

## Abstract

Body armour is a consistent trait observed in metazoans ecosystems throughout the whole phanerozoic fossil record. This is due, in part because of it's taphonomy (i.e. being relatively well preserved c.f. soft tissue characters) but also to it's ecological importance. In fact, morphological diversity have been hypothesised to rise at a really fast rate in part due to the role of body armour in the predator prey evolutionary arms race (Gould, 1989). Under this hypothesis, we expect the evolution of body armour in metazoans to be tightly correlated to predator's morphological evolution. More precisely, we expect that preys that are too small or too big relatively to an ecosystem's dominant predator will be less likely to evolve body armour. Conversely, preys that fall in the "danger zone", (i.e. falling in the size range of their dominant predator) will be more likely to evolve body armour.

Recent studies have demonstrated the presence of such 'danger zone' in extant mammals where medium sized ones were more likely to have specialised defence mechanisms (including body armour) than smaller or bigger ones (Stankowich and Campbell, 2016). The aim of this project is thus to extend this study and investigate whether this "danger zone" existed for all vertebrates throughout the phanerozoic and whether it was a driver of morphological evolution.

\*

## References

Gould, S. J. 1989. Wonderful life: the Burgess Shale and the nature of history. WW Norton & Company.

Stankowich, T. and L. A. Campbell. 2016. Living in the danger zone: Exposure to predators and the evolution of spines and body armor in mammals. *Evolution* 70:1501–1511.