Probabilistic Robotics*

Bayes Filter Implementations

Gaussian filters

Mohan Sridharan

University of Birmingham, UK

m.sridharan@bham.ac.uk

^{*}Revised original slides that accompany the book by Thrun, Burgard and Fox.

Bayes Filter Reminder

• Prediction:

$$\overline{be}(x_t) = \int p(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) be(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

• Correction:

$$bel(x_t) = \eta p(z_t|x_t) \overline{bel}(x_t)$$

Gaussians (1D and ND)

$$p(x) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$
:


$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

Univariate

$$p(x) \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$$
:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^t \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$

Multivariate



Properties of Gaussians

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ Y = aX + b \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Y \sim N(a\mu + b, a^2 \sigma^2)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \\ X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow p(X_1).p(X_2) \sim N \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \mu_2, \frac{1}{\sigma_1^{-2} + \sigma_2^{-2}} \right)$$

Multivariate Gaussians

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \\ Y = AX + B \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Y \sim N(A\mu + B, A\Sigma A^T)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) \\ X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \Sigma_2) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow p(X_1) \cdot p(X_2) \sim N\left(\frac{\Sigma_2}{\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2} \mu_1 + \frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2} \mu_2, \frac{1}{\Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1}}\right)$$

 We stay in the "Gaussian world" as long as we start with Gaussians and perform linear transformations.

Discrete Kalman Filter

Estimates the state x of a discrete-time controlled process that is governed by the linear stochastic difference equation:

$$X_t = A_t X_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \varepsilon_t$$


with a measurement:


$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

Components of a Kalman Filter

- A_t Matrix (nxn) that describes how the state evolves from t-1 to t without controls or noise.
- B_t Matrix (nxl) that describes how the control u_t changes the state from t-1 to t.
- C_t Matrix (kxn) that describes how to map the state x_t to an observation z_t .
- \mathcal{E}_t Random variables representing the process and measurement noise that are assumed to be independent and normally distributed with covariance \mathcal{E}_t and \mathcal{Q}_t respectively.

Kalman Filter Updates in 1D







Kalman Filter Control Updates

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\mu}_t = a_t \mu_{t-1} + b_t u_t \\ \overline{\sigma}_t^2 = a_t^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \sigma_{act,t}^2 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \left\{ \overline{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \right\}$$

$$\overline{\xi}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$







Kalman Filter Measurement Updates


$$bel(x_t) = \begin{cases} \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - \bar{\mu}_t) \\ \sigma_t^2 = (1 - K_t)\bar{\sigma}_t^2 \end{cases} \text{ with } K_t = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_t^2}{\bar{\sigma}_t^2 + \bar{\sigma}_{obs,t}^2}$$

$$bel(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - C_t \overline{\mu}_t) \\ \Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \overline{\Sigma}_t \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ with } K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$





Kalman Filter Updates



Linear Gaussian system: Initialize

Initial belief is normally distributed:

bel
$$(x_0) = N(x_0; \mu_0, \Sigma_0)$$

Kalman Filter Algorithm

- Algorithm **Kalman_filter**(μ_{t-1} , Σ_{t-1} , U_t , Z_t):
- Prediction:

3.
$$\mu_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$$


4.
$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$

- Correction:
- $K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$


$$\mu_t = \mu_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \mu_t)$$

8.
$$\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - t)$$
9.
$$\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \overline{\Sigma}_t$$
Return $\mu_{t'} \Sigma_t$

The Prediction-Correction Cycle




The Prediction-Correction Cycle




$$bel(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - \bar{\mu}_t) \\ \sigma_t^2 = (1 - K_t)\bar{\sigma}_t^2 \end{matrix} \right\} K_t = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_t^2}{\bar{\sigma}_t^2 + \bar{\sigma}_{obs,t}^2}$$

$$bel(x_t) = \begin{cases} \mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - C_t \overline{\mu}_t) \\ \Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \Sigma_t \end{cases} K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$
Correction



The Prediction-Correction Cycle



Kalman Filter Summary

Highly efficient: Polynomial in measurement dimensionality k
and state dimensionality n:

$$O(k^{2.376} + n^2)$$

- Optimal for linear Gaussian systems!
- Limiting assumptions:
 - Observations are linear functions of state. State transition are linear.
 - Unimodal beliefs.
- Most robotics systems are nonlinear and beliefs are multimodal!

Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)


- Most realistic robotic problems involve nonlinear functions.
- EKF supports such non-linear functions; relaxes linearity assumption.

$$x_t = g(u_t, x_{t-1})$$


$$z_t = h(x_t)$$

However, beliefs are no longer Gaussian S

Linearity Assumption Revisited




Non-linear Function




Linearization in EKF

- Sequence of steps for linearization in EKF.
- Compute tangent to function g() at mean.
- Consider the tangent as the linearized approximation of g().
- Project p(x) through linear approximation.
- Compute mean and covariance of y. This defines the Gaussian approximation of the underlying non-linear transformation.


EKF Linearization (1)



EKF Linearization (2)



EKF Linearization (3)



Why Linearize?

- Remember limiting assumptions of KF:
 - Observations are linear functions of state. State transition are linear.
 - Unimodal beliefs.
- Assumptions do not hold in practice.
- Relax linearity assumption. However, makes beliefs non-Gaussian
- EKF computes Gaussian approximation of true belief through linearization of non-linear functions g() and h().
- Achieve linearization through (first-order) Taylor expansion (Section 3.3.2, PR).

EKF Linearization: First Order Taylor Series Expansion

• Prediction:

$$g(u_{t}, x_{t-1}) \approx g(u_{t}, \mu_{t-1}) + \frac{\partial g(u_{t}, \mu_{t-1})}{\partial x_{t-1}} (x_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

$$g(u_{t}, x_{t-1}) \approx g(u_{t}, \mu_{t-1}) + G_{t} (x_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

Correction:

$$h(x_t) \approx h(\bar{\mu}_t) + \frac{\partial h(\bar{\mu}_t)}{\partial x_t} (x_t - \bar{\mu}_t)$$
$$h(x_t) \approx h(\bar{\mu}_t) + H_t (x_t - \bar{\mu}_t)$$

Derivation of EKF (Section 3.3.4, PR).

EKF Algorithm

- 1. Extended_Kalman_filter(μ_{t-1} , Σ_{t-1} , u_{t} , z_{t}):
- 2. Prediction:

3.
$$\bar{\mu}_t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})$$

4.
$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R_t$$

$$\leftarrow \mu_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$$

$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$

- 5. Correction:
- 6.

7.
$$K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t H_t^T (H_t \overline{\Sigma}_t H_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$

8.
$$\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t(z_t - h(\bar{\mu}_t))$$

9.
$$\Sigma_{t}=(I-K_{t}H_{t})\overline{\Sigma}_{t}$$
Return μ_{t} , Σ_{t}

$$K_{t} = \overline{\Sigma}_{t} C_{t}^{T} (C_{t} \overline{\Sigma}_{t} C_{t}^{T} + Q_{t})^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{t} = \overline{\mu}_{t} + K_{t} (z_{t} - C_{t} \overline{\mu}_{t})$$

$$\Sigma_{t} = (I - K_{t} C_{t}) \Sigma_{t}$$

$$H_t = \frac{\partial h(\bar{\mu}_t)}{\partial x_t} \qquad G_t = \frac{\partial g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})}{\partial x_{t-1}}$$

Localization

"Using sensory information to locate the robot in its environment is the most fundamental problem to providing a mobile robot with autonomous capabilities."

[Cox '91]

Given

- Map of the environment.
- Sequence of sensor measurements.

Wanted

Estimate of the robot's position.

Problem classes

- Position tracking.
- Global localization.
- Kidnapped robot problem (recovery).

Landmark-based Localization



EKF Summary

 Highly efficient: Polynomial in measurement dimensionality k and state dimensionality n:

$$O(k^{2.376} + n^2)$$

- Not optimal!
- Can diverge if nonlinearities are large!
- Works surprisingly well even when all assumptions are violated!

Unscented Kalman Filter

- Stochastic linearization through unscented transform.
- Extract <u>sigma-points</u> from Gaussian.
 - Mean and symmetric points along main axes of covariance.
 - N-dim Gaussian => 2N+1 sigma points.
- Two weights for each sigma point, one each to compute mean and covariance.
- Encode additional knowledge about underlying distribution.
- Project sigma points through g().
- Compute mean and covariance of projected points.

Unscented Transform

Sigma points

Weights

$$\chi^{[0]} = \mu \ w_m^{[0]} = \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda}, \ w_c^{[0]} = \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} + (1-\alpha^2 + \beta)$$

$$\chi^{[i]} = \mu \pm (\sqrt{n+\lambda}) \Sigma, \ w_m^{[i]} = w_c^{[i]} = \frac{1}{2(n+\lambda)}$$
 for $i = 1, ..., 2n$


Pass sigma points through nonlinear function:

$$y^{[i]}=g(\chi^{[i]})$$


Recover mean and covariance:

$$\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_m^{[i]} y^{[i]}; \quad \Sigma' = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_c^{[i]} (y^{[i]} - \mu) (y^{[i]} - \mu)^T$$


Linearization via Unscented Transform




UKF Sigma-Point Estimate (2)



UKF Sigma-Point Estimate (3)



Prediction Quality




UKF Summary

 Highly efficient: Same complexity as EKF, with a constant factor slower in typical practical applications

 Better linearization than EKF: Accurate in first two terms of Taylor expansion (EKF only first term)

Derivative-free: No Jacobians needed ©

Still not optimal!



Tracking

Localization With MHT

How to represent belief for multiple hypotheses?

- Each hypothesis is tracked by a Kalman filter.
- Additional problems:
 - Data association: Which observation corresponds to which hypothesis?
 - Hypothesis management: When to add / delete hypotheses?

 Huge body of literature on target tracking, motion correspondence etc.

Summary

Gaussian filters.

- Kalman filter: linearity assumption.
 - Robot systems non-linear.
 - Works well in practice.
- Extended Kalman filters: linearization.
 - Tangent at the mean.
- Unscented Kalman filters: better linearization.
 - Sigma control points.
- Information filter: dual of KF, uses canonical parameterization (Section 3.5, PR).