

# One-time Pad

- The XOR operation:  $a \oplus b = a + b \bmod 2$ .

$$a \oplus 0 = a$$

$$a \oplus a = 0$$

$$a \oplus b = b \oplus a \text{ (Commutativity)}$$

$$a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c \text{ (Associativity)}$$

**The One-time Pad scheme** (Vernam 1917, Shannon 1949):

$$n = |k| = |x|, \text{Enc} : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$$

$$\text{Enc}_k(x) = x \oplus k$$

$$\text{Dec}_k(y) = y \oplus k$$

**Validity:**

$$\text{Dec}_k(\text{Enc}_k(x)) = (x \oplus k) \oplus k = x \oplus (k \oplus k) = x \oplus 0^n = x$$

- Theorem 1.9** One-time pad is *perfectly secure*.

**Proof.** Prove that for **every**  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ , the distribution  $Y_x = \text{Enc}_{U_n}(x)$  is **uniformly distributed**.

Let  $y \in \{0,1\}^n$ , we need to show that

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[x \oplus k = y] = 2^{-n}$$

Since there is a unique single value of  $k = x \oplus y$ , the probability that the equation is true is  $2^{-n}$ .

**Proof.** Fix **arbitrary** distribution over  $\mathcal{M} = \{0,1\}^n$ , and arbitrary  $m, c \in \{0,1\}^n$ .

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = ?$$

$$= \Pr[C = c \mid M = m] \cdot \Pr[M = m] / \Pr[C = c]$$

$$= \Pr[K = m \oplus c] \cdot \Pr[M = m] / 2^{-n}$$

$$= 2^{-n} \cdot \Pr[M = m] / 2^{-n}$$

$$= \Pr[M = m]$$

$$\Pr[C = c]$$

$$= \sum_{m'} \Pr[C = c \mid M = m'] \cdot \Pr[M = m']$$

$$= \sum_{m'} \Pr[K = m' \oplus c] \cdot \Pr[M = m']$$

$$= \sum_{m'} 2^{-n} \cdot \Pr[M = m']$$

$$= 2^{-n}$$

## Limitation of perfect secrecy

- Q:** Is this the end of cryptography?

We need more:

- Use the same key for many plaintexts
- Use  $n$ -bit key for  $2n$ -bit plaintexts.

**Theorem 1.10 (Limitations of perfect secrecy)** There is no **perfectly secure** encryption schemes ( $\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec}$ ) with  $n$ -bit plaintexts and  $(n-1)$ -bit keys.

**Proof.** See blackboard.

Suppose that  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  is such an encryption scheme. Denote by  $Y_0$  the distribution  $\text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(0^n)$  and by  $S_0$  its support. Since there are only  $2^{n-1}$  possible keys, we have  $|S_0| \leq 2^{n-1}$ .

Now for every key  $k$  the function  $\text{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  is one-to-one and hence its image is of size  $\geq 2^n$ . This means that for every  $k$ , there exists  $x$  such that  $\text{Enc}_k(x) \notin S_0$ . Fix such a  $k$  and  $x$ , then the distribution  $\text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(x)$  does not have the same support as  $Y_0$  and hence it is not identical to  $Y_0$ .

- The key is **as long as** the message
- Only secure** if each key is used to encrypt a **single** message
- Trivially **broken by a known-plaintext attack**

Whenever faced with an impossibility result, it is a **good idea** to examine whether we can **relax** these assumptions to still get what we want (or at least something close to that).

一次一密的密钥不能重复使用

因为若  $C_1 = k \oplus x_1, C_2 = k \oplus x_2$ , 则  $C_1 \oplus C_2 = (k \oplus x_1) \oplus (k \oplus x_2) = x_1 \oplus x_2$   
泄露了明文信息

**Q:** What about if using the same key twice?

Say  $c_1 = k \oplus m_1$  and  $c_2 = k \oplus m_2$ .

$$c_1 \oplus c_2 = (k \oplus m_1) \oplus (k \oplus m_2) = m_1 \oplus m_2$$

$m_1 \oplus m_2$  leaks information about  $m_1, m_2$

一次一密是完全安全的

当  $x$  与  $y$  固定时, 密钥  $k = x \oplus y$  是固定的  
由于  $x, y \in \{0,1\}^n$ , 故  $k$  取  $k'$  的概率为  $2^{-n}$

完美安全要求密文长度至少为明文长度。

只需证明  $\text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(x) \neq \text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(x')$

令  $Y_0 = \text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(0^n)$ .  $S_0$  为  $Y_0$  的支撑集 (即  $Y_0$  的取值)

因为密钥只有  $2^{n-1}$  种取值, 故  $|S_0| \leq 2^{n-1}$ .

$\forall k$ , 要求  $\text{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  是单射. 因此映射空间大小  $\geq 2^n$

$\exists Y_1, \forall k, \exists x \in \{0,1\}^n, s.t., \text{Enc}_k(x) \notin S_0$

此时  $\text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(x)$  没有与  $Y_0$  相同的支撑集, 即  $\text{Enc}_{U_{n-1}}(x) \neq Y_0$

# Key generation

- When describing algorithms, we assume access to uniformly distributed bits/bytes. Where do these actually come from?
- Precise details depend on the system
  - Linux or unix: /dev/random or /dev/urandom
  - Do not use `rand()` or `java.util.Random`
  - Use crypto libraries instead

## Random-number generation

- Two steps:
  - Continually collect a "pool" of high-entropy ("unpredictable") data
    - Must ultimately come from some physical process (keystroke/mouse movements, delays between network events, hard-disk access times, hardware random-number generation (Intel), etc.)
  - (Smoothing) When random bits are requested, process this data to generate a sequence of uniform, independent bits/bytes
    - Need to eliminate both *bias* and *dependencies*
- Step 2: Smoothing
  - von Neumann technique for eliminating bias:
    - Collect two bits per output bit
      - 01 → 0, 10 → 1, 00, 11 → skip
    - Note that this assumes *independence* (as well as constant bias)
- Read desired number of bytes from "/dev/urandom"

较稳健的随机数一般与物理过程有关。

# $\epsilon$ -Statistical Security

- Eve has a tiny advantage in its posteriori guessing probability compared to the priori probability.

We may say that an encryption scheme is  *$\epsilon$ -statistically indistinguishable* if the probability that Eve guesses which of the two messages was encrypted is at most  $1/2 + \epsilon$ .

Q:

- 1) Is the relaxed definition still strong enough in practice?
- 2) Does the relaxation buy something we could not get with the original definition (perfect security)?

A:

- 1) Yes, if  $\epsilon$  is small (say  $10^{-6}$  or even  $10^{-100}$ )
- 2) No, we cannot have key shorter than the message.

放缩了  $\epsilon$

此时完全安全仍要求密钥不短于明文。

最大概率距离  $\leq \epsilon$ .

- Definition 2.1** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two distributions over  $\{0,1\}^n$ . The *statistical distance* of  $X$  and  $Y$ , denoted by  $\Delta(X, Y)$  is defined to be

$$\max_{T \subseteq \{0,1\}^n} |\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]|.$$

If  $\Delta(X, Y) \leq \epsilon$ , we say that  $X \equiv_\epsilon Y$ .

**Definition 2.2  $\epsilon$ -Statistical Security.** An encryption scheme  $(Gen, Enc, Dec)$  is  *$\epsilon$ -statistically secure* if for every pair of plaintexts  $m, m'$ , we have  $Enc_{U_n}(m) \equiv_\epsilon Enc_{U_n}(m')$ .

- Lemma 2.3**

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in \text{Supp}(X) \cup \text{Supp}(Y)} |\Pr[X = w] - \Pr[Y = w]|$$

**Proof.** See blackboard.

**Observations:**

$$0 \leq \Delta(X, Y) \leq 1$$

$$\Delta(X, Y) = 0 \text{ if } X = Y$$

$$0 \leq \Delta(X, Y) \leq \Delta(X, Z) + \Delta(Z, Y)$$

$\Delta$  is a metric.

对任意集合  $T$ , 定义  $\Delta_T(X, Y) = |\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]|$

$$\text{即 } \Delta(X, Y) = \max_{T \subseteq \{0,1\}^n} \Delta_T(X, Y)$$

① 因为  $\Pr[X \in T^c] = 1 - \Pr[X \in T]$ , 有  $\Delta_{T^c}(X, Y) = \Delta_T(X, Y)$

令  $T = \{w | \Pr[X=w] > \Pr[Y=w]\}$ , 则

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in \text{Supp}(X) \cup \text{Supp}(Y)} |\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in T} |\dots| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in T^c} |\dots|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in T} (\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in T^c} (\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_T(X, Y)$$

$$= \Delta_T(X, Y) \leq \Delta(X, Y)$$

综上:  $\Delta(X, Y)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in \dots} |\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]|$$

② / $\exists$  集合  $S$  使得  $\Delta_T(X, Y)$  取得最大值, 即  $\Delta(X, Y) = \Delta_T(X, Y)$   
不失一般性, 可令  $\Pr[X \in S] \geq \Pr[Y \in S]$  (若不满足, 则取  $S = S^c$ )

$$2\Delta(X, Y) = \Delta_S(X, Y) + \Delta_{S^c}(X, Y) = \Pr[X \in S] - \Pr[Y \in S] + \Pr[Y \in S^c] - \Pr[X \in S^c]$$

$$= \sum_{w \in S} (\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]) + \sum_{w \in S^c} (\Pr[Y=w] - \Pr[X=w])$$

$$\stackrel{a+b}{\leq} \sum_{w \in S} |\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]| + \sum_{w \in S^c} |\Pr[Y=w] - \Pr[X=w]| = \sum_w |\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]|$$

$$\text{即 } \Delta(X, Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_w |\Pr[X=w] - \Pr[Y=w]|$$

metric 三性质: ①  $\Delta(X, X) = 0, \forall X$

$$\text{② } \Delta(X, Y) = \Delta(Y, X), \forall X, Y$$

$$\text{③ } \Delta(X, Z) \leq \Delta(X, Y) + \Delta(Y, Z), \forall X, Y, Z$$

**Lemma 2.4** Eve has at most  $1/2 + \epsilon$  success probability if and only if for every pair of  $m_1, m_2$ ,  
 $\Delta(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_1), \text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_2)) \leq 2\epsilon$ .

### Proof.

Suppose that Eve has  $1/2 + \epsilon$  success probability with  $m_1, m_2$ . Let

$p_{i,j} = \Pr[\text{Eve}(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_i)) = j]$ . Then we have

$$p_{1,1} + p_{1,2} = 1$$

$$p_{2,1} + p_{2,2} = 1$$

$$(1/2)p_{1,1} + (1/2)p_{2,2} \leq 1/2 + \epsilon.$$

The last two together imply that

$$p_{1,1} - p_{2,1} \leq 2\epsilon,$$

which means that if we let  $T$  be the set  $\{c : \text{Eve}(c) = 1\}$ , then  $T$  demonstrates that  $\Delta(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_1), \text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_2)) \leq 2\epsilon$ .

Similarly, if we have such a set  $T$ , we can define an attacker from it that succeeds with probability  $1/2 + \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{因为由 } \epsilon\text{-statistically indistinguishable 定义} \\ p_{1,1} &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} p_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2} p_{2,2} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon. \\ p_{2,2} &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

## Limitation of $\epsilon$ -Statistical Security

**Theorem 2.5**  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$   $\exists C$  Let  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be a valid encryption with  $\text{Enc} : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ . Then there exist plaintexts  $m_1, m_2$  with  $\Delta(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_1), \text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_2)) > 1/2$ .

**Proof.** See blackboard.

**Fact.** For a random variable  $Y$ , if  $E[Y] \leq \mu$ , then  $\Pr[Y \leq \mu] > 0$ .

Let  $m_1 = 0^{n+1}$ , and let  $S = \text{Supp}(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_1))$ , then  $|S| \leq 2^n$ .

We choose a random message  $m \leftarrow_R \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$  and define the following  $2^n$  random variables for every  $k$ :

$$T_k(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{Enc}_k(m) \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since for every  $k$ ,  $\text{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  is one-to-one, we have  $\Pr[T_k = 1] \leq 1/2$ . Define  $T = \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} T_k$ , then

$$E[T] = E[\sum_k T_k] = \sum_k E[T_k] \leq 2^n/2.$$

This means the probability  $\Pr[T \leq 2^n/2] > 0$ . In other words, there exists an  $m$  s.t.  $\sum_k T_k(m) \leq 2^n/2$ . For such  $m$ , at most half of the keys  $k$  satisfy  $\text{Enc}_k(m) \in S$ , i.e.,

$$\Pr[\text{Enc}_k(m) \in S] \leq 1/2.$$

Since  $\Pr[\text{Enc}_{U_n}(0^{n+1}) \in S] = 1$ , we have

$$\Delta(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(0^{n+1}), \text{Enc}_{U_n}(m)) > 1/2.$$

证①: 因为  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ , 所以  $|\text{Enc}_k(m)| = 2^{n+1}$

而  $|S| \leq 2^n$

所以取  $\text{Enc}_k(m) \in S$  最多也只有  $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\therefore \Pr[\text{Pr}[T_k(m)=1]] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

证②: 有  $T_k(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{Enc}_k(m) \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\text{且 } \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} T_k(m) \leq \frac{2^n}{2}, |k| = 2^n$$

若  $T_k(m)$  全取 1, 则  $\sum T_k(m) = 2^n$

故  $\Pr[T_k(m)=1] \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , 即最多只有一半的  $T_k(m)$  取 1.

$$\therefore \Pr[\text{Pr}[\text{Enc}_k(m) \in S]] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

等价于: 若  $|k| < |m|$ , 则  $\epsilon$ -statistical security 的加密机制不存在

事实: 对 r.v.  $Y$ , 若  $E[Y] \leq \mu$ , 则  $\Pr[Y \leq \mu] > 0$

令  $m = 0^{n+1}$ ,  $S = \text{Supp}(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m_1))$ , 则  $|S| \leq 2^n$ .

令  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ , 且对任意  $k$  定义  $2^n$  个 r.v. 的取值.

$$T_k(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{Enc}_k(m) \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

对任意  $k$ ,  $\text{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  是单射的, 则  $\Pr[T_k(m)=1] \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ①

定义  $T = \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} T_k$ , 则由期望的线性性质, 有

$$\begin{aligned} E[T] &= E[\sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} T_k] = \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} E[T_k] = \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} (1 \cdot \Pr[T_k(m)=1] \\ &\quad + 0 \cdot \Pr[T_k(m)=0]) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} \Pr[T_k(m)=1] \leq 2^n \cdot \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

由事实可知:  $\Pr[T \leq \frac{2^n}{2}] > 0$ .

即存在  $m$  有  $T = \sum_{k \in \{0, 1\}^n} T_k(m) \leq \frac{2^n}{2}$

对这样的  $m$ , 有  $\Pr[\text{Enc}_{U_n}(m) \in S] \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ②

而  $\Pr[\text{Enc}_{U_n}(0^{n+1}) \in S] = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\text{Enc}_{U_n}(0^{n+1}), \text{Enc}_{U_n}(m)) &= \max_{T \in \{0, 1\}^n} |\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]| \\ &> |1 - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

# Computational Security 计算安全

- Statistical security does **not** allow us to break the **impossibility** result.

- In real life, people are using encryption with keys **shorter** than the message size to encrypt all kinds of sensitive information.
- If the algorithm you use to break the encryption scheme runs in time  $2^n$ , it seems **OK** since the message may be expired by then ...

密钥需要短于明文

攻击者能力有限

- Idea:** Would be OK if a scheme leaked information with **tiny probability** to eavesdroppers with **bounded computational resources**

- Allowing security to "fail" with tiny probability
- Restricting attention to "**efficient**" attackers

- Two problems:

- 1) While the particular algorithm runs in exponential time, we **cannot** guarantee that there is no other algorithm is efficient.

e.g., the **substitution cipher** has a huge key space, **but** can be broken efficiently.

- 2) We need a **precise** mathematical definition (like **perfect secrecy** definition).

"Breaking  $E$  is very hard"?

"Problem  $P$  cannot be solved in reasonable time"?

Q: How do we **model** the resources of Eve (the adversary)?

## Perfect indistinguishability 完美不可区分性

- Definition 1.6 Perfect secrecy.** An encryption scheme  $(Gen, Enc, Dec)$  with message space  $\mathcal{M}$  and ciphertext space  $\mathcal{C}$  is **perfectly secure** if and only if for every two distinct plaintexts  $\{m_0, m_1\} \in \mathcal{M}$ , and for every strategy used by Eve, if we choose at random  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  and a random key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , then the probability that Eve guesses  $m_b$  after seeing the ciphertext  $c = Enc_k(m_b)$  is at most  $1/2$ .

- Let  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  be an encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $A$  an adversary

Define a randomized experiment  $PrivK_{A,\Pi}$ :

1.  $A$  outputs  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$
2.  $k \leftarrow Gen$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ ,  $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m_b)$
3.  $b' \leftarrow A(c)$

Adversary  $A$  **succeeds** if  $b = b'$ , and we say the experiment evaluates to **1** in this case.

$\Pi$  is **perfectly indistinguishable** if for **all** attackers (algorithms)  $A$ , it holds that  $\Pr[PrivK_{A,\Pi} = 1] \leq 1/2$

**Claim:**  $\Pi$  is **perfectly indistinguishable**  $\Leftrightarrow \Pi$  is **perfectly secure**

完美不可区分性与完美安全是等价的。

- Idea:** relax **perfect indistinguishability**

Two approaches

- **Concrete** security
- **Asymptotic** security

具体化 (如确定  $n$  的值)

渐近化 (如  $n = 2^n$ )

# Computational indistinguishability 计算不可区分性

## ■ $(t, \epsilon)$ -indistinguishability (concrete)

- Security may fail with probability  $\leq \epsilon$
- Restrict attention to attackers running in time  $\leq t$

## ■ $\Pi$ is $(t, \epsilon)$ -indistinguishable if for all attackers $A$ running in time at most $t$ , it holds that

$$\Pr[\text{Priv}_A, \Pi = 1] \leq 1/2 + \epsilon$$

Does not lead to a clean theory ...

- Sensitive to exact computational model
- $\Pi$  can be  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure for many choices of  $t, \epsilon$

## ■ Introduce security parameter $n$ (asymptotic)

- For now, can view  $n$  as the key length
- Fixed by honest parties at initialization
- Known by adversary

Measure running time of all parties, and the success probability of the adversary, as functions of  $n$

## Computational indistinguishability:

- Security may fail with probability negligible in  $n$
- Restrict attention to attackers running in time (at most) polynomial in  $n$

## ■ A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is (at most) polynomial if there exists $c$ s.t. $f(n) < n^c$ for large enough $n$ .

A function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is negligible if every polynomial  $p$  it holds that  $f(n) < 1/p(n)$  for large enough  $n$ .

- Typical example:  $f(n) = \text{poly}(n) \cdot 2^{-cn}$

## ■ "Efficient" = "(probabilistic) polynomial-time (PPT)" borrowed from complexity theory

## ■ Convenient closure properties

- $\text{poly} * \text{poly} = \text{poly}$
- Poly-many calls to PPT subroutine (with poly-size input) is still PPT
- $\text{poly} * \text{negl} = \text{negl}$
- Poly-many calls to subroutine that fails with negligible probability fails with negligible probability overall

## ■ A private-key encryption scheme is defined by three PPT algorithms ( $\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec}$ ):

- $\text{Gen}$ : takes as input  $1^n$ ; outputs  $k$
- $\text{Enc}$ : takes as input a key  $k$  and message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ; outputs ciphertext  $c$ :  $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$
- $\text{Dec}$ : takes key  $k$  and ciphertext  $c$  as input; outputs a message  $m$  or "error" ( $\perp$ )

引入安全参数  $n$

可忽略函数：对多项式  $p$ , 有  $f(n) < \frac{1}{p(n)}$  对足够大的  $n$ .

私钥加密模式中的算法都是 PPT 算法.

# Computational indistinguishability (asymptotic)

- Fix  $\Pi, A$

Define a randomized experiment  $PrivK_{A,\Pi}(n)$ :

1.  $A(1^n)$  outputs  $m_0, m_1 \in \{0,1\}^*$  of equal length
2.  $k \leftarrow Gen(1^n)$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ ,  $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m_b)$
3.  $b' \leftarrow A(c)$

Adversary  $A$  **succeeds** if  $b = b'$ , and we say the experiment evaluates to 1 in this case.

**Definition 3.1**  $\Pi$  is *computationally indistinguishable* (aka *EAV-secure*) if for all PPT attackers (algorithms)  $A$ , there is a negligible function  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\Pr[PrivK_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq 1/2 + \epsilon(n)$$

- Consider a scheme where the **best** attack is *brute-force search* over the key space, and  $Gen(1^n)$  generates a uniform  $n$ -bit key

  - So if  $A$  runs in time  $t(n)$ , then

$$\Pr[PrivK_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] < 1/2 + O(t(n)/2^n)$$

  - The scheme is **EAV-secure**: for any polynomial  $t$ , the function  $t(n)/2^n$  is **negligible**.

EAV: eavesdropper

窃听者只看到密文 (即已知密文攻击)

①限定了攻击算法是 negligible 的

②Scheme 用 PPT 算法。

## Encryption and plaintext length

- In practice, we want encryption schemes that can encrypt **arbitrary-length** messages.

- In general, encryption does **not** hide the plaintext length
  - The definition takes this into account by requiring  $m_0, m_1$  to have the **same** length.

- But leaking plaintext length can **often** lead to problems in the real world!

  - Databases searches
  - Encrypting compressed data

现实中希望 encryption scheme 能加密任意长信息