



QuillAudits

# Audit Report March, 2024

For



CAVADA

# Table of Content

Executive Summary .....	02
Number of Security Issues per Severity .....	03
Checked Vulnerabilities .....	04
Techniques and Methods .....	05
Types of Severity .....	06
Types of Issues .....	06
<b>High Severity Issues</b>	07
<b>Medium Severity Issues</b>	07
<b>Low Severity Issues</b>	07
1. Old solidity version & Unlocked pragma (pragma solidity ^0.5.18)	07
<b>Informational Issues</b>	07
Functional Tests Cases .....	08
Automated Tests .....	10
Closing Summary .....	10
Disclaimer .....	10



# Executive Summary

## Project Name

Cavada

## Overview

The Cavada project intends to incentivize ecosystem projects to build innovative new applications on the platform as well as port popular applications that have been built on other platforms. Ecosystem incentives will also be provided to develop layer-two solutions to increase transaction throughput further and create decentralized bridges to transfer assets between Cavada and other networks.

## Timeline

11th March 2024 - 13th March 2024

## Updated Code Received

NA

## Second Review

NA

## Method

Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing, etc. All the raised flags were manually reviewed and re-tested to identify any false positives.

## Audit Scope

The scope of this audit was to analyze the Cavada Token Contract for quality, security, and correctness.

## Source Code

<https://github.com/Cavadadev/development>

## Contract Under the Scope

Cavada.sol

## Branch

Main

## Fixed In

NA



# Number of Security Issues per Severity



High

Medium

Low

Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	1	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0

# Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ ERC20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility level





# Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

## Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

## Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

## Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

## Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

## Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Hardhat, Foundry.



## Types of Severity

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

### High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

### Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

### Informational

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## Types of Issues

### Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

### Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

### Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

### Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



**Note:** The whitepaper suggests that totalSupply of the Cavada token is 9\_000\_000\_000 but all the token contracts on the chains have a mint function with which more tokens can be minted by the owner.

## High Severity Issues

No issues were found.

## Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found.

## Low Severity Issues

### 1. Old solidity version & Unlocked pragma (pragma solidity ^0.5.18)

#### Description

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested with thoroughly. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, an outdated compiler version that might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively. Also the contract using the old solidity version should be updated to the latest 0.8.10 as used in all other contracts.

#### Remediation

Here TRC20 contract has an unlocked pragma, it is recommended to lock the same.

#### Status

Acknowledged

## Informational Issues

No issues were found.





# Functional Tests Cases

## Running 7 tests for test/cavada.t.sol:CavadaTRC20Test

- ✓ [PASS] test\_WithBrokenTokenLib() (gas: 1762351)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFromFunction() (gas: 80120)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFunction() (gas: 66097)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunction() (gas: 60907)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunctionWithBrokenToken() (gas: 299925)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_TransferFunction() (gas: 97187)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_getterFunctions() (gas: 17419)

Test result: ok. 7 passed; 0 failed; 0 skipped; finished in 4.95ms

## Running 7 tests for test/erc20.t.sol:CavadaERC20Test

- ✓ [PASS] test\_WithBrokenTokenLib() (gas: 1758370)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFromFunction() (gas: 60333)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFunction() (gas: 33296)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunction() (gas: 28237)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunctionWithBrokenToken() (gas: 254707)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_TransferFunction() (gas: 79425)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_getterFunctions() (gas: 17450)

Test result: ok. 7 passed; 0 failed; 0 skipped; finished in 5.43ms



# Functional Tests Cases

Running 7 tests for test/bep20.t.sol:CavadaERC20Test

- ✓ [PASS] test\_WithBrokenTokenLib() (gas: 1758370)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFromFunction() (gas: 60333)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_BurnFunction() (gas: 33296)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunction() (gas: 28237)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_MintFunctionWithBrokenToken() (gas: 254707)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_TransferFunction() (gas: 79425)
- ✓ [PASS] test\_getterFunctions() (gas: 17450)

Test result: ok. 7 passed; 0 failed; 0 skipped; finished in 5.51ms



# Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

## Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Cavada Token codebase. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

No critical Issues in the Cavada Token Contract, Just One issue of Low severity found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture.

## Disclaimer

QuillAudits Smart contract security audit provides services to help identify and mitigate potential security risks in Cavada Token smart contracts. However, it is important to understand that no security audit can guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. QuillAudits audit reports are based on the information provided to us at the time of the audit, and we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information. Additionally, the security landscape is constantly evolving, and new security threats may emerge after the audit has been completed.

Therefore, it is recommended that multiple audits and bug bounty programs be conducted to ensure the ongoing security of Cavada Token smart contracts. One audit is not enough to guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. It is important to implement proper risk management strategies and stay vigilant in monitoring your smart contracts for potential security risks.

QuillAudits cannot be held liable for any security breaches or losses that may occur subsequent to and despite using our audit services. It is the responsibility of the Cavada Token to implement the recommendations provided in our audit reports and to take appropriate steps to mitigate potential security risks.



# About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies. We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



**1000+**  
Audits Completed



**\$30B**  
Secured



**1M**  
Lines of Code Audited



## Follow Our Journey







# Audit Report March, 2024

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK

🌐 [www.quillaudits.com](http://www.quillaudits.com)

✉ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)