<pre>In [1]:</pre> <pre>In [2]:</pre>	<pre>import numpy as np  # import Qiskit from qiskit import IBMQ, BasicAer from qiskit.providers.ibmq import least_busy from qiskit.providers.ibmq import least_busy from qiskit.import QuantumCircuit, execute from qiskit.tools.jupyter import * provider = IBMQ.load_account()  # Import basic plot tools. from qiskit.visualization import plot_histogram  /var/folders/t0/7r1sixhd3r588zrbxd8d1pk80000gn/T/ipykernel_58872/4258817026.py:9: DeprecationWarning: The qiskit.IBMQ entrypoint and the qiskit-ibmq-provider package ( ccessible from 'qiskit.providers.ibmq`) are deprecated and will be removed in a future release. Instead you should use the qiskit-ibm-provider package which is accessi le from 'qiskit_ibm_provider'. You can install it with 'pip install qiskit_ibm_provider'. Just replace 'qiskit.IBMQ' with 'qiskit_ibm_provider.IBMProvider' provider = IBMQ.load_account()</pre>
In [3]:	breast_cancer_data = load_breast_cancer()  print(breast_cancer_data.DESCR) breast_cancer_dataset:  Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset  **Data Set Characteristics:**  :Number of Instances: 569  :Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class  :Attribute Information:  - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)  - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
	- perimeter - area - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths) - compactness (perimeter^2 / area - 1.0) - concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour) - concave points (number of concave portions of the contour) - symmetry - fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)  The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.  - class: - WDBC-Malignant - WDBC-Benign
	:Summary Statistics:
	radius (standard error): 0.112 2.873 texture (standard error): 0.36 4.885 perimeter (standard error): 0.757 21.98 area (standard error): 0.002 542.2 smoothness (standard error): 0.002 0.031 compactness (standard error): 0.002 0.135 concavity (standard error): 0.0 0.396 concave points (standard error): 0.0 0.053 symmetry (standard error): 0.008 0.079 fractal dimension (standard error): 0.001 0.03 radius (worst): 7.93 36.04 texture (worst): 12.02 49.54 perimeter (worst): 50.41 251.2 area (worst): 185.2 4254.0 smoothness (worst): 0.077 1.058
	concavity (worst): concavity (worst): concave points (worst): symmetry (worst): concave points (worst): symmetry (worst): concave points (worst): conc
	This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets. https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2  Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.  Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.
	The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in:  [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].  This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:  ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/   details-start  **References**  details-split   - W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on
	Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.  O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.  W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.   details-end   Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) Dataset Summary:  • 569 instances, 30 numeric predictive attributes plus class.  • Attributes include various measurements like radius, texture, perimeter, area, and more, computed as mean, standard error, and "worst."
In [4]: In [5]: In [6]:	<ul> <li>Two classes: Malignant (212 instances) and Benign (357 instances), showing an imbalanced distribution.</li> <li>Features describe cell nuclei characteristics from FNA breast mass images.</li> <li>Features span a wide range of values, indicating scaling may be necessary for machine learning.</li> </ul>
In [9]:	<pre>import matplotlib.pyplot as plt  df = pd.DataFrame(features, columns=breast_cancer_data.feature_names)  df['class'] = [breast_cancer_data.target_names[label] for label in labels]  feature_names = breast_cancer_data.feature_names feature_means = feature_names[:10] plt.figure(figsize = (10,10)) heat = sns.heatmap(df[feature_means].corr(), vmax=1, square=True, annot=True) plt.title('Correlation Matrix of mean Features') plt.savefig('mean_features_corr.png') plt.show()</pre> -1.0
	Correlation Matrix of mean Features         mean radius -       1       0.32       1       0.99       0.17       0.51       0.68       0.82       0.15       -0.31         mean texture -       0.32       1       0.33       0.32       -0.023       0.24       0.3       0.29       0.071       -0.076         mean perimeter -       1       0.33       1       0.99       0.21       0.56       0.72       0.85       0.18       -0.26         mean area -       0.99       0.32       0.99       1       0.18       0.5       0.69       0.82       0.15       -0.28
	mean smoothness - 0.17 -0.023       0.21 0.18 1 0.66 0.52 0.55 0.56 0.58         mean compactness - 0.51 0.24 0.56 0.5 0.66 1 0.88 0.83 0.6 0.57         mean concavity - 0.68 0.3 0.72 0.69 0.52 0.88 1 0.92 0.5 0.34         mean concave points - 0.82 0.29 0.85 0.82 0.55 0.83 0.92 1 0.46 0.17
	mean symmetry - 0.15
n [13]:	There is some obvious covariance between some feature, particularly mean radius, mean perimeter, and mean area, which make sense being geometric values.  feature_names = breast_cancer_data.feature_names feature_means = feature_names[:10]  # Correcting the number of rows in the subplots to match the first quarter of the features fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=5, ncols=2, figsize = (15,10)) axes = axes.flatten() # Flatten to ensure it's iterable  dataMalignant = df[df['class'] == 'malignant'] dataBenign = df[df['class'] == 'benign']
	<pre>for idx, ax in enumerate(axes):     feature = feature_means[idx]     binwidth = (df[feature].max() - df[feature].min()) / 250 # Create histograms ax.hist([dataMalignant[feature], dataBenign[feature]],</pre>
	Malignant Benign
	0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 mean compactness  Malignant Benign  Malignant Benign  Malignant Benign  Malignant Benign  Malignant Benign
	Malignant Benign
	Training a classical model  Training a machine learning model generally involves feeding the model a section of the data, inlcuding labels, this is called 'Supervised Learning'. This will allow the model to gain an understanding of what feature values line up with the classification or label values. In general, 80% of the data set will be used for training. The other 20% will be called the 'test set'. These will be from the same data set, but will have the target label removed. It is then up to the machine learning model to attempt to classify the new data. We will then check what the model predicted for the test data against what the correct labels are to quantify how good the model will be at classifying new data.
	Support Vector Machine (SVM)  Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a supervised machine learning algorithm used for both classification and regression tasks. However, it is more commonly used in classification problems.  Key Features:  Classification Boundaries: SVMs are best known for their ability to create non-linear boundaries with the help of kernel functions.  Margin Maximization: The core idea of SVM is to identify the best decision boundary (hyperplane) that separates classes in the feature space. This boundary is chosen to be the one that has the maximum margin, i.e., the maximum distance between data points of both classes.  Applications:
n [14]:	<ul> <li>SVMs are used in applications like face detection, handwriting recognition, image classification, and many areas of biology and physics.</li> <li>Advantages:</li> <li>Versatility: Different Kernel functions can be specified for the decision function. Common kernels are provided, but it is also possible to specify custom kernels.</li> <li>Disadvantages:         <ul> <li>Sensitivity to Noisy Data: SVMs are sensitive to the type of kernel used and can overfit if the data is very noisy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from qiskit_algorithms.utils import algorithm_globals algorithm_globals.random_seed = 4701</li> </ul>
n [15]: n [16]:	<pre>train_features, test_features, train_labels, test_labels = train_test_split(     features, labels, train_size = 0.8, random_state = algorithm_globals.random_seed)  from sklearn.svm import SVC  svc = SVC()     _ = svc.fit(train_features, train_labels) # suppress printing the return value  train_score_c4 = svc.score(train_features, train_labels) test_score_c4 = svc.score(test_features, test_labels)  print(f"Classical SVC on the training dataset: {train_score_c4:.2f}") print(f"Classical SVC on the test dataset: {test_score_c4:.2f}")</pre>
n [18]:	Classical SVC on the training dataset: 0.98 Classical SVC on the test dataset: 0.98  Training a QML Model  We are training a 'Variational Quanum Classifier', or VQC. It takes a map and an ansatz and constructs a quantum neural network automatically. In the simplest case it is enough to pass the number of qubits and a quantum instance to construct a valid classifier.  from qiskit.circuit.library import zzFeatureMap  num_features = features.shape[1]  feature_map = zzFeatureMap(feature_dimension=num_features, reps = 1)
n [41]:	#feature_map.decompose().draw('mp1', fold=50)  ZZFeatureMap  The ZZFeatureMap specifically involves the application of Z®Z interactions, where "Z" represents the Pauli-Z operator, applied to pairs of qubits. These interactions, combined with single-qubit rotations that encode the input data, create entangled quantum states that reflect the structure of the input data. The name ZZFeatureMap comes from the use of these ZZ interactions, which are key to the map's ability to capture and exploit correlations in the input data in a way that is uniquely quantum.  x[0] ,., x[3] are placehoders for the features.  from qiskit.circuit.library import RealAmplitudes ansatz = RealAmplitudes(num_qubits=num_features, reps = 3)
n [20]: n [21]: n [22]:	#ansatz.decompose().draw('mp1', fold = 25)  The parameters x[0] to x[15] are the trainable weights of the classifier.  from qiskit_algorithms.optimizers import COBYLA  optimizer = COBYLA(maxiter=100)  Using a simulator to train:  from qiskit.primitives import Sampler  sampler = Sampler()  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
	<pre>from IPython.display import clear_output  objective_func_vals = []  # objective function characterizes the distance between the predictions and known labeled data.  plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (12,6)  def callback_graph(weights, obj_func_eval):  # Append the value of the objective function to an array so we # can plot the iteration verses the objective function value</pre>
n [28]:	<pre>plt.Alabel('Objective function value')     plt.plot(range(len(objective_func_vals)), objective_func_vals)  plt.show()  import time from qiskit_machine_learning.algorithms.classifiers import VQC  vqc = VQC(     sampler = sampler,     feature_map = feature_map,     ansatz = ansatz,     optimizer = optimizer,     callback = callback_graph,)  # Clear objective value history</pre>
	<pre>objective_func_vals = [] start = time.time() vqc.fit(train_features,train_labels) elsapsed_time = time.time() - start  print(f'Training time: {elsapsed_time}')  Objective function value against Iteration  1.20 - 1.15 -</pre>
	1.10 - quetion and the second of the second
In [29]:	0.85 - 0 10 15 20 25 30 35 40  Iteration  Training time: 29.831084966659546  train_score_q4 = vqc.score(train_features, train_labels) test_score_q4 = vqc.score(test_features, test_labels)  print(f"Quantum VQC on the training dataset: {train_score_q4:.2f}") print(f"Quantum VQC on the test dataset: {test_score_q4:.2f}")
in [30]: Out[30]:	<pre>Quantum VQC on the training dataset: 0.69 Quantum VQC on the test dataset: 0.61  Feature Reduction  from sklearn.decomposition import PCA features = PCA(n_components=2).fit_transform(features) plt.rcParams["figure.figsize"] = (6, 6) sns.scatterplot(x=features[:, 0], y=features[:, 1], hue=labels, palette="tab10") </pre> <pre><axessubplot:></axessubplot:></pre>
	1.5 - 0 0 1
n [31]:	train_features, test_features, train_labels, test_labels = train_test_split(
in [32]:	<pre>features, labels, train_size=0.8, random_state=algorithm_globals.random_seed ) svc.fit(train_features, train_labels) train_score_c2 = svc.score(train_features, train_labels) test_score_c2 = svc.score(test_features, test_labels) print(f"Classical SVC on the training dataset: {train_score_c2:.2f}") print(f"Classical SVC on the test dataset: {test_score_c2:.2f}") Classical SVC on the training dataset: 0.95 Classical SVC on the test dataset: 0.95 num_features = features.shape[1]</pre>
n [33]: n [34]:	<pre>feature_map = ZZFeatureMap(feature_dimension=num_features, reps=1) ansatz = RealAmplitudes(num_qubits=num_features, reps=3)  optimizer = COBYLA(maxiter=40) # reduction of iterations due to fewer qubits  vqc = VQC(     sampler=sampler,     feature_map=feature_map,     ansatz=ansatz,     optimizer=optimizer,     callback=callback_graph, )  # clear objective value history objective_func_vals = []</pre>
	<pre># make the objective function plot look nicer. plt.rcParams["figure.figsize"] = (12, 6)  start = time.time() vqc.fit(train_features, train_labels) elapsed = time.time() - start  print(f"Training time: {round(elapsed)} seconds")  Objective function value against Iteration</pre>
	1.1 - 1.1 - 1.0 -
In [35]:	O.9 - 0.9 -
în [36]:	print(f"Quantum VQC on the training dataset using RealAmplitudes: {train_score_q2_ra:.2f}") print(f"Quantum VQC on the test dataset using RealAmplitudes: {test_score_q2_ra:.2f}")  Quantum VQC on the training dataset using RealAmplitudes: 0.68 Quantum VQC on the test dataset using RealAmplitudes: 0.63  Note the objective function is almost flattening, meaning increasing the number of iterations won't be able to increase the score. We will need to try another ansatz.  from qiskit.circuit.library import EfficientSU2  ansatz = EfficientSU2(num_qubits=num_features, reps=3) optimizer = COBYLA(maxiter=40)  vqc = VQC(
	<pre>callback=callback_graph, )  # clear objective value history objective_func_vals = []  start = time.time() vqc.fit(train_features, train_labels) elapsed = time.time() - start  print(f"Training time: {round(elapsed)} seconds")  Objective function value against Iteration  1.2 -</pre>
	1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 0.9 - 0.8 - 0.8 - 0.7 -
r.	0.6 - 0.5 - 10 15 20 25 30 35 40  Training time: 41 seconds
In [37]: In [38]:	train_score_q2_eff = vqc.score(train_features, train_labels) test_score_q2_eff = vqc.score(test_features, test_labels)  print(f"Quantum VQC on the training dataset using EfficientSU2: {train_score_q2_eff:.2f}") print(f"Quantum VQC on the test dataset using EfficientSU2: {test_score_q2_eff:.2f}") Quantum VQC on the training dataset using EfficientSU2: 0.87 Quantum VQC on the test dataset using EfficientSU2: 0.83  Better than previous. lets try increase the number of iterations.  from qiskit.circuit.library import EfficientSU2 ansatz = EfficientSU2(num_qubits=num_features, reps=3) optimizer = COBYLA(maxiter=80)
	<pre>vqc2 = VQC(     sampler=sampler,     feature_map=feature_map,     ansatz=ansatz,     optimizer=optimizer,     callback=callback_graph, )  # clear objective value history objective_func_vals = [] start = time.time() vqc2.fit(train_features, train_labels) elapsed = time.time() - start print(f"Training time: {round(elapsed)} seconds")</pre>
	Objective function value against Iteration  1.6 -  1.4 -
	1.2 - July 1.0 - July
[n [39]: [n [40]:	Training time: 87 seconds  train_score_q3_eff = vqc2.score(train_features, train_labels) test_score_q3_eff = vqc2.score(test_features, test_labels)  print(f"Quantum VQC on the training dataset using EfficientSU2: {train_score_q3_eff:.2f}") print(f"Quantum VQC on the test dataset using EfficientSU2: {test_score_q3_eff:.2f}") Quantum VQC on the training dataset using EfficientSU2: 0.90 Quantum VQC on the test dataset using EfficientSU2: 0.86  print(f"Model
	Resources:  • IBM  • QML Summer School 2021  • datacamp  • kaggle