

Exercise Set 2

Fall 2020

Exercise 2.1 Let $W_t, t \geq 0$ be a Brownian Motion, show that

- (a) W_t^3 is not a martingale
- (b) $W_t^3 - 3tW_t$ is a martingale.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_t^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[W_s^3 + 3W_s^2(W_t - W_s) + 3W_s(W_t - W_s)^2 + (W_t - W_s)^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W_s^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[3W_s^2(W_t - W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[3W_s(W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[(W_t - W_s)^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= W_s^3 + 0 + 3W_s(t - s) + 0 \\ &= W_s^3 + 3(t - s)W_s.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, W_t^3 is not a martingale.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_t^3 - 3tW_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[W_t^3 | \mathcal{F}_s] - \mathbb{E}[3tW_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= W_s^3 + 3(t - s)W_s - 3t\mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= W_s^3 + 3(t - s)W_s - 3tW_s \\ &= W_s^3 - 3sW_s.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $W_t^3 - 3tW_t$ is a martingale.

Exercise 2.2. Compute the stochastic differential dZ when

- (a) $Z(t) = e^{\alpha t}$
- (b) $Z(t) = \int_0^t g(s) dW_s$, where g is an adapted stochastic process
- (c) $Z(t) = e^{\alpha W_t}$

Solution:

$$dZ(t) = e^{\alpha t} \alpha dt = \alpha Z(t) dt.$$

$$dZ(t) = g(t) dW_t.$$

$$dZ(t) = e^{\alpha W_t} \alpha dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha W_t} \alpha^2 dt = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} Z(t) dt + \alpha Z(t) dW(t).$$

Exercise 2.3. Let X and Y be given as solutions to the following system of stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}dX &= \alpha X dt - Y dW, & X(0) &= x_0, \\dY &= \alpha Y dt + X dW, & Y(0) &= y_0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the initial values x_0, y_0 are deterministic constants.

- (a) Prove that the process R defined by $R(t) = X^2(t) + Y^2(t)$ is deterministic.
- (b) Compute $\mathbb{E}[X(t)]$.

Solution: We apply the Itô formula to $R = R(X, Y)$:

$$\begin{aligned}dR &= \partial_X R dX + \partial_Y R dY + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{XX} R dX^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{YY} R dY^2 \\&= 2X dX + 2Y dY + dX^2 + dY^2 \\&= 2\alpha X^2 dt - 2XY dW + 2\alpha Y^2 dt + 2XY dW + Y^2 dt + X^2 dt \\&= (2\alpha + 1)(X^2 + Y^2) dt = (2\alpha + 1)R dt.\end{aligned}$$

The absence of the diffusion term shows that the process $R(t)$ is deterministic.

Integrate the SDE for $X(t)$:

$$X(t) = X(0) + \alpha \int_0^t X(s) ds - \int_0^t Y(s) dW_s.$$

Take the expectation on both sides:

$$\mathbb{E}_0[X(t)] = X(0) + \alpha \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_0[X(s)] ds.$$

Now replace the expectations:

$$m(t) = m(0) + \alpha \int_0^t m(s) ds.$$

Or in differential form:

$$dm(t) = \alpha m(t) dt.$$

We know that the solution to this differential equation is:

$$m(t) = m(0)e^{\alpha t} = X(0)e^{\alpha t}.$$

And hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_0[X(t)] = m(t) = X(0)e^{\alpha t}.$$

Exercise 2.4. Is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,

$$dX(t) = \theta(\mu - X(t))dt + \sigma dW(t), \quad \theta, \mu, \sigma > 0,$$

a martingale?

Solution: Let us first define $Y(t) = X(t) - \mu$. Then

$$dY(t) = dX(t) - 0 = \theta(\mu - X(t))dt + \sigma dW(t) = -\theta Y(t)dt + \sigma dW(t).$$

Obviously, if $X(t)$ is a martingale, then $Y(t)$ is also a martingale.

Define $Z(t) = e^{\theta t}Y(t)$. Using Itô's Lemma we get:

$$dZ(t) = \theta e^{\theta t}Y(t)dt + e^{\theta t}dY(t) = \sigma e^{\theta t}dW(t).$$

In integral form:

$$Z(t) = Z(0) + \sigma \int_0^t e^{\theta u}dW(u).$$

For $t > s$ we can write:

$$Z(t) = Z(s) + \sigma \int_s^t e^{\theta u}dW(u).$$

Substituting $Y(t)$ into the equation gives:

$$Y(t) = e^{-\theta(t-s)}Y(s) + \sigma \int_s^t e^{-\theta(t-u)}dW(u).$$

Taking the conditional expectation we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = e^{-\theta(t-s)}Y(s) + 0 = e^{-\theta(t-s)}Y(s).$$

Or for $X(t)$

$$\mathbb{E}[X(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mu + e^{-\theta(t-s)}(X(s) - \mu) + 0 = e^{-\theta(t-s)}(X(s) - \mu).$$

Unless $\theta = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[X(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] \neq X(s)$ and thus the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is not a martingale.

Exercise 2.5. Let $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ be two uncorrelated Wiener processes, i.e. $dW_1dW_2 = 0$. And let stochastic process $Y(t)$ be defined as:

$$Y(t) = W_1(t)W_2(t).$$

Use the multi-dimensional Itô's lemma to find $dY(t)$. Additionally, based on the expression of $dY(t)$, argue if $Y(t)$ is a martingale or not.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= W_2(t)dW_1(t) + W_1(t)dW_2(t) + dW_1(t)dW_2(t) \\ &= W_2(t)dW_1(t) + W_1(t)dW_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Stochastic process $Y(t)$ is a martingale (no drift term).

Exercise 2.6. Suppose we have the following SDE for stochastic variance:

$$d\sigma_t^2 = -\kappa(\sigma_t^2 - \sigma^2)dt + \sigma^\sigma \sigma_t dW_t^V, \quad \sigma_0^2 = \sigma^2,$$

where W^V is Brownian Motions under the real-world probability measure \mathbb{P} and r the continuously compounded risk free interest rate.

(a) Derive $d \exp(\kappa t) \sigma_t^2$ and show that:

$$\sigma_t^2 = e^{-\kappa t} \left\{ \sigma_0^2 + \kappa \sigma^2 \int_0^t e^{\kappa u} du + \int_0^t e^{\kappa u} \sigma^\sigma \sigma_u dW_u^V \right\}.$$

(b) Derive the expression for σ_{t+h}^2 conditional on σ_t^2 .

(c) Compute $\mathbb{E}_t[\sigma_{t+h}^2]$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} d \exp(\kappa t) \sigma_t^2 &= \kappa \exp(\kappa t) \sigma_t^2 dt + \exp(\kappa t) d\sigma_t^2 \\ &= \kappa \exp(\kappa t) \exp(\kappa t) (-\kappa(\sigma_t^2 - \sigma^2)dt + \sigma^\sigma \sigma_t dW_t^V) \\ &= \kappa \sigma^2 \exp(\kappa t) dt + \exp(\kappa t) \sigma^\sigma \sigma_t dW_t^V. \end{aligned}$$

Write this in integral form:

$$\exp(\kappa t) \sigma_t^2 - \exp(\kappa 0) \sigma_0^2 = \kappa \sigma^2 \int_0^t \exp(\kappa u) du + \int_0^t \exp(\kappa u) \sigma^\sigma \sigma_u dW_u^V.$$

Then it is trivial to see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^2 &= e^{-\kappa t} \left\{ \sigma_0^2 + \kappa \sigma^2 \int_0^t e^{\kappa u} du + \int_0^t e^{\kappa u} \sigma^\sigma \sigma_u dW_u^V \right\}. \\ \sigma_{t+h}^2 &= e^{-\kappa(t+h)} \left\{ \sigma_0^2 + \kappa \sigma^2 \int_0^{t+h} e^{\kappa u} du + \int_0^{t+h} e^{\kappa u} \sigma^\sigma \sigma_u dW_u^V \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sigma_{t+h}^2 = e^{-\kappa h} \sigma_t^2 + e^{-\kappa(t+h)} \kappa \sigma^2 \int_t^{t+h} e^{\kappa u} du + e^{-\kappa(t+h)} \int_t^{t+h} e^{\kappa u} \sigma^\sigma \sigma_u dW_u^V.$$

Finally, we can calculate the conditional expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t(\sigma_{t+h}^2) &= e^{-\kappa h} \sigma_t^2 + e^{-\kappa(t+h)} \kappa \sigma^2 \int_t^{t+h} e^{\kappa u} du + 0 \\ &= e^{-\kappa h} \sigma_t^2 + e^{-\kappa(t+h)} \kappa \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} (e^{\kappa(t+h)} - e^{\kappa t}) \\ &= \sigma^2 + e^{-\kappa h} (\sigma_t^2 - \sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$