Chapter 3: The Reinforcement Learning Problem

Objectives of this chapter:

- describe the RL problem we will be studying for the remainder of the course
- present idealized form of the RL problem for which we have precise theoretical results;
- introduce key components of the mathematics: value functions and Bellman equations;
- describe trade-offs between applicability and mathematical tractability.

The Agent-Environment Interface



Agent and environment interact at discrete time steps: $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

Agent observes state at step t: $s_t \in S$

produces action at step t: $a_t \in A(s_t)$

gets resulting reward: $r_{t+1} \in \Re$

and resulting next state: s_{t+1}



The Agent Learns a Policy

Policy at step t, π_t :

a mapping from states to action probabilities

$\pi_t(s,a) =$ probability that $a_t = a$ when $s_t = s$

- Reinforcement learning methods specify how the agent changes its policy as a result of experience.
- Roughly, the agent's goal is to get as much reward as it can over the long run.

Getting the Degree of Abstraction Right

- Time steps need not refer to fixed intervals of real time.
- Actions can be low level (e.g., voltages to motors), or high level (e.g., accept a job offer), “mental” (e.g., shift in focus of attention), etc.
- States can low-level “sensations”, or they can be abstract, symbolic, based on memory, or subjective (e.g., the state of being “surprised” or “lost”).
- An RL agent is not like a whole animal or robot, which consist of many RL agents as well as other components.
- The environment is not necessarily unknown to the agent, only incompletely controllable.
- Reward computation is in the agent’s environment because the agent cannot change it arbitrarily.

Goals and Rewards

- Is a scalar reward signal an adequate notion of a goal?—maybe not, but it is surprisingly flexible.
- A goal should specify **what** we want to achieve, not **how** we want to achieve it.
- A goal must be outside the agent's direct control—thus outside the agent.
- The agent must be able to measure success:
  - explicitly;
  - frequently during its lifespan.

Returns

Suppose the sequence of rewards after step t is :

$$r_{t+1}, r_{t+2}, r_{t+3}, \dots$$

What do we want to maximize?

In general,

we want to maximize the **expected return**, $E\{R_t\}$, for each step t.

Episodic tasks: interaction breaks naturally into episodes, e.g., plays of a game, trips through a maze.

$$R_t = r_{t+1} + r_{t+2} + \cdots + r_T,$$

where T is a final time step at which a **terminal state** is reached, ending an episode.

Returns for Continuing Tasks

Continuing tasks: interaction does not have natural episodes.

Discounted return:

$$R_t = r_{t+1} + \gamma r_{t+2} + \gamma^2 r_{t+3} + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1},$$

where γ, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, is the **discount rate**.

shortsighted $0 \leftarrow \gamma \rightarrow 1$ farsighted

An Example



Avoid **failure:** the pole falling beyond a critical angle or the cart hitting end of track.

As an **episodic task** where episode ends upon failure:

$$\text{reward} = +1 \text{ for each step before failure}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \text{return} = \text{number of steps before failure}$$

As a **continuing task** with discounted return:

$$\text{reward} = -1 \text{ upon failure; } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \text{return} = -\gamma^k, \text{ for } k \text{ steps before failure}$$

In either case, return is maximized by avoiding failure for as long as possible.

Another Example



Get to the top of the hill as quickly as possible.

reward $= -1$ for each step where **not** at top of hill

$\Rightarrow$ return $= -$ number of steps before reaching top of hill

Return is maximized by minimizing number of steps reach the top of the hill.

A Unified Notation

- In episodic tasks, we number the time steps of each episode starting from zero.
- We usually do not have distinguish between episodes, so we write s_t instead of $s_{t,j}$ for the state at step *t* of episode *j*.
- Think of each episode as ending in an absorbing state that always produces reward of zero:



- We can cover all cases by writing $R_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1},$

where γ can be 1 only if a zero reward absorbing state is always reached.

The Markov Property

- By "the state" at step *t*, the book means whatever information is available to the agent at step *t* about its environment.
- The state can include immediate "sensations," highly processed sensations, and structures built up over time from sequences of sensations.
- Ideally, a state should summarize past sensations so as to retain all "essential" information, i.e., it should have the **Markov Property**:

$$\Pr\left\{s_{t+1} = s', r_{t+1} = r \mid s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \ldots, r_1, s_0, a_0\right\} = \Pr\left\{s_{t+1} = s', r_{t+1} = r \mid s_t, a_t\right\}$$

for all s', r, and histories $s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \ldots, r_1, s_0, a_0$.

Markov Decision Processes

- If a reinforcement learning task has the Markov Property, it is basically a **Markov Decision Process (MDP)**.
- If state and action sets are finite, it is a **finite MDP**.
- To define a finite MDP, you need to give:
  - **state and action sets**
  - one-step "dynamics" defined by **transition probabilities**:

$$P_{ss'}^{a} = \Pr\left\{s_{t+1} = s' \mid s_t = s, a_t = a\right\} \quad \text{for all } s, s' \in S,\ a \in A(s).$$

  - **reward probabilities**:

$$R_{ss'}^{a} = E\left\{r_{t+1} \mid s_t = s, a_t = a, s_{t+1} = s'\right\} \quad \text{for all } s, s' \in S,\ a \in A(s).$$

An Example Finite MDP

Recycling Robot

- At each step, robot has to decide whether it should (1) actively search for a can, (2) wait for someone to bring it a can, or (3) go to home base and recharge.
- Searching is better but runs down the battery; if runs out of power while searching, has to be rescued (which is bad).
- Decisions made on basis of current energy level: `high`, `low`.
- Reward = number of cans collected

Recycling Robot MDP

$S = \{\texttt{high}, \texttt{low}\}$

$A(\texttt{high}) = \{\texttt{search}, \texttt{wait}\}$

$A(\texttt{low}) = \{\texttt{search}, \texttt{wait}, \texttt{recharge}\}$

$R^{\texttt{search}} =$ expected no. of cans while searching

$R^{\texttt{wait}} =$ expected no. of cans while waiting

$R^{\texttt{search}} > R^{\texttt{wait}}$



Value Functions

- The **value of a state** is the expected return starting from that state; depends on the agent's policy:

State - value function for policy π :

$$V^{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi}\left\{R_t \mid s_t = s\right\} = E_{\pi}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s\right\}$$

- The **value of taking an action in a state under policy π** is the expected return starting from that state, taking that action, and thereafter following π :

Action - value function for policy π :

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = E_{\pi}\left\{R_t \mid s_t = s, a_t = a\right\} = E_{\pi}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, a_t = a\right\}$$

Bellman Equation for a Policy π

The basic idea:

$$\begin{aligned} R_t &= r_{t+1} + \gamma r_{t+2} + \gamma^2 r_{t+3} + \gamma^3 r_{t+4} \cdots \\ &= r_{t+1} + \gamma \left(r_{t+2} + \gamma r_{t+3} + \gamma^2 r_{t+4} \cdots \right) \\ &= r_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= E_\pi \left\{ R_t \middle| s_t = s \right\} \\ &= E_\pi \left\{ r_{t+1} + \gamma V\left(s_{t+1}\right) \middle| s_t = s \right\} \end{aligned}}$$

Or, without the expectation operator:

$$V^\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(s,a) \sum_{s'} P_{ss'}^a \left[R_{ss'}^a + \gamma V^\pi(s') \right]$$

More on the Bellman Equation

$$V^\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(s,a) \sum_{s'} P^a_{ss'} \left[R^a_{ss'} + \gamma V^\pi(s') \right]$$

This is a set of equations (in fact, linear), one for each state.
The value function for π is its unique solution.

Backup diagrams:



for V^π for Q^π

Gridworld

- Actions: `north`, `south`, `east`, `west`; deterministic.
- If would take agent off the grid: no move but reward = –1
- Other actions produce reward = 0, except actions that move agent out of special states A and B as shown.



3.3	8.8	4.4	5.3	1.5
1.5	3.0	2.3	1.9	0.5
0.1	0.7	0.7	0.4	-0.4
-1.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.6	-1.2
-1.9	-1.3	-1.2	-1.4	-2.0

State-value function for equiprobable random policy; $\gamma = 0.9$

Golf

- State is ball location
- Reward of –1 for each stroke until the ball is in the hole
- Value of a state?
- Actions:
  - `putt` (use putter)
  - `driver` (use driver)
- `putt` succeeds anywhere on the green



Optimal Value Functions

- For finite MDPs, policies can be **partially ordered**:

$$\pi \geq \pi' \quad \text{if and only if} \quad V^{\pi}(s) \geq V^{\pi'}(s) \ \text{ for all } s \in S$$

- There is always at least one (and possibly many) policies that is better than or equal to all the others. This is an **optimal policy**. We denote them all $\pi *$.
- Optimal policies share the same **optimal state-value function**:

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s) \quad \text{for all } \ s \in S$$

- Optimal policies also share the same **optimal action-value function**:

$$Q^{*}(s,a) = \max_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s,a) \ \text{ for all } \ s \in S \text{ and } a \in A(s)$$

This is the expected return for taking action a in state s and thereafter following an optimal policy.

Optimal Value Function for Golf

- We can hit the ball farther with `driver` than with `putter`, but with less accuracy
- $Q^*(s,$`driver`$)$ gives the value or using `driver` first, then using whichever actions are best



Bellman Optimality Equation for *V**

The value of a state under an optimal policy must equal the expected return for the best action from that state:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^*(s) &= \max_{a \in A(s)} Q^{\pi^*}(s,a) \\
&= \max_{a \in A(s)} E\left\{ r_{t+1} + \gamma V^*(s_{t+1}) \middle| s_t = s, a_t = a \right\} \\
&= \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P_{ss'}^a \left[R_{ss'}^a + \gamma V^*(s') \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The relevant backup diagram:

(a)



V^* is the unique solution of this system of nonlinear equations.

Bellman Optimality Equation for *Q**

$$Q^*(s,a) = E\left\{ r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(s_{t+1},a') \,\middle|\, s_t = s, a_t = a \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{s'} P^a_{ss'} \left[R^a_{ss'} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(s',a') \right]$$

The relevant backup diagram:



Q^* is the unique solution of this system of nonlinear equations.

Why Optimal State-Value Functions are Useful

Any policy that is greedy with respect to V^* is an optimal policy.

Therefore, given V^*, one-step-ahead search produces the long-term optimal actions.

E.g., back to the gridworld:



a) gridworld

22.0	24.4	22.0	19.4	17.5
19.8	22.0	19.8	17.8	16.0
17.8	19.8	17.8	16.0	14.4
16.0	17.8	16.0	14.4	13.0
14.4	16.0	14.4	13.0	11.7

b) V^*



c) π^*

What About Optimal Action-Value Functions?

Given Q^*, the agent does not even have to do a one-step-ahead search:

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A(s)} Q^*(s,a)$$

Solving the Bellman Optimality Equation

- Finding an optimal policy by solving the Bellman Optimality Equation requires the following:
  - accurate knowledge of environment dynamics;
  - we have enough space an time to do the computation;
  - the Markov Property.
- How much space and time do we need?
  - polynomial in number of states (via dynamic programming methods; Chapter 4),
  - BUT, number of states is often huge (e.g., backgammon has about 10**20 states).
- We usually have to settle for approximations.
- Many RL methods can be understood as approximately solving the Bellman Optimality Equation.

Summary

- Agent-environment interaction
  - States
  - Actions
  - Rewards
- Policy: stochastic rule for selecting actions
- Return: the function of future rewards agent tries to maximize
- Episodic and continuing tasks
- Markov Property
- Markov Decision Process
  - Transition probabilities
  - Expected rewards
- Value functions
  - State-value function for a policy
  - Action-value function for a policy
  - Optimal state-value function
  - Optimal action-value function
- Optimal value functions
- Optimal policies
- Bellman Equations
- The need for approximation