CSCI 3434 Theory of Computation Homework 3

Luke Meszar (Worked with Jeff Lipnick)

October 18, 2017

HW 5.2 Prove that the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid \varepsilon$$

generates the set of all strings over $\{a,b\}$ with equally many a's and b's. (Hint: Characterize elements of the set in terms of the graph of the function #b(y) - #a(y) as y ranges over prefixes of x, as we did in Lecture 20 with balanced parentheses.)

HW 6.1 Prove that the following CFG G in Greibach normal form generates exactly the set of nonnull strings over $\{a,b\}$ with equally many a's and b's:

$$S \rightarrow aB \mid bA,$$

$$A \rightarrow aS \mid bAA \mid a,$$

$$B \rightarrow bS \mid aBB \mid b.$$

(Hint: Strengthen your induction hypothesis to describe the sets of strings generated by the nonterminals A and B: for $x \neq \varepsilon$,

$$S \xrightarrow{*}_{G} \iff \#a(x) = \#b(x),$$

$$A \xrightarrow{*}_{G} ????,$$

$$B \xrightarrow{*}_{G} ????.)$$

- Misc. 1 Closure properties of CFLs. For each of the following, let L_1 and L_2 be arbitrary CFLs and determine whether the given language must be a CFL (YES) or can you find a counterexample (NO), i.e., particular choices of L_1 and L_2 such that the language is not a CFL. For the YES's give a short justification involving the construction of a grammar/PDA from the given grammars/PDAs. For the NO's, use the CFL pumping lemma or known non-context-free languages to establish your counterexample.
 - (a) L_1L_2
 - (b) $L_1 \cap L_2$
 - (c) $L_1 \cup L_2$
 - (d) L_1^*
- Misc. 2 For each language, decide whether it is regular, not regular but context-free, or not context-free. Justify your answer.
 - (a) $\{a^k b^l a^m b^n \mid k = m \text{ or } l = n\}$
 - (b) $\{a^k b^l a^m b^n \mid k = m \text{ and } l = n\}$
- Misc. 3 Give a PDA accepting the following languages. No proof required.
 - (a) $\{a^n b^m c^k \mid k = n + m\}$
 - (b) $\{x \in \{a,b\}^* \mid \#a(x) = \#b(x)\}.$