System Verification and Validation Plan for RwaveDetection

Junwei Lin

March 20, 2025

Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|--------------|---------|----------|
| Feb 21, 2025 | 1.0 | Creation |

Contents

| 1 | Syn | nbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms | iv |
|---|----------------|--|----|
| 2 | Ger | neral Information | 1 |
| | 2.1 | Summary | 1 |
| | 2.2 | Objectives | 1 |
| | 2.3 | Challenge Level and Extras | 2 |
| | 2.4 | Relevant Documentation | 2 |
| 3 | Pla | \mathbf{n} | 2 |
| | 3.1 | SRS Verification Plan | 2 |
| | 3.2 | Design Verification Plan | 2 |
| | 3.3 | Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan | 3 |
| | 3.4 | Implementation Verification Plan | 3 |
| | 3.5 | Automated Testing and Verification Tools | 3 |
| | 3.6 | Software Validation Plan | 4 |
| 4 | \mathbf{Sys} | tem Tests | 4 |
| | 4.1 | Tests for Functional Requirements | 4 |
| | | 4.1.1 TC1: Basic IIR and FIR Filters | 4 |
| | | 4.1.2 TC2: Butterworth and Chebyshev Filters | 6 |
| | | 4.1.3 TC3: Squaring function | 8 |
| | | 4.1.4 TC4: Thresholding function | 8 |
| | | 4.1.5 TC5: RMSE calculating function | 9 |
| | | 4.1.6 TC6: R-wave position calculating | 10 |
| | 4.2 | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements | 11 |
| | | 4.2.1 TC7: Usability | 11 |
| | | 4.2.2 TC8: Maintainability | 13 |
| | | 4.2.3 TC9: Portability | 13 |
| | | 4.2.4 TC10: Reusability | 14 |
| | 4.3 | Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements | 15 |
| 5 | Uni | it Test Description | 15 |
| 6 | Usa | ability Survey Questions | 16 |

| List | of Tables | |
|------|--|----|
| 1 | Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and System Test Cases | 15 |
| List | of Figures | |
| 1 | input wave | 11 |

1 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

The definitions of symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms used in this document are consistent with those provided in SRS(Lin, 2025).

This document outlines the Verification and Validation Plan (VnV) for RwaveDetection, structured into four main sections. General Information includes the summary, objectives, challenge level and extras relevant to the VnV process. Plan details the verification strategy for the SRS, Design, VnV, and Implementation phases, along with the use of automated testing and verification tools, as well as software validation plan. System Tests verify both functional and nonfunctional requirements at the system level, ensuring the overall system meets its intended behavior. Unit Test Description covers validating individual modules against both functional and nonfunctional requirements. This plan ensures a comprehensive and systematic approach to verifying and validating the system before deployment.

2 General Information

This section will provide the project summary and objectives for this document.

2.1 Summary

This project focuses on reimplementing the Pan-Tompkins algorithm for R-wave detection in ECG signal processing. This implementation will automatically derive all filter parameters based on requirements without relying on external libraries.

2.2 Objectives

The objectives of this VnV plan include:

- Build confidence in the software correctness.
- Test robustness against noisy ECG signals.

The objectives of this VnV plan do not include:

- User experience testing for a graphical interface or integration into medical workflow systems.
- Clinical trials.

2.3 Challenge Level and Extras

This is a problem with a general-level challenge. Doxygen will be widely used to generate the API documentation.

2.4 Relevant Documentation

In the Verification and Validation Plan, we reference the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) (Lin, 2025) as the primary document. The SRS outlines the system's requirements, forming the foundation for all verification activities. It ensures that the VnV process is aligned with the specified functional and nonfunctional requirements.

3 Plan

This section provides plans to verify SRS, Design, VnV, and Implementation. In addition, some automated tools for testing are listed.

3.1 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS document (Lin, 2025) will be reviewed by a domain expert. The content of the review is based on the following checklist:

| Completeness | : Included GS, A, GD, DD, TM, IM, etc. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Formatting: 7 organized. | The document has a clear, logical structure, and properly |
| Verifiability: dard. | Each requirement is testable with a clear pass/fail stan- |
| Traceability: | Each requirement is linked to an IM |

3.2 Design Verification Plan

The Design Verification Plan will be reviewed by a domain expert. The content of the review is based on the following checklist:

| Low | module | coupling: | modules | can | be | ${\it reused}$ | anywhere | and | do | not |
|-------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|----|----------------|----------|-----|----|-----|
| affec | t others. | | | | | | | | | |

☐ Good Hierarchy: make sure the hierarchy is clear and easy to maintain. 3.3 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan The Verification and Validation Plan (This document itself) will be reviewed by a domain expert. The content of the review is based on the following checklist: ☐ Completeness: VnV plan includes all necessary sections. ☐ Formatting: The document has a clear, logical structure, and properly organized. □ Appropriateness: Confirm that the methods and tools proposed in the VnV plan are appropriate for the project. ☐ Coverage: Make sure the VnV plan covers all the requirements. 3.4 Implementation Verification Plan ☐ Static code check: Tools (cpplint) will be used to automatically detect common issues such as memory leaks, uninitialized variables, and other code quality concerns. ☐ Test coverage: Tools (gcov) will be used to check test coverage and ensure that most of the code is covered by tests, increasing confidence in the correctness of the code. ☐ Unit test: Comprehensive unit tests will be executed in testing frame-

3.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

work (gTest) to ensure that every units perform correctly.

• Static code checker: cpplint - A tool that enforces Google's C++ style guide by checking for common coding style violations.

□ Code review: The developer will conduct his own code reviews, and the domain expert will also participate in code reviews if possible.

• Unit test: gTest - A C++ testing framework that provides a rich set of assertions and test case management.

- Test coverage: gcov A test coverage analysis tool for C++ that works with GCC.
- Local code quality control: git pre-commit A framework that runs checks before committing code, helping to enforce coding standards and catch issues early.
- Continuous integration: GitHub Actions An automation tool that enables CI/CD workflows, allowing code to be built, tested, and deployed automatically.

3.6 Software Validation Plan

The software will be validated using the MIT-BIH dataset, where the system's performance will be assessed by calculating the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between the predicted and actual results. This will provide a quantitative measure of the system's accuracy.

4 System Tests

This section will provide the system tests designed to verify requirements of the system, including functional and non-functional requirements.

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

4.1.1 TC1: Basic IIR and FIR Filters

1. FIR Filter with Random Coefficients

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required; the test starts with randomly generated filter coefficients.

Input:

- FIR filter coefficients generated using scipy.signal.firwin(10, 0.3).
- A sinusoidal wave with added Gaussian noise, generated using np.sin(2 * np.pi * 0.05 * np.arange(1000)) + np.random.normal(0, 1, 1000).

Output:

- The filtered signal produced by the implemented FIR filter should closely match the filtered signal from scipy.signal.lfilter.
- The RMSE between the two outputs should be below 1e-5.

Test Case Derivation: The test ensures that a basic FIR filter implementation behaves consistently with pseudo-oracle scipy.signal.

How test will be performed:

- (a) Generate FIR filter coefficients.
- (b) Generate an input signal as a sinusoidal wave with Gaussian noise.
- (c) Apply the FIR filter implementation.
- (d) Apply scipy.signal.lfilter with the same coefficients.
- (e) Compute the RMSE between outputs.
- (f) Assert that the RMSE is below 1e 5.

2. IIR Filter with Random Coefficients

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required; the test starts with randomly generated filter coefficients.

Input:

- IIR filter coefficients generated using scipy.signal.butter(3, 0.3, btype='low', output='ba').
- A sinusoidal wave with added Gaussian noise, generated using np.sin(2 * np.pi * 0.05 * np.arange(1000)) + np.random.normal(0, 1, 1000).

Output:

- The filtered signal produced by the implemented IIR filter should closely match the filtered signal from scipy.signal.lfilter.
- The RMSE between the two outputs should be below 1e-5.

The test ensures that a basic IIR filter implementation behaves consistently with scipy.signal.

How test will be performed:

- (a) Generate IIR filter coefficients.
- (b) Generate an input signal as a sinusoidal wave with Gaussian noise.
- (c) Apply the IIR filter implementation.
- (d) Apply scipy.signal.lfilter with the same coefficients.
- (e) Compute the RMSE between outputs.
- (f) Assert that the RMSE is below 1e 5.

4.1.2 TC2: Butterworth and Chebyshev Filters

1. Butterworth Low-Pass Filter

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required; the test starts with selected filter parameters.

Input:

- Cutoff frequency: $f_c = 0.3$
- Filter order N=4
- Filter coefficients generated using scipy.signal.butter(4, 0.3, btype='low', output='ba').

Output:

- The computed filter coefficients should match those generated by scipy.signal.butter.
- The RMSE between the two outputs should be below 1e-5.

Test Case Derivation: The test ensures that a Butterworth filter implementation behaves consistently with pseudo-oracle scipy.signal.

- (a) Generate Butterworth filter coefficients by scipy.signal.butter.
- (b) Generate Butterworth filter coefficients by implementation.
- (c) Compute the RMSE between the implemented and scipy.signal coefficients.
- (d) Assert that the RMSE is below 1e-5.

2. Chebyshev Type I Low-Pass Filter

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required; the test starts with selected filter parameters.

Input:

- Cutoff frequency: $f_c = 0.3$
- Filter order N=4
- Passband ripple: 0.5dB
- Filter coefficients generated using scipy.signal.cheby1(4, 0.5, 0.3, btype='low', output='ba').

Output:

- The filtered signal produced by the implemented Chebyshev filter should closely match the filtered signal from scipy.signal.lfilter.
- The RMSE between the two outputs should be below 1e-5.

The test ensures that a Chebyshev implementation behaves consistently with scipy.signal.

- (a) Generate Chebyshev filter coefficients by scipy.signal.cheby1.
- (b) Generate Chebyshev filter coefficients by implementation.
- (c) Compute the RMSE between the implemented and scipy.signal coefficients.
- (d) Assert that the RMSE is below 1e-5.

4.1.3 TC3: Squaring function

1. Sequence input test

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required.

Input:

 \bullet [1.2, -2.1, -1.0, 2.0, 3.0]

Output:

• [1.44, 4.41, 1.0, 4.0, 9.0]

Test Case Derivation: The purpose of this test case is to verify that the squaring function correctly squares each value in the input list, regardless of whether the numbers are positive or negative. The function should return the squared value of each element from the input list.

The input given here is just an example. In practice, the input will be a large amount of data randomly generated by the program for testing. How test will be performed:

- (a) The input list will be provided to the squaring function.
- (b) The function will process each element in the list and square it.
- (c) The output will be compared against the expected result.
- (d) There is a global floating point comparison threshold ϵ to determine whether floating point numbers are equal.

4.1.4 TC4: Thresholding function

1. Sequence input test

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required.

Input:

• input sequence: [1.44, 4.2, 1.0, 4.0, 9.0]

• dynamic threshold: [3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.6, 3.2]

Output:

 \bullet [0, 4.2, 0, 4.0, 9.0]

Test Case Derivation: This test case aims to verify that the thresholding function correctly applies dynamic thresholds to each element in the input sequence. For each element in the sequence, if the value is greater than the corresponding threshold, it should remain unchanged; otherwise, it should be replaced with 0.

The input given here is just an example. In practice, the input will be a large amount of data randomly generated by the program for testing. How test will be performed:

- (a) The input sequence and dynamic thresholds will be provided to the thresholding function.
- (b) The function will process each element in the list.
- (c) The output will be compared against the expected result.
- (d) There is a global floating point comparison threshold ϵ to determine whether floating point numbers are equal.

4.1.5 TC5: RMSE calculating function

1. Sequence input test

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required.

Input:

• input sequence 1: [100, 200, 300, 400, 500]

• input sequence 2: [99, 203, 300, 398, 501]

Output:

• RMSE = 1.732

Test Case Derivation: This test case verifies that the RMSE function correctly calculates the root mean squared error between two input sequences. The RMSE is calculated as the square root of the mean of the squared differences between corresponding elements of the two sequences.

The input given here is just an example. In practice, the input will be a large amount of data randomly generated by the program for testing. How test will be performed:

- (a) Two input sequences will be provided to the thresholding function.
- (b) The function will process two input sequences and return the RMSE.
- (c) The output will be compared against the expected result.
- (d) There is a global floating point comparison threshold ϵ to determine whether floating point numbers are equal.

4.1.6 TC6: R-wave position calculating

1. MIT-BIH Database test

Control: Automatic

Initial State: No prior state is required.

Input:

- sampling frequency $f_s = 360 \text{ Hz}$
- MIT-BIH data from https://www.physionet.org/content/mitdb/ 1.0.0/, as shown in figure 1. This is a curve consisting of discrete sample points and contains the annotated points from cardiologists. The blue vertical lines in the figure 1 are annotated points.

Output:

• The index of annotated points from cardiologists as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1: input wave

• The RMSE between calculated and annotated R-wave index.

Test Case Derivation: The test will evaluate the accuracy of the R-wave detection function by comparing the detected R-wave index to the annotated index, with the RMSE between the two sets of index expected to be below 3.0.

How test will be performed:

- (a) The input f_s and wave data will be provided to the calculating function.
- (b) The annotated data will be provided to the calculating function.
- (c) The function will process the original wave data and calculate the R-wave index.
- (d) The function will return the RMSE.
- (e) The correctness of this function is evaluated by the output RMSE, assert that the RMSE is below 3.0.

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

4.2.1 TC7: Usability

1. Evaluate software usability through user interaction and a survey

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The software is fully functional and ready for user testing. Input/Condition:

- (a) Users interact with the software under typical usage conditions.
- (b) Conduct a user survey (section 6) to collect feedback on usability.

Output/Result: Survey results and feedback from users.

How test will be performed:

- (a) Distribute the program to target users for use.
- (b) Conduct a user survey to gather structured feedback.
- (c) Analyze survey responses and summarize findings.
- 2. Verify Doxygen generates a structured and readable API documentation

Type: Non-Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The software documentation is written in a format supported by Doxygen.

Input/Condition: Run Doxygen on the project's documentation source files.

Output/Result: A structured and readable API documentation is generated in the expected format (e.g., HTML, PDF).

- (a) Execute Doxygen with the configured settings.
- (b) Inspect the generated documentation for completeness and readability.
- (c) Verify that all sections (e.g., class descriptions, function documentation) are properly formatted.
- (d) Conduct a peer review to assess usability.

4.2.2 TC8: Maintainability

1. Ensure high test coverage

Type: Non-Functional, Dynamic, Automatic

Initial State: The software project contains multiple modules.

Input/Condition: Run a test coverage analysis tool (gcov).

Output/Result: A report showing the percentage of code covered by tests.

How test will be performed:

- (a) Execute the test suite with coverage tracking enabled.
- (b) Generate a test coverage report.
- (c) Verify that all modules have test coverage above a predefined threshold.
- (d) If any module lacks coverage, update tests accordingly.

4.2.3 TC9: Portability

1. Confirm the software builds and runs correctly on multi-platform

Type: Non-Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The source code is available and ready for compilation.

Input/Condition: Attempt to build and run the software on both Linux and Windows environments.

Output/Result: The software compiles successfully and functions correctly on both platforms.

- (a) Set up test environments for Linux and Windows.
- (b) Compile the software on both platforms.
- (c) Run key functionalities and verify expected behavior.
- (d) Identify and document any platform-specific issues.

4.2.4 TC10: Reusability

1. Check if the code is modular and reusable in different contexts

Type: Non-Functional, Static, Manual

Initial State: The software project contains multiple modules.

Input/Condition: Review code structure and check module usage.

Output/Result: A checklist ensures that modules are used in different contexts.

- (a) Inspect code structure for clear separation of concerns.
- (b) Identify modules that are used in different parts of the software.
- (c) Complete a module reuse checklist, and suggest improvements for better modularity.

4.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

| | FR1 | FR2 | FR3 | FR4 | FR5 | FR6 | FR7 | FR8 | NFR1 | NFR2 | NFR3 | NFR4 |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|
| TC1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TC2 | | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
| TC3 | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| TC4 | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| TC5 | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| TC6 | | | | | | | X | X | | | | |
| TC7 | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| TC8 | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| TC9 | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| TC10 | · | | | | | | | | | | | X |

Table 1: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and System Test Cases

5 Unit Test Description

Not filled.

References

Junwei Lin. System requirements specification. https://github.com/Lychee-acaca/CAS741/blob/main/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf, 2025.

6 Usability Survey Questions

| 1. | On a scale of 1-5, how easy is it to use the software? |
|----|---|
| | □ 1 - Very difficult □ 2 - Somewhat difficult |
| | □ 3 - Neutral |
| | \square 4 - Somewhat easy |
| | \square 5 - Very easy |
| 2. | Were you able to complete your tasks efficiently? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ No |
| | □ Somewhat |
| 3. | Which areas of the software need improvement? (Check all that apply) |
| | ☐ User interface design |
| | ☐ Navigation and workflow |
| | \Box Error handling and feedback messages |
| | \Box Other (please specify): |
| 4. | How intuitive are the software controls and menus? |
| | □ Very intuitive |
| | ☐ Somewhat intuitive |
| | □ Neutral |
| | □ Not very intuitive |
| | □ Not intuitive at all |
| 5. | Did you encounter any usability issues? If yes, please describe them. |
| 6. | Any additional comments or suggestions? |