
General Information

Detailed information about the lecture, tutorials and homework assignments can be found on the lecture website¹. Solutions have to be submitted to Moodle². Make sure your uploaded documents are readable. Blurred images will be rejected. Use Piazza³ to ask questions and discuss with your fellow students.

Assignment 12.1 (L) What the fact

Consider the following function definitions:

```
let rec fact n = match n with 0 -> 1
  | n -> n * fact (n-1)

let rec fact_aux x n = match n with 0 -> x
  | n -> fact_aux (n*x) (n-1)

let fact_iter = fact_aux 1
```

Assume that all expressions terminate. Show that

$$\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n$$

holds for all non-negative inputs $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Suggested Solution 12.1

We show that $\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n$, resp. that $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ by induction on n .

- Base case: $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fact_aux } 1 \ 0 \\ & \stackrel{f.a}{=} \text{match } 0 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow \text{fact_aux } (n*1) \ (n-1) \\ & \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} 1 \\ & \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{match } 0 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow n * \text{fact } (n-1) \\ & \stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} \text{fact } 0 \end{aligned}$$

¹<https://www.in.tum.de/i02/lehre/wintersemester-1819/vorlesungen/functional-programming-and-verification/>

²<https://www.moodle.tum.de/course/view.php?id=44932>

³<https://piazza.com/tum.de/fall2018/in0003/home>

- Inductive step: We assume `fact_aux 1 n = fact n` holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

```

fact_aux 1 (n+1)
 $\stackrel{f\_a}{=}$  match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> fact_aux (n*1) (n-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  fact_aux ((n+1)*1) ((n+1)-1)
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  fact_aux (n+1) n
    our proof fails here
= (n+1) * fact n
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  (n+1) * fact ((n+1)-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
 $\stackrel{fact}{=}$  fact (n+1)

```

We fail, because we cannot use the induction hypothesis to rewrite one side into the other. The reason is that our hypothesis holds only for the special case where `x` is exactly 1. Since the value of argument `x` changes between recursive calls, we have to state (and prove) a more general equality between the two sides that holds for arbitrary `x`. It is easy to see that `x` is used as an accumulator here and the function simply multiplies the factorial of `n` onto its initial value. Thus, for an arbitrary `x`, `fact_aux x n` computes $x * n!$. In order for the other side to compute the exact same value, we have also have to multiply by the initial value of `x`:

```
fact_aux acc n = acc * fact n
```

Now, we try to prove this by induction on `n`:

- Base case: `n = 0`

```

fact_aux acc 0
 $\stackrel{f\_a}{=}$  match 0 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  acc
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  acc * 1
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  acc * match 0 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
 $\stackrel{fact}{=}$  acc * fact 0

```

- Inductive step: We assume `fact_aux acc n = acc * fact n` holds for an input

$n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it holds for $n + 1$ as well:

```

fact_aux acc (n+1)
 $\stackrel{f.a}{=}$  match n+1 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  fact_aux ((n+1)*acc) ((n+1)-1)
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  fact_aux ((n+1)*acc) n
 $\stackrel{I.H.}{=}$  (n+1) * acc * fact n
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  acc * (n+1) * fact ((n+1)-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  acc * match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
 $\stackrel{fact}{=}$  acc * fact (n+1)

```

This proof succeeds, as we can now make use of the (more general) induction hypothesis. \square

Assignment 12.2 (L) Arithmetic 101

Let these functions be defined:

```

let rec summa l = match l with [] -> 0
                  | h::t -> h + summa t

```

```

let rec sum l a = match l with [] -> a
                  | h::t -> sum t (h+a)

```

```

let rec mul i j a = if i <= 0 then a
                    else mul (i-1) j (j+a)

```

Prove that, under the assumption that all expressions terminate, for arbitrary l and $c \geq 0$ it holds that:

$$\text{mul } c \text{ (sum } l \text{ 0) 0} = c * \text{summa } l$$

Suggested Solution 12.2

Both `sum` and `mul` use an accumulator in their tail recursive implementation. Thus, we have to generalize the claim to:

$$\text{mul } c \text{ (sum } l \text{ acc1) acc2} = \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l)$$

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: `sum l acc1 = acc1 + summa l`

- Base case: `l = []`

```

sum [] acc1
 $\stackrel{sum}{=}$  match [] with [] -> acc1 | h::t -> sum t (h+acc1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  acc1
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  acc1 + match [] with [] -> 0 | h::t -> h + summa t
 $\stackrel{summa}{=}$  acc1 + summa []

```

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x::xs$ of length $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sum } (x::xs) \text{ acc1} \\
& \stackrel{\text{sum}}{=} \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow \text{acc1} \mid h::t \rightarrow \text{sum } t \ (h+\text{acc1}) \\
& \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{sum } xs \ (x+\text{acc1}) \\
& \stackrel{I.H.}{=} x + \text{acc1} + \text{summa } xs \\
& \stackrel{comm}{=} \text{acc1} + x + \text{summa } xs \\
& \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow 0 \mid h::t \rightarrow h + \text{summa } t \\
& \stackrel{\text{summa}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{summa } (x::xs)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the initial statement by induction on c :

- Base case: $c = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{mul } 0 \ (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \ \text{acc2} \\
& \stackrel{\text{mul}}{=} \text{if } 0 \leq 0 \text{ then } \text{acc2} \text{ else } \text{mul } (0-1) \ (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \ ((\text{sum } l \text{ acc1})+\text{acc2}) \\
& \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \text{acc2} \\
& \stackrel{arith}{=} \text{acc2} + 0 * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l)
\end{aligned}$$

- Inductive step: We assume the statement holds for a $c \geq 0$. Now, we show that it also holds for $c + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{mul } (c+1) \ (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \ \text{acc2} \\
& \stackrel{\text{mul}}{=} \text{if } c+1 \leq 0 \text{ then } \text{acc2} \text{ else } \text{mul } c \ (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \ ((\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) + \text{acc2}) \\
& \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \text{mul } c \ (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \ ((\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) + \text{acc2}) \\
& \stackrel{I.H.}{=} (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) + \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l) \\
& \stackrel{comm}{=} \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l) + (\text{sum } l \text{ acc1}) \\
& \stackrel{L.1}{=} \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l) + (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l) \\
& \stackrel{Distr}{=} \text{acc2} + (c+1) * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } l)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement. □

Assignment 12.3 (L) Counting nodes

A binary tree and two functions to count the number of nodes in such a tree are defined as follows:

```
type tree = Node of tree * tree | Empty
```

```
let rec nodes t = match t with Empty -> 0
```