

CSCI 3104 PS1a

Luna McBride

TOTAL POINTS

8.5 / 10

QUESTION 1

1 3 / 3

✓ **+ 3 pts** Correct

+ **2 pts** Something wrong about maintenance step.

Please check solution, you need to clarify that LI is true before/after each iteration of loop.

+ **1 pts** only one component is correct

+ **1 pts** Generally in right direction, but incorrect.

+ **1 pts** No one-sentence description for each one.

+ **2 pts** Your Maintenance section is not correct

+ **2 pts** Termination part is not clear

+ **0 pts** Not try or totally incorrect

+ **0 pts** Click here to replace this description.

QUESTION 2

6 pts

2.1 2 / 2

✓ **+ 2 pts** Correct

+ **2 pts** See the solution to phrase the LI better.

Specifically give a context to 'i'. For ex - you can start with "At the beginning of ith iteration..".

+ **1.5 pts** In the right direction but a Loop Invariant is stated differently. See solutions.

+ **0 pts** Not attempted

✓ **+ 0 pts** (Non-scored rubric item) - Good work on attempting the complete proof. But you were good when you stated the Loop Invariant. We are not looking at that portion of your solution now.

+ **0 pts** Incorrect answer please check the solutions provided.

+ **2 pts** Please also mention that at the start of the ith iteration ret has the maximum the subarray $A[0, 1, \dots, i-1]$

+ **2 pts** Please mention when (start or end of the iteration) does the variable ret contains the greatest

element and in which subarray.

+ **2 pts** There is no variable max, variable ret stores the maximum value.

+ **1 pts** The Loop invariant representation is not right. Please check the solution.

+ **2 pts** See the solution to see how Loop Invariant's are written

+ **1 pts** ret holds the maximum value and not it's index.

2.2 0.5 / 2

+ **2 pts** Correct

✓ **+ 0 pts** Incorrect

+ **2 pts** Technically correct, but does not define loop invariant precisely

✓ **+ 0.5 pts** The loop invariant should reflect the logic through which the algorithm works. Your answer is technically true, but it does not serve as a real loop invariant in terms of the solution to the problem.

+ **0.5 pts** Partially correct. $ret = -1$ condition not specified correctly when n is not in the array.

+ **0.5 pts** Partially correct. index value of n condition not specified correctly

2.3 2 / 2

✓ **+ 2 pts** Correct

+ **0 pts** Not attempted

+ **1 pts** Need more explanation

+ **2 pts** Phrase it better. Please see the solution provided.

+ **0 pts** Incorrect answer. Please read and understand the concept again

+ **0 pts** variable 'sum' is the sum of $A[0 \dots i-1]$, not $A[0 \dots i]$, before the start of each iteration. Please read again and understand the subarray concept.

+ **0 pts** Can be written more clearly

+ **0 pts** The sum is equal to the sum of the elements in the subarray $A[0:i-1]$, not just the elements in the subarray.

+ **0 pts** Please write maintenance step properly.

+ **1 pts** Answer seems to be in the right direction, but it is not clear and enough.

QUESTION 3

3 1 / 1

+ **0 pts** Incomplete or Incorrect Base case and Inductive Hypothesis, or Empty Solution submitted. Please check the solution for reference.

+ **1 pts** Correct and Great Work !!!

+ **0.5 pts** Missing or Incorrect Base Case. Please Check Solution File.

+ **0.5 pts** Missing or Incorrect Inductive Hypothesis. Please Check Solution File for reference.

✓ + **1 pts** In the right direction. But please compare your solution with the actual solution file so that you do perfect the next time.

+ **1 pts** In the right direction. Missing what LHS and RHS are, but proved both the sides to be equal. Please check solution file for your reference and do better the next time.

+ **1 pts** In the right direction. Please check the corrected question and solution file for reference so that you do perfect the next time.

+ **0.5 pts** In the right direction. But please compare your solution with the actual solution file so that you do perfect the next time.

+ **0.5 pts** In the right direction, but incorrect question assumed and hence tried to prove the wrong statement.

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

Advice 1: For every problem in this class, you must justify your answer: show how you arrived at it and why it is correct. If there are assumptions you need to make along the way, state those clearly.

Advice 2: Verbal reasoning is typically insufficient for full credit. Instead, write a logical argument, in the style of a mathematical proof.

Instructions for submitting your solution:

- The solutions **should be typed** and we cannot accept hand-written solutions. Here's a short intro to Latex.
 - You should submit your work through **Gradescope** only.
 - If you don't have an account on it, sign up for one using your CU email. You should have gotten an email to sign up. If your name based CU email doesn't work, try the identikey@colorado.edu version.
 - Gradescope will only accept **.pdf** files (except for code files that should be submitted separately on Gradescope if a problem set has them) and **try to fit your work in the box provided**.
 - You cannot submit a pdf which has less pages than what we provided you as Gradescope won't allow it.
-

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

1. (3 pts) *What are the three components of a loop invariant proof? Write a one-sentence description for each one.*

1) Initialization; This is the step where you make sure the invariant holds before even entering the loop.
2) Maintenance; This is where you check if the invariance holds for each individual loop. It does not matter if you check immediately after the last loop or immediately before the next, but it must be checked to make sure this applies for all values.
3) Termination; At this point the beginning and middle has been checked, however, it must also end by following the invariance to truly fit. Once we have the end value, that makes all values checked and we can then definitively prove the relation.

2. (6 pts total) *Identify the loop invariant in the following algorithms.*

(a) FindMaxElement(A) : //suppose array A is not empty
 ret = A[0]
 for i = 1 to length(A)-1 {
 if A[i] > ret{
 ret = A[i]
 }
 }
 return ret

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

Well, a maximum is the highest value, so the invariance should be that a value is the highest up until now.

Invariance: $(A[0], \dots, A[i-1]) \leq \text{ret}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \text{length}(A)-1$ (decremented as the for loop increments automatically)

Initialization: We start with $\text{ret} = A[0]$, which is the highest of all the values we have looked at thus far, being itself.

Maintenance: The if statement takes sets the ret to the new highest if $A[i]$ (before increment) is bigger, forcing it to be the highest of the values seen such far. That means all others could be less than or equal to the current highest ret, but never greater as the highest was just taken by the if statement. Termination: The final value ($\text{length}(A)-1$ value, as we start at 0), once checked either takes the last highest value or holds a previous value that is highest for ret. There is no chance of a value greater (if coded it right, that is), and thus is the ret value can only be greater than or equal to absolutely everything else in array A.

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

```
(b) FindElement(A, n) : //suppose no duplicates in array A and array A is not empty
    ret = -1 //index -1 implies the element haven't been found yet
    for i = 0 to length(A)-1 {
        if A[i] == n{
            ret = i
        }
    }
    return ret
```

Note: I did parts a and c before checking piazza and thus seeing to just type the invariance, but I do not want to delete all that typing and it is how I thought it out. sorry.

Invariance: $\text{ret} \geq -1$

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

```
(c) SumArray(A) : //suppose array A is not empty
    sum = 0
    for i = 0 to length(A)-1 {
        sum += A[i]
    }
    return sum
```

Invariance: $\text{sum} = A[0] + A[1] + \dots + A[i-1]$ for $0 \leq i \leq \text{length}(A)-1$ (Assuming a +0 for the base, however, that is unnecessary)

Initialization: $\text{sum} = 0$, which takes the case of the assumed 0, not including the $A[i-1]$ as -1 is outside the range and would probably break the computer

Maintenance: Sum adds the array value at each value i in the loop. Following the idea listed in C++ logic (i incremented at the end of the loop as part of the loop definition ($i=0; i \leq \text{length}(A)-1; i++$)), the i needs to be decremented to get the correct index when before or after an iteration to count it.

Termination: the last value is at the length of A (-1 as to account for the index start at 0). The i still increments, but the for loop stops it after the increment (the $i \leq \text{length}(A)-1$ in ($i=0; i \leq \text{length}(A)-1; i++$)), making the last i value $\text{length}(A)$ and the last added value $A[i-1]$, the total amount of indices to account the whole array.

Name: Luna McBride

ID: 107607144

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 1a (10 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

3. (1 pt) If r is a real number not equal to 1, then for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n r^i = \frac{(1 - r^{n+1})}{(1 - r)}.$$

Provide the first two steps of a proof by induction i.e. base case and the inductive hypothesis. You will be asked to complete this proof later in **PS1b**.

Base case: $i=0$

$$\sum_{i=0}^0 r^i = \frac{(1 - r^{0+1})}{(1 - r)}$$
$$r^0 = 1$$
$$\frac{(1 - r^{0+1})}{(1 - r)}$$
$$\frac{(1 - r)}{(1 - r)}$$
$$\frac{1 - r}{1 - r} = 1$$

$$r^0 = \frac{(1 - r^{0+1})}{(1 - r)}$$

$1 = 1$ (Plugged in equivalent values shown above)

Therefore, since 1 does equal 1, the equation holds for the base case

Inductive Hypothesis: If the equation works for the base case, it should be fair to assume this should work for some integer k . $\sum_{i=0}^k r^i = \frac{(1 - r^{k+1})}{(1 - r)}$ where $k \geq 0$ and $r \neq 1$