

1.1 Probability Space

Luning Li

2020/10/3

1.1.1

- (i) If $\mathcal{F}_i, i \in I$ are σ -fields, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ is. Here $I = \emptyset$ is an arbitrary index set (i.e., possibly uncountable).
- (ii) Use the result in (i) to show that if we are given a set Ω and a collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of \mathcal{A} , then there is a smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{A} . We will call this the σ -field generated by \mathcal{A} and denote it by $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii) Denote $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$.

Take $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $A^C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, because \mathcal{F}_i 's are σ -fields. Therefore, $A^C \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}$.

Take a countable sequence of sets $A_j \in \mathcal{F}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, then $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\bigcup_j A_j \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, $\bigcup_j A_j \in \bigcap_i \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}$.

Then we can conclude that \mathcal{F} is a σ -field.

- (ii) Define \mathcal{F} to be the intersection of all σ -field that contains \mathcal{A} . Then by (i), \mathcal{F} is a σ -field. And by its definition, every σ -field that contains \mathcal{F} contains \mathcal{A} . That means: \mathcal{F} is the smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{A} .

1.1.2

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F} =$ all subsets so that A or A^C is countable, $P(A) = 0$ in the first case and $= 1$ in the second. Show that (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability space.

Proof: First we show that \mathcal{F} is a σ -field:

Take $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A = (A^C)^C$ or A is countable. This means that $A^C \in \mathcal{F}$.

Take a countable sequence of sets $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then either A_i or A_i^C is countable. If all A_i 's are countable, then $\bigcup_i A_i$ is countable, because countable union of countable sets is still countable. Otherwise, there exists uncountable A_j for some j , then we have A_j^C is countable. Then $(\bigcup_i A_i)^C = \bigcap_i A_i^C \subset A_j^C$ is countable. Then $\bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{F} is a σ -field.

Second, we show that P is a measure:

For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $P(A) \geq 0 = P(\emptyset)$ by the definition of P . Take a countable sequence of disjoint sets $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$. If all A_i 's are countable, then $\bigcup_i A_i$ is also countable. Then $P(\bigcup_i A_i) = 0$, and $P(A_i) = 0$ for all i . Therefore, we have $P(\bigcup_i A_i) = 0 = \sum_i P(A_i)$. Otherwise, there exists an uncountable A_j for some j . Because A_i 's are disjoint, $A_i \subset A_j^C$ for all $i \neq j$. $A_j \in \mathcal{F}$ and A_j is uncountable, then A_j^C is countable. Then $A_i \subset A_j^C$ is countable for all $i \neq j$, which means that $P(A_j) = 1$ and $P(A_i) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Moreover, we have $A_j \subset \bigcup_i A_i$, and therefore, $\bigcup_i A_i$ is uncountable, and then $P(\bigcup_i A_i) = 1$. Then we have $P(\bigcup_i A_i) = 1 = 1 + 0 = P(A_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} P(A_i) = \sum_i P(A_i)$.

Therefore, P is a measure with $P(\Omega) = P(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. Then P is a probability.

1.1.3

Let \mathcal{S}_d be the empty set plus all sets of the form $(a_i, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d]$ where $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$. Show that $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_d) = \mathcal{R}^d$.

Proof: Before I prove this problem, i would like to prove the following lemma: $(a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d)$ where $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$ generates \mathcal{R}^d .

proof of the lemma:

Denote \mathcal{F} as the σ -algebra generated by $(a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d)$ where $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$. Then easy to show that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{R}^d$. For an open set $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and any point $x \in A$, we know there exists rational numbers $a_1^x, \dots, a_d^x, b_1^x, \dots, b_d^x$ such that $x \in (a_1^x, b_1^x) \times \dots \times (a_d^x, b_d^x) \subset A$. Then $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} (a_1^x, b_1^x) \times \dots \times (a_d^x, b_d^x)$ and there are only countably many sets of the form $(a_1^x, b_1^x) \times \dots \times (a_d^x, b_d^x)$, which means A is a countable union of sets of form $(a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d)$. Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Together with definition of \mathcal{R}^d (the σ -algebra generated by all open sets in \mathbb{R}^d), we have $\mathcal{R}^d \subset \mathcal{F}$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}^d$.

Proof of the problem:

First note that $(a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d] = (a_1, b_1 + 1) \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d + 1) \cap (b_1, b_1 + 1) \times \dots \times (b_d, b_d + 1)$. The latter two sets are open set, so they are in \mathcal{R}^d ; therefore, we can conclude that their intersection $(a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d] \in \mathcal{R}^d$. So $\sigma(\mathcal{S}^d) \subset \mathcal{R}^d$. Second, for an arbitrary given open interval (a, b) , we can write $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b - \frac{1}{n}] \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}^d)$. All open intervals generates \mathcal{R}^d . So $\mathcal{R}^d \subset \sigma(\mathcal{S}^d)$. Then we can conclude that $\sigma(\mathcal{S}^d) = \mathcal{R}^d$.

1.1.4

A σ -field \mathcal{F} is said to be countably generated if there is a countable collection $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ so that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$. Show that \mathcal{R}^d is countably generated.

Proof: Idea: show that \mathcal{R}^d is generated by $(a_i, b_i] \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d]$ where $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$ and $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Detail proof please refer to file Homework1.pdf.

1.1.5

- (i) Show that if $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$ are σ -algebras, then $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ is an algebra.
- (ii) Give an example to show that $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ need not be a σ -algebra.

Proof:

- (i) Take $A \in \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$, then $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for some i . \mathcal{F}_i is a σ -algebra, therefore, $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_i \subset \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$. Take a finite sequence of sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \subset \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$. Then for each i in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{k_i}$ for some k_i . Take $k = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$, then $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for all i . Then $\bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}_k \subset \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$. Therefore, $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ is an algebra.
- (ii) We define the σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_i to be the σ -algebra generated by all the open sets in $[\frac{1}{i}, 1]$. Then clearly we have $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$. Take $A_i = (\frac{1}{i}, 1) \in \mathcal{F}_i$. Then $A_i \in \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ for all i . But $\bigcup_i A_i = (0, 1) \notin \mathcal{F}_n$ for any n . Therefore, $\bigcup_i A_i = (0, 1) \notin \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$, which means that $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ is not a σ -algebra.

1.1.6

1.1.6. A set $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, \dots\}$ is said to have asymptotic density θ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| / n = \theta$. Let \mathcal{A} be the collection of sets for which the asymptotic density exists. Is \mathcal{A} a σ -algebra? an algebra?

Proof: It is not even an algebra. Let's assume that $C_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Define A be the set of all odd numbers in C_k for odd k and all even numbers in C_k for even k . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| / n = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $B = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| / n = \frac{1}{2}$. Now consider $A \cap B$: This is the set of all even numbers in $[2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1}]$. In each interval like this, there are $2^k + 1$ even numbers. If n approaches ∞ in form of 2^{2k+1} , then this limit turns to be $\frac{1}{3}$; and if n approaches ∞ in form of 2^{2k} , this limit turns to be $\frac{1}{6}$.

This means this limit must diverge. i.e. $A \cap B$ does not have asymptotic density. Therefore, our set is not closed under finite intersection, it cannot be an algebra.

Finished.