

Geometry

I. Saltini

1 Topological space

Definition. A **neighbourhood topology** on a set \mathfrak{X} is a function $v : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ mapping **points** (elements of \mathfrak{X} , from here on denoted by x or y) to **neighbourhoods** (subsets of \mathfrak{X} , from here on denoted by n or m). It must satisfy the following axioms.

i) A neighbourhood of a point must contain the point itself.

$$\forall x \forall n : n \in v(x) \rightarrow x \in n$$

ii) All supersets of a neighbourhood of a point are neighbourhoods of that point.

$$\forall x \forall n \forall m : (n \in v(x) \wedge n \subseteq m) \rightarrow m \in v(x)$$

iii) The intersection of two neighbourhoods of the same point is a neighbourhood of that point.

$$\forall x \forall n \forall m : (n \in v(x) \wedge m \in v(x)) \rightarrow n \cap m \in v(x)$$

iv) Any neighbourhood n of a point must contain another neighbourhood m of the same point, such that n is a neighbourhood of all points in m .

$$\forall x \forall n \exists m \forall y : (n \in v(x) \wedge m \subseteq n \wedge m \in v(x) \wedge y \in m) \rightarrow n \in v(y)$$

Definition. A **topological space** (\mathfrak{X}, v) is a set \mathfrak{X} equipped with a neighbourhood topology v .

Definition. $u \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ is **open** if it is a neighbourhood of all the points it contains.

$$\forall x : x \in u \rightarrow u \in v(x)$$

Definition. $u \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ is **closed** if its complement $\mathfrak{X} \setminus u$ is open.

Definition. $u \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ is **clopen** if it is both open and closed.

Definition. Two points $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ are said to be **topologically indistinguishable** if they have exactly the same neighbourhoods.

$$\forall n : n \in v(x) \leftrightarrow n \in v(y)$$

Definition. Two points $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ are said to be **topologically distinguishable** if there is at least one neighbourhood of one of the points that isn't a neighbourhood of the other.

$$\exists n : n \in v(x) \not\subseteq n \in v(y)$$

Proposition 1. *Topological indistinguishability is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Trivial, the necessary properties are inherited from the biconditional.

Corollary 1.1. *Topological distinguishability is an apartness relation.*

Proof. The negation of an equivalence relation is an apartness relation.

2 Curvature Tensor

Definition. The **Riemann curvature tensor** $R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ and the **Cartan torsion tensor** $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ are the tensors that satisfy the following equation for all vector fields ϕ .

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \phi^\rho = R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} \phi^\sigma + T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda \phi^\rho$$

Proposition 2. In a holonomic basis the Riemann curvature tensor and Cartan torsion tensors have the following expressions in terms of the Christoffel symbols.

$$R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}$$

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$$

Proof. We begin by computing the action of one of the first term of the commutator onto a component of ϕ , recalling that $\nabla_\nu \phi^\rho$ is a type (1, 1) tensor.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^\rho &= \partial_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^\rho + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \nabla_\nu \phi^\lambda - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \nabla_\lambda \phi^\rho \\ &= \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \phi^\rho + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma) + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} (\partial_\nu \phi^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma) - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} (\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho + \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma} \phi^\sigma) \\ &= \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi^\rho + (\partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma}) \phi^\sigma + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu \phi^\sigma + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu \phi^\lambda + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \partial_\lambda \phi^\rho - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma} \phi^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

In the fourth term, we replace the dummy index λ with σ in order to factor the expression.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^\rho &= \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi^\rho + (\partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma}) \phi^\sigma + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu \phi^\sigma + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu \phi^\sigma + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \partial_\lambda \phi^\rho - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma} \phi^\sigma \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \partial_\lambda) \phi^\rho + \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma} \right) \phi^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the second term of the commutator by simply switching ν with μ .

$$\nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu \phi^\rho = (\partial_\nu \partial_\mu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda) \phi^\rho + (\partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} \partial_\nu + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma}) \phi^\sigma$$

We now take the difference of the two terms, remembering that $[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu) \phi^\rho &= (\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}) \partial_\lambda \phi^\rho + \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} \right) \phi^\sigma + (\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}) \Gamma^\rho_{\lambda\sigma} \phi^\sigma \\ &= \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} \right) \phi^\sigma + (\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}) \nabla_\lambda \phi^\rho \end{aligned}$$

Where we recognise the expressions for $R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ and $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$.