University of Lincoln School of Computer Science Criterion Reference Grid 2023-2024

module

CMP3753M Project - Assessment Item 2 2023-2024

Learning Outcome	Criterion	Pass	2:2	2:1	1
[LO2]: Construct a comprehensive review and critical appraisal of relevant academic literature as justification and context for the identified goals and methods of a project	Present an interim literature review of the problem domain (70%)	The report contains a review of a small sample of the relevant literature. Most of the review is descriptive, with minimal critical evaluation. References are in accordance with the Harvard style guide.	The report contains a broadly descriptive review of relevant literature. Some critical evaluation is evidenced, but without clear linkage to the project. References are in accordance with the Harvard style guide.	The report contains an in-depth review of the relevant literature. Critical evaluation is evidenced, with linkage to the final outcomes of the project. References are in accordance with the Harvard style guide.	The report contains a comprehensive and insightful review of relevant literature. An impressive degree of critical evaluation has been shown that clearly informs the final outcomes of the project. References are in accordance with the Harvard style guide.
[LO3]: Apply practical and analytical skills in the design and implementation of an artefact that represents an output from at least one stage of the software development life cycle	Provide an update on project progress to date (30%)	There is some evidence of progress, but there is little reference to the original aims and objectives, or any deviations thereof. The preliminary results suggest little engagement with practical work or poor time management.	A brief progress update is given, which links back to the original aims and objectives, but may not justify deviations. The preliminary results show some engagement with practical work.	A detailed progress update is given with clear references to the original aims and objectives. Any deviations are justified. The preliminary results show a good level of engagement with practical work.	A thorough progress update is given with clear references to the original aims and objectives. Any deviations are fully justified. The preliminary results show an excellent level of engagement with practical work.
Weighting is 15% of the					