# **Assignment 4**

### 1 O1

- 1. Assume  $H_a$  is not collision-resistant, then there must be a pair of collision (x, x') such that  $H_a(x) = H_a(x')$ , i.e.,  $H_1(x)||H_2(x) = H_1(x')||H_2(x')$ , which means that both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are not collision-resistant, contradicting that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  at least one is collision-resistant.
- 2. Assume  $H_a$  is not collision-resistant, then there must be a pair of collision (x, x') such that  $H_a(x) = H_a(x')$ , i.e.,

$$H_1(H_2(x)) = H_1(H_2(x'))$$
  
 $H_2(H_1(x)) = H_2(H_1(x'))$ 

If both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are collision-resistant, then from the above we can get

$$H_2(x) = H_2(x')$$
  
 $H_1(x) = H_1(x')$ 

which contradicts. If  $H_1$  is collision-resistant while  $H_2$  is not (the same when reversed), then from the above we can get

$$H_2(x) = H_2(x')$$
  
 $H_1(x) \neq H_1(x') \text{ or } H_1(x) = H_1(x')$ 

which satisfies the assumption. However,  $H_a$  is not necessarily collision-resistant.

3. Assume  $H_a$  is not collision-resistant, then there must be a pair of collision (x, x') such that  $H_a(x) = H_a(x')$ , i.e.,

$$H_1(H_2(x)||x) = H_1(H_2(x')||x')$$
  
 $H_2(H_1(x)||x) = H_2(H_1(x')||x')$ 

If both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are collision-resistant, then from the above we can get

$$H_2(x)||x = H_2(x')||x'$$
  
 $H_1(x)||x = H_1(x')||x'$ 

Since  $x \neq x'$ , the equations cannot be established. If  $H_1$  is collision-resistant while  $H_2$  is not (the same when reversed), then from the above we can get

$$H_2(x)||x = H_2(x')||x'$$
  
 $H_1(x)||x \neq H_1(x')||x' \text{ or } H_1(x)||x = H_1(x')||x'$ 

Since  $x \neq x'$ , the equations cannot be established. Therefore,  $H_a$  is not necessarily collision-resistant.

## 2 Q2

The attacker can query the MAC oracle with the messages  $m_1 = x||y$  and  $m_2 = x$ , and get the corresponding tags  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . By the construction of Merkle-Damgard, we can know that  $t_1 = h(t_2, y)$ . So the attacker can output  $(m', t') = (t_2||y, t_1)$  which passes the verification.

## 3 Q3

- 1. To prove that the set of QRs is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , we need to show that 4 properties hold: closure, identity, inverse and associativity.
  - Closure:

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be two quadratic residues in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , then there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  such that  $y_1 \equiv x_1^2 (mod\ n)$  and  $y_2 \equiv x_2^2 (mod\ n)$ . By the definition of quadratic residue, we have  $y_1y_2 \equiv x_1^2x_2^2 (mod\ n) \equiv (x_1x_2)^2 (mod\ n)$ . Thus,  $y_1y_2$  is also a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

• Identity:

The identity element e in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is equal to 1. Since  $1 \equiv 1^2 \pmod{n}$ , we know that 1 is indeed a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

• Inverse:

Let y be a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $y \equiv x^2 \pmod{n}$ . We want to show that the inverse of y, denoted as  $y^{-1}$  with  $yy^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , is also a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . If  $\gcd(y,n) \neq 1$ , then y does not have an inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Otherwise, by Bezout's identity, there exist  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  such that ay + bn = 1, yielding ay = 1 - bn, which means that  $ay \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1^2 \pmod{n}$  and thus ay is also a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  (since  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ). Therefore,  $y^{-1} \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$  is also a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

• Associativity:

Let  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  be three quadratic residues in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , then there exists  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  such that  $y_1 \equiv x_1^2 \pmod{n}$ ,  $y_2 \equiv x_2^2 \pmod{n}$ ,  $y_3 \equiv x_3^2 \pmod{n}$ . Then we have  $(y_1y_2)y_3 \equiv (x_1x_2)^2 x_3^2 \pmod{n} \equiv x_1^2 (x_2x_3)^2 \pmod{n} \equiv y_1(y_2y_3) \pmod{n}$ .

2. • "only if".

Suppose y is a QR in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , then  $y=x^2$  for some  $x\in\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . If x=1, then y=1 and thus  $\log_g(y)=0$  is even. If x>1, then x is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  since p is a prime, and thus  $\exists i\geq 0, x^i\equiv y\equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $(x^{i/2}+x)(x^{i/2}-x)\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since p is a prime, it is either  $p|(x^{i/2}+x)$  or  $p|(x^{i/2}-x)$ . Therefore, i must be even so that i/2 is an integer. That is,  $\log_g(y)$  is even.

• "if". Suppose  $\log_g(y)$  is even, i.e.,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, \log_g(y) = 2k \implies y = g^{2k}$ . Let  $x = g^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , then  $y = x^2$  is a QR in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .

## 4 **O**4

Suppose the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  is g, then for any  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , its discrete logarithm is x, i.e.,  $gx \equiv h \pmod{N}$ . By the extended Euclidean algorithm, we can find the inverse of g module N, and the inverse is actually the discrete logarithm we need. Since the extended Euclidean algorithm is efficient, the discrete logarithm problem is easy under this situation.

#### 5 Q5

1.

$$f(1) = 1$$
  $f(2) = 4$   $f(3) = 9$   $f(4) = 16$   
 $f(5) = 8$   $f(6) = 2$   $f(7) = 15$   $f(8) = 13$   
 $f(9) = 13$   $f(10) = 15$   $f(11) = 2$   $f(12) = 8$   
 $f(13) = 16$   $f(14) = 9$   $f(15) = 4$   $f(16) = 1$ 

Hence  $S = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 13, 15, 16\}$  and its size is 8.

2. Since 17 is a prime, there are 16 generators in  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^*$ .

3. For any generator g of  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^*$ ,  $g^i(i \in \{0, ..., 16\})$  generates all elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^*$  and thus it can generate all elements in S since  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{17}^*$ . Since a, b are randomly chosen from  $\{0, ..., 15\}$  (i.e., the multiplication ab has totally 16 + 15 + ... + 1 = 136 choices) and only  $g^{ab} \in S$  satisfies the requirement (i.e., the multiplication ab has 8 choices), the probability is  $\frac{8}{136} = \frac{1}{17}$ .

## 6 **Q**6

We can construct A' as below:

- 1. Queries *A* for the square roots of the element  $x \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . Continuing querying until no error returned.
- 2. Retrieves 4 square roots  $\pm a$ ,  $\pm b$ . Suppose a, b are 2 non-trivial square roots, i.e.,  $a \not\equiv \pm b \pmod{N}$ .
- 3. Computes  $p = \gcd(N, a + b)$  and  $q = \gcd(N, a b)$  by using Euclidean algorithm.
- 4. Outputs p, q as the factors of N.

We can validate it as below:

Since

$$a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{N}$$
  
 $a \not\equiv b \pmod{N}$   
 $a \not\equiv -b \pmod{N}$ 

we have

$$pq \mid (a+b)(a-b)$$

$$pq \nmid (a-b)$$

$$pq \nmid (a+b)$$

Since both p and q are primes, (a + b)(a - b) has factors p and q. But neither a + b nor a - b contain the factors of both p and q. Hence a + b and a - b must each contain factors of exactly one of  $\{p, q\}$ . Thus,  $\{\gcd(pq, a + b), \gcd(pq, a - b)\} = \{p, q\}$ 

## 7 Q7

The attacker can query the sign oracle with distinct messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $m = (m_1 \cdot m_2) \mod N$ , and then retrieve the signatures  $\sigma_1 = m_1^d \mod N$  and  $\sigma_2 = m_2^d \mod N$ . Then the attacker outputs  $(m, \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma = (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) \mod N$ , which can pass the verification since

$$\sigma^e = (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)^e = m_1^{ed} \cdot m_2^{ed} = m_1 \cdot m_2 = m \mod N$$

## 8 Q8

For a fixed cyclic group G and its generator g, define  $DH_g(h_1, h_2) = DH_g(g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}$ .

- Discrete logarithm (DLog) problem: Given g, h, compute  $\log_g h$ .
- Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem: Given g,  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ , compute  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$ .
- Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem: Given g,  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ , distinguish  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  from a uniform element of G.

DDH is stronger than CDH, and CDH is stronger than DLog.

## 9 Q9

- 1. In the experiment, the attacker can query the encryption oracle with plaintexts  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and retrieve the corresponding ciphertexts  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ . Then the attacker outputs 2 messages  $m'_1 = m_1$ ,  $m'_2 = \alpha m_2$  for arbitrary  $\alpha$  to the challenger and retrieves a ciphertext c. If  $c = \alpha c_2$ , then the attacker outputs 2; otherwise, outputs 1. At this time, the attacker will always succeed.
- 2. Suppose the hash function is H, then El Gamal signature on message m will be

$$\sigma = Sign_{sk}(m) = (r, s) = (g^k, k^{-1}(H(m) - rx) \mod (p-1))$$

If the hash function H is collision-resistant, then H(m) is indistinguishable with a random string  $r^*$ , and thus it is hard for the attacker to forge a message-signature pair to pass the verification.

3. We can select a message m' such that  $m' \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$ , then query signing oracle for its signature  $\sigma' = (r', s')$ . Then the forged signature of m is actually  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .