



LANGUAGE SYSTEMS



Outline

- The classical sequence
- Variations on the classical sequence
- Binding times
- Debuggers
- Runtime support


THE CLASSICAL SEQUENCE



The Classical Sequence

- Integrated development environments are wonderful, but...
- Old-fashioned, un-integrated systems make the steps involved in running a program more clear
- We will look the classical sequence of steps involved in running a program
- (The example is generic: details vary from machine to machine)

The Classical Sequence



Creating

- The programmer uses an editor to create a text file containing the program
- A high-level language: machine independent
- This C-like example program calls **fred** 100 times, passing each **i** from 1 to 100:


```
int i;
void main() {
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)
        fred(i);
}
```

Compiling

- Compiler translates to assembly language
- Machine-specific
- Each line represents either a piece of data, or a single machine-level instruction
- Programs used to be written directly in assembly language, before Fortran (1957)
- Now used directly only when the compiler does not do what you want, which is rare

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

compiler



```
i:      data word 0  
main:   move 1 to i  
t1:     compare i with 100  
        jump to t2 if greater  
        push i  
        call fred  
        add 1 to i  
        go to t1  
t2:     return
```



Assembling

- Assembly language is still not directly executable
 - *Still text format, readable by people*
 - *Still has names, not memory addresses*
- Assembler converts each assembly-language instruction into the machine's binary format: its *machine language*
- Resulting object file not readable by people

```

i:      data word 0
main:   move 1 to i
t1:     compare i with 100
        jump to t2 if greater
        push i
        call fred
        add 1 to i
        go to t1
t2:     return

```



i:


0

main:

xxxx i
xx i x
xxxxxx
xxxx i
x fred
xxxx i
xxxxxx
xxxxxx

Linking

- Object file *still* not directly executable
 - *Missing some parts*
 - *Still has some names*
 - *Mostly machine language, but not entirely*
- Linker collects and combines all the different parts
- In our example, **fred** was compiled separately, and may even have been written in a different high-level language
- Result is the executable file






Loading

- “Executable” file *still* not directly executable
 - *Still has some names*
 - *Mostly machine language, but not entirely*
- Final step: when the program is run, the loader loads it into memory and replaces names with addresses

A Word About Memory

- For our example, we are assuming a very simple kind of memory architecture
- Memory organized as an array of bytes
- Index of each byte in this array is its *address*
- Before loading, language system does not know where in this array the program will be placed
- Loader finds an address for every piece and replaces names with addresses



Running

- After loading, the program is entirely machine language
 - *All names have been replaced with memory addresses*
- Processor begins executing its instructions, and the program runs

About Optimization

- Code generated by a compiler is usually *optimized* to make it faster, smaller, or both
- Other optimizations may be done by the assembler, linker, and/or loader
- A misnomer: the resulting code is better, but not guaranteed to be optimal

Example

- Original code:

```
int i = 0;
while (i < 100) {
    a[i++] = x*x*x;
}
```

- Improved code, with loop invariant moved:

```
int i = 0;
int temp = x*x*x;
while (i < 100) {
    a[i++] = temp;
}
```


Example

- Loop invariant removal is handled by most compilers
- That is, most compilers generate the same efficient code from both of the previous examples
- So it is a waste of the programmer's time to make the transformation manually

Other Optimizations

- Some, like LIR, add variables
- Others remove variables, remove code, add code, move code around, etc.
- All make the connection between source code and object code more complicated
- A simple question, such as “What assembly language code was generated for this statement?” may have a complicated answer

VARIATIONS ON THE CLASSICAL SEQUENCE



Variation: Hiding The Steps

- Many language systems make it possible to do the compile-assemble-link part with one command
- Example: **gcc** command on a Unix system:

```
gcc main.c
```

Compile-assemble-link

```
gcc main.c -S  
as main.s -o main.o  
ld ...
```

Compile, then assemble, then
link

Compiling to Object Code

- Many modern compilers incorporate all the functionality of an assembler
- They generate object code directly

Variation: Integrated Development Environments

- A single interface for editing, running and debugging programs
- Integration can add power at every step:
 - *Editor knows language syntax*
 - *System may keep a database of source code (not individual text files) and object code*
 - *System may maintain versions, coordinate collaboration*
 - *Rebuilding after incremental changes can be coordinated, like Unix **make** but language-specific*
 - *Debuggers can benefit (more on this in a minute...)*

Variation: Interpreters

- To *interpret* a program is to carry out the steps it specifies, without first translating into a lower-level language
- Interpreters are usually much slower
 - *Compiling takes more time up front, but program runs at hardware speed*
 - *Interpreting starts right away, but each step must be processed in software*
- Sounds like a simple distinction...

Virtual Machines

- A language system can produce code in a machine language for which there is no hardware: an *intermediate code*
- Virtual machine must be simulated in software – interpreted, in fact
- Language system may do the whole classical sequence, but then interpret the resulting intermediate-code program
- Why?

Why Virtual Machines

- Cross-platform execution
 - *Virtual machine can be implemented in software on many different platforms*
 - *Simulating physical machines is harder*
- Heightened security
 - *Running program is never directly in charge*
 - *Interpreter can intervene if the program tries to do something it shouldn't*

The Java Virtual Machine

- Java languages systems usually compile to code for a virtual machine: the JVM
- JVM language is sometimes called *bytecode*
- Bytecode interpreter is part of almost every Web browser
- When you browse a page that contains a Java applet, the browser runs the applet by interpreting its bytecode

Intermediate Language Spectrum

- Pure interpreter
 - *Intermediate language = high-level language*
- Tokenizing interpreter
 - *Intermediate language = token stream*
- Intermediate-code compiler
 - *Intermediate language = virtual machine language*
- Native-code compiler
 - *Intermediate language = physical machine language*

Delayed Linking

- Delay linking step
- Code for library functions is not included in the executable file of the calling program

Delayed Linking: Windows

- Libraries of functions for delayed linking are stored in **.dll** files: dynamic-link library
- Many language systems share this format
- Two flavors
 - *Load-time dynamic linking*
 - Loader finds **.dll** files (which may already be in memory) and links the program to functions it needs, just before running
 - *Run-time dynamic linking*
 - Running program makes explicit system calls to find **.dll** files and load specific functions

Delayed Linking: Unix

- Libraries of functions for delayed linking are stored in `.so` files: shared object
- Suffix `.so` followed by version number
- Many language systems share this format
- Two flavors
 - *Shared libraries*
 - Loader links the program to functions it needs before running
 - *Dynamically loaded libraries*
 - Running program makes explicit system calls to find library files and load specific functions

Delayed Linking: Java

- JVM automatically loads and links classes when a program uses them
- Class loader does a lot of work:
 - *May load across Internet*
 - *Thoroughly checks loaded code to make sure it complies with JVM requirements*

Delayed Linking Advantages

- Multiple programs can share a copy of library functions: one copy on disk and in memory
- Library functions can be updated independently of programs: all programs use repaired library code next time they run
- Can avoid loading code that is never used

Profiling

- The classical sequence runs twice
- First run of the program collects statistics: parts most frequently executed, for example
- Second compilation uses this information to help generate better code

Dynamic Compilation

- Some compiling takes place after the program starts running
- Many variations:
 - *Compile each function only when called*
 - *Start by interpreting, compile only those pieces that are called frequently*
 - *Compile roughly at first (for instance, to intermediate code); spend more time on frequently executed pieces (for instance, compile to native code and optimize)*
- Just-in-time (JIT) compilation

BINDING TIMES



Binding

- Binding means associating two things—especially, associating some property with an identifier from the program
- In our example program:
 - *What set of values is associated with **int**?*
 - *What is the type of **fred**?*
 - *What is the address of the object code for **main**?*
 - *What is the value of **i**?*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

Binding Times

- Different bindings take place at different times
- There is a standard way of describing binding times with reference to the classical sequence:
 - *Language definition time*
 - *Language implementation time*
 - *Compile time*
 - *Link time*
 - *Load time*
 - *Runtime*

Language Definition Time

- Some properties are bound when the language is defined:
 - *Meanings of keywords: **void**, **for**, etc.*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```


Language Implementation Time

- Some properties are bound when the language system is written:
 - *range of values of type **int** in C (but in Java, these are part of the language definition)*
 - *implementation limitations: max identifier length, max number of array dimensions, etc*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

Compile Time

- Some properties are bound when the program is compiled or prepared for interpretation:
 - *Types of variables, in languages like C and ML that use static typing*
 - *Declaration that goes with a given use of a variable, in languages that use static scoping (most languages)*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

Link Time

- Some properties are bound when separately-compiled program parts are combined into one executable file by the linker:
 - *Object code for external function names*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

Load Time

- Some properties are bound when the program is loaded into the computer's memory, but before it runs:
 - *Memory locations for code for functions*
 - *Memory locations for static variables*

```
int i;  
void main() {  
    for (i=1; i<=100; i++)  
        fred(i);  
}
```

Run Time

- Some properties are bound only when the code in question is executed:
 - *Values of variables*
 - *Types of variables, in languages like Lisp that use dynamic typing*
 - *Declaration that goes with a given use of a variable (in languages that use dynamic scoping)*
- Also called *late* or *dynamic* binding (everything before run time is *early* or *static*)

Late Binding, Early Binding

- The most important question about a binding time: late or early?
 - *Late: generally, this is more flexible at runtime (as with types, dynamic loading, etc.)*
 - *Early: generally, this is faster and more secure at runtime (less to do, less that can go wrong)*
- You can tell a lot about a language by looking at the binding times

DEBUGGERS

Debugging Features

- Examine a snapshot, such as a core dump
- Examine a running program on the fly
 - *Single stepping, breakpointing, modifying variables*
- Modify currently running program
 - *Recompile, relink, reload parts while program runs*
- Advanced debugging features require an integrated development environment

Debugging Information

- Where is it executing?
- What is the traceback of calls leading there?
- What are the values of variables?
- Source-level information from machine-level code
 - *Variables and functions by name*
 - *Code locations by source position*
- Connection between levels can be hard to maintain, for example because of optimization

RUNTIME SUPPORT

Runtime Support

- Additional code the linker includes even if the program does not refer to it explicitly
 - *Startup processing: initializing the machine state*
 - *Exception handling: reacting to exceptions*
 - *Memory management: allocating memory, reusing it when the program is finished with it*
 - *Operating system interface: communicating between running program and operating system for I/O, etc.*
- An important hidden player in language systems

Conclusion

- Language systems implement languages
- Today: a quick introduction
- More implementation issues later, especially:
 - *Chapter 12: memory locations for variables*
 - *Chapter 14: memory management*
 - *Chapter 18: parameters*
 - *Chapter 21: cost models*