Contribution of different natural yeasts to the aroma of two alcoholic beverages

L. Mingorance-Cazorla, J.M. Clemente-Jiménez, S. Martínez-Rodríguez, F.J. Las Heras-Vázquez and F. Rodríguez-Vico*

Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Almería. La Cañada s/n, Almería 04120, Spain *Author for correspondence: Tel.: +34-950-015055, Fax: +34-950-015008, E-mail: fvico@ual.es

Received 31 July 2002; accepted 25 November 2002

Keywords: Aroma, non-Saccharomyces, orange juice, volatile production, wine

Abbreviations: CECT - Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo; GM - grape must; OJ - orange juice; SC - sugar cane

Summary

The aroma formation in the fermentation of two types of natural musts by 12 different yeasts has been analysed. In grape must fermentation *Pichia fermentans* Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo (CECT) 11773, *Clavispora lusitaniae* OJ6 and *Pichia anomala* OJ5 produced the best balance between concentrations of ethyl acetate and high alcohols. When orange juice was fermented with the 12 yeasts, *Pichia fermentans* CECT 11773, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* OJ2 and *Hanseniaspora uvarum* CECT 10885 produced a good beverage with low alcoholic grade. For both types of natural musts *Pichia fermentans* CECT 11773 increased the presence of higher alcohols and ethyl acetate. After using this strain both alcoholic beverages obtained the highest evaluation in the sensory analysis.

Introduction

When referring to wine aroma it is important to distinguish between the aroma from the grapes (collection and processing), the aroma produced by fermentation and the bouquet produced by the transformation of the aroma during ageing. The majority of volatile compounds of which grape aroma is composed are known to be constituents of many other fruits. There are compounds that precipitate with the must slurry, such as aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic n-alkanes and n-alkenes. In certain varieties, only a few esters contribute to the aroma and these are acetate esters of short chain alcohols. Thus, when the grapes are pressed, C₆-aldehydes and alcohols are formed enzymatically. However, these compounds have also been identified in the presence of enzyme inhibitors. It is, therefore, very difficult to determine to what extent these compounds are formed by the grape varieties. Other compounds, such as monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and ketones are present in some varieties of grape (Rapp & Mandery 1986).

The wine aroma appears mainly during yeast fermentation. Ethanol is the main component followed by diols, higher alcohols and esters. Ethanol determines the viscosity (body) of wine and acts as a fixer of aroma. Although the quantity of organic acids in the wine is small, they are sufficiently volatile to contribute to its aroma. The most important of these organic acids are

acetic acid, propanoic acid, butanoic acid and lactic acid, and the latter three are usually below the perception threshold. Esters are present in small amounts in grapes, but their formation is parallel to ethanol formation. The yeast used in the fermentation process has a great influence on ester production. The basic aroma of wine has been attributed to four esters (ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, ethyl caproate and caprylate), two alcohols (isobutyl and isoamyl) and acetaldehyde, while the remaining compounds only modify the basic aroma (Avakyants *et al.* 1981).

Finally, the bouquet of a wine depends on the storage conditions. It may be due to the presence of aldehydes and acetals from the oxidation process, or to the reduction which takes place after ageing, although the latter is of less importance. Red wine benefits from the aromatic elements extracted from the oak barrels, such as phenolic compounds or diastereomers. Apart from this, oxygen penetrates through the wood and changes the flavours. During storage excess acetates in young white wines gradually hydrolyse, becoming acids and alcohols. The decrease in these acetates could be responsible for the loss of freshness and fruitiness. All of these compounds are produced by the yeasts in concentrations which are very close to the equilibrium concentrations of ester, fatty acid and ethanol. Other changes in the ageing of white wine are: a decrease in monoterpene alcohols (linalool, geraniol and citrenol), an increase in oxides, and the formation of other monoterpenes (Rapp & Mandery 1986).

In the same way as the positive flavour compounds in wine-making come from three sources (grapes, fermentation and ageing), the undesirable odours are classified as those derived from the cultivar, fermentation and processing. The most important of these is hydrogen sulphide, which smells of rotten egg and is produced during fermentation. Another undesirable odour is the vinegary smell produced by acetic acid and ethyl acetate. When bacterial proliferation occurs lactic odour appears, due to malolactic fermentation. The equilibrium of these compounds is important in a fermented must in order to determine whether they are able to produce the desired flavour compound and not the undesirable odours (Suárez-Lepe 1997).

The spontaneous fermentation involved in the first period of aroma production is carried out by the socalled non-Saccharomyces strains, such as Kloeckera, Hanseniaspora, Candida, Pichia, Zygosaccharomyces, Schizosaccharomyces, Torulaspora, Hansenula and Metschnikowia. These strains have been described as producers of high concentrations of some compounds whose influence on the sensory quality of wine has been reported (Rojas et al. 2001). These spontaneous yeasts are developed during the first 4-6 days, after which they die due to their inability to tolerate ethanol concentrations of over 6% (Gil et al. 1996). Non-Saccharomyces yeasts can impart desirable or undesirable flavourdeterminants to the fermented must. Hanseniaspora and Pichia are able to promote the esterification of various alcohols such as ethanol, geraniol, isoamyl alcohol and 2-phenylethanol, thus increasing concentrations of esters with a fruity aroma (Rojas et al. 2001). These yeasts are, therefore, most useful for the production of volatile compounds. Consequently, their isolation, the type of aromas they are able to produce, their resistance to ethanol and the type of must they are able to ferment are all of great interest.

Bearing in mind that the present trend in the wine industry is to develop new, original products, mixed or sequential cultures of non-Saccharomyces or authoctonous yeasts together with S. cerevisiae may be a good method of to conferring a particular aroma and characteristics to wines and other alcoholic beverages (Zironi et al. 1993). For this reason, two isolation processes were carried out to find yeasts from natural sources which are able to ferment natural juices. By studying the aroma formation produced in the fermentation of two natural musts by 12 different yeasts, this work aims to select a yeast which produces the most adequate aromatic profile.

Materials and methods

Microbial strains

All yeasts used in this study are listed in Table 1. Two are commercial (CM) Saccharomyces strains used in the wine industry (Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo (CECT) 1351). Seven were isolated from orange juice (OJ) and selected for their high capacity to produce volatiles (Las Heras-Vázquez et al. 2003) (Pichia fermentans CECT 11773, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2, Trichosporum asahii OJ3, Pichia anomala OJ4, Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT 10885, Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5, Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6). One was isolated from sugar cane (SC) (Pichia anomala SC1), while the last two were isolated from grape must (Hanseniaspora uvarum GM1, Metschnikowia zobellii GM2).

The isolation from OJ was carried out by mixing juice and peel of oranges from the Andarax valley in Almería (Spain) in sterile conditions. To avoid bacterial growth $100 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ of ampicillin was added to the mixture. The juice was maintained at 18 °C for 20 days to allow the proliferation of native yeast. Aliquots were taken every

Yeast	Sensory analysis			
	Source	Grape must	Orange juice	
Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6	ОЈ	4	3	
Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT 10885	OJ	1	4	
Hanseniaspora uvarum GM1	GM	2	2	
Metschnikowia zobellii GM2	GM	1	2	
Pichia anomala OJ4	OJ	3	1	
Pichia anomala SC1	SC	2	2	
Pichia fermentans CECT 11773	OJ	5	5	
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2	OJ	3	4	
Saccharomyces cerevisiae CECT 1351	CM	3	1	
Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056	CM	5	2	
Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5	OJ	2	1	
Trichosporum asahii OJ3	OJ	2	1	

GM – grape must, OJ – orange juice, SC – sugar cane, CM – commercial strains. Sensorial study of the product formed by fermentation of GM and OJ with 12 strains of yeast.

day, diluted to 10^{-5} or 10^{-6} in Ringer solution and spread in YPD media. The plates were incubated at 29 °C and colonies appeared in 2 days. Each of the selected colonies was separated and analysed. Microorganisms were first differentiated morphologically and separated. They were then classified by PCR-RFLP of the ITS region and sequencing. Microorganisms from GM were isolated and classified in the same way after being collected aseptically and crushed in a sterile jar. The must obtained was fermented at 18 °C for 20 days with 100 μ g of ampicillin ml⁻¹ and aliquots were taken each day. The samples for GM were taken from the Alpujarra area in Almería (Spain). The isolation of Pichia anomala SC1 was carried out taking aliquots from each stage of industrial alcohol production (Montero Inc. Motril) from SC diluted to 10⁻⁵ or 10⁻⁶ in Ringer solution and spread in YPD media. The isolated colonies were separated and analysed.

Microvinification

The GM was treated with $0.4~{\rm g~l^{-1}}$ of bentonite for 2 days at 4 °C before fermentation and the OJ was centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. Both were then inoculated with 10^6 cell of each yeast strain ml⁻¹ and fermentations were carried out at 18 ± 1 °C for 20 days. In both cases the bottles were covered with a sterile double gauze layer to avoid contamination. Fermentation was carried out in laboratory conditions using 12 pure yeast cultures. The fermented products were centrifuged and stored at 4 °C until analysed.

Sensory analysis

The fermented products were analysed sensorially and chemically. The sensorial study was evaluated on a scale from 1 to 5, where one represented low intensity of flavour and five a very high intensity. This evaluation was carried out by two professional wine tasters. Different fermented products were presented in random order at 10 °C in standard wine-tasting glasses, covered with a watch-glass to avoid the escape of components (ISO, 1977) (Ubeda-Iranzo *et al.* 2000). The results of this evaluation were only used to support the analytical results.

Gas chromatography analysis

Direct injection of the samples was not possible due to the residual sugar in the fermented products. Fermented OJ or GM was distilled from a volume of 100 to 25 ml. From the distillate 1 μ l was injected into a Varian 3900 gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (220 °C) and a Carbowax (Supelco) column (50 × 0.25mm). Both injector and detector were operated at 220 °C. The carrier gas was H₂ (99.999%) at a flow rate of 35 ml min⁻¹. The column temperature was programmed from 40 to 120 °C at a rise rate of 7 °C min⁻¹ and 4 min at 120 °C.

The standard solution was distilled and the recovery factor of each compound was determined. Methanol: standard concentration 3979.90 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 3.75, acetaldehyde: standard concentration 3959.79 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 2.41, ethyl acetate: standard concentration 4522.61 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 3.53, 1propanol: standard concentration $4040.20 \text{ mg } 1^{-1}$, recovery factor 1.61, 2-methyl-1-propanol: standard concentration 4070.35 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 2.37, 3methyl-1-butanol: standard concentration 4070.35 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 4.01, 2-methyl-1-butanol: standard concentration 4115.58 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 3.63 were supplied by Montero Inc., ethanol: standard concentration 1% (v/v), recovery factor 1.32 and acetoin: standard concentration 1000 mg l⁻¹, recovery factor 3.92 by Aldrich Chemical Company. Samples were analysed in triplicate, and the mean result was divided by the concentration factor (4) and multiplied by the recovery factor of each compound.

Results and discussion

From the GM isolation, 148 yeasts were analysed, but only *Hanseniaspora uvarum* GM1 and *Metschnikowia zobellii* GM2 were different. These strains were isolated and classified by PCR-RFLP of the ITS region. Seven strains from OJ isolated in our laboratory were also used for the fermentation (Las Heras-Vázquez *et al.* 2003). Finally we have included in the present work one strain isolated from SC (Table 1).

From the sensory analysis several strains could be discarded due to their poor taste and aroma (Table 1). Hanseniaspora uvarum GM1, Mestchnikowia zobellii GM2 from GM, Trichosporum asahii OJ3, Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT 10885, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2 from OJ and the SC isolate (Pichia anomala SC1) gave the worst results when GM was fermented. Moreover, these yeasts were not able to consume all the sugar (Table 2). Saccharomyces cerevisiae CECT 1357 and Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5 were able to consume all the sugar, but the sensory analysis did not produce very good results owing to their low capacity to produce higher alcohols (Table 2). The sommelier described the product as having a tasteless, 'bakery-like' aroma

Small amounts of higher alcohols contribute positively to wine quality, while excessive amounts may detract from quality. Higher alcohols are important as precursors for ester formation during ageing (Gil et al. 1996). We have studied the potential of all the strains to ferment GM and OJ and produce the following volatile compounds which are involved in the wine flavour: ethanol, acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, methanol, *n*-propanol, 2-methyl-1-propanol, 1-butanol, 2-methyl-1-butanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol (Table 2). None of them produced methanol (data not shown).

Several authors have shown the vital role of yeasts in the formation of aroma (Suomalainen 1971). To

Table 2. Concentration and comparative study of major volatile compounds present in GM and wine fermented in the wine-cellar by Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056, and products obtained by microvinification with 12 yeast strains.

A	Acetaldehyde	Ethyl acetate	Ethanol ^a	1-propanol	2-methyl-1- propanol	2-methyl-1- butanol	3-methyl-1- butanol	Acetoin	Нd	Glucose ^b
GM non-fermented	80.16 ± 2.71	0	0.11 ± 0.01	0	0	0	0	0	3.27	25.85
Wine produced in wine-cellar	431.61 ± 8.12	50.19 ± 1.76	14.03 ± 0.62	4.05 ± 0.64	3.79 ± 0.07	20.02 ± 0.29	109.32 ± 0.91	60.05 ± 2.47	3.65	0
Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6	724.37 ± 2.46	26.33 ± 1.27	2.23 ± 0.10	1.16 ± 0.09	3.36 ± 0.15	8.98 ± 0.23	27.87 ± 0.24	200.43 ± 9.90	3.53	13.83
Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT10885	71.84 ± 4.29	0	0.04 ± 0.00	0	0	0	0	106.09 ± 22.71	3.28	25.65
Hanseniaspora uvarum GM1	90.06 ± 3.35	0	0.10 ± 0.01	0	0	0	0	214.05 ± 9.50	3.33	27.99
Metschnikowia zobellii GM2	110.16 ± 3.27	0	0.08 ± 0.01	0	0	0	0	0	3.29	25.70
Pichia anomala OJ4	1311.33 ± 18.32	9.67 ± 1.12	17.12 ± 0.20	10.57 ± 0.25	8.91 ± 0.25	97.09 ± 0.37	152.06 ± 0.54	67.47 ± 4.82	3.55	0.03
Pichia anomala SC1	0	109.89 ± 2.56	0.32 ± 0.05	0	0	0	0	51.51 ± 10.11	3.72	24.53
Pichia fermentans CECT 11773	132.21 ± 2.17	164.18 ± 1.54	2.94 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.11	2.16 ± 0.06	20.72 ± 0.31	59.31 ± 0.16	226.25 ± 7.71	3.27	21.30
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2	160.67 ± 5.39	0	0.05 ± 0.00	0	0	0	0	0	3.60	24.99
Saccharomyces cerevisiae CECT 1357	0	0	15.71 ± 0.15	0	0	0	0	0	3.74	0
Saccharomyces cerevisiae L 2056	344.09 ± 11.61	55.63 ± 1.96	14.35 ± 0.21	5.84 ± 0.27	2.84 ± 0.06	25.03 ± 0.98	103.34 ± 0.42	97.35 ± 7.75	3.58	0
Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5	0	10.27 ± 0.54	$3.27~\pm~0.14$	0	0	0	20.29 ± 0.16	73.98 ± 2.57	3.48	27.81
Trichosporum asahii OJ3	0	0	0.08 ± 0.00	0	0	0	0	47.79 ± 2.14	3.41	25.35

Fermentation was carried out at 18 °C for 20 days. Fermentation was in triplicate and, after distilling, each sample was injected in triplicate. The values are, therefore, the mean of nine injections and are expressed in mg 1⁻¹.

a % (v/v).
b residual sugars: g glucose/100 ml.

determine this influence we compared the gas chromatography (GC) profiles of the must fermented with the 12 yeasts in the laboratory with that of CM wine made from the same Macabeo grape variety (Table 2). The CM wine was produced with Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056 in the wine cellar, and we repeated the fermentation in our laboratory. The GC profile was quite similar except for acetaldehyde, whose increase in CM wine may be due to the oxidation of ethanol during the ageing process in the bottle. Moreover, in laboratory fermentation not all the glucose was consumed. Very similar aromatic products have been obtained after fermentation with Pichia fermentans CECT 11773, Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6 and Pichia anomala OJ5. This is in accordance with the sensorial study, where Pichia fermentans CECT 11773 scored 5 Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6 scored 4 and Pichia anomala OJ5 scored 3. The lower scores for Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6 and Pichia anomala OJ4 may be due to the abnormally high acetaldehyde concentration (724.4 and 1311.3 mg l⁻¹ respectively), which has an important effect on the sensory results. Furthermore, the high level of isoamyl alcohol and isobutanol may have a negative impact on the organoleptic quality of the wine (Sipiczki et al. 2001). Thus, Pichia fermentans CECT 11773 showed the best profile to ferment GM, and although it has a low capacity to produce ethanol, it does have a high capacity to produce volatile compounds which increase the aromatic properties of wine in a mixed culture.

Ethyl acetate has a pleasant aroma which turns vinegary above 150 mg l⁻¹. Between 50 and 150 mg l⁻¹ the ester contributes to the hard character of the wine (Rapp & Mandery 1986). High concentrations of ethyl acetate do not improve the aroma of young wines, but these negative effects are reduced during bottle ageing. For this reason *Pichia fermentans* CECT 11773 ethyl acetate concentration does not have a negative influence, and it can be used to improve wine aroma (Lilly *et al.* 2000; Rojas *et al.* 2001).

The sensory and chemical analyses indicate that the best products correspond to the samples with a greater concentration of higher alcohols, acetates and ethyl esters, which contribute to the aroma quality in young wine. This is in accordance with the study developed by Lema *et al.* (1996), where the contribution to the aroma quality by non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts was shown. The ratio between the contents of ester and higher alcohols is described as a good measure of the contribution of a given yeast to the aroma of wine (Mateo *et al.* 1991).

Table 3 shows the rate obtained for Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056, Pichia fermentans CECT 11773, Pichia anomala OJ4, and Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6. Pichia fermentans and Clavispora lusitaniae showed the highest values. There is no information about the production of volatile compounds by fermentation with Pichia fermentans and Clavispora lusitaniae, because they do not appear naturally in GM. However, this study suggests that they are able to produce a good product with low alcoholic grade. Pichia anomala OJ4 has been shown to be a good producer of ethanol and higher alcohols, but not of ethyl acetate. Nonetheless, Rojas et al. (2001) have shown that Pichia anomala 10590 has high capacity to esterify alcohols and suggested its possible use in mixed starters for wine production (Rojas et al. 2001).

Several authors have described must fermentations by non-Saccharomyces yeasts: Hanseniaspora guillermondii, Kloeckera apiculata (Romano et al. 1992, 1997a; Zironi et al. 1993; Gil et al. 1996), Pichia anomala (Rojas et al. 2001), Candida stellata, Torulaspora delbrueckii (Ciani & Maccarelli 1998), Candida valida, Brettanomyces bruxellensis, Rhodotorula aurantica, Dekkera intermedia (Mateo et al. 1991; Romano et al. 1997b), Candida cantarellii (Toro & Vázquez 2001), all from GM. However, we have developed an organoleptically equilibrated product by the fermentation of GM with Pichia fermentans, Pichia anomala and Clavispora lusitaniae yeasts originally present in other sources such as OJ (Las Heras-Vázquez et al. 2003; Arias et al. 2002), lager breweries (van der Aa Kühle & Jespersen 1998) or bakeries (Arlorio et al. 1999).

When OJ was used to produce an alcoholic beverage, a low production of higher alcohols was detected (Table 4). This low concentration of higher alcohols may be due to an insufficient amino acid concentration in OJ to produce the same quantity as in the GM fermentation (Zoecklein et al. 2001). The ideal quantity of these compounds is between 140 and 210 mg l⁻¹, and the quantity of products formed from OJ is lower in all cases (Table 5). In the sensory analysis Pichia fermentans CECT 11773, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2 and Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT 10885 produced a very good taste product. None of the yeasts were able to consume all the OJ sugar, increasing the instability of the final product. Only Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056 was able to metabolize all the sugar and produce the highest alcohol grade (Table 4), but the taste was rotten, obtaining a score of only two in the sensory analysis (Table 1). The presence of methanol might be expected

Table 3. Production of ethyl acetate, higher alcohols and the ratio between the ester and alcohol contents (E/A).

	Ethyl Acetate (mg l ⁻¹)	Higher alcohols (mg l ⁻¹)	E/A
Wine produced in brewery	50.19	137.18	0.37
Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6	26.33	41.37	0.64
Pichia anomala OJ4	9.67	268.63	0.04
Pichia fermentans CECT 11773	163.18	84.13	1.95
Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056	55.63	136.99	0.41

Table 4. Concentration and comparative study of major volatile compounds present in OJ and in the products obtained by microvinification with 12 yeast strains using OJ.

Orange juice non-fermented 53.19 ± 1.92 0 183.0 Clavispora lusitaniae Osf 0 73.83 Hanseniaspora uvarum 0 49.28 ± 0.56 99.25 CECT 10885 16.06 ± 0.40 69.77 Metschilkowia zobellii GM2 0 35.52 Pichia amomala SCI 0 35.52 Pichia amomala SCI 0 12.60 ± 0.25 50.91 Pichia fermentans CECT 11773 0 13.87 ± 0.25 22.35				propanol	butanol	butanol		•	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.74 ± 0.03	0	0	0	0	0	3.74	6.32
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1.68 ± 0.05	0	1.89 ± 0.04	0	12.75 ± 0.4	457.40 ± 42.28	3.81	4.79
2 0 0 773 0	$0.56 \ 99.25 \pm 0.65$	3.92 ± 0.06	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	0.54
0 0 073 0	$0.40 69.97 \pm 0.24$	3.01 ± 0.01	0	0	0	6.29 ± 0.28	415.53 ± 17.46	3.88	1.87
0 0 0 ECT 11773 0	35.52 ± 0.20	1.51 ± 0.07	0	0	0	5.37 ± 0.32	142.18 ± 6.60	3.73	5.73
0 0	$0.25 50.91 \pm 0.96$	1.45 ± 0.01	0	0	0	9.91 ± 0.50	76.33 ± 5.62	3.65	4.99
0	$0.18 22.35 \pm 0.28$	0.11 ± 0.01	0	0	0	0	379.39 ± 8.37	3.92	00.9
	$0.25 22.53 \ \pm \ 0.20$	3.75 ± 0.10	0	1.13 ± 0.08	0	0	192.45 ± 10.25	3.81	1.76
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2 0 13.09 \pm 0.78	$0.78 ext{ } 67.12 ext{ } \pm 0.86$	1.29 ± 0.02	0	0	0	9.55 ± 0.36	415.35 ± 17.75	3.81	4.12
Saccharomyces cerevisiae 53.09 ± 0.27 7.34 ± 0.18 CECT 1357	18 27.38 ± 0.55	1.03 ± 0.03	0	1.52 ± 0.09	0	0	352.98 ± 5.98	3.62	5.72
Saccharomyces cerevisiae L 2056 43.55 \pm 1.45 0	43.45 ± 0.40	2.94 ± 0.06	0	0	0	16.92 ± 0.45	256.59 ± 15.85	4.00	1.96
Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5 58.85 ± 1.21 0	88.14 ± 1.59	5.27 ± 0.08	2.064 ± 0.08	0	18.5 ± 0.61	62.92 ± 1.26	266.11 ± 8.05	3.81	0
Trichosporum asahii OJ3 0 0	60.05 ± 0.84	1.02 ± 0.03	0	1.59 ± 0.04	0	8.98 ± 0.41	271.19 ± 2.28	3.90	5.43

Fermentation was carried out at 18 °C for 20 days. Fermentation was in triplicate and after distilling each sample was injected in triplicate. The values are, therefore, the mean of nine injections and are expressed in mg 1^{-1} .

a % (v/v).
b residual sugars: g glucose/100 ml.

Table 5. Production of ethyl acetate, higher alcohols and the ratio between the ester and alcohol contents (E/A).

	Ethyl acetate (mg l ⁻¹)	Higher alcohols (mg l ⁻¹)	E/A
Clavispora lusitaniae OJ6	60.86	0	_
Hanseniaspora uvarum CECT10885	49.28	0	_
Hanseniaspora uvarum GM1	16.06	6.29	2.55
Pichia anomala OJ4	12.60	9.91	1.22
Pichia fermentans CECT 11773	13.87	1.13	12.27
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2	13.09	9.55	1.37
Saccharomyces cerevisiae CECT1357	7.34	1.52	4.83
Saccharomyces cerevisiae L2056	0	16.92	_

to be problematic in OJ fermentation, since the pectin concentration is higher in OJ than in GM (Zoecklein *et al.* 2001). However the methanol level in this new beverage is not a problem, as it is lower than that of wine, which is in the range of 100–200 mg l⁻¹ (Kourkoutas *et al.* 2002).

It is interesting to determine the acetoin concentration as it is involved in wine bouquet, particularly in apiculate species (Romano & Suzzi 1996). Acetoin levels produced by Saccharomyces cerevisiae in GM fermentation are in accordance with those described by Romano & Suzzi (1993): from non-detectable amounts to 194.6 mg l⁻¹. Thus Saccharomyces cerevisiae L 2056 may be considered as having a 'high acetoin production' phenotype (97.35 mg l⁻¹) which is chosen to confer desirable flavour of the final product. This is, in fact, the strain used in the wine-cellar. Although Saccharomyces cerevisiae OJ5 is also a good acetoin producer, it produces a smaller amount of higher alcohols than L2056. The level of acetoin produced by other non-Saccharomyces strains is high, with the exception of Metschnikowia zobellii GM2 and Rhodotorula mucilaginosa OJ2. Moreover, neither of these strains are very good candidates to ferment GM, due to their low level of production of alcohol and other compounds. The low acetoin concentration in the OJ fermentation shows that the level of acetoin produced depends on the media (Romano & Suzzi 1996). It would also be interesting to investigate whether the high acetoin concentration in GM is due to induction of the enzymes involved in its production, or because acetoin is not converted and therefore accumulates.

The present study has found some yeasts that could be used to improve the body of the wine, increasing the concentration of ethyl acetate and higher alcohols. Furthermore, we have used these yeasts to develop a new product from OJ with low alcoholic grade and a very fresh taste (Mingorance-Cazorla *et al.* 1999).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants FIT-010000-2001-177 from Programa Nacional de Biotecnología and SAF-2001 2067 from Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain.

References

Arias, C.R., Burns, J.K., Friedrich, L.M., Goodrich, R.M. & Parish, M.E. 2002 Yeast species associated with orange juice: evaluation of different identification methods. *Applied and Environmental Mi*crobiology 68, 1955–1961.

Arlorio, M., Coïsson, J.D. & Martelli, A. 1999 Identification of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in bakery products by PCR amplification of the ITS region of ribosomal DNA. European Food Research Technology 209, 185–191.

Avakyants, S.P., Rastyannikov, E.G., Chernayaga, B.S. & Navrotskii, V.J. 1981 Dhromato-mass-spektrometricheskoe issledovanie letuchikh vesnchestv vina. Vino del Vinograd 41, 50–53.

Ciani, M. & Maccarelli, F. 1998 Oenological properties of non-Saccharomyces yeasts associated with wine-making. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 14, 199–203.

Gil, J.V., Mateo, J.J., Jiménez, M., Pastor, A. & Huerta, T. 1996 Aroma compounds in wine as influenced by apiculate yeasts. *Journal of Food Science* 61, 1247–1249.

Kourkoutas, Y., Koutinas, A.A., Kanallaki, M., Banat, I.M. & Marchant, R. 2002 Continuous wine fermentation using a psychrophilic yeast immobilized on apple cuts at different temperatures. Food Microbiology 19, 127–134.

Las Heras-Vázquez, F.J., Mingorance-Cazorla, L., Clemente-Jiménez, J.M. & Rodríguez-Vico, F. 2003 Identification of yeast species from orange fruit and juice by RFLP and sequence analysis of the 5.8S rRNA gene and internal transcribed spacers. FEMS Yeast Research (accepted to publish FEMSYR reference 1053, Editorial reference: FEMSYR-069).

Lema, C., García-Jares, C., Orriols, I. & Angulo, L. 1996 Contribution of Saccharomyces and non-Saccharomyces populations to the production of some components of Albariño winte aroma. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 47, 206–216.

Lilly, M., Lambrechts, M.G. & Pretorius I.S. 2000 Effect of increased yeast alcohol acetyltransferase activity on flavor profiles of wine and distillates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66, 744– 753.

Mateo, J.J., Jiménez, M., Huerta, T. & Pastor, A. 1991 Contribution of different yeasts isolated from musts of monastrell grapes to the aroma of wine. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 14, 153– 160.

Mingorance-Cazorla, L., Molina-Ruiz J.M., Clemente-Jiménez J.M., Las Heras-Vázquez, F.J. & Rodríguez-Vico, F. 1999 Procedimiento para la obtención de un producto derivado del zumo de naranja que implica la modificación de su composición química por un proceso de fermentación dirigida y natural mediante el empleo de levaduras alcoholeras. Patente Española No. 9902315.

Rapp, A. & Mandery, H. 1986 Wine aroma. *Experientia* **42**, 873–884.

Rojas, V., Gil, J.V., Piñaga, F. & Manzanares, P. 2001 Studies on acetate ester production by non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts. International Journal of Food Microbiology 70, 283–289.

Romano, P. & Suzzi, G. 1993 Acetoin production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeasts. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **108**, 23–26.

- Romano, P. & Suzzi, G. 1996 Origin and production of acetoin during wine yeast fermentation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 62, 309–315.
- Romano, P., Suzzi, G., Comi G. & Zironi R. 1992 Higher alcohol and acetic acid production by apiculate wine yeasts. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* **73**, 126–130.
- Romano, P., Suzzi, G., Comi, G., Zironi, R. & Maifreni, M. 1997a Glycerol and other fermentation products of apiculate wine yeasts. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 82, 615–618.
- Romano, P., Suzzi, G., Domizio, P. & Fatichenti, F. 1997b Secondary products formation as a tool for discriminating non-Saccharomyces wine strains. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 71, 239–242.
- Sipiczki, M., Romano, P., Lipani, G., Miklos, I. & Antunovics, Z. 2001 Analysis of yeasts derived from natural fermentation in a Tokaj winery. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 79, 97–105.
- Suárez-Lepe, J.A. 1997 Levaduras vínicas. Funcionalidad y uso en bodega. pp. 121-149. Mundi-Prensa Eds. ISBN 84-7114-685-1.
- Suomalainen, H. 1971 Yeast and its effect on the flavour of alcoholic beverages. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing* 77, 164–177.

- Toro, M.E. & Vázquez, F. 2001 Fermentation behaviour of controlled mixed and sequential cultures of *Candida cantarellii* and *Saccharo*myces cerevisiae wine yeasts. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 18, 347–354.
- Ubeda-Iranzo, J.F., González-Magaña, F. & González-Viñas, M.A. 2000 Evaluation of the formation of volatiles and sensory characteristics in the industrial production of white wines using different commercial strains of the genus Saccharomyces. Food Control 11, 143–147.
- van der Aa Kühle, A. & Jespersen, L. 1998 Detection and identification of wild yeasts in lager breweries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 43, 205–213.
- Zironi, R., Romano, P., Suzzi, G., Battistutta, F. & Comi, G. 1993 Volatile metabolites produced in wine by mixed and sequential cultures of *Hanseniaspora guilliermondii* or *Kloeckera apiculata* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology Letters* 15, 235–238.
- Zoecklein, B.W., Fugelsang, K.C., Gump, B.H. & Nury, F.S. 2001 Análisis y producción de vino. ed. Acribia, S.A. pp. 101–119. ISBN 87-200-0936-9.