## Part 1

Source 3

**Authority** 

**Audience** 

Objectivity

Accuracy

Currency

Publisher

Purpose

Style

Aesthetics and

Referencing

Scholarly purpose

The answer is too brief and not very illuminating, but it's not incorrect so we've given it a 1 ('Does this correctly')

The writer is incorrect, as saying that something has authority because it is from a book is not true, and we don't know if it's written by experts, so this one gets a 0 ('Doesn't do this OR answers incorrectly').

The answer is incorrect — just because something is in a book doesn't mean it's for a student audience, so this too gets a 0.

The learner's answer for objectivity is a bit unusual – just because an information source portrays positives and negatives doesn't mean it's objective – but they are vaguely correct because the source IS objective, so again we've been generous and given the learner a mark of 1 ('Does this correctly').

We find the answer for accuracy a little questionable – the presence of citations and graphs in themselves don't make it accurate – so we've given this one a zero.

The answer for currency is minimal, but accurate, so we'll give them a one for that.

This is minimal, but correct, so we'll give them  $\alpha$  one for this one.

We can't understand their answer for purpose, and they've missed that the purpose of the site is to sell books, so this one is a zero unfortunately.

Aesthetics and style is again minimal but adequate so we've given them a score of one.

> Just writing 'yes' for referencing doesn't really tell us anything, so it's a zero for this criterion.

Source 3			
Does the learner	Doesn't do this OR answers incorrectly (0 marks)	Does this correctly (1 mark)	Does this correctly AND to a high standard (2 marks)
consider scholarly purpose		/	
consider authority	1		
consider audience	1		
consider objectivity		/	
consider accuracy	1		
consider currency		/	
Additional factors for evaluating web	sources		
Publisher		/	
Purpose	1		
Aesthetics and Style		/	
Referencing	/		
TOTAL			5/20

Human Kinetics. (n.d.) Excerpts. Technology can have

http://www.humankinetics.com/excerpts/

It has authority because it is written by experts and it is from

The audience is a student audience because it is from a book,

Website presents positives and negatives so it has objectivity

Website is accurate because it has citations and graphs

r-on-social-

and negative impact on social interactions. Retr

y-can-have-positive-and-negative-imp

Scholarly purpose is good.

a book.

No date

.com so not good

Nice design and writing

Purpose is to give information so good

Additional factors for evaluating web sources

Yes