■ OCR Research Paper – Interview Cheat Sheet

Elevator Pitch:

"This research paper compares traditional OCR (like Tesseract) with transformer-based models (Donut, LayoutLMv3, TrOCR) for structured and semi-structured document understanding in banking, healthcare, and insurance. We benchmarked models on the FUNSD dataset using metrics like CER, WER, F1-score, BLEU, and string similarity. The study shows that while traditional OCR like Tesseract still performs decently on structured forms, transformer-based models like Donut and LayoutLMv3 excel in semantic and contextual understanding, though at higher computational costs. Our results suggest hybrid models as a future direction."

Workflow:

- 1. Dataset & Preprocessing (FUNSD dataset, denoising, binarization, CLAHE, deskewing).
- 2. Models Compared (Tesseract, Donut, LayoutLMv3, TrOCR).
- 3. Evaluation Metrics (CER, WER, F1-score, BLEU, String Similarity).
- 4. Results (Donut best semantically, TrOCR best for handwriting, Tesseract good for structured forms).

Model	Working	Strengths	Weaknesses	Results	
Tesseract Segm	entation + OCR pipeline (LIS@M/www	eight)good for printed strEatlsre	d froatmodswriting,setΩh⊑Pst⊭u0ct6o5opeFf	aഴയിട്ടോ (improved slightly with	preproce
Don \/t ision-to-	Text Transformer (Swin Enc Edel -te	-Đedọden) antic accuracy, multi	Rregnuaires GPU, high & Locaton թանա	32, String Similarity = 0.89 (bes	t semant
LayoutLMv3Multin	nodal: text + layout + visu altemb ec	distgsctured forms, captures sp	ati ® viefd its, poor on headers-83	% on answers, -51.5% on head	lers
TrOCR Vision-I	anguage Pretraining (OCR Trace	flæmthéo) handwritten text, lower	CERvy compute requirer49/16slo	ower CER vs Tesseract on hand	dwriting

Key Findings:

- Tesseract: Best for structured forms but limited in flexibility.
- Donut: Best semantic understanding.
- LayoutLMv3: Strong on entity extraction but overfits.
- TrOCR: Best for handwritten forms.

Conclusion: No single best model → Hybrid pipelines + preprocessing are the future.