Luke Gearty Net ID: lg3878 Homework 2

Question 5

- a) Solve the following questions from the Discrete Math zyBook:
 - 1. Exercise 1.12.2, sections b, e:

$$p \to (q \land r)$$

$$\neg q$$

$$\vdots \neg p$$

1. ¬ <i>q</i>	hypothesis
2. ¬ <i>q</i> ∨ ¬ <i>r</i>	Addition, 1
$3. \neg (q \land r)$	DeMorgan's Law, 2
$4. p \to (q \land r)$	hypothesis
5. ¬ <i>p</i>	Modus Tollens, 3 and 4

1.12.2.e

$$p \lor q$$

$$\neg p \lor r$$

$$\neg q$$

$$\vdots$$

$1. p \lor q$	hypothesis
$2. \neg p \lor r$	hypothesis
3. q ∨ r	Resolution, 1 and 2
4. ¬q	hypothesis
5. r	Disjunctive Syllogism, 3 and 4

2. Exercise 1.12.3, section c

1.12.3.c

Prove that Disjunctive syllogism is valid using the laws of propositional logic and any of the other rules of inference besides disjunctive syllogism

$$\frac{p \vee q}{\neg p}$$

$$\therefore q$$

1. ¬p	hypothesis
2. p ∨ q	hypothesis
$3. \neg p \rightarrow q$	Conditional identities, 2
4. q	Modus Ponens, 1 and 3

3. Exercise 1.12.5, sections c, d

c: I will buy a new car

h: I will buy a new house

j: I will get a job

1.12.5.c

I will buy a new car and a new house only if I get a job.

I am not going to get a job.

I will not buy a new car.

Argument form:

$$(c \land h) \to j$$

$$\neg j$$

$$\therefore \neg c$$

This argument is invalid if $c \equiv T$, $h \equiv F$ and $j \equiv F$

If c is false, h is false and j is false, then all hypotheses are True, but the conclusion, $\neg c$, will evaluate to false.

1.12.5.d

I will buy a new car and a new house only if I get a job.

I am not going to get a job.

I will not buy a new car.

Form of the argument:

$$(c \land h) \rightarrow j$$

 $\neg j$

h

 $\therefore \neg c$

This is a valid argument.

1. ¬ <i>j</i>	hypothesis	
$2. (c \land h) \rightarrow j$	hypothesis	
$3. \neg (c \land h)$	Modus Tollens, 1 and 2	
4. ¬ <i>c</i> ∨ ¬ <i>h</i>	DeMorgan's Law, 3	
5. ¬ <i>h</i> ∨ ¬ <i>c</i>	Commutative Law, 4	
6. h	hypothesis	
7. ¬c	Disjunctive Syllogism, 5 and 6	

b) Solve the following questions from the Discrete Math zyBook:

1. Exercise 1.13.3, section b

1.13.3.b

Show that the given argument is invalid by giving values for the predicates P and Q over the domain $\{a,b\}$

$$\exists x (P(x) \ \lor \ Q(x))$$

$$\exists x \neg Q(x)$$

$$\exists x P(x)$$

	Р	Q
a	F	Т
b	F	F

The first hypothesis is true, because $P(a) \vee Q(a)$ is true, and the second hypothesis is true, because $\neg Q(b)$ is true. But there is no value of P where it is true, so the conclusion is false.

2. Exercise 1.13.5, sections d, e

Domain: Students in the class

A(x): x got an A.

M(x): x missed class.

D(x): x got a detention

1.13.5.d

Every student who missed class got a detention.

Penelope is a student in the class.

Penelope did not miss class.

Penelope did not get a detention.

Form of the argument:

$$\forall x (M(x) \rightarrow D(x))$$

Penelope is a student in the class.

 $\neg M(Penelope)$

 $\therefore \neg D(Penelope)$

This argument is invalid if M(Penelope) $\equiv F$, D(Penelope) $\equiv T$. This makes all the hypotheses true, but the conclusion is false.

1.13.5.e

3. Every student who missed class or got a detention did not get an A.

Penelope is a student in the class.

Penelope got an A.

Penelope did not get a detention.

Form of the argument:

$$\forall x ((M(x) \lor D(x) \rightarrow \neg A(x)))$$

Penelope is a student in the class.

A(Penelope)

∴¬D(Penelope)

This is a valid argument.

1. Penelope is a student in the class	hypothesis
$2. \forall x ((M(x) \vee D(x) \to \neg A(x)))$	hypothesis
3. A(Penelope)	hypothesis
4. $(M(Penelope) \lor D(Penelope)) \rightarrow \neg A(Penelope)$	Universal Instantiation, 1 and 2
5. $\neg (M(Penelope) \lor D(Penelope)$	Modus Tollens, 3 and 4
6. $\neg M(Penelope) \land \neg D(Penelope)$	DeMorgan's Law, 5
7. $\neg D(Penelope)$	Simplification, 6

Solve Exercise 2.4.1, section d; Exercise 2.4.3, section b, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

2.4.1.D:

Theorem: The product of two odd integers is an odd integer.

Proof:

Let x and y be odd integers. We will prove that $x \cdot y$ is an odd integer.

By definition of an odd integer, x = 2m + 1, for some integer m. Also by definition of an odd integer, y = 2n + 1, for some integer n.

Plugging in
$$2m + 1$$
 and $2n + 1$ into $x \cdot y$: $x \cdot y = (2m + 1)(2n + 1)$

$$= 4mn + 2m + 2n + 1$$

$$= 2(2mn + m + n) + 1$$

Since x and y are integers, 2mn + m+ n are also integers.

Since
$$x \cdot y = 2r + 1$$
, where $r = 2mn + m + n$, $x \cdot y$ is an odd integer.

2.4.3.B:

Theorem: If x is a real number and $x \le 3$, then $12 - 7x + x^2 \ge 0$.

Proof:

Let x be a real number and $x \le 3$. We will show that $12 - 7x + x^2 \ge 0$.

If we subtract x from both sides of the inequality $x \le 3$, we get $0 \le 3 - x$.

4 - x is one more than 3 - x. Since 3 - x is greater than or equal to $0, 4 - x \ge 0$.

Since both equations are at least equal to 0, their product should be at least equal to 0, if not greater.

$$(4 - x)(3 - x) \ge 0.$$

$$= 12 - 4x - 3x + x^{2} \ge 0$$

$$= 12 - 7x + x^{2} \ge 0$$

Solve Exercise 2.5.1, section d; Exercise 2.5.4, sections a, b; Exercise 2.5.5, section c, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

2.5.1.d:

For every integer n, if $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is even, then n is odd.

Proof:

By contraposition, for every integer n, if n is even, then $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is odd.

Let n be an even integer. By definition n = 2k, where k is some integer.

Plugging into
$$n^2 - 2n + 7$$
: $n^2 - 2n + 7 = (2k)^2 - 2(2k) + 7$
= $4k^2 - 4k + 7$
= $2(2k^2 - 2k + 3) + 1$

Since k is an integer, $2k^2 - 2k + 3$ is also an integer.

Since
$$n^2 - 2n + 7 = 2r + 1$$
, where $r = 2k^2 - 2k + 3$, $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is odd.

2.5.4.a

For every pair of real numbers x and y, if $x^3 + xy^2 \le x^2y + y^3$, then $x \le y$

Proof:

By contraposition, assume x and y are real numbers and x > y. We shall prove $x^3 + xy^2 > x^2y + y^3$.

Since x > y, one of the numbers, x or y, is not 0.

If we subtract
$$x^2y + y^3$$
, from both sides of the inequality, we get: $x^3 + xy^2 > x^2y + y^3$
= $x^3 + xy^2 - x^2y + y^3 > 0$

If we factor out x in $x^3 + xy^2$, we get $x(x^2 + y^2)$. Similarly, if we factor out y in $x^2y + y^3$, we get

$$y(x^{2} + y^{2}).$$

$$x^{3} + xy^{2} - x^{2}y + y^{3} > 0$$

$$= x(x^{2} + y^{2}) - y(x^{2} + y^{2}) > 0$$

$$= (x - y)(x^{2} + y^{2}) > 0$$

Since either x or y is not 0, then
$$x^2 + y^2 > 0$$
. Therefore, $x^3 + xy^2 - x^2y + y^3 > 0$, and thus $x^3 + xy^2 > x^2y + y^3$

2.5.4.b:

For every pair of real numbers x and y, if x + y > 20, then x > 10 or y > 10.

Proof:

By contraposition, assume x and y are real numbers and $x \le 10$ and $y \le 10$. We shall prove that $x + y \le 20$.

Adding the inequalities $x \le 10$ and $y \le 10$ together gives us $x + y \le 20$.

2.5.5.c

For every non-zero real number, if x is irrational, then 1/x is also irrational.

Proof:

By contraposition, assume 1/x is rational. We shall prove x is rational.

A number, r, is rational if there are integers a and b, such that $b \neq 0$ and r = a/b.

Since 1/x is rational, 1/x = a/b. Flipping both sides, we get x/1 = b/a, or x = b/a.

Since b and a are both integers, and a = 1 and $a \neq 0$, x is rational.

Solve Exercise 2.6.6, sections c, d, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

2.6.6.c:

The average of three real numbers is greater than or equal to at least one of the numbers.

Proof:

Suppose there are 3 real numbers, x, y, and z, and the average, a, is less than all three of the numbers.

And since a is the average of x, y, and z, a = (x + y + z)/3.

Since a is less than all three, a < x, a < y, a < z.

Adding the inequalities, we get x + y + z > 3a.

Plugging in to
$$x + y + z > 3a$$
: $x + y + z > 3a$
= $x + y + z > 3((x + y + z)/3)$
= $x + y + z > x + y + z$

x + y + z cannot be less than x + y + z, thus the assumption of the average of 3 real numbers being less than all three is false.

2.6.6.d:

There is no smallest integer.

Proof:

Assume there is a smallest integer, x.

If x is an integer, then x - 1 is also an integer.

Since x is the smallest integer, x < x - 1.

This contradicts the assumption that x is the smallest integer, because x cannot be less than x-1.

Solve Exercise 2.7.2, section b, from the Discrete Math zyBook:

2.7.2.b:

If integers x and y have the same parity, then x + y is even.

Proof:

Case 1: x and y are even.

Since x is an even integer, then x = 2m, where m is some integer. If y is an even integer, then y = 2k, where k is some integer.

Plugging in to
$$x + y$$
: $x + y = 2m + 2k$
= $2(m + k)$

Since m and k are integers, m + k is an integer.

Since
$$x + y = 2r$$
, where $r = m + k$, $x + y$ is even.

Case 2: x and y are odd.

Since x is an odd integer, then x = 2n + 1, where n is some integer. If y is an odd integer, then y = 2a + 1, where a is some integer.

Plugging in to
$$x + y$$
: $x + y = (2n + 1) + (2a + 1)$
= $2n + 2a + 2$
= $2(n + a + 1)$

Since n and a are integers, n + a + 1 is also an integer.

Since
$$x + y = 2b$$
, where $b = n + a + 1$, $x + y$ is even.