



QuillAudits

# Audit Report June, 2024

For



**ALTRANIUM**

# Table of Content

Executive Summary ..... 02

Number of Security Issues per Severity ..... 03

Checked Vulnerabilities ..... 04

Techniques and Methods ..... 05

Types of Severity ..... 06

Types of Issues ..... 06

**Low Severity Issues** ..... 07

    1. Ownable contract implementation is outdated ..... 07

**Informational Issues** ..... 08

    2. Unlocked pragma ..... 08

Automated Tests ..... 09

Closing Summary ..... 10

Disclaimer ..... 10



# Executive Summary

Project Name	Altranium
Overview	The Altranium Token is a basic ERC20 token which allows the owner to mint tokens to any address (except `address(0)` ) up to a maximum cap of 10B tokens. It utilizes OpenZeppelin's ERC20.sol and Ownable.sol contracts.
Timeline	21st June 2024 to 25th June 2024
Updated Code Received	NA
Second Review	NA
Method	Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing, etc. All the raised flags were manually reviewed and re-tested to identify any false positives.
Audit Scope	The scope of this audit was to analyse the Altranium Token Contract for quality, security, and correctness.
Source Code	<a href="https://github.com/TheRavneet/altranium-smartContract/tree/master/contracts">https://github.com/TheRavneet/altranium-smartContract/tree/master/contracts</a>
Contracts In-Scope	contracts/AltraniumToken.sol
Branch	Main
Fixed In	NA



# Number of Security Issues per Severity



- High
- Medium
- Low
- Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	1
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	1	0

# Checked Vulnerabilities

✓ Re-entrancy

✓ Timestamp Dependence

✓ Gas Limit and Loops

✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit

✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence

✓ Use of tx.origin

✓ Exception disorder

✓ Gasless send

✓ Balance equality

✓ Byte array

✓ Transfer forwards all gas

✓ ERC20 API violation

✓ Compiler version not fixed

✓ Redundant fallback function

✓ Send instead of transfer

✓ Style guide violation

✓ Unchecked external call

✓ Unchecked math

✓ Unsafe type inference

✓ Implicit visibility level





# Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC's standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

## Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

## Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

## Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

## Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

## Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Hardhat, Foundry.



## Types of Severity

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

### High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

### Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

### Informational

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## Types of Issues

### Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

### Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

### Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

### Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



## Low Severity Issues

### 1. Ownable contract implementation is outdated

#### Path

contracts/AltraniumToken.sol

#### Description

The Altranium contract does not specify the specific version of the inherited dependencies in use, this would make them default to the most recent version of the OZ Ownable contract as well as the ERC20.sol contract. The current implementation is outdated because the OZ v5.0 contract needs the address of the owner to be included in the constructor directly.

#### Remediation

Could also consider using Ownable2Step instead of the regular Ownable as the owner if owner is expected to change at anytime. Ownable2Step provides extra security in between transferring ownership.

#### Status

**Resolved**





# Informational Issues

## 2. Unlocked pragma

### Path

contracts/AltraniumToken.sol

### Description

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested with thoroughly. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, an outdated compiler version that might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively.

### Recommendation

Here all the in-scope contracts have an unlocked pragma, it is recommended to lock the same.

### Status

**Acknowledged**



# Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.



# Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Altranium codebase. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Two issues of Low and informational severity were found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture.

## Disclaimer

QuillAudits Smart contract security audit provides services to help identify and mitigate potential security risks in Altranium smart contracts. However, it is important to understand that no security audit can guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. QuillAudits audit reports are based on the information provided to us at the time of the audit, and we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information. Additionally, the security landscape is constantly evolving, and new security threats may emerge after the audit has been completed.

Therefore, it is recommended that multiple audits and bug bounty programs be conducted to ensure the ongoing security of Altranium smart contracts. One audit is not enough to guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. It is important to implement proper risk management strategies and stay vigilant in monitoring your smart contracts for potential security risks.

QuillAudits cannot be held liable for any security breaches or losses that may occur subsequent to and despite using our audit services. It is the responsibility of the Altranium to implement the recommendations provided in our audit reports and to take appropriate steps to mitigate potential security risks.



# About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies. We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



**1000+**  
Audits Completed



**\$30B**  
Secured



**1M+**  
Lines of Code Audited



## Follow Our Journey







# Audit Report June, 2024

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK

🌐 [www.quillaudits.com](http://www.quillaudits.com)

✉ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)