

Fuzzy Logic for Image Processing

« What men really want is not knowledge but certainty. » Bertrand Russel





Application to image processing

- Segmentation of colour images
- Based on clustering techniques
 - Partition of a population (collection of data described by a set of features)
 - Assignment of each sample (data) to a cluster
- Some classical algorithms:
 - HCM (Hard C-Means ; not based on fuzzy logic);
 - 2. FCM (Fuzzy C-Means);
 - PCM (Possibilistic C-Means);
 - 4. Davé's algorithm.





A basic approach

- C-Means algorithm = a clustering method (1967).
- Aim:
 - Partition of a population (collection of data described by a set of features)
 - Assignment of each sample (data) to a cluster
- C-Means algorithm is not a fuzzy logic-based method.





C-means algorithm


- Principle of the C-means algorithm
 - Partition of a population (collection of data described by a set of features)
 - □ Assignment without ambiguity (∈ or ∉) of each sample (data) to a cluster

Algorithm:

- 1. Ramdom selection of *c* samples: **centroïds.**
- Assignment of every sample at the closest centroid (using a distance). Constitution of the clusters.
- Calculation of new centroïds: we take the mean, component by component, for all the samples of a cluster.
- 4. Back to step #2 until stabilization of the borders between the clusters.




C-means: step #1





C-means: final step







Method of C-means

- Drawbacks:
 - Sensitive to the initialization
 - Problems when considering non-digital variables (required to possess a measure of distance)
 - Translation in numerical values
 - Construction of matrices of distances
 - Problem of the choice of the number of centroids c
 - Problem of the choice of the normalization in the calculation of the distance (the same weight for every component)
 - Weighting factors, normalization, aggregation





- Generalization of the C-means algorithm
 - Fuzzy partition of the data
 - Membership functions to the clusters
- Problematic: find a fuzzy pseudo-partition and the centers of the associated clusters which better represents the structure of the data.
 - Use of a criterion allowing ensure the strong association within the cluster and a low association outside the cluster.
 - Performance index





Fuzzy pseudo-partition

Set of non-empty fuzzy subsets $\{A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_c\}$ Set of data (vector of k components): $X=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ $\forall x_j \in X=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}, \sum\limits_{i=1}^c \mu_{A_i}(x_j)=1$

Fuzzy C-partition

□ A fuzzy c-partition (c>0) of X is a family of c fuzzy subsets such as:

$$P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$$

$$\forall x_j \in X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \sum_{i=1}^c \mu_{A_i}(x_j) = 1$$

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad \mu_{A_i}(x_j) \in [0; 1] \quad 0 < \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{A_i}(x_j) < n$$





Let be $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ a set of data.

Each x_j can be a vector of features, i.e. $x_j = \left\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, \dots, x_{j,k}\right\}^t$.

Let $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$ a fuzzy partition of the data set.

The centroïds (prototypes) $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_c$ associated to the fuzzy partition are computed as it follows:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m}$$

with $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 1$, influence of the membership degrees (typically, m = 2).

U : matrix of the membership degrees of dimension c imes n

 ν_i : center of the fuzzy cluster A_i

- weighted mean of the data in A_i
- The weight of data x_j is the mth power of its membership degree to A_i .





Computation of the membership degrees:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad u_{ij} = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{c} \left(\frac{d^2(x_j, \nu_i)}{d^2(x_j, \nu_k)}\right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\right]^{-1}$$





Performance index of a fuzzy partition

Performance index of P:

$$J_{FCM}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j) \right]^m ||x_j - \nu_i||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^m . d_{ij}^2$$

 $\|\|$: norm on \mathbb{R}^k Lower is J(P), better is P.

- The index of performance is an objective function. Its aim is to optimize the data partition in *c* clusters.
- The algorithm is iterative. Several iterations are made until obtaining a stable partition of the data (minimization of $J_{FCM}(P)$).





Algorithme du FCM :

- 1. Choisir le nombre de classes : c // Information à priori, algorithme supervisé.
- 2. Initialise la matrice de partition U, ainsi que les centres c_k (initialisation aléatoire);
- 3. Faire évoluer la matrice de partition et les centres suivant les deux équations :
 - (1) $u_{ik} = \mathbf{1} \ / \ \left(\sum_{j=1,c} (d_{ik} / d_{ij})^{(2/(m-1))} \right)$, // mise à jour des degrés d'appartenances, où : $d_{ij} = ||x_i c_j||$,
 - (2) $c_k = (\sum_i (u_{ik})^m, x_i) / (\sum_i (u_{ik})^m)$, // mise à jours des centres.
- 4. Test d'arrêt : $|J^{(t+1)}-J^{(t)}| < seuil$.






Some comments

- FCM algorithm minimizes a weighted sum of the squared distances between vectors to group together and the centers of the clusters.
- The membership degree of any element (vector) to a given cluster has to be all the more raised that the vector is a typical element of the cluster.
- Gustafson and Keller have proposed a modified version of FCM for nonspherical distributions of data.









RGB color space













Segmented image



RGB color space









RGB color space








Image




Segmented image






Noisy Image



RGB color space





Segmented image





HCM (Hard C-Means)

Some steps backward

Let be $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ a set of data.

Each x_j can be a vector of features, i.e. $x_j = \left\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, \dots, x_{j,k}\right\}^t$.

Let $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$ a partition of the data set.

The centroïds (prototypes) $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_c$ associated to the fuzzy partition are computed as it follows:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m}$$





HCM (Hard C-Means)

Some steps backward

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m . x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{ij}^m . x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^m}$$

Computation of the membership degrees:

$$\forall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,c\}, \forall j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \quad u_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ iif } d^2(x_j,\nu_i) < d^2(x_j,\nu_k) & \forall k \neq i \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Hard assignment: $x_j \in A_i$ or $x_j \notin A_i$





HCM (Hard C-Means)

Performance index

Performance index of P:

$$J_{HCM}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} ||x_{j} - \nu_{i}||^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} .d_{ij}^{2}$$

 $\| \|$: norm on \mathbb{R}^k

m=1

Lower is J(P), better is P.

- The index of performance is an objective function. Its aim is to optimize the data partition in *c* clusters.
- The algorithm is iterative. Several iterations are made until obtaining a stable partition of the data (minimization of $J_{FCM}(P)$).





Introduction

PCM (Possibilistic C-Means) is a variant of the FCM algorithm [Krishnapuram & Keller].

<u>Aim</u>: to be more robust in presence of noise.

Comments:

- The PCM algorithm aims to overcome the relative behaviour of the membership degrees provided in FCM: a vector is « shared » between the different clusters.
- Krishnapuram and Keller replace the notion of membership by the notion of typicality.
- ■The result of a clustering should describe the absolute relationship between a vector and each of the c clusters independently of the relationship between the vector and the (c-1) other clusters .





Details

- The membership degrees given by PCM are not relative degrees, they are absolute values reflecting the strength with which each vector belongs to all the clusters.
- The elimination of the interferences between the different prototypes needs to define a new objective function (performance index) for the optimization of the partition.
- Remark: only one of the membership degrees of a vector to be classified has to be not equal to zero.





Let be $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ a set of data.

Each x_j can be a vector of features, i.e. $x_j = \left\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, \dots, x_{j,k}\right\}^t$.

Let $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$ a fuzzy partition of the data set.

The centroïds (prototypes) $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_c$ associated to the fuzzy partition are computed as it follows:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m}$$

with $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 1$, influence of the membership degrees (typically, m = 2).

U : matrix of the membership degrees of dimension c imes n

 ν_i : center of the fuzzy cluster A_i

- weighted mean of the data in A_i
- The weight of data x_i is the mth power of its membership degree to A_i .





Formulas

$$P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$$

$$\forall x_j \in X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \sum_{i=1}^c \mu_{A_i}(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^c u_{ij} = 1$$

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad \mu_{A_i}(x_j) \in [0; 1]$$

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} < n \\ \max_i u_{ij} > 0 \end{cases}$$





Performance index

Performance index of P:

$$J_{PCM}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} \|x_{j} - \nu_{i}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \eta_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[1 - u_{ij} \right]^{m}$$

$$J_{PCM}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} .d_{ij}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \eta_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[1 - u_{ij} \right]^{m}$$

A penality term which avoids the trivial solution $u_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall i \text{ and } \forall j$

 η_i : squared distance between the center of the cluster A_i and the set of vector having a membership degree to this cluster equal to 0.5

The membership degree of a vector to a specific cluster only depends on the distance to the cluster (degree of typicality). It allows to detect absurd data (outliers).

29





Performance index

Performance index of P:

$$J_{PCM}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} . d_{ij}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \eta_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[1 - u_{ij} \right]^{m}$$

In practice:
$$\eta_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m.d_{ij}^2}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m}$$

Also:
$$\eta_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{x_j \in (\Pi_i)_{\alpha}} d_{ij}^2}{|(\Pi_i)_{\alpha}|}$$
 with $(\Pi_i)_{\alpha}$ an α -cut of Π_i






Computation of the membership degrees:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad u_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{d^2(x_j, \nu_i)}{\eta_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{d^2(x_j, \nu_i)}{\eta_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}}$$









RGB color space













Segmented Image




RGB color space





Noisy Image




RGB color space





Example



Noisy Image

Segmented image





Let be $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ a set of data.

Each x_j can be a vector of features, i.e. $x_j = \left\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, \dots, x_{j,k}\right\}^t$.

Let $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_c\}$ a fuzzy partition of the data set.

The centroïds (prototypes) $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_c$ associated to the fuzzy partition are computed as it follows:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left[\mu_{A_i}(x_j)\right]^m} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m . x_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^m}$$

with $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 1$, influence of the membership degrees (typically, m = 2).

U: matrix of the membership degrees of dimension $c \times n$

 ν_i : center of the fuzzy cluster A_i

- weighted mean of the data in A_i
- The weight of data x_j is the mth power of its membership degree to A_i .





Introduction of a "noisy" cluster (rejection option):

$$\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad u_{\star j} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij}$$

The cluster of noise (rejection) allows to collect outliers (absurd data) which seem to be different compared with « normal » data.





Performance index

Performance index of P:

$$J_{Dav}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[u_{ij} \right]^{m} ||x_{j} - \nu_{i}||^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta^{2} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij} \right)^{m}$$


 δ : a fixed distance of the cluster of noise to all the vectors.

 δ allows to control the ratio of outliers (absurd data).

$$\delta^2 = \lambda \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[d_{ij} \right]^2}{n c}$$

 δ^2 has to be updated at each iteration.







This is the end of this part!

