

# Assignment Template

2024-11-08

*The following is a template .rmd RMarkdown file for you to use for your homework submission.*

*Please Knit your .rmd to a PDF format or HTML and submit that with no identifiers like your name.*

*To create a PDF, first install tinytex and load the package. Then press the Knit arrow and select “Knit to PDF”.*

## QUESTION 01: Data Visualisation for Science Communication

*Create a figure using the Palmer Penguin dataset that is correct but badly communicates the data. **Do not make a boxplot.***

*Use the following references to guide you:*

- <https://www.nature.com/articles/533452a>
- <https://elifesciences.org/articles/16800>

*Note: Focus on visual elements rather than writing misleading text on it.*

a) Provide your figure here:

b) Write about how your design choices mislead the reader about the underlying data (100-300 words).

---

## QUESTION 2: Data Pipeline

*Write a data analysis pipeline in your .rmd RMarkdown file. You should be aiming to write a clear explanation of the steps as well as clear code.*

*Your code should include the steps practiced in the lab session:*

- Load the data
- Appropriately clean the data
- Create an Exploratory Figure (**not a boxplot**)
- Save the figure
- **New:** Run a statistical test
- **New:** Create a Results Figure
- Save the figure

*An exploratory figure shows raw data, such as the distribution of the data. A results figure demonstrates the stats method chosen, and includes the results of the stats test or model.*

*Between your code, communicate clearly what you are doing and why.*

*Your text should include:*

- *Introduction*
- *Hypothesis*
- *Stats Method*
- *Results*
- *Discussion*
- *Conclusion*

*You will be marked on the following:*

- Your code for readability and functionality**
- Your figures for communication**
- Your text communication of your analysis**

*Below is a template you can use.*

---

## Introduction

*# Make sure your code prints.*

## Hypothesis

## Statistical Methods

*# Make sure your code prints.*

## Results & Discussion

*# Make sure your code prints.*

## Conclusion

---

## QUESTION 3: Open Science

### a) GitHub

*Upload your RProject you created for **Question 2** and any files and subfolders used to GitHub. Do not include any identifiers such as your name. Make sure your GitHub repo is public.*

*GitHub link:*

*You will be marked on your repo organisation and readability.*

### b) Share your repo with a partner, download, and try to run their data pipeline.

*Partner's GitHub link:*

*You **must** provide this so I can verify there is no plagiarism between you and your partner.*

**c) Reflect on your experience running their code. (300-500 words)**

- *What elements of your partner's code helped you to understand and run their data pipeline?*
- *Did it run? Did you need to fix anything?*
- *What suggestions would you make for improving their code to make it more understandable or reproducible, and why?*
- *If you needed to alter your partner's figure using their code, do you think that would be easy or difficult, and why?*

**d) Reflect on your own code based on your experience with your partner's code and their review of yours. (300-500 words)**

- *What improvements did they suggest, and do you agree?*
- *What did you learn about writing code for other people?*

**e) What are the main barriers for scientists to share their data and code, and what could be done to overcome them? (500-700 words)**

- Maitner et al. Code sharing increases citations, but remains uncommon. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3222221/v1>
- Trisovic et al. A large-scale study on research code quality and execution. <https://rdcu.be/dZB7x>
- A Rock-Star Researcher Spun a Web of Lies—and Nearly Got Away with It. <https://thewalrus.ca/a-rock-star-researcher-spun-a-web-of-lies-and-nearly-got-away-with-it/>
- Gomes et al. Why don't we share data and code? Perceived barriers and benefits to public archiving practices <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2022.1113>