



STUDENT PLAGIARISM: COURSE WORK – POLICY AND PROCEDURE MTRX 2700 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT INDIVIDUAL / COLLABORATIVE WORK

I/We certify that:

- 1. I/We have read and understood the *University of Sydney Student Plagiarism:* Coursework Policy and Procedure;
- I/We understand that failure to comply with the University of Sydney Student Plagiarism: Coursework Policy and Procedure can lead to the University commencing proceedings against me/us for potential student misconduct under Chapter 8 of the University of Sydney By-Law 1999 (as amended);
- 3. The Work undertaken in this course is substantially my/our own, and to the extent that any part of this Work is not my/our own I/we have indicated that it is not my/our own by Acknowledging the Source of that part or those parts of the Work.

Name	Signature	SID	Date
Melissa Mitrevski		440207636	05/06/2015
Meg Flannery		440291196	05/06/2015
Lydia Drabsch		311217591	05/06/2015

CONTENTS

1	Sim	ulation of Orbits with Classical Elements 1
	1.1	Introduction
	1.2	Methodology
		1.2.1 Orbital Period
	1.3	Results/Discussion
		1.3.1 Van Allen Probes
		1.3.2 Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE)
		1.3.3 Orbital Properties
2	\mathbf{Sim}	ulating Perturbations 3
	2.1	Introduction
		2.1.1 Earth oblateness
		2.1.2 Van Allen Probes
	2.2	Methodology
	2.3	Results/Discussion
3	Orb	ital Determination 5
	3.1	Introduction
	3.2	Methodology
	3.3	Results/Discussion
4	Con	aclusions 5
5	Anr	hoendix
o	App	pendix 7
Τ.	TCT	OF FIGURES
	191	OF FIGURES
	1.1	
	2.1	Classical Elements over 12 days
	2.2	Equinoctial Elements over 12 days
Т	TOT	OF TABLES
L	12.I.	OF TABLES
	1.1	Orbital properties of simulated satellites. (* indicates data taken directly from TLEs) 3

Introduction

Each mainQN.m file has a section called 'User Input' where the animations and state plots can be turned on/off. Also the timestep and the number of days to simulate are defined. The default settings are dt = 100 seconds and days = 1.

1. SIMULATION OF ORBITS WITH CLASSICAL ELEMENTS

1.1 Introduction

- keplers three laws
- perifocal frame
- The true anomaly θ is the angle taken at the focus of the perifocal frame to the satellite from the perigee. The eccentric anomaly E is the angle taken at the centre of perifocal frame to the satellite from the perigee.
- -The mean anomaly M_t is the mean number of orbits per day.
- LEO,MEO
- -TLE's

1.2 Methodology

From Kepler's second law, the mean anomaly at time t is calculated using the mean motion n from an epoch time described by $M_0(t_0)$.

$$M_t = M_0 + n(t - t_0) (1)$$

To solve for the eccentric anomaly, newtons method was used

$$E_{i+1} = E_i - \frac{f(E_i)}{f'(E_i)} \tag{2}$$

$$E_{i+1} = E_i - \frac{E - e\sin(E_i) - M_t}{1 - e\cos(E_i)}$$
(3)

The true anomaly was calculated using

$$\theta = 2\tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}\tan\left(\frac{E}{2}\right)\right) \tag{4}$$

The general equation for the radius of an ellipse

$$r = \frac{p}{1 + e\cos\theta} \tag{5}$$

The two parameters, θ and r can completely define the orbit in the perifocal frame as polar coordinates. The conversion to cartesian coordinates in the perifocal frame are as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{perifocal} = \begin{bmatrix} r\cos\theta \\ r\sin\theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6)

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ v_z \end{bmatrix}_{perifocal} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{p}} \sin \theta \\ \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{p}} (e + \cos \theta) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

(8)

The perifocal parameters were transformed to the ECI frame to animate the 3D model of the satellite orbit around the Earth using the function *orbit2ECI*. From the ECI coordinates, the ECEF and LLHGD coordinates were calculated for the ground trace.

1.2.1 Orbital Period

The period was calculated analytically and computationally. The period is inversely proportional to the mean motion. From the simulation, the ECI coordinates of the satellite were analysed by auto correlation based on the Wiener-Khinchin Theorem. The data was fast fourier transformed using the built-in matlab function, multiplied with the resultant complex conjugate then transformed back to the time domain by inverse fourier transform.

1.3 Results/Discussion

1.3.1 Van Allen Probes

The satellites Van Allen Probes, previously known as the Radiation Belt Storm Probes (RBSP A and B), are in a highly eccentric orbit. RBSP-A was modelled based on TLEs from spacetrack.com, see Table 1.1. It was launched on 30th August 2012

The Van Allen Belts are regions of plasma that surround the Earth that are contained by the Earth's magnetic field. The highly energetic particles become trapped in the Earth's magnetic field by travelling along the field lines to a pole and being reflected back and travelling to the opposite pole. This phenomenon is called a magnetic mirror, and is the reason the Van Allen belts have a torus shape about the Earth's magnetic axis, see Figure 1.1. Therefore, the radiation is at the highest intensity about the magnetic equatorial plane, currently at 11.5° inclination. The satellite was put in an orbit close to this inclination at about 10°, with the mission objective to be in an orbit of no greater than 18°. The inclination has fluctuated between 9-11° over the course of it's mission.

The orbit was highly eccentric in order to cover the entire radiation belt region. The perigee altitude is 500 km, which is below the inner radiation belt and the apogee altitude is 30600 km, which is above the outer edge of the outer radiation belt.

1.3.2 Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE)

- long term measurements of total solar irradiance in UV and VNIR - how and why variability occurs at the sun and how it affects our atmosphere and climate - launched in 2003

1.3.3 Orbital Properties




Figure 1.1

Table 1.1: Orbital properties of simulated satellites. (* indicates data taken directly from TLEs)

Orbital Properties	Variable Name	Units	Van Allen Probe A	SORCE
NORAD ID				27651
*Julian year/day data was taken	t0	year/day	2016 68.37507029	2016 82.69823837
Semimajor axis	a	m		
*Eccentricity	e	-	0.6813430	0.0024311
*Inclination angle	inc	degrees	10.1687	39.9961
*Right ascension of the node	Rasc	degrees	46.5607	317.0592
*Argument of Perigee	omega	degrees	77.2770	301.6655
*Mean Anomaly	Mt	degrees	346.0491	58.1763
*Mean Motion	MM	orbits per day	2.681033090	14.88275952
Analytical Period				
Computational Period				
Altitude at Perigee				
Altitude at Apogee				

2. SIMULATING PERTURBATIONS

2.1 Introduction

The basic keperian model only accounted for an ideal two body system with no other effects when in reality, other forces act of the satellite. Compared to the gravitational motion between the Earth and the satellite however, these other effects are small. Therefore, they can be mathematically modelled as perturbations. While it is still an approximation, the error is reduced. Types of perturbations include;

- gravitational effects: such as the oblate Earth, third-body interactions such as the Moon, Sun or other planets
- drag forces: from the Earth's atmosphere and from solar radiation pressure
- unexpected thrusting: from malfunctioning thrusters

2.1.1 Earth oblateness

For the standard simulation model in Question 1, it was assumed that the Earth was spherical. A perfectly spherical mass has an inverse square relation of the gravitational field to the force applied

on a body. However, the Earth is slightly oblate, as it is flatter at the poles and wider at the equator than a sphere.

Unlike using the keplerin model of Question 1, the classical orbital parameters change through time.

2.1.2 Van Allen Probes

Due to the highly elliptical orbit of the Van Allen Probes, most of the time the satellite is in MEO away from the Earth's atmosphere. As the inclination of the orbit keeps the satellite close to the equator, the perturbation due to the non-spherical earth

Newtown's second law and his law of gravitation results in


$$\ddot{r} + \frac{\mu r}{r^3} = a_p \tag{9}$$

2.2 Methodology

Equinoctial elements were used to remove the possible singularities that affect classical elements. The

2.3 Results/Discussion

Figure 2.1: Classical Elements over 12 days






Figure 2.2: Equinoctial Elements over 12 days

3. Orbital Determination

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Methodology

The perturbation model from Question 2 was used

3.3 Results/Discussion

http://vanallenprobes.jhuapl.edu/mission/conversation/overview/index.php

Three networks are used to communicate with the Van Allen Probes. The main communications ground station is at the Applied Physics Laboratory in Washington, US. http://www.scf.jhuapl.edu/

The LLH coordinates are 39.10N 76.53W 140 m above mean seal level.

For backup, the satellite communicates with the United Space Network using the Near Earth Network with ground stations in Hawaii and Australia. The data rates that can be achieved with this network is high enough to gather scientific data from the probe. Also as a backup, the NASA program Space Network is used for monitoring data, which relays through geosynchronous Tracking and Data Relay Satellites (TDRS). The satellite TDRS-12 was modelled with the basic Keplerian model in Question 1.

The TLEs are collected at approximately the same point in the orbit, at a mean anomaly of 345°.

4. Conclusions

REFERENCES

5. APPENDIX