	0.67	73	43	48	0.85
,	(17%)	(37%)	(46%)	(0.74)	(27%)	(55%)	(17%)	(0.47)	(45%)	(26%)	(29%)	(0.85)
Manipulated variable (N=218) *	49	NA	169	1.55	8	146	64	0.95	63	NA	155	1.42
-	(22%)		(78%)	(0.84)	(4%)	(67%)	(29%)	(0.22)	(33%)		(67%)	(0.91)
Dependent variable (N=218) *	24	79	115	1.42	56	131	31	0.70	87	59	72	0.93
	(11%)	(36%)	(53%)	(0.68)	(26%)	(60%)	(14%)	(0.46)	(40%)	(27%)	(33%)	(0.85)
Data collection procedure (<i>N</i> =300)	38	NA	262	1.75	176	84	40	0.32	216	NA	84	0.56
-	(13%)		(87%)	(0.67)	(59%)	(28%)	(13%)	(0.47)	(72%)		(28%)	(0.90)
Statistical model (<i>N</i> =300)	23	159	118	1.32	121	135	44	0.53	165	89	46	0.60
,	(8%)	(53%)	(39%)	(0.61)	(40%)	(45%)	(15%)	(0.50)	(55%)	(30%)	(15%)	(0.74)
Inference criteria (<i>N</i> =300)	191	NA	109	0.73	10	94	196	0.90	206	NA	94	0.63
` ,	(64%)		(36%)	(0.96)	(3%)	(31%)	(65%)	(0.30)	(69%)		(31%)	(0.93)
Mean scores	` ,		` ′	1.33	` ,	` ′	` ′	0.71	` ′		` ,	0.79
				(0.41)				(0.20)				(0.43)
		Producibility			Consistency				Effectiveness			
Minor study parts	0	1	2	Score	0	1	NA	Score	0	1	2	Score
• •				(SD)				(SD)				(SD)
Measured control variable (<i>N</i> =20) **	7	5	8	1.05	9	4	7	0.31	16	1	3	0.35
` ,	(35%)	(25%)	(40%)	(0.89)	(45%)	(20%)	(35%)	(0.48)	(80%)	(5%)	(15%)	(0.75)
Manipulated control variable (<i>N</i> =23) **	5	NA	18	1.57	0	18	5	1.00	5	NA	18	1.57
•	(22%)		(78%)	(0.84)	(0%)	(78%)	(22%)	(0.00)	(22%)		(78%)	(0.84)
Exclusion criteria (<i>N</i> =300)	68	NA	232	1.55	86	106	108	0.55	194	NA	106	0.71
,	(23%)		(77%)	(0.84)	(29%)	(35%)	(36%)	(0.50)	(65%)		(35%)	(0.96)
Missing data (N=300)	159	21	120	0.87	9	37	254	0.80	263	2	35	0.24
	(53%)	(7%)	(40%)	(0.96)	(3%)	(12%)	(85%)	(0.40)	(88%)	(1%)	(12%)	(0.65)
Statistical assumptions (<i>N</i> =300)	279	17	4	0.08	2	6	292	0.75	294	5	1	0.02
1	(93%)	(6%)	(1%)	(0.32)	(1%)	(2%)	(97%)	(0.46)	(98%)	(2%)	(0%)	(0.17)

Note Table 2. The single asterisk in Table 2 highlights that we had 82 hypotheses without a directional relationship and therefore two measured variables, and 218 hypotheses with a directional relationship and therefore a manipulated variable and a dependent variable. The double asterisk in Table 2 highlights that we only had 46 hypotheses with one or more control variables. Twenty of those were part of a non-directional hypothesis, and 26 were part of a directional hypothesis.

Figure 2. Preregistration producibility scores (a), preregistration-study consistency scores (b), and effectiveness scores (c)





