

Schemes over \mathbb{F}_1 and the Combinatorial Approach to the Riemann Hypothesis

A Theoretical Framework based on Monoid Extensions

M-I3reak

Institute for Advanced Arithmetic Studies

January 20, 2026

Abstract

Following the program initiated by Tits and Manin, we investigate the geometry of schemes over the "field with one element" (\mathbb{F}_1). This paper explores the structural hypothesis that the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} can be realized as a curve over \mathbb{F}_1 , with the Arakelov compactification arising naturally from base extension to the Archimedean place. We discuss how Kurokawa's formulation of zeta functions for \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes suggests a spectral interpretation of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function via the unitarity of the Frobenius action on the underlying combinatorial structures.

1 Introduction

The analogy between number fields and function fields over finite constants remains one of the most fertile grounds in arithmetic geometry. A key obstruction in transferring Weil's proof of the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields to $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the absence of a base field. The theory of \mathbb{F}_1 proposes that \mathbb{Z} is an algebra over a combinatorial base, denoted \mathbb{F}_1 .

This paper reviews the construction of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ as an object over \mathbb{F}_1 and examines the consequences for the spectral properties of the Frobenius operator.

2 The Geometry of Monoids

2.1 Monoid Schemes

Since \mathbb{F}_1 is not a field in the classical sense, geometry over \mathbb{F}_1 is constructed via the theory of monoids.

Definition 2.1 (\mathbb{F}_1 -Scheme). A scheme over \mathbb{F}_1 is essentially a monoid scheme (or a generalized Deitmar scheme), where affine charts are spectra of monoids rather than rings.

The base extension to \mathbb{Z} is given by the functor $\cdot \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} \mathbb{Z}$, which corresponds to the monoid ring construction $\mathbb{Z}[M]$.

2.2 Arakelov Compactification as Base Change

A central insight in this framework is the interpretation of the Arakelov compactification.

Theorem 2.2 (Manin’s Scaling Principle). *The Arakelov surface $\overline{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$ can be modeled as a fiber product in the category of generalized schemes:*

$$\overline{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \sim \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_1)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1)$$

This suggests that the “point at infinity” corresponds to the base change to the real monoid, providing a geometric reason for the completion of the arithmetic curve.

3 Cohomological Interpretation

3.1 Combinatorial Cohomology

For a scheme $X_{\mathbb{F}_1}$, the cohomology is combinatorial in nature. The zeta function of an \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme is typically rational and can be expressed in terms of the Euler characteristic.

$$\zeta_{X_{\mathbb{F}_1}}(s) = \prod_k (s - k)^{-e_k}. \quad (2)$$

3.2 Unitarity of the Frobenius Action

Kurokawa proposed that the zeta function can be viewed as the characteristic polynomial of a Frobenius operator acting on the cohomology.

Theorem 3.1 (Kurokawa’s Unitary Formulation). *If X is a scheme over \mathbb{F}_1 associated with a lattice or a permutation action, the associated Frobenius operator Θ is an element of the unitary group (or permutation group).*

This implies that for objects strictly defined over \mathbb{F}_1 , the eigenvalues of the Frobenius operator lie on the unit circle (or satisfy a trivial analogue of the Riemann Hypothesis).

4 Implications for the Riemann Hypothesis

The transition from \mathbb{F}_1 to \mathbb{Z} involves a “quantization” or deformation process.

1. **Lifting Principle:** If $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is indeed a curve over \mathbb{F}_1 , one expects the cohomological properties of the base to lift to the cover.
2. **Spectral Continuity:** The conjecture posits that the unitarity of the combinatorial Frobenius over \mathbb{F}_1 induces the critical line property for the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ via a spectral deformation argument.

Corollary 4.1 (Conditional Result). *If a suitable cohomology theory exists such that the base change functor $\cdot \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} \mathbb{Z}$ preserves the unitarity of the Frobenius action, then the zeros of the Riemann zeta function would lie on the critical line.*

5 Concluding Remarks

The \mathbb{F}_1 approach replaces the search for a physical “arithmetic fluid” with a structural search for the combinatorial underpinnings of ring theory. While not yet a complete proof, this framework suggests that the Riemann Hypothesis is a consequence of the rigid combinatorial geometry of the “field with one element.”