

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)

a

[Rechercher dans r/nba](#)[Se connecter](#)

...

[r/nba](#)

• il y a 10 j

hfffff Comm. du top 1%

...

## Reggie Miller is the most efficient first option in NBA playoff history

The Pacers-Knicks series had me going back through Reggie Miller's career and it highlighted something that goes a little under the radar - that Reggie Miller is the most efficient first option ever.

Here is how Reggie stacks up against 20 of the best first options in league history, based on total playoff points and efficiency relative to era:

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Kawhi Leonard	3133	112
Jerry West	4457	110
Stephen Curry	4147	110
Kareem Abdul-Jabbar	5762	109
Kevin Durant	4985	109
Charles Barkley	2833	109
Dirk Nowitzki	3663	108
LeBron James	8289	107
Shaquille O'Neal	5250	107
Wilt Chamberlain	3607	107
Nikola Jokic	2580	107
Michael Jordan	5987	106
James Harden	3895	106
Hakeem Olajuwon	3755	106
Julius Erving	4580	105
Tim Duncan	5172	104
Dwyane Wade	3954	103
Giannis Antetokounmpo	2270	103
Kobe Bryant	5640	102
Larry Bird	3897	102

Some takeaways:

1. Kawhi is the only one that comes quite close. The raw gap between Reggie and even Steph is as big as the gap between Jordan and Kobe.
2. Reggie obviously has a lower volume than most of these scorers, but if you filter for his prime years from 1991-2002, when he was a 24PPG scorer across 100+ playoff games in a slow era, his rTS was 115. Which would still top the list.
3. Being quite literally the most efficient first option of all-time, with his longevity, with 14 series wins without a single HOF teammate (except a 34yo Chris Mullin), and having led his team to the playoffs 15

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)Source: [https://www.basketball-reference.com/leaders/pts\\_career\\_p.html](https://www.basketball-reference.com/leaders/pts_career_p.html)

Edit: sorted table

[1,3 k](#)

317

[Partager](#)

IBM ibm • Officiel • Sponsorisé(e)

...

Toggling between apps killing productivity? Our AI agents work with the tools you use every day. Learn more.

[En savoir plus](#)

ad.doubleclick.net

AI should make your job easier, not harder

Expect AI that plays nice with your business tools

[Rejoindre la conversation](#)

Trier par : Meilleurs ▾

[Rechercher des commentaires](#)
 pacific\_plywood • -10 j

Wild to think that he's only a 5-time all star. Less than Kyle Lowry or Joe Johnson.

- [429](#) - - Répondre ...
 BoogerSugarSovereign • -10 j  
- Comm. du top 1%

Guard was a stacked position in the East and Reggie's usage was a lot lower in the regular season than the playoffs. His highest regular season average FGA was 15.4. He has 8 playoff runs with a higher average FGA topping out at 22.5 in the 2000 Finals run.

- [273](#) - - Répondre ...
 smez86 • -10 j

not just "guard" either. you have to remember that rosters were constructed by position. sg1 in the east was guaranteed mj, so you only had 1 or 2 other spots.

- [136](#) - - Répondre ...
 pokexchespin • -10 j  
- Comm. du top 1%

were they really? i wasn't around in the 90s, but i know when i started watching it was guards, forwards, and centers, same as all nba

- [18](#) - - Répondre ...
- + 3 réponses supplémentaires



parrothead32812 • -10 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

RyyKarsch • -10j

This is (mostly) true. He's not a sixth time all-star if he plays in the west, but he would have made it in 2016 and possibly the following season.

He was one of the best pure PGs in the league on one of the better regular season teams for nearly eight straight seasons. If Conley got one, then Lowry would have "sniffed" one, lmao.

 39

 Répondre
...


chunksss • -10j

Was he though? He was never really a "pure" point guard, more so a strong scorer and a plus defender. Using the caveat of pure to try and elevate him doesn't really make sense when he wasn't really that archetype, and he was a clear notch below 4-5 PGs in the west every year he got an all star, scoring or passing wise. Hell, he was only ever even top 10 in assists 3 times. He was a scoring guard and clearly a notch below the best in the league, hence why he only got the single all nba selection.

Pretty much every year of his prime, CP3, Curry, Westbrook, Harden and Lillard were clearly better than him - that's just in the West

 11

 Répondre
...


NotUrAvgShitposter • -10j

East was weak but West was way too strong, especially when it came to guards. West had 3 MVP guards, the occasional healthy CP3, and Dame. You also had washed Kobe getting dumbasses voting him in and Klay when the Warriors averaged like 65+ wins every season. That's like all of the non wild card guard slots guaranteed to be occupied. Like Ant wouldn't sniff an all star appearance some years in that era either and he's the best SG and top 10 rn.

 16

 Répondre
...


Rad\_Centrist • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

You're talking about an entirely different era.

CP3 rookie season was Miller's last. Reggie had been out of the league six years before Dame was drafted.

When we talk about Miller's time in the NBA, we're talking about Western conference shooting guards like Drexler, Mitch Richmond, Jeff Hornacek, etc.

While the East had Jordan, Dumars, etc.

That's the era the comment you're replying to is referring to "when the East was better" : Miller's era.

Edit: I see we're addressing different sentences from the comment you replied to. Confusing.

 5

 Répondre
...


Virgil\_hawkinsS • -10j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

3 réponses supplémentaires



DevelopmentPretend68 • -10 j

Yeah, I was so confused reading that. Idk where all them upvotes came from.

1

Répondre

...

3 réponses supplémentaires



GnRgr2 • -10 j

Dana Barros, Bj armstrong, and mookie blaylock all made asg in that era. Lowry absolutely wouldve been able to make one

3

Répondre

...



trojan\_man16 • -10 j

East was the better conference until Shaq went west and Jordan retired. Also Reggie was criminally underrated the first 1/3 of his career because the Pacers were a fringe playoff team in a small market.

I think Reggie's skills in the modern NBA are maximized and he gets a lot more accolades, and even maybe more playoff success.

14

Répondre

...



realmfakejames • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

When Reggie was playing there was one guard spot on the east every year guys had to compete for because the other automatically was Michael Jordan lmao

4

Répondre

...



[supprimé] • -10 j



Overall-Palpitation6 • -10 j • Modifié il y a -10 j

He barely averaged 20 a game most years. He's like if Klay Thompson happened to be the Warriors' first option, on the same number of shot attempts.

20

Répondre

...



trojan\_man16 • -10 j

Guy's prime was in the late 90s/early 2000s. That's the modern NBA's dead ball era. Even 18ppg was a big deal then, 20+ was actually impressive.

With today's pace and a system optimizing his skills Reggie would be a 25ppg+ guy.

45

Répondre

...



Madpsu444 • -10 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

1999-2007. Pistons and Spurs dominate with defense, the team with Shaq grinded the game to a halt.

3

Répondre

...



Overall-Palpitation6 • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

Fair enough, but even in his era, there were still 25-30ppg guys, and he was never one of them. Reggie finished top 10 in ppg once during his career, in 1989-90, when he finished 8th with 24.6ppg.

Reggie never topped 26 USG%, and hovered in the low-to-mid 20s in USG% for his entire prime, so even if he was Indiana's de-facto #1 scorer, he really never got used or scored like a true #1, even in a lower possession era.

8

Répondre

...



vmpafq • -10j

That's cap. MJ, Shaq, Iverson types were averaging 30 then.

Répondre

...



trojan\_man16 • -10j

Game was different back then.

Not many teams were building an offense around a 3pt shooter. The elite 3pt shooters of that era, outside of Glenn Rice and Ray Allen, were usually complimentary players that benefited from an elite ISO player or a center. Allen IMO was the evolved version of Miller, as he was a much better one-on one player.

Millers biggest issue was that he was not a good ISO player, his game was about running through several screens every position to get open. NBA offenses in the 90s were based on ISO and getting the ball to big men.

Répondre

...



macandcheeser • -10j

Pacers offense was built around Reggie. His gravity flying around off-ball screens is what made the whole thing work. Comparing him to a 2nd or 3rd option like Klay Thompson, who played his whole career next to an all time great is crazy.

Reggie Miller career win shares: 140. Klay Thompson: 57. Playoff win shares - Reggie 20, Klay 10.

Répondre

...



sci\_methods • -9j

It's why Reggie killed us (well, not just NY) in the fourth quarter all the time. You'd spend all game chasing the skinny bastard all around the court, he never stopped moving! Then when the 4th quarter rolled around you'd be just a tiny bit slower, and he'd drain wide open jumpers.

1

Répondre

...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)[Upvote](#) 2 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



GreedyWarlord • -10 j

That's a solid #2 option

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...

SourBerry1425 • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%



chief\_sitass • -10 j

I'm curious how far outside of the top 20 Karl Malone is

[Upvote](#) 128 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...

hqppp AO • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

His career playoff rTS is 99 - which is pretty terrible for an all-time big man whose rTS is 109 in the regular season. I do not consider him a better playoff scorer than any of the 20 listed, personally.

[Upvote](#) 144 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



nhthelegend • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

The mailman doesn't deliver on Sunday's

[Upvote](#) 141 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



obk\_74 • -10 j

He will make exceptions if his customers are a certain age though. The junior discount, if you will.

[Upvote](#) 48 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



NonKolobian • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

Classic Pippen

[Upvote](#) 5 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



bingbongnnergong • -10 j

Probably about 13 spots

[Upvote](#) 59 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



3s2ng • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

It grinds my gear when I see data in a table that is not sorted logically.

[Upvote](#) 581 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#)

...



SportsBettingRef • -10 j • Modifié il y a -9 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Kawhi Leonard	3133	112
Stephen Curry	4147	110
Jerry West	4457	110
Kareem Abdul-Jabbar	5762	109
Kevin Durant	4985	109
Charles Barkley	2833	109
Dirk Nowitzki	3663	108
LeBron James	8289	107
Shaquille O'Neal	5250	107
Wilt Chamberlain	3607	107
Nikola Jokic	2580	107
Michael Jordan	5987	106
James Harden	3895	106
Hakeem Olajuwon	3755	106
Julius Erving	4580	105
Tim Duncan	5172	104
Dwyane Wade	3954	103
Giannis Antetokounmpo	2270	103
Kobe Bryant	5640	102
Larry Bird	3897	102

⊕ ↑ 66 ↓ 💬 Répondre ...
 **dirtyNASHTYfilthy** • -10j

Why'd you remove Dirk's 108?

⊕ ↑ 44 ↓ 💬 Répondre ...
 **SportsBettingRef** • -9j • Modifié il y a -9j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

double checking.

Edit2.: I've correct it.

9

Répondre

...



IcyAuthor1 • -10 j

Didn't expect Bird, Giannis, and Duncan to be that low.

18

Répondre

...



rayrayiscray • -10 j

Giannis I can understand, his ft% (and high volume of them) really brings down the overall ts% even despite being one of the most efficient 2pt scorers in league history.

14

Répondre

...



MarkoSeke • -10 j

TS rewards three point shooters. Also it's not "low", this is a list of the top of all time

33

Répondre

...



2 réponses supplémentaires



bigvah33 • -10 j

mchale was huge in the playoffs

4

Répondre

...



rajs1286 • -10 j

Duncan has never been efficient, despite the narrative

25

Répondre

...



7 réponses supplémentaires



Unusual-Weather1902 • -10 j

Didn't expect Kobe to be more efficient than Bird

6

Répondre

...



15 réponses supplémentaires



wvmothman • -10 j

The equation must be wonky— no way Jordan would be this low.

0

Répondre

...



Akipella • -10 j



Comm. du top 1%

Still insane to see 6'2" Steph where he is on these lists lol.



6

Répondre

...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

much true shooting is affected by how good of a 3p shooter you are and Curry being the best, it's pretty insane West is able to match him on more points, without 3p shots even existing.

19 Répondre ...

8 réponses supplémentaires



Cletus\_Starfish • -10j

I was really confused when OP said Kawhi was the only one who came close and didn't see his name anywhere near Reggie's. Had to look at it twice to even find him on there lol.

107 Répondre ...



JWOLFBEARD • -10j

Kawhi is literally right next to Reggie. What

10 Répondre ...



Bumbiedore • -10j

OP edited the post to sort the table

14 Répondre ...



Cletus\_Starfish • -9j

Wasn't originally. Thankfully OP edited the post, but it was in a seemingly totally random order before.

2 Répondre ...



gza\_liquidwords • -10j

I was confused until I saw Kawhi Leonard and Jerry West. In any case, I am not sure how rTS% is defined, but I do think this makes the case that Reggie is in stratosphere (playoff wise at least) with top 25 all time players.

14 Répondre ...



Far215 • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

Yeah I was gonna say. Not sorted by points OR rts, like wtf. Not even alphabetical order

4 Répondre ...



hqppp AO • -10j

Comm. du top 1%



Kaltho • -10j

Wdym its sorted by row number

1 Répondre ...



xometry\_europe • Sponsorisé(e)

...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

rapidité et flexibilité.

[En savoir plus](#)[pages.xometry.eu](#)
 **erithtotl** • -10 j

Reggie was way ahead of his time. Imagine if he was shooting 10 3s a game like players do now? I feel like you could basically transplant him from the 1990s to now and he'd be just as effective if not more so, unlike some players whose games have aged very poorly. He was a statheads player before there were really any statheads.

[Up](#) 36 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **Takemyfishplease** • -10 j  
Comm. du top 1%

How is that list ordered?

[Up](#) 168 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **Lav1on** • -10 j

By Agenda

[Up](#) 332 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
Comm. du top 1%
 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
Comm. du top 1%

It's my best attempt at capturing the 20 best playoff scorers. Order is a bit random but criteria for inclusion was mainly based on total playoff points, but exercising some common sense to prioritize including first options like Barkley/Giannis/Jokic over guys like Tony Parker and Scottie, who are high on the list just because of number of playoff games played.

[Up](#) 56 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **pick-and-hoop** • -10 j

Thanks for the list and now for coming back and fixing the sorting. Appreciate the quality post OP

[Up](#) 25 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **Takemyfishplease** • -10 j  
Comm. du top 1%

"Bit" there is no order at all.

So the list is just your common sense, but for some reason Reggie is at the top? Lol

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...
 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
Comm. du top 1%

It's updated, sweetheart. I'm sorry for any distress I caused you.

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Elyx\_117 • -10j  
I like your 3rd point. Arguable but I like it. His longevity and consistency is often overlooked.

[Up](#) 117 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



fitzvery • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j  
Idk Reggie was great for a long time but saying he's more valuable than Jerry West, Oscar Robertson, or Dwyane Wade is wild

[Up](#) 40 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



UniversityOk5928 • -10j  
Also great for a long time for one franchise.

[Up](#) 17 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



Optimist2 • -10j  
Wade still beats him easily cmon now

[Up](#) 6 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



UniversityOk5928 • -10j  
The question was who is the most valuable to a franchise.

Yall love arguing without reading the question

[Up](#) -2 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...

[+ 6 réponses supplémentaires](#)



macandcheeser • -10j  
I don't think Reggie vs Wade is a wild comparison. I'd agree Wade had the higher peak, but Reggie was a stud for a long time, and always available.

Reggie is way ahead of Wade in career win shares. Reggie also slightly ahead in WS/48, and VORP. Wade ahead in BPM

[Up](#) 1 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



GoblinTradingGuide • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

What OP has illustrated is basically the reason why Reggie is in the Hall of Fame. I know his selection was controversial but Reggie was a certified bucket getter.

[Up](#) 258 [Down](#) [Répondre](#) ...



mrrollmaster • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

  247   Répondre ...

 + GoblinTradingGuide • -10 j

 EntertainmentAOK • -10 j

I mean if Tim Hardaway is in the HOF, Reggie definitely deserves to be there.

  21   Répondre ...

 Prestigious-Ad9921 • -10 j

Tim Hardaway is?

Oof.

I've started calling it the "Hall of Really Good."

That fits better.

 1   Répondre ...

 thesch • -10 j

 Comm. du top 1%

Good thread for the people who call Reggie overrated because they took one look at his bbref page and saw that he only made 3 all-NBA teams. Us uncs who were around then know he was the real deal.

  118   Répondre ...

 macandcheeser • -10 j

Yep. Maybe the simplest argument in favor of Reggie Miller - he was the best player on a perennial playoff team for over a decade. Just a winning basketball player.

  49   Répondre ...

 trojan\_man16 • -10 j

Best player on a team that made the Finals, best player on one of the two squads team that took the 90's Bulls Championship teams to seven games.

 44   Répondre ...

 josshhhh\_ • -10 j • Modifié il y a -10 j

His peers respect him so much that Reggie himself gets overwhelmed. I remember in the show Open Court when they discussed the next 25 best players and all of them said Reggie but Reggie insisted to not put him there.

His peers knew how hard it is to carry a franchise, a relatively small franchise, to the championship.

 20   Répondre ...

 Chrisclc13 • -10 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)
 22

 Répondre
...

**Zulumus** • -10j

Yup, real ones know.

 4

 Répondre
...

**Giveadont** • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

There is one caveat I can think of for Miller that might slightly skew the data in his favor:

As dangerous as he was, Miller could be taken out of a game more easily than other first options because his shot creation game relied so much on moving off ball or creation through the triple threat, but his ball-handling wasn't always flexible enough to probe defenses like a lot of others on this list.

Teams that had success could just try and smother him off-ball and force him into playing off the dribble more once he caught it, which is the less reliable part of his offensive game.

So he could be neutralized more effectively by forcing him to choose between "no shot" or a "bad/difficult shot".

Reggie's lower-ish volume would suggest he skews towards "no shot" sometimes.

This is compared with other players that have more dangerous ISO/OTD games like Jordan, LeBron, or even Curry. Their driving game leads to better playmaking and good shots near the rim. And in the case of Jordan and Curry, (or even Kawhi and Durant) they are more dangerous as hybrid threats.

So, while he was deadly efficient, Miller wasn't always the most unstoppable skill set to deal with and he could be taken out of critical moments if he was forced to create off the dribble and shoot as many contested shots as possible.

In game 7 against the Bulls in 98 it took Jordan pretty much going all out to make sure Miller never got a good look on any of his shots in that 4th quarter just to shut him down. So, it wasn't the easiest strategy and it took 2/3 GOAT-level players in Shaq, Kobe and MJ to make Miller ringless.

But, yeah, the ball-handling/ball-in-hand and creation part of the game is where someone with higher volume is likely more dangerous than Miller: a player that is more skewed towards being a pure shooter.

All of that being said, it's very possible that Miller is just one of the best versions of a player that would've gone down as an All-time #2 or 1B Option. It's easily possible he would've won more had he been paired with a player/team that had a better #1/1A option.

A good example: put Miller on that mid-2000s Detroit team instead of Rip Hamilton. I'd say that's mostly an upgrade in every way: Miller is a better 3pt shooter than Rip and probably matches or exceeds him in everything else. Miller probably even wins finals MVP instead of Billups.

I think, to some degree, Reggie was just overtasked on the offensive end and all the crazy feats he pulled off against teams in the East was because he was able to exceed his expectations as a player with that more limited skill-set.

 85

 Répondre
...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

gravity can be from a first option. It's just less obvious in counting stats compared to ISO games.

Maybe he didn't need a #1 and maybe he just needed a better supporting cast.

We can definitely conclude he was an incredibly efficient playoff scorer as the post says.

33 Répondre

Giveadont • -10 j • Modifié il y a -10 j

I mostly agree with that. And I'm not trying to underplay how good Miller was. I would personally rank him as good or better than Scottie Pippen as far as the 90s are concerned. Miller is probably in the top 10 if we're considering that he was way more consistent than a lot of players for that entire decade.

He probably could have gotten by as a number 1 in the sense that he's a team's highest scorer, but he probably needed someone to handle the creation part of things and take some on-ball scoring load off of him to beat any of the teams he faced (and lost to) in the finals or conference finals.

That's not really a knock on Miller IMO, though. That's why I gave the example of Miller on a team like the 2004 Pistons. If he had a balanced team of really solid defensive and 2-way players that were comparable to that, he could probably outscore everyone on his team effectively and still win a championship.

Compare to Miller's actual career: Mark Jackson was probably the best he got as a creator. Chauncey Billups is already an upgrade there. Maybe not as a pure creator, but his 3-Level scoring is already better at creating a distraction for Miller than he ever had in Jackson.

The best team Miller had overall was probably when he was nearing the later part of his career in the mid-2000s and heading into retirement. But then they all got suspended for the whole "Malice at the Palace" situation.

7 Répondre

costelci • -10 j

I definitely agree that he would need a ball handler on a roster that fit him. And that he needed a better roster than he had to win a title.

I don't think any of that excludes him from being considered a #1 in the sense that he could be a title team's best player.

Maybe he would have needed a better driving game but unfortunately we never saw a good enough roster to know. I think the impact metrics indicate he was good enough.

4 Répondre

barkerrr33 • -10 j

As a Pacers fan, I think this is pretty accurate. It's telling that they got over the hump and to the finals once Jalen could serve as a primary playmaker.

2 Répondre

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

the Malice in the Palace, and were bringing back the whole team.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



StupidWriterProf175z • -10j

Steph is 10x better as an on-ball creator than Reggie ever was.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



TenaciousDeer • -10j

Well argued.

To summarize, by NOT being a dominant ball handler, Reggie probably would lower the floor of a weak team compared to, say, a Jalen Brunson.

However, the flip side of that coin is that he probably raises the ceiling of a team with many options like the late 90s Pacers or 2010s Thunder

[↑ 5](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



GeneralOwn5333 • -10j

No buts or maybes. Every name there has their caveat.

Respect the data, respect Reggie.

[-](#) [↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



TRossW18 • -10j

Everything requires context and nuance. Thats not disrespect.

[↑ 2](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



ykb

[↑ -3](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



jackaholicus • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

I always tell people Reggie is closer to Steph than he is to Klay.

[-](#) [↑ 58](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



so-cal\_kid • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

He is absolutely. He was the #1 option of a playoff contender for the vast majority of his career. Klay as great as he is was never that kind of guy.

[↑ 28](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



Count\_Gator • -10j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

↪  [RecommendationReal61](#) • -10 j

↪  [SwarleymonLives](#) • -10 j

 [LOSS35](#) • -10 j

Reggie's for sure underrated but the biggest surprise for me on this list is how efficient Chuck was.

↪  17   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  [PensiveinNJ](#) • -10 j

Chuck was a monster who was unlucky to play a lot of his prime coming up against Jordan and then Hakeem.

↪  11   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  [canad1anbacon](#) • -10 j

He was Zion before Zion. Just more durable

↪  10   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  [StupidWriterProf175z](#) • -10 j

It's interesting that all this "efficiency" didn't lead to championships. Reggie was excellent, but all this revisionist statistical history is being done by young folks who weren't around at the time, didn't watch the games, have no real idea of the relative merits of these players, and are trying to individuate themselves by going against the grain of common perception. Those common perceptions are based in reality.

↪  -2   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  [macandcheeser](#) • -10 j

The Pacers made 5 ECF in 7 seasons with Reggie Miller as their best player. That's a lot of winning. You want to downgrade him because his team lost to the Shaq-Kobe Lakers in the Finals, or a super tight series to Jordan's Bulls in 7 games?

↪  7   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  [StupidWriterProf175z](#) • -10 j

Reggie was an excellent player on an excellent team. I don't seek to downgrade him. I think he's properly rated on all-time lists and whatever. I am against his elevation to a status that he didn't actually play to in his career. In large part, the efficiency that you see here is the product of Reggie's very limited shot-making arsenal. The guy wasn't nearly as versatile a scorer as players like Jordan, Kobe and Bird who handled the ball much more, made plays for others as well as themselves, drove the ball, played through contact, etc., none of which Reggie had the physicality to do. Even compared to Steph, Reggie was a much, much more circumscribed scorer.

↪  0   [Répondre](#) ...

↪  2 réponses supplémentaires

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Number of points matters.

Smaller sample sizes, no games played outside of peak/prime, etc.

If you pick MJ's best 2972 point stretch, I bet he clears Reggie easily.

17 Répondre

LordBaneoftheSith • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

He would not. Reggie has the 4 most efficient postseason runs of any year where they played > 200 minutes, and if you include years with a single series, Jordan's most efficient is would be Reggie's 11th most. If you look at only postseasons 29 points per 75 or higher, Reggie has the three most efficient, and although only one of them was more than one series, that was a deep run, and the other two he went down in the first round scoring 30 on 69% & **72%** TS.

Jordan's calling card was a volume that Reggie, or anyone else for that matter, could not match year after year. But he never had a spike in efficiency on that volume like we've seen from Durant or LeBron... or Reggie. The only players with multiple postseasons at 29 +10 are those 3 and Kawhi. And it's not like Jordan was inefficient. He had 4 postseasons at 32 +5, only other player with more than 1 was LeBron with 3. (There's a 37 +9 and a 32 +14 in there for LeBron lmao).

62 Répondre

hqppp AO • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

Ofc, that's why you can't claim Reggie is the best playoff scorer ever.

But to have a sizeable efficiency margin over other all-time greats while being a first option across a big sample of playoff games is still extremely impressive and enough to crown him as the most efficient.

31 Répondre

blockass • -10j

[supprimé] • -10j

gza\_liquidwords • -10j

To me this is not the point - you can't use these stats to suggest that Reggie is superior to MJ. You can make the case that (in playoffs at least), that he is most comparable top 25 all time NBA players (almost everyone on this list), which is a bit surprising

1 Répondre

Angularbackhands • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

Playoff riser

12 Répondre



Sad\_Bathroom1448 • -10j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

The modern NBA being the most efficient NBA isn't just about rule changes; it's that too, but moreso it's offensive strategy centered around maximizing efficiency, and IMO players who are collectively more talented than ever. No reason why the player with the highest absolute TS% shouldn't be considered the most efficient in history

13 Répondre

GrenScrin • -10 j

Definition of "most efficient first option" ≠ rTS%

13 Répondre

Sad\_Bathroom1448 • -10 j

Agreed. I get why rTS% exists, but you wouldn't say the fastest computer in 1955 is the fastest computer ever just because it's so much faster than the average computer in 1955.

6 Répondre

costelci • -10 j

You could argue it had the best engineering ever though, as it was the furthest ahead of the competition operating with the same technology.

Relative stats are a way of comparing sports people across eras. Whether comparing people of different eras matters in any way is up to you I guess.

1 Répondre

MalcolmSupleX • -10 j

ReggieTS%?

10 Répondre

Nivicladès • -10 j

Relative True Shooting is my guess

1 Répondre

SportsBettingRef • -10 j • Modifié il y a -9 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Kawhi Leonard	3133	112
Stephen Curry	4147	110
Jerry West	4457	110
Kareem Abdul-Jabbar	5762	109
Kevin Durant	4985	109
Charles Barkley	2833	109
Dirk Nowitzki	3663	108
LeBron James	8289	107
Shaquille O'Neal	5250	107
Wilt Chamberlain	3607	107
Nikola Jokic	2580	107
Michael Jordan	5987	106
James Harden	3895	106
Hakeem Olajuwon	3755	106
Julius Erving	4580	105
Tim Duncan	5172	104
Dwyane Wade	3954	103
Giannis Antetokounmpo	2270	103
Kobe Bryant	5640	102
Larry Bird	3897	102

⊕ ↑ 6 ↓ 🗨 Répondre ...
 pick-and-hoop • -10j

Wow, Kawhi was basically one or two playoff runs from passing Larry Bird, that is so unexpected.

⊕ ↑ 3 ↓ 🗨 Répondre ...
 theeExample • -10j

Was? You know he's still playing right? Lol

⊕ ↑ 4 ↓ 🗨 Répondre ...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)[↑ 2 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



composer\_7 • -10 j

Nice to see Charles Barkley here too. Most people think he was just rebounds like Dennis Rodman and not a great scorer too.

[↑ 1 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



Serious-Question281 • -10 j

He did score 8 points in 9 seconds once. Very efficient

[↑ 5 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



Tarrot469 • -10 j

One thing that stands out is how high Jerry West is without the 3-point line to help boost TS. And he's the best guard defender of his era. People massively sleep on him and he should be right on the top 10 border.

[↑ 5 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



Torkzilla • -10 j

Reggie was my favorite player growing up and I definitely think he can hang with just about any shooting guard in league history on pure shooting ability. That said top 5 guard of all time is a bridge too far to me.

[↑ 4 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



SterlingStRose • -10 j

Might be why he's in the hall of fame

[↑ 4 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



DollarLate\_DayShort • -10 j

I came to this thread expecting to see 65% pacer flairs... guess they're all still celebrating/hungover

[↑ 4 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



ben10toesdown • -10 j

DWade is definitely ahead of Reggie.

[↑ 3 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...



GreedyWarlord • -10 j

3rd best SG of all time, arguably, top 5 definitely. Won 3 chips. One with a waning Shaq. That doesn't mean he was necessarily a more efficient scorer.

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

there is an argument to say that Reggie may be the 5th most valuable guard for a single franchise ever after Jordan, Magic, Curry, and Kobe

I'm just arguing this point. And after rereading it, Zeke is ahead of Reggie too

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...



djinngerale • -10j

top 5 definitely

Jordan, Kobe, Wade, Iverson and who else in your books?

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...



GreedyWarlord • -10j

It is pretty hard for me to choose the 5th one. Harden would be my choice, since I consider West a PG. Followed by Drexler and Gervin.

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

[Upvote](#) 2 réponses supplémentaires



Whatever801 • -10j

Yeah Reggie is one of those guys where you have to really watch him to understand what he was like. Stats usually don't do it justice (well I guess this one does). Took the 98 bulls to 7.

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...



JohnBlunt • -10j

It's funny because Reggie is probably the consensus worst player on this list

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...



Chrisclc13 • -10j

I think the most surprising take to me is that Larry Bird is at the bottom of this list.

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...



wolfpack\_57 • -10j

I wasn't around to watch, but wasn't he pretty reliant on screens to get open, and took less shots because he couldn't self-create? I think that takes away from the first option assertion because self creation should IMO be a part of first option status.

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Dernier :

[↑ 3](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

devvinitely · -10 j

This is why I joined this subreddit. I love this stuff

[↑ 2](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

AcEr3\_ · -10 j



EnServe31 · -10 j

Yeah Reggie is extremely underrated. Of course he's part of the top 75 but people do not truly understand fully how great Reggie was. I feel like over time more and more people are becoming aware which is good.

[↑ 2](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

vmpafq · -10 j

If you filter for Reggie's prime years you would have to do the same for the others to make a fair comparison. Most of these guys have double the volume at least.

[↑ 2](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

EyeFar9259 · -10 j

[Comm. du top 1%](#)

Reggie has had one of the greatest playoff scoring peaks. So this follows

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

Hendo8888 · -10 j

How does rTS% work?

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

vmpafq · -10 j

relative to league average TS% I think

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

RecommendationReal61 · -10 j

Points 1 and 2 can be true, but don't provide enough to support the argument proposed in point 3. There are at least a dozen other guards who unlike Reggie actually won MVPs and/or Finals MVPs, so maybe efficiency isn't the most important statistic in measuring a guard's value.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#)[Répondre](#) · ...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Reggie Miller is not considered top 50 player of all time. There's more to the game than efficiency.

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
 Comm. du top 1%

Name me one player who comes close to 14 playoff series wins with zero HOF teammates in their prime.

[Upvote](#) -2 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **Wise\_Ad\_112** • -10 j

He wasn't a no 1 option. He himself doesn't put himself in any of these convos with other greats.

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
 Comm. du top 1%

Ok so from 1991 to 2002 when Reggie averaged 24PPG on 17 shots a game, who exactly do you think was the number one option?

He's not a better player than any of the 20 I listed, ofc not bc there is more to the game than efficient scoring. But he definitely was a first option, and his resume is absolutely top 50 material. He won a lot for someone who never had a superstar teammate.

[Upvote](#) 3 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **paragon249** • -10 j

How many does Butler have?

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **fitzvery** • -10 j

Jerry West, Oscar Robertson, and Dwyane Wade were all comfortably more valuable than Reggie. Longevity is great and all but I'm taking 14 years of those guys over 18 years of Reggie no question about it.

Loved Reggie though. Dude played forever, never missed time, and produced some of the most iconic basketball memories of my childhood. Definitely left his mark on the game

[Upvote](#) 1 [Downvote](#) [Répondre](#) ...

 **hqppp AO** • -10 j  
 Comm. du top 1%

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

West had Elgin Baylor for most of his career, along with a few years of Goodrich and Wilt. Reggie had nobody of comparable quality. West was 16-12 in playoff series for his career with 1 ring, compared to 14-15 with zero rings for Reggie. Given the massive difference in supporting cast, I think it's still closer than you think, although West wins out as a more dominant player.

Oscar won 2 playoff series before becoming Kareem's sidekick, I don't think his gaudy stats are enough to push him over Reggie helping the Pacers win 14 playoff series.

Wade won 22 playoff series + 3 rings but 14 of those + 2 rings were with the arguable GOAT at his apex. The Heat had some really lean years with Wade, without LeBron. Wade also fell off very quickly after 2011.

- ↑ -4 ↓ Répondre ...

 **fitzvery** • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

We'll have to agree to disagree on that. I'm not old enough to have seen West or Robertson but it's hard to stack their list of accomplishments and make a great case for Reggie. Like Jerry West was first team all-NBA ten times and Reggie was third team three times. No comparison really. They had great teammates but they also played when there were much fewer teams so all the top teams were absurdly loaded.

As for Wade, he was just better at his peak. Carried his team to a championship and was just generally a more dominant force at both ends of the court. Can't really talk about Wade's lean years when Reggie didn't win a playoff series until his sixth season. And Wade's post-2011 fall off included him being a top 3 player on two championship teams.

Reggie played on some very good teams too. When everything around him was good, he made a lot of noise in the postseason but he just never reached the same heights and the longevity isn't enough to overcome that in my opinion

↑ 2 ↓ Répondre ...

 **MiopTop** • -10j

Where would AD be on this? IIRC he has the highest career playoff TS% of any player with a 20+ ppg average

↑ 1 ↓ Répondre ...

 **Sir-MARS** • -10j

Yea idk about valuable since he can't iso nearly as well as other guards, plus big defensive liability

↑ 1 ↓ Répondre ...

 **asteroidpen** • -10j

absolutely 0 oldheads will see this and stop saying steph curry isn't a good playoff scorer smh my head

↑ 1 ↓ Répondre ...

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)~~is crazy. Reggie has had the injury issues that have~~[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

ApartmentInside7891 • -10j

Zero rings

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

Kdog122025 • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

2019 robbed Curry of number 1.

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

rocket\_beer • -10j

OP u/hqppp,

Request: redo the list with eFG% instead of TS%

Let's see how the list moves around

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

[supprimé] • -10j



longtimenoisy • -10j

Fuck if Dame is in then Reggie should be there no question.

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

mzx380 • -10j

I can believe that. Saw his whole career as a Knick fan and he didn't shoot as much by today's standards but I barely remember a time the guy missed

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

dontbestupidbegone • -10j

Holly shit Jerry

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

jefe\_x • -10j

Tim Hardaway was his teammate and in the HOF.

[↑ 1](#)[↓](#)[Répondre](#)[...](#)

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

keep in mind that he didn't take nearly as many FGA per game as stars did back then or now. Like, he'd often go 6/8. And that's it.

Would more volume have lowered his numbers? Maybe, but I doubt it.

Also, very few players increase their stats in the playoffs. Reggie always did.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



Divine\_concept2999 • -10 j

So let me start by saying I love Reggie miller. He was so entertaining. An absolutely bonkers clutch shooter and magical at times.

That being said while im sure the numbers are correct, Reggie just isn't that great of a number 1. He never really created his own shot. He had a terrifically balanced team that made teams focus on everyone and not just focus on stopping Reggie.

I look at him as a slightly better version of Klay Thompson who was also much more charismatic and magical.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



Tashinglelll • -10 j

He is also one of the worst announcers that somehow keeps getting work

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



imadogg • -10 j

Do we have numbers when excluding the shorter 3pt line?

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



screaminginprotest1 • -9 j

6th most valuable guard. Don't forget Dwade was the only reason Miami has ANY rings. Hes the second, or third best 2 guard in history, depending on how you value Kobe.

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



CRich19 • -9 j • Modifié il y a -9 j

This is really cool, thank you! I've been trying to poke holes but really can't :)

Couple guys to call out:

- Kevin McHale, 3000+ playoff points, 115 rTS%, led in playoff points a couple years over Bird.
- James Worthy, 3000+ playoff points, 107 rTS%, was the Lakers #1 scorer for majority of his career

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

I love Reggie, if this is a cool post

But feels like leaving out playoff ppg really takes away some important context

(both Reggie n Kawhi avg less than 21.5, n aren't even in the top 60...)

[↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



denimjeg • -10j

Being the most efficient relative to era & actually being the most efficient are 2 different things

[↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



Virtual-Database-238 • -10j

I remember checking during the 2022-2023 season and AD had the highest playoff TS% ever, with Kawhi right behind him. I think that Gobert and Ayton have since played enough games to be counted and now they have the highest. What's AD's rTS?

[-](#) [↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



hqppp AO • -10j

[Comm. du top 1%](#)

AD is at 110, across 1566 total playoff points. So really good, albeit smaller sample (plus debatable whether he's been the first option across these points given LeBron was neck and neck for the big 2020 run).

[-](#) [↑ 5](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



pick-and-hoop • -10j

Naa, AD was the number 1 but LeBron has the popularity behind him. AD was unbelievable during their title run.

[↑ -1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)

[+](#) Curious\_Teaching\_683 • -10j



refugee\_man • -10j

Reggie wasn't what I'd really consider a first option. I believe Jalen Rose and Smits at various points had more shot attempts

[-](#) [↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)



RecommendationReal61 • -10j • Modifié il y a -10j

He was a first option in the sense that he was the team's best shot maker, so was the top priority to try to get open. More like a Glen Rice, Allan Houston, or Peja than a Mitch Richmond, Mark Price, or Clyde Drexler. The offense was built around him, but not necessarily through him.

[-](#) [↑ 5](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) [...](#)

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

NYC had a pretty egalitarian offence with Spree and LJ both getting a decent share of the shots.

People look at Reggie's game as being more modern and overrate him a bunch. He's basically Klay Thompson without the defense (and honestly he didn't really seem to have the explosive scoring potential that Klay had, although that may be due to Klay facing weaker defense playing with multiple HoF players).

-1 Répondre

**RecommendationReal61** • -10 j

Rice was absolutely a 1st option, for most of his career, first with Miami and then Charlotte. He twice finished higher in MVP voting than Reggie ever finished and also made 2nd Team All NBA, something Reggie never did.

With Peja, I'm talking about how he was used those couple years Webber got injured, specifically when he led them to 55 wins in '04 and finished 4th in the MVP race.

Houston was the leading scorer for like 5 years, beginning when Ewing started to get really hobbled in '99 and before his own injury problems. One could argue it was 1A and 1B with Spree before Spree was traded, but LJ was never considered the 1st option on those Knicks teams.

0 Répondre

3 réponses supplémentaires



PagelTheReal18 • -10 j

I was an adult when Reggie got drafted, he was always the #1 option on offense. His gravity created openings for other players, just like today's great shooters.

0 Répondre



refugee\_man • -10 j

I mean this just isn't true. You couldn't even play defense in the same ways you can now where "gravity" is a thing and Reggie wasn't nearly good enough of a distributor to consistently take advantage of double teams.

1 Répondre



PagelTheReal18 • -10 j

I don't agree with you. But thanks for abusing the downvote button. And note, I did not and don't intend on EVER downvoting someone over a difference of opinion.

0 Répondre

5 réponses supplémentaires



6unk • -10 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

itself as well.)

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**False\_Pear1860** • -10j

What is this table sorted by?? Though it was crazy that Curry was so far down the list, but then realized he should be like 3rd place if sorted by rTS

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**Akipella** • -10j

Comm. du top 1%

They fixed it

[Up](#) 3 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**Zazi751** • -10j

If you don't also provide how rTS is calculated then the whole list is garbage. Some of these guys played through multiple eras with significant shifts.

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**joeb1ow** • -10j

Wow, I thought DWade would be #1 seeing how he was gifted 503,244 free throws in the 2006 playoffs.

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**Artimusjones88** • -10j

How many All NBA selections? First teams? He was never a top 4 guard. Good PR

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**Nolofinwe\_2782** • -10j

He's one of the most overrated players of all time

Now if he played in this era? 25 ppg probably

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**notatowel420** • -10j

Reggie is one of the most overrated players of all time. You remove that Knicks series he would be a forgotten player.

[Up](#) 0 [Down](#)
[Répondre](#) ...
**Icy-Role-6333** • -10j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

So efficient he never won the playoffs.

[↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



avd318 • -7 j

Really illuminates how useless efficiency is as a metric

[↑ 0](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



imperialmoose • -10 j

Interesting little set of stats! Regarding that last sentence, you're not accounting for all sorts of parts of the game. It would be foolish to base his ranking all time purely off one stat. But you could argue he's underrated, at least. Reggie was a scary dude to go up against in the playoffs, for sure. But let's not go crazy, he made all NBA 3 times. Part of that may have been playing in Indiana, but partly he also wasn't that good. Of the NBA 75, he's definitely in the bottom 20.

[-1](#) [↑ 1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



Bluepaynxex • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

He was that good in the playoffs. He was Jamal Murray on steroids in that he greatly increased his output once the games mattered. You can't just look at his regular season accolades and use that as an argument. He was plain and simple an absolute monster in the playoffs.

[-1](#) [↑ 22](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



imperialmoose • -10 j

I remember, I was there. I don't have any issue with OP saying Miller was a great playoff riser - we know! I was addressing the final statement OP made; that he was the 5th most valuable franchise guard ever. He simply wasn't. There was one season I tried to argue with my buddies that he was a top 10 player in the league. Even that was seen as a stretch. Like, he was never in an MVP discussion or even close.

If the argument is "he stayed with Indiana for a really long time, so he overall was more valuable to Indiana than Wade was to Miami"...I guess? Maybe?

[-1](#) [↑ -1](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...



Count\_Gator • -10 j

You are getting downvoted, but I do not recall hardly anyone saying Reggie is the best guard in the league. In fact, Indiana really showed up.... what? 94-95, 1998, and 2000? 5 years max for deep playoff runs?

Other guards were considered much more valuable, no offense to Reggie.

[↑ 3](#) [↓](#) [Répondre](#) ...

[+ 1 réponse supplémentaire](#)



hqppp AO • -10 j

Comm. du top 1%

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

Malone's caliber throughout his career. Maybe Zeke for his peak, but again, really great teammates.

[Upvote](#) 4 [Downvote](#)
[Répondre](#)
...

**imperialmoose** • -10 j • Modifié il y a -10 j

Nash, Wade, Iverson, Drexler, West, Robertson, Cousy, even Goodrich, all had arguably better careers and were arguably better players relative to players in their eras. Maybe even Dame. Love Reggie, great showman, very cool stat, but I think you overstated the case at the end of your argument.

Edit: If your argument is based on him staying in one place for his career...well, yeah, ok, I guess that might be that case.

[Upvote](#) 2 [Downvote](#)
[Répondre](#)
...

**hqppp AO** • -10 j  
[Comm. du top 1%](#)

Potentially, especially given two way impact.

But I think it's closer than you think. Reggie won 14 playoff series with the Pacers, most of them as a key contributor/leading scorer. That's more than Drexler with the Blazers, more than Nash/Robertson/Goodrich in their entire careers, more than double Iverson in his entire career.

Cousy was part of a dynastic team so difficult to compare given Reggie never had all-time great teammates. Wade had two top 10 all-time teammates and was a second option on a big chunk of his playoff wins so again difficult to compare.

Jerry West I think you're right though, he's a franchise legend.

[Upvote](#) 6 [Downvote](#)
[Répondre](#)
...
[+ 2 réponses supplémentaires](#)

**Miserable-Lawyer-233** • -10 j

rTS% only measures shooting efficiency—and it's heavily skewed by free throws. True efficiency accounts for everything a player does on the court, every second they're out there. In that broader context, Reggie Miller is *not* the most efficient first option in playoff history.

So, no.

[Upvote](#) -3 [Downvote](#)
[Répondre](#)
...

**Count\_Gator** • -10 j

Thank you!

[Upvote](#) -2 [Downvote](#)
[Répondre](#)
...
[+ 1 réponse supplémentaire](#)
**yVegfoodstamps** • -10 j

**Elite\_Alice** • -10 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)[↑ -4 ↓](#)[Répondre](#)

...

 No\_Fish265 • -10 j Comm. du top 1% Icy-Engine3832 • -10 j r/gachagaming • il y a 17 j

Limbus has another (dumb) controversy

733 upvotes · 289 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 9 j

[Katz] In the seven years before Tom Thibodeau got to New York, the Knicks made the playoffs zero times and had a .330 winning percentage. In Thibodeau's five years with the Knicks, they had a .565 winning...

5,1 k upvotes · 455 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 12 j

Which NBA team has the best all-time starting five made up only of players they drafted?

1,3 k upvotes · 794 commentaires


 r/nba • il y a 16 j

Is Michael Adams the worst 25ppg scorer in NBA history?

186 upvotes · 125 commentaires

 r/lakers • il y a 2 m.

The NBA has handed Anthony Edwards a \$50K fine for his "My d\*\*\* is bigger than yours" remark to a Lakers fan 😅 (via @ShamsCharania)



1,2 k upvotes · 282 commentaires

 r/NBATalk • il y a 16 j

this is about to be the lowest rated finals in NBA history (the NBA is so cooked)

23 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 1 m.

For the first time in NBA history, three 60+ win teams lost Game 1 of the same playoff round

2 k upvotes · 124 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 27 j

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)
 r/hockey • il y a 3 a

Who was the last player to score the most points in the playoffs without making the SCF?

50 upvotes · 58 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 1 m.

THE NBA IS NOT RIGGED...

44 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 1 a

[Rohlin] Alex Caruso still thinks about 'what could've been' with the Lakers: "Yeah, definitely. I m have always thought about it because those guys [LeBron James and Anthony Davis] ar...



1,8 k upvotes · 196 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 8 a

Every Time a Player Averaged 40+ PPG in a Playoff Series

110 upvotes · 71 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 10 j

The Pacers are the most unexpected Finalist team of all time

35 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 2 m.

Shai Gilgeous-Alexander has been the starting PG for the two biggest comebacks in NBA Playoff history

12 upvotes · 29 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 2 m.

This year's Thunder are arguably statistically the best «regular season» team in NBA history

42 commentaires

 r/houston • il y a 14 a

Miller's cafe anyone?



108 upvotes · 82 commentaires

 r/nba • il y a 3 m.

Kawhi Leonard is still a Top-10 player in the NBA

102 commentaires

[Accéder au contenu principal](#)[Se connecter](#)

52 upvotes · 143 commentaires



r/Reno • il y a 9 j

[Email from Mike Leonard](#)

3 commentaires



r/Mavericks • il y a 4 m.

[Game Thread: Dallas Mavericks \(31-27\) at Los Angeles Lakers \(34-21\) Feb 25 2025 9:00 PM](#)

146 upvotes · 3,3 k commentaires



r/nba • il y a 11 j

[Has any team ever won an NBA title by winning 4 Game 7s?](#)

101 upvotes · 44 commentaires



r/todayilearned • il y a 6 m.

[TIL that the Sacramento Kings are the oldest franchise in the National Basketball Association \(NBA\). Founded in 1923 as the Rochester Seagrams, it predates the foundation of the NBA ...](#)

325 upvotes · 31 commentaires



r/nba • il y a 4 m.

[GAME THREAD: Philadelphia 76ers \(20-33\) @ Brooklyn Nets \(19-34\) - \(February 13, 2025\)](#)


6 upvotes · 27 commentaires




r/nba • il y a 11 j

[The last time the Pacers made the finals: Reggie Miller scores 34 vs the Knicks 2000 ECF G6](#)

85 upvotes · 6 commentaires



r/nba • il y a 6 m.

[Shaq's podcast on the current state of the game: Shaq: "This game we're now, it's fucking terrible...everyone's doing the same thing". Lefkoe: "I saw Lakers v Suns and there were 7...](#)

1 k upvotes · 1,1 k commentaires

## Discussions connexes

[Best Shooter in the NBA](#)



Se connecter

BEST PUGS IN THE NBA

## Best 3 Point Shooters

En voir plus

[Règles de Reddit](#) [Politique de confidentialité](#) [Contrat d'utilisation](#) [Reddit, Inc. © 2025. Tous droits réservés.](#)