

8.1 Interpreting the symbols " $F(x)$ " and " $G(x)$ " as the predicates " x is a frog" and

" x is green", respectively, formalize each of the following sentences:

(a) Frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow G(X))$$

(b) There is at least one green frog.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))$$

(c) Some frogs are not green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge (\neg G(X)))$$

(d) There are not any green frogs.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

(e) No frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

(f) Frogs are not green.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

(g) Not everything that is a frog is green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge (\neg G(X)))$$

8.2 Interpreting the symbols " R " as the sentence "It is raining" and the symbols

" $F(x)$ ", " $G(x)$ ", " $H(x)$ ", and " $I(x)$ " as the predicates " x is a frog", " x is green", " x is

hopping", and " x is iridescent", respectively, formalize each of the following

sentences:

(a) Everything is a frog.

$$(\forall X)(F(X))$$

(b) Something is a frog.

$$(\exists X)(F(X))$$

(c) Not everything is a frog.

$$(\exists X)(\neg F(X))$$

(d) Nothing is a frog.

$$(\forall X)(\neg F(X))$$

(e) Green frogs exist.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))$$

(f) Everything is either green or iridescent.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \vee I(X))$$

(g) Everything is a green frog.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \wedge I(X))$$

(h) It is raining and some frogs are hopping.

$$R \wedge (\exists X)(H(X))$$

(i) If it is raining, then all frogs are hopping.

$$R \rightarrow (\forall X)(H(X))$$

(j) Some things are green and some are not.

$$(\exists X) (\exists Y) (G(X) \wedge (\neg G(Y)))$$

(k) Some things are both green and iridescent.

$$(\exists X)(G(X) \wedge I(X))$$

(l) Either everything is a frog or nothing is a frog.

$$(\forall X)(F(X)) \vee (\forall X)(\neg F(X))$$

(m) Everything is either a frog or not a frog.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \vee (\neg F(X)))$$

(n) All frogs are frogs.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow F(X))$$

(o) Only frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)((\neg F(X)) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

(p) Iridescent frogs do not exist.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow (\neg I(X)))$$

(q) All green frogs are hopping.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow H(X))$$

(r) Some green frogs are not hopping.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X) \wedge (\neg H(X)))$$

(s) It is not true that some green frogs are hopping.

$$\neg ((\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X) \wedge H(X)))$$

(t) If nothing is green, then green frogs do not exist.

$$(\forall X)((\neg G(X)) \rightarrow (\neg(F(X) \wedge G(X))))$$

(u) Green frogs hop if and only if it isn't raining.

$$(\neg R) \leftrightarrow (\forall X)(G(X) \wedge H(X))$$

8.3 Interpreting the symbols 'F(x)' and 'G(x)' as the predicates 'x is a frog' and 'x is green', respectively, formalize each of the following sentences:

(a) If something is a frog, then it is green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \rightarrow G(X))$$

(b) If anything at all is a frog, then something is green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))$$

(c) Anything that is a frog is green.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \rightarrow G(X))$$

(d) If anything is green, then frogs are green.

$$(\exists X)(G(X) \rightarrow (F(X) \wedge G(X)))$$

(e) If everything is green, then frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)(G(X) \rightarrow (F(X) \rightarrow G(X)))$$

(f) Invariably, frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))$$

(g) Occasionally, frogs are green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

(h) A frog is green.

$$(\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))$$

(i) A frog is always green.

$$((\exists X)(F(X) \wedge G(X))) \wedge (\neg (\exists Y)(F(Y) \wedge (\neg G(Y))))$$

(j) Only frogs are green.

$$(\forall X)((\neg F(X)) \rightarrow (\neg G(X)))$$

8.4 Formalize the following statements, interpreting the symbols 'a', 'b', and 'c' as the proper names 'Alex', 'Bob', and 'Cathy'; 'M(x)' and 'N(x)' as the one-place predicates 'x is a mechanic' and 'x is a nurse'; 'L(x,y)' and 'T(x,y)' as the two-place predicates 'x likes y' and 'x is taller than y'; and 'I(x,y,z)' as the three-place predicate 'x introduced y to z'.

(a) Cathy is a mechanic.

$$M(c)$$

(b) Bob is a mechanic.

$$M(b)$$

(c) Cathy and Bob are mechanics.

$$M(c) \wedge M(b)$$

(d) Either Cathy or Bob is a mechanic.

$$(M(c) \wedge (\neg M(b))) \vee ((\neg M(c)) \wedge M(b))$$

(e) Cathy is either a mechanic or a nurse (or both).

$$(M(c) \wedge N(c)) \vee (M(c) \wedge (\neg N(c))) \vee ((\neg M(c)) \wedge N(c))$$

(f) If Cathy is a mechanic, then she isn't a nurse.

$$M(c) \rightarrow (\neg N(c))$$

(g) Cathy is taller than Bob.

$$T(c,b)$$

(h) Bob likes Cathy.

$$L(b,c)$$

(i) Bob likes himself.

$$L(b,b)$$

(j) Cathy likes either Bob or Alex.

$$L(c,b) \vee L(c,a)$$

(k) Alex introduced Cathy to Bob.

$$I(a,c,b)$$

(l) Cathy introduced herself to Bob but not to Alex.

$$I(c,c,b) \wedge (\neg I(c,c,a))$$

8.5 Formalize the following statements using the same interpretation as in

Problem 8.4.

(a) Bob likes nothing.

$$(\forall X)(\neg L(b,X))$$

(b) Nothing likes Bob.

$$(\forall X)(\neg L(X,b))$$

(c) Something likes itself.

$$(\exists X) (L(X,X))$$

(d) There is something which Cathy does not like.

$$(\exists X) (\neg L(c,X))$$

(e) Cathy likes something which Bob likes.

$$(\exists X) (L(b,X) \rightarrow L(c,X))$$

(f) There is something which both Bob and Cathy like.

$$(\exists X) (L(b,X) \wedge L(c,X))$$

(g) There is something which Bob likes and something which Cathy likes.

$$(\exists X) (\exists Y) (L(b,X) \wedge L(c,Y))$$

(h) If Bob likes himself, then he likes something.

$$(\exists X) (L(b,b) \wedge L(b,X))$$

(i) If Bob does not like himself, then he likes nothing.

$$(\forall X) ((\neg L(b,b)) \rightarrow (\neg L(b,X)))$$

(j) If Bob likes something, then he likes everything.

$$(\forall X) (\exists Y) (L(b,Y) \rightarrow L(b,X))$$

(k) Everything likes everything.

$$(\forall X) (\forall Y) (L(X,Y))$$

(l) There is someone which is liked by everything.

$$(\exists X) (\forall Y) (L(Y,X))$$

(m) Everything likes at least one thing.

$$(\exists X) (\forall Y) (L(Y,X))$$

8.6 Formalize the following statements using the same interpretation as in

Problem 8.4.

(a) A mechanic likes Bob.

$$(\exists_1 X) (M(X) \wedge L(X,b))$$

(b) A mechanic likes herself.

$$(\exists_1 X) (M(X) \wedge L(X,X))$$

(c) Every mechanic likes Bob.

$$(\forall X) (M(X) \rightarrow L(X,b))$$

(d) Bob likes a nurse.

$$(\exists_1 X) (N(X) \wedge L(b,X))$$

(e) Some mechanic likes every nurse.

$$(\exists X) (\forall Y) (M(X) \wedge (N(Y) \rightarrow L(X,Y)))$$

(f) There is a mechanic who is liked by every nurse.

$$(\exists_1 X) (\forall Y) (M(X) \wedge (N(Y) \rightarrow L(Y,X)))$$

(g) Bob introduced a mechanic to Cathy.

$$(\exists_1 X) (M(X) \wedge I(b,X,c))$$

(h) A mechanic introduced Bob to Alex.

$$(\exists_1 X) (M(X) \wedge I(X,b,a))$$

(i) A mechanic introduced herself to Bob and Alex.

$$(\exists_1 X) (M(X) \wedge I(X,X,a) \wedge I(X,X,b))$$

(j) Cathy introduced a mechanic and a nurse to Bob.

$$(\exists_1 X) (\exists_1 Y) (M(X) \wedge N(Y) \wedge I(c,X,b) \wedge I(c,Y,b))$$

(k) Cathy introduced a mechanic to a nurse.

$$(\exists_1 X) (\exists_1 Y) (M(X) \wedge N(Y) \wedge I(c, X, Y))$$

(l) A mechanic introduced a nurse to Cathy.

$$(\exists_1 X) (\exists_1 Y) (M(X) \wedge N(Y) \wedge I(X, Y, c))$$

(m) Some mechanic introduced a nurse to a mechanic.

$$(\exists X) (\exists_1 Y) (\exists_1 Z) (M(X) \wedge N(Y) \wedge M(Z) \wedge I(X, Y, Z))$$

8.7 Let $SUST = F \cup A \cup S$ where F is the set of faculties, A is the set of administrative staffs, and S is the set of students. Define your predicates, functions, and constants on(in) $SUST$ at first, and then formalize ten sentences about various propositions in $SUST$ (write formula and give its English or Chinese interpretations).

Define the symbols 'a', 'b', and 'c' as the name constants 'Ada', 'Bu', and 'Cha'; 'F(x)', 'A(x)' and 'S(x)' as the one-place predicates 'x is teacher', 'x is administrative staff' and 'x is student'; 'T(x,y)' and 'L(x,y)' as the two-place predicates 'x teaches y' and 'x listens to y'; and 'C(x,y,z)' as the three-place predicate 'x, y and z cooperate with each other'.

(a) Ada listens to nobody.

$$(\forall X) (\neg L(a, X))$$

(b) Cha teaches Ada.

$$T(c, a)$$

(c) Somebody teaches himself.

$$(\exists X) (L(X, X))$$

(d) There is somebody who Bu does not teach.

$$(\exists X) (\neg T(b, X))$$

(e) Some teachers teach themselves.

$$(\exists X) (F(X) \wedge T(X,X))$$

(f) Somebody who both Bu and Cha listen to is a teacher.

$$(\exists X) (L(b,X) \wedge L(c,X) \wedge F(X))$$

(g) If Ada, Bu and Cha cooperate together, then Cha teaches everybody.

$$(\forall X) (C(a,b,c) \rightarrow T(c,X))$$

(h) If Bu listens to somebody, then Ada, Bu and Cha cooperate.

$$(\exists X) (L(b,X) \wedge C(a,b,c))$$

(i) If Bob does not listen to himself, then he teaches nobody.

$$(\forall X) ((\neg L(b,b)) \rightarrow (\neg L(b,X)))$$

(j) If Cha teaches somebody, then he listens to everybody.

$$(\forall X) (\exists Y) (L(c,Y) \rightarrow L(b,X))$$