



QuillAudits

Audit Report June, 2024

For



Table of Content

Executive Summary 02

Number of Security Issues per Severity 03

Checked Vulnerabilities 04

Techniques and Methods 05

Types of Severity 06

Types of Issues 06

Informational Issues 07

 1. Avoid using floating pragma 07

 2. There is no mint functionality 07

Functional Tests Cases 08

Automated Tests 08

Closing Summary 09

Disclaimer 09



Executive Summary

Project Name	Here Token
Overview	Here Token is Erc20 Token Contract
Timeline	14th June 2024 to 17th June 2024
Updated Code Received	28th June
Second Review	28th June
Method	Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing, etc. All the raised flags were manually reviewed and re-tested to identify any false positives.
Audit Scope	The scope of this audit was to analyse the HereToken Token Contract for quality, security, and correctness.
Source Code	https://github.com/spherex-code/spherex-contracts/blob/main/contracts/HereToken.sol
Contracts In-Scope	HereToken.Sol
Branch	Main
Fixed In	43a3b285fc54b1f8d340d6e07b6bb13b50db23b8



Number of Security Issues per Severity



- High
- Medium
- Low
- Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	2

Checked Vulnerabilities



Re-entrancy



Timestamp Dependence



Gas Limit and Loops



DoS with Block Gas Limit



Transaction-Ordering Dependence



Use of tx.origin



Exception disorder



Gasless send



Balance equality



Byte array



Transfer forwards all gas



ERC20 API violation



Compiler version not fixed



Redundant fallback function



Send instead of transfer



Style guide violation



Unchecked external call



Unchecked math



Unsafe type inference



Implicit visibility level



Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC's standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Hardhat, Foundry.



Types of Severity

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



Informational Issues

1. Avoid using floating pragma

Path

HereToken.Sol

Description

Floating pragma should only be used when a contract is intended for consumption by other developers.

Locking the pragma helps ensure that contracts are not accidentally deployed using, for example, the latest compiler, which may have higher risks of undiscovered bugs.

Recommendation

Use locked pragma instead.

Status

Resolved

2. There is no mint functionality

Path

HereToken.Sol

Description

The token contract is missing the most important functionality of minting the tokens. You need to add it by overriding it.

Recommendation

To remediate the issue please add mint functionality by overriding it.

Status

Resolved



Functional Tests Cases

- ✓ Should get the name of the token
- ✓ Should get the symbol of the token
- ✓ Should approve another account to spend token
- ✓ Should transfer tokens to other address
- ✓ Should approve another account to spend token
- ✓ Accepts owner signature
- ✓ Rejects reused signature
- ✓ Rejects expired permit
- ✓ Rejects other signature

Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.



Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Here Token contract. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Two issues of Low and informational severity were found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture. In the End, Here Token Team Resolved both Issues.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits Smart contract security audit provides services to help identify and mitigate potential security risks in HereToken smart contracts. However, it is important to understand that no security audit can guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. QuillAudits audit reports are based on the information provided to us at the time of the audit, and we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information. Additionally, the security landscape is constantly evolving, and new security threats may emerge after the audit has been completed.

Therefore, it is recommended that multiple audits and bug bounty programs be conducted to ensure the ongoing security of HereToken smart contracts. One audit is not enough to guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. It is important to implement proper risk management strategies and stay vigilant in monitoring your smart contracts for potential security risks.

QuillAudits cannot be held liable for any security breaches or losses that may occur subsequent to and despite using our audit services. It is the responsibility of the HereToken to implement the recommendations provided in our audit reports and to take appropriate steps to mitigate potential security risks.



About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a leading name in Web3 security, offering top-notch solutions to safeguard projects across DeFi, GameFi, NFT gaming, and all blockchain layers. With six years of expertise, we've secured over 1000 projects globally, averting over \$30 billion in losses. Our specialists rigorously audit smart contracts and ensure DApp safety on major platforms like Ethereum, BSC, Arbitrum, Algorand, Tron, Polygon, Polkadot, Fantom, NEAR, Solana, and others, guaranteeing your project's security with cutting-edge practices.



1000+

Audits Completed



\$30B

Secured



1M+

Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey





Audit Report June, 2024

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK

🌐 www.quillaudits.com

✉ audits@quillhash.com