Towards better understanding of decentralized optimization using row and column stochastic matrices

Anonymous Authors

1 Related Works

[LLY: Hi Gan Luo, Please fill in related works you have read here. Don't forget to make some comments.]

1.1 Push-Pull Algorithm

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}(k+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\mathbf{x}_{j}(k) - \eta \mathbf{y}_{i}(k)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{i}(k+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij}(\mathbf{y}_{j}(k) + \mathbf{r}_{j}(k)), \text{ where } \mathbf{r}_{j}(k) = \nabla f_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}(k+1)) - \nabla f_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}(k))$$

$$a_{ij} > 0 \text{ if } j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}^{\text{in}}, \sum_{j}^{n} a_{ij} = 1, \forall i, \underline{A} = (a_{ij}) \text{ is row-stochastic, can be determined by the node } i \text{ itself}$$

$$b_{ij} > 0 \text{ if } i \in \mathcal{N}_{j}^{\text{out}}, \sum_{i}^{n} b_{ij} = 1, \forall j, \underline{B} = (b_{ij}) \text{ is column-stochastic, we can just let} b_{ij} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{j}^{\text{out}}|}$$

$$\mathbf{Remark:} \mathbf{y}_{i}(k) \text{ will approach to } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}(k)), \text{ the gradient of the aggregated cost function } f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x), \text{ so the first step of the algorithm will approach to a centralized gradient decent.}$$

1.2 work

$$\pi_l^T := (\pi_l^1, ..., \pi_l^n), \text{ then } \mathbf{w}^{(k)} = \mathbbm{1}_n \pi_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbbm{1}_n \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_l^i (x_i^{(k)})^T, \text{ so every row in } \mathbf{w}^{(k)} \text{ are } \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_l^i (x_i^{(k)})^T$$

So $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k+1)}$ is just considering the difference: $x_i^{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^i x_i^{(k+1)}, \forall i \in [1, ..., n]$, and we need to use error in kth iteration to estimate the error in k + 1th iteration.

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k+1)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k)} + \alpha\mathbb{1}\pi_l^T\mathbf{y}^{(k)}$$

$$= A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - A\mathbf{w}^{(k)} + A\mathbf{w}^{(k)} - \alpha\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k)} + \alpha\mathbb{1}\pi_l^T\mathbf{y}^{(k)}$$

$$? = (A - \mathbb{1}_n\pi_l^T)(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k)}) - \alpha(I_n - \mathbb{1}_n\pi_l^T)\mathbf{y}^{(k)}$$

Then we should consider the decent in gradient.

2 How to understand Push-Pull Gradient Tracking

2.1 Notations

Suppose that we have primitive row-stochastic matrix A, primitive column-stochastic matrix B satisfying $\pi_l^{\top} A = \pi_l^{\top}, A \mathbb{1}_n = \mathbb{1}_n, \mathbb{1}_n^T B = \mathbb{1}_n^T, B \pi_r = \pi_r$. Here π_l, π_r is the Perron vector whose sum is 1.

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha\mathbf{y}^{(k)} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)} = B\mathbf{y}^{(k)} + \mathbf{g}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(k)}$$
(2)

Define
$$\mathbf{w}^{(k)} = \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(k)}, \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n^T \mathbf{y}, v_B^{(k)} = B^k \mathbb{1}_n, J^{(k)} = \frac{1}{n} v_B^{(k+1)} \mathbb{1}_n^T, c^{(k)} = \pi_l^T v_B^{(k)}.$$

2.2 Consensus Error Part

Left multiply $\mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T$ on both side of (1), we have:

$$\mathbf{w}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(k)} - \alpha \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T \mathbf{y}^{(k)} \tag{3}$$

Then we unfold the iteration:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k+1)} = (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{w}^{(k)}) - \alpha(I_n - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)\mathbf{y}^{(k)}$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= -\alpha \sum_{i=0}^k (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)^i (I_n - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)\mathbf{y}^{(k-i)}$$

$$= -\alpha \sum_{i=0}^k (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)^i (I_n - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)(\mathbf{y}^{(k-i)} - J^{(k-i)}\mathbf{y}^{(k-i)}) - \alpha \sum_{i=0}^k (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)^i (v_B^{(k-i)} - c^{(k-i)}\mathbb{1}_n) \bar{y}^{(k-i)}$$

$$= -\alpha \sum_{i=0}^k (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)^i (I_n - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)(\mathbf{y}^{(k-i)} - J^{(k-i)}\mathbf{y}^{(k-i)}) - \alpha \sum_{i=0}^k (A - \mathbb{1}_n \pi_l^T)^i (v_B^{(k-i)} - c^{(k-i)}\mathbb{1}_n) \bar{g}^{(k-i)}$$

Where the last equation comes from (4). The first part is easy to control (see Sec 2.3). Could we find a bound for the second part?

2.3 Gradient Tracking Part

Firstly, by multiply $n^{-1}\mathbb{1}_n^T$ on each side of (2), we have the following relation:

$$\bar{y}^{(k+1)} = \bar{y}^{(k)} + \bar{g}^{(k+1)} - \bar{g}^{(k)} \tag{4}$$

Thus we have $\bar{y}^{(k)} = \bar{g}^{(k)}$. This means the average of $y^{(k)}$ is exactly tracking the global sum of gradients. It will be fantastic if $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha\bar{\mathbf{y}}^{(k)}$ because this nearly reduces to gradient descent. However, the model parameters are updated with \mathbf{y}_k instead of $\bar{\mathbf{y}}^{(k)}$. This is a gap and the error of $\mathbf{y} - \alpha\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ should be considered. According to Lemma B.2 in our previous work, we have

Lemma 1.

$$\|\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)} - J^{(k+1)}\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)}\|_{\pi}^{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \|(B^{k+1-i} - J^{(k+1)})(\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)})\|_{\pi}^{2}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{i=0}^{k} \langle (B^{k+1-i} - J^{(k+1)})(\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - J^{(i)}\mathbf{y}^{(i)}), (B^{k+1-i} - J^{(k+1)})(\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)})\rangle_{\pi}$$
(5)

To bound the first part, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2. The first term in Lemma 1 can be bounded as follow:

$$\mathbb{E}\|(B^{k+1-i} - J^{(k+1)})(\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)})\|_{\pi}^{2}$$

$$\leq \beta_{\pi}^{2(k+1-i)}(1+\delta)^{2} \left(3nc_{\pi}^{2}\sigma^{2} + 12L^{2}\kappa_{\pi}^{2}\beta_{\pi}^{2}\gamma^{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - J^{(i)}\mathbf{y}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \beta_{\pi}^{2(k+1-i)}(1+\delta)^{2} \left(6L^{2}\gamma^{2}\mathbb{E}\|\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + C_{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{w}^{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2}\right)$$

$$(6)$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\|(B^{k+1-i} - J^{(k+1)})(\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)})\|_{\pi}^{2} \le \beta_{\pi}^{2(k+1-i)}(1+\delta)^{2}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2}, \forall i \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Since both $\nabla f^{(i+1)}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ are $\mathcal{F}^{(i+1)}$ -measurable and $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}^{(i+1)}|\mathcal{F}^{(i+1)}] = \nabla f^{(i+1)}$, we have:

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2} \leq \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{g}^{(i+1)} - \nabla f^{(i+1)} \|_{\pi}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2} \\ & \leq n c_{\pi}^{2} \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2} \\ & \leq n c_{\pi}^{2} \sigma^{2} + 2 \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f^{(i+1)} - \nabla f^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2} + 2 \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f^{(i)} - \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2} \\ & \leq 3 n c_{\pi}^{2} \sigma^{2} + 2 L^{2} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \|_{\pi}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where the first inequality uses bounded noise assumption and the last inequality uses L-smoothness assumption.

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} \leq 3\|\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(i+1)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + 3\|\mathbf{w}^{(i+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + 3\|\mathbf{w}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2}$$

$$= 3\|\mathbf{w}^{(i+1)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(i+1)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + 3\gamma^{2}\|\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + 3\|\mathbf{w}^{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}(i)\|_{\pi}^{2}$$

$$\leq 6\kappa_{\pi}^{2}\beta_{\pi}^{2}\gamma^{2}\|\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - J^{(i)}\mathbf{y}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + 3\gamma^{2}\|\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2} + (3 + 6\kappa_{\pi}^{2}\beta_{\pi}^{2}(1 + \delta)^{2})\|\mathbf{w}^{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}\|_{\pi}^{2},$$
(8)

where the second inequality uses Jensen inequality. The proof follows by using (8) in (7).

References