

Lecture 11: Logistic Regression

Iain Styles

22 November 2018

Learning Outcomes

By the end of this lecture you should be able to:

- ▶ Understand the concept of *odds*
- ▶ Know how to map a continuous problem onto a semi-discrete problem
- ▶ Model a classification problems using regression-like methods
- ▶ Apply logistic regression to a dataset/

Logistic Regression

- ▶ The basis of LDA is to classify a point \mathbf{x} by maximising the posterior distribution $P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$

Logistic Regression

- ▶ The basis of LDA is to classify a point \mathbf{x} by maximising the posterior distribution $P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$
- ▶ LDA formulates this by explicit construction of the PDF
- ▶ In LR, we will not construct the PDF explicitly

Logistic Regression

- ▶ The basis of LDA is to classify a point \mathbf{x} by maximising the posterior distribution $P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$
- ▶ LDA formulates this by explicit construction of the PDF
- ▶ In LR, we will not construct the PDF explicitly
- ▶ Instead, we assume it exists, and then model functions of it using regression techniques.

Odds

- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*

Odds

- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*
- ▶ Familiar to anyone who is interested in horse racing etc

Odds

- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*
- ▶ Familiar to anyone who is interested in horse racing etc
- ▶ Odds: ratio of probabilities of two outcomes

Odds

- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*
- ▶ Familiar to anyone who is interested in horse racing etc
- ▶ Odds: ratio of probabilities of two outcomes
- ▶ Writing $p_i(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$ then for **two-class** classification (Π_0 and Π_1), the odds of being in Π_1 are

$$o_1 = p_1/p_0 = p_1/(1 - p_1) \quad (1)$$

Odds

- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*
- ▶ Familiar to anyone who is interested in horse racing etc
- ▶ Odds: ratio of probabilities of two outcomes
- ▶ Writing $p_i(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$ then for **two-class** classification (Π_0 and Π_1), the odds of being in Π_1 are

$$o_1 = p_1/p_0 = p_1/(1 - p_1) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Odds are in range $[0, \infty]$.

Odds


- ▶ The key quantity that we will work with is *odds*
- ▶ Familiar to anyone who is interested in horse racing etc
- ▶ Odds: ratio of probabilities of two outcomes
- ▶ Writing $p_i(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_i|\mathbf{x})$ then for **two-class** classification (Π_0 and Π_1), the odds of being in Π_1 are

$$o_1 = p_1/p_0 = p_1/(1 - p_1) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Odds are in range $[0, \infty]$.
- ▶ Want to apply a regression model – how?


Logit

- Take the log of the odds – log-odds – the *logit*



Logit


- Take the log of the odds – log-odds – the *logit*



- $\text{logit}(p_1) = \ln \frac{p_1}{1-p_1}$ maps $[0, 1] \mapsto [-\infty, \infty]$

Logit

- ▶ Take the log of the odds – log-odds – the *logit*



- ▶ $\text{logit}(p_1) = \ln \frac{p_1}{1-p_1}$ maps $[0, 1] \mapsto [-\infty, \infty]$
- ▶ Can use regression methods on logit to compute p_1 ?

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Goal: model $p_1(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_1|\mathbf{x})$ using regression

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Goal: model $p_1(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_1|\mathbf{x})$ using regression
- ▶ logit maps p_1 to \mathbb{R} so can use regression

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Goal: model $p_1(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_1|\mathbf{x})$ using regression
- ▶ logit maps p_1 to \mathbb{R} so can use regression
- ▶ Model $\text{logit}(p_1) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Goal: model $p_1(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_1|\mathbf{x})$ using regression
- ▶ logit maps p_1 to \mathbb{R} so can use regression
- ▶ Model $\text{logit}(p_1) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Can now re-express $p_1(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})} \quad (2)$$

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Goal: model $p_1(\mathbf{x}) = P(\Pi_1|\mathbf{x})$ using regression
- ▶ logit maps p_1 to \mathbb{R} so can use regression
- ▶ Model $\text{logit}(p_1) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Can now re-express $p_1(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ So logit allows us to model the probability directly using linear regression

Maxmising the likelihood

- ▶ Let us imagine now that we have a set of observations $\{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$
- ▶ Assume independence of *binary* observations $y_i = \{0, 1\}$

Maxmising the likelihood

- ▶ Let us imagine now that we have a set of observations $\{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$
- ▶ Assume independence of *binary* observations $y_i = \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ The overall likelihood is then

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^N p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^{y_i} p_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^{1-y_i} \quad (3)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^{y_i} (1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}))^{1-y_i} \quad (4)$$

Maximising the likelihood

- The log-likelihood is then

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \ln(p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N y_i [\ln(p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})) - \ln(1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}))] + \ln(1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})) \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \ln \frac{p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})}{1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} + \ln(1 - p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})) \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} - \ln(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})). \quad (8)$$

Maximising the likelihood

- The optimal model weights are then

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} - \ln(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})) \right] \quad (9)$$

Maximising the likelihood

- ▶ The optimal model weights are then

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} - \ln(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})) \right] \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Cannot be solved analytically

Maximising the likelihood

- ▶ The optimal model weights are then

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} - \ln(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})) \right] \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Cannot be solved analytically
- ▶ Need to use optimisation techniques such as IRLS
- ▶ A data point \mathbf{x} should be assigned to class 1 if $p_1 > 1 - p_1$, i.e. when $\text{logit}(p_1) > 0$.
- ▶ The decision rule is therefore

$$\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Pi_1$$

Maximising the likelihood

- ▶ The optimal model weights are then

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} - \ln(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})) \right] \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Cannot be solved analytically
- ▶ Need to use optimisation techniques such as IRLS
- ▶ A data point \mathbf{x} should be assigned to class 1 if $p_1 > 1 - p_1$, i.e. when $\text{logit}(p_1) > 0$.
- ▶ The decision rule is therefore

$$\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Pi_1$$

- ▶ or equivalently assign to the most probable class based on

$$p_1 = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x})} \quad \text{and} \quad p_0 = 1 - p_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x})} \quad (10)$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multinomial LR

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multinomial LR
- ▶ Core idea: “pivot” all classes against a single reference class

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multinomial LR
- ▶ Core idea: “pivot” all classes against a single reference class
- ▶ Given M classes, compute $\ln(\frac{p_i}{p_M})$ for all $i \neq M$.

$$\ln \frac{p_1}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_1^{*T} \mathbf{x} \quad (11)$$

$$\ln \frac{p_2}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_2^{*T} \mathbf{x} \quad (12)$$

$$\dots \quad (13)$$

$$\ln \frac{p_{M-1}}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_{M-1}^{*T} \mathbf{x} \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

Extension to multiple classes

$$\blacktriangleright \ln \frac{p_i}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ $\ln \frac{p_i}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Exponentiate:

$$p_i = p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } i=\{1,2,\dots,M-1\} \quad (16)$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ $\ln \frac{p_i}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Exponentiate:

$$p_i = p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } i=\{1,2,\dots,M-1\} \quad (16)$$

- ▶ p_i must sum to 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad p_M = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ $\ln \frac{p_i}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Exponentiate:

$$p_i = p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } i=\{1,2,\dots,M-1\} \quad (16)$$

- ▶ p_i must sum to 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad p_M = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

- ▶ and therefore

$$p_M = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*\top} \mathbf{x})}. \quad (18)$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ $\ln \frac{p_i}{p_M} = \mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Exponentiate:

$$p_i = p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } i=\{1,2,\dots,M-1\} \quad (16)$$

- ▶ p_i must sum to 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad p_M = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

- ▶ and therefore

$$p_M = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x})}. \quad (18)$$

- ▶ Finally, we substitute to obtain

$$p_i = p_M \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^{*T} \mathbf{x})} \quad (19)$$

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multiple binary LRs of each class against the pivot class Π_M

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multiple binary LR's of each class against the pivot class Π_M
- ▶ Find the parameters \mathbf{w}_i^* for each class i

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multiple binary LR's of each class against the pivot class Π_M
- ▶ Find the parameters \mathbf{w}_i^* for each class i
- ▶ Assign \mathbf{x} to the class with the highest probability p_i .

Extension to multiple classes

- ▶ Multiple binary LR's of each class against the pivot class Π_M
- ▶ Find the parameters \mathbf{w}_i^* for each class i
- ▶ Assign \mathbf{x} to the class with the highest probability p_i .
- ▶ Let's try it – but this time with a library
- ▶ <https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1-vpNgx3PtdyRGv1pC0PYrR-X-vdf8jJT>

Summary

- ▶ LR applies regression methods to classification
- ▶ The statistical assumptions are much more relaxed in LR as compared to LDA.
- ▶ No assumption that the likelihoods are multivariate Gaussian.
- ▶ There is no assumption that the class distributions have the same covariance.
- ▶ LR more robust to non-normality than LDA.
- ▶ LR is much less efficient than LDA for large sample sizes.
- ▶ LR can require larger dataset sizes to work well.

Summary

- ▶ LR applies regression methods to classification
- ▶ The statistical assumptions are much more relaxed in LR as compared to LDA.
- ▶ No assumption that the likelihoods are multivariate Gaussian.
- ▶ There is no assumption that the class distributions have the same covariance.
- ▶ LR more robust to non-normality than LDA.
- ▶ LR is much less efficient than LDA for large sample sizes.
- ▶ LR can require larger dataset sizes to work well.