





For





Table of Content

Executive Summary	02
Number of Security Issues per Severity	04
Checked Vulnerabilities	05
Techniques and Methods	06
Types of Severity	07
Types of Issues	07
A. Contract - NitroDome	80
High Severity Issues	08
Medium Severity Issues	08
Low Severity Issues	08
Informational Issues	80
Functional Tests Cases	09
Automated Tests	09
Closing Summary	10
Disclaimer	10



Executive Summary

Project Name NitroDome

Overview NitroDome protocol is a token with taxing for selling, buying. This

Audit focused on some changes made to the token contract, per

bridge provider, which include:-

Maintains same bytecode on deployment, allowing deterministic address

• burn & mint to contracts, with filters

Mint/burn disabled on mainnet

Proxyable contract to allow setting an address for mint/burn

for wrapped token

Timeline 12th June 2024 - 13th June 2024

Updated Code Received NA

Second Review NA

Method Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing, etc.

All the raised flags were manually reviewed and re-tested to

identify any false positives.

Audit Scope The scope of this audit was to analyze the NitroDome codebase for

quality, security, and correctness.

Source Code https://github.com/NitroDome/nitrodome_token

Commit Hash 48f0c45759ec203a3a6c40fd479292a9d0b0d428

Contracts In-Scope contracts/NitroDome.sol

Branch Main



NitroDome - Audit Report

Executive Summary

Contracts Out of Scope

In-scope contract has been audited by QuillAudits. However, these contracts inherit functionality from out-of-scope Smart contracts that were not audited. Vulnerabilities in unaudited contracts could impact in-scope Smart Contracts functionality. QuillAudits is not responsible for such vulnerabilities.

Below are Out of Scope Contracts:


- contracts/interfaces/*
- OpenZeppelin contracts (Initializable.sol, ...)

Fixed In

NA




Number of Security Issues per Severity



	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0

NitroDome - Audit Report

Checked Vulnerabilities





Gas Limit and Loops

DoS with Block Gas Limit

Transaction-Ordering Dependence

✓ Use of tx.origin

Exception disorder

Gasless send

✓ Balance equality

Byte array

Transfer forwards all gas

✓ ERC20 API violation

Compiler version not fixed

Redundant fallback function

Send instead of transfer

Style guide violation

Unchecked external call

Unchecked math

Unsafe type inference

Implicit visibility level

NitroDome - Audit Report

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC's standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Manual Review, Slither, Hardhat.



NitroDome - Audit Report

Types of Severity

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.

A. Contract - NitroDome

High Severity Issues

No issues were found.

Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found.

Low Severity Issues

No issues were found.

Informational Issues

No issues were found.

NitroDome - Audit Report

Functional Tests Cases

- [PASS] testFail_IfAboveBuyTax() (gas: 12709)
- [PASS] testFail_lfAboveMaxMint() (gas: 12874)
- [PASS] testFail_IfMintAfterDisabling() (gas: 18633)
- [PASS] testFail_IfReinitialized() (gas: 19801)
- [PASS] test_disableFunctionality() (gas: 19289)
- [PASS] test_transferFunction() (gas: 69878)
- [PASS] test_withdrawAllTokens() (gas: 47137)

Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

NitroDome - Audit Report

Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the NitroDome codebase. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

No issues were found, Contract Looks Good.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits Smart contract security audit provides services to help identify and mitigate potential security risks in NitroDome smart contracts. However, it is important to understand that no security audit can guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. QuillAudits audit reports are based on the information provided to us at the time of the audit, and we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information. Additionally, the security landscape is constantly evolving, and new security threats may emerge after the audit has been completed.

Therefore, it is recommended that multiple audits and bug bounty programs be conducted to ensure the ongoing security of NitroDome smart contracts. One audit is not enough to guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. It is important to implement proper risk management strategies and stay vigilant in monitoring your smart contracts for potential security risks.

QuillAudits cannot be held liable for any security breaches or losses that may occur subsequent to and despite using our audit services. It is the responsibility of the NitroDome to implement the recommendations provided in our audit reports and to take appropriate steps to mitigate potential security risks.

NitroDome - Audit Report

About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a leading name in Web3 security, offering top-notch solutions to safeguard projects across DeFi, GameFi, NFT gaming, and all blockchain layers. With six years of expertise, we've secured over 1000 projects globally, averting over \$30 billion in losses. Our specialists rigorously audit smart contracts and ensure DApp safety on major platforms like Ethereum, BSC, Arbitrum, Algorand, Tron, Polygon, Polkadot, Fantom, NEAR, Solana, and others, guaranteeing your project's security with cutting-edge practices.



1000+ Audits Completed



\$30BSecured



1M+Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey



















Audit Report June, 2024

For



NITRO DOME





- Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK
- www.quillaudits.com
- audits@quillhash.com