

Model Evaluation Framework

1 OT-based stability evaluation criterion

1.1 Definition 1 (OT discrepancy with moment constraints)

If:

$\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$: convex, closed sets,

$c : (\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$: lower semicontinuous function,

$\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})$

Then:

$M_c : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function, defined through

$$M_c(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) = \begin{cases} \inf & \mathbb{E}_\pi[c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W}))] \\ \text{s.t.} & \pi \in \mathcal{P}((\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2) \\ & \pi_{(Z, W)} = \mathbb{Q}, \pi_{(\hat{Z}, \hat{W})} = \mathbb{P} \\ & \mathbb{E}_\pi[W] = 1 \quad \pi\text{-a.s} \end{cases}$$

is called OT discrepancy with moment constraints induced by $c, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}$

f_β : given learning model, trained on the distribution:

$\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$, we have:

Problem P

$$\mathfrak{R}(\beta, r) = \begin{cases} \inf_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})} & M_c(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z)] \geq r \end{cases}$$

- $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is selected as $\mathbb{P}_0 \otimes \delta_1$
 - δ_1 : Dirac delta function
 - $M_c(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$: OT discrepancy with moment constraints between the projected distribution \mathbb{Q} and the reference distribution $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$
 - $\ell(\beta, z)$: prediction risk of model f_β on sample z
 - $r > 0$: pre-defined risk threshold

"The best way to transfer probability distribution from A to B"

z : data point

w : weight

π : policy

Example c:

Formula 1

$$c((z, w), (\hat{z}, \hat{w})) = \theta_1 \cdot w \cdot d(z, \hat{z}) + \theta_2 \cdot (\phi(w) - \phi(\hat{w}))_+$$

- $d(z, \hat{z}) = \|x - \hat{x}\|_2^2 + \infty \cdot |y - \hat{y}|$: cost with different z, \hat{z}
- $(\phi(w) - \phi(\hat{w}))_+$: cost related to differences in probability mass.
 - $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$: convex function, where:
 - $\phi(1) = 0$
- $\theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0$: hyperparameters, where:
 - $\frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} = C$ for some constant C

The minimum deviation needed to make this model risky

\mathfrak{R} : risk

Dual reformulation and its interpretation

Theorem 1 (Strong duality)

[Skip the proof](#)

for problem for problem (P))

Suppose:

- $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}$ is compact.
- $l(\beta, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for all β
- $c : (\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous
- $r < \bar{r} := \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} l(\beta, z)$

Then:

Function D

$$\mathfrak{R}(\beta, r) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} hr + \alpha + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\tilde{\ell}_c^{\alpha, h}(\beta, (\hat{Z}, \hat{W})) \right]$$

- $\tilde{\ell}_c^{\alpha, h}(\beta, (\hat{Z}, \hat{W}))$: surrogate function
 - it equals to :

$$\min_{(z, w) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}} c((z, w), (\hat{z}, \hat{w})) + \alpha w - h \cdot w \cdot l(\beta, z), \text{ for all } \hat{z} \in \mathcal{Z}, \hat{w} \in \mathcal{W}.$$

(is it $+aw$? in the proof it's $-aw$)

Proof for Function D

Reformulate Problem (P) into a infinite-dimension linear program:

Formula Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\pi} \quad & \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W}))] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \pi \in \mathcal{P}((\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2) \\ & r - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z)] \leq 0 \\ & \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W] = 1 \\ & \pi_{(\hat{Z}, \hat{W})} = \hat{\mathbb{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the Lagrangian function

$$L(\pi; h, \alpha) = hr + \alpha + \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W})) - h \cdot W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z) - \alpha \cdot W],$$

where $h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \pi$ belongs to :

$$\bullet \Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}((\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})^2) : \pi_{(\hat{Z}, \hat{W})} = \hat{\mathbb{P}} \right\}$$

$\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}$ is compact

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W})$ is tight.

$\Rightarrow \Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ is tight

$\Rightarrow \Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ has a compact closure (Prokhorov's theorem)

$\Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ is weakly closed

$\Rightarrow \Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ is compact (tight + close)

$\Pi_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ is convex

Prove $L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ is lower semicontinuous in π under the weak topology

Suppose:

π_n converges weakly to π

$\Rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int g d\pi_n \geq \int g d\pi$, for any lower semicontinuous function g that is bounded below (Portmanteau theorem)

$l(\beta, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for all β ,

and $w, h \geq 0$,

$\Rightarrow h \cdot w \cdot l(\beta, z)$ is upper semicontinuous, w.r.t (z, w)

$c((z, w), (\hat{z}, \hat{w}))$ is lower semicontinuous

$\Rightarrow c((z, w), (\hat{z}, \hat{w})) - h \cdot w \cdot l(\beta, z) - \alpha \cdot w$ is lower semicontinuous w.r.t (z, w) for any $(\hat{z}, \hat{w}) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}$

$\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}$ is compact

\Rightarrow the function is bounded below

$\Rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} L(\pi_n; h, \alpha) \geq L(\pi; h, \alpha)$

$\Rightarrow L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ is lower semicontinuous in π under the weak topology

Prove continuous in (h, α) under the uniform topology in

$\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$

Suppose:

$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n = h$ in Euclidean topology, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n| < \bar{\alpha}$ in Euclidean topology

Exists:

$\bar{h} \in \mathbb{R}_+, \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\sum_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_n| \leq \bar{h}, \sup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n| < \bar{\alpha}$, for all $n \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} L(\pi; h_n, \alpha_n) = L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ (dominated convergence theorem)

$\Rightarrow L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ is continuous in (h, α) under the Euclidean topology in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$

Formula 5

$\Rightarrow \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}} \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} L(\pi; h, \alpha) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}} L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ (Sion's minmax theorem)

Rewrite:

$L(\pi; h, \alpha) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W}))] + h(r - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z)]) + \alpha(1 - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W])$ (The original paper lost a close bracket)

$\Rightarrow \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}} \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} L(\pi; h, \alpha)$ is bounded above

We construct:

$\mathbb{Q}_0 = \delta_{(z^*, 1)}$

• $z^* = \arg \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} l(\beta, z)$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}} \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} L(\pi; h, \alpha) \\ & \leq \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} L(\mathbb{Q}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathbb{P}}; h, \alpha) \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathbb{P}}} [c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W}))] + \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+} h(r - \bar{r}) \quad (E_{\pi}[W] = 1) \\ & < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

- $\bar{r} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_0}[l(\beta, Z)]$ (Notice W is independent with it) = $\max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} l(\beta, Z)$
- combined c is continuous, it's bounded on a compact domain $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}$ (The suppose)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W \cdot l(\beta, Z)] & \leq 0 \\ \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[W] & = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then: for (5) right hand side:

$$\sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}} L(\pi; h, \alpha).$$

$$= \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} hr + \alpha + \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbb{B}}} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W})) - h \cdot W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z) - \alpha \cdot W].$$

Notice:

$$\inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[c((Z, W), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W})) - h \cdot W \cdot \ell(\beta, Z) - \alpha \cdot W]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\min_{(z, w) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}} c((z, w), (\hat{Z}, \hat{W})) - h \cdot w \cdot \ell(\beta, z) - \alpha \cdot w \right],$$

End Proof for Function D

Proposition 1 (Dual reformulations)

Suppose:

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}_+$$

(i) If:

$$\phi(t) = t \log t - t + 1, \text{ (D) admits:}$$

function 2

$$\sup_{h \geq 0} hr - \theta_2 \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \left[\exp \left(\frac{l_{h, \theta_1}(\hat{Z})}{\theta_2} \right) \right]$$

(ii) If:

$$\phi(t) = (t - 1)^2, \text{ (D) admits:}$$

function 3

$$\sup_{h \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} hr + \alpha + \theta_2 - \theta_2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \left[\left(\frac{\ell_{h, \theta_1}(\hat{Z}) + \alpha}{2\theta_2} + 1 \right)_+^2 \right]$$

- $l_{h, \theta_1}(\hat{z}) := \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} h \cdot l(\beta, z) - \theta_1 \cdot d(z, \hat{z})$: the d-trasform of $h \cdot l(\beta, \cdot)$ with the step size θ_1