

Lecture 14: March 5 2019

*Instructor: Ankur A. Kulkarni**Scribes: Irina Merin Baby, Sushmita Hoskeri*

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

14.1 Review

In the previous lecture, convex function and its properties were introduced. Let us recall some of the concepts that were discussed.

Definition 14.1. *On a convex set S , a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if $\forall x, y \in S, \lambda \in [0, 1]$,*

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

Lemma 14.1. *Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then f is convex if and only if*

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^\top (y - x), \forall x, y.$$

Theorem 14.2. *If f is convex function and S is convex set (convex optimization problem), then every local minima is a global minima.*

14.2 Introduction

In this lecture, we will derive a necessary and sufficient condition for x^* to be a minimizer for convex optimization problem. We will also find a condition on local minimizer x^* , where x^* is constrained over the tangent cone set $T(x^*, S)$, where S need not be convex.

14.3 Minimizer of Convex Optimization Problem

Theorem 14.3. *Let S be a convex set and let f be a continuously differentiable (C^1) convex function. Consider the following convex optimization problem:*

$$f(x), \text{ subject to } x \in S \tag{14.1}$$

Then x^ is minimizer if and only if $\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) \geq 0$, for all $y \in S$.*

Proof. (Sufficiency)

Suppose that $x^* \in S$ satisfies

$$\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) \geq 0, \forall y \in S. \tag{14.2}$$

By the Lemma 14.1,

$$f(y) \geq f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*), \forall x^*, y. \quad (14.3)$$

Combining (15.3) and (15.4) gives

$$f(y) \geq f(x^*), \forall y \in S. \quad (14.4)$$

This implies that x^* is a global minima.

(Necessity)

Let x^* be a global minima, which implies $f(x^*) \leq f(y), \forall y \in S$. Suppose $\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) < 0$, for some $y \in S$.

Let $z(t) = x^* + t(y - x^*)$. We will show that $f(z(t)) < f(x^*)$ for small enough t .

Consider

$$\frac{f(z(t)) - f(x^*)}{t} = \frac{f(x^* + t(y - x^*)) - f(x^*)}{t} \quad (14.5)$$

Taking limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ gives,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x^* + t(y - x^*)) - f(x^*)}{t} = \nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) < 0 \quad (14.6)$$

from initial assumption. Hence, there exists t small enough such that $f(z(t)) < f(x^*)$. But this contradicts that x^* is a global minima. Therefore, $\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) \geq 0, \forall y \in S$. \square

Remarks.

1. Geometrically, the above condition imposes that gradient of the function f at x^* should make an acute angle with vector $(y - x^*)$, $\forall y \in S$.
2. If f is C^1 and convex function and x^* satisfies $\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) \geq 0, \forall y \in S$, then x^* is global minima over S .
3. If S is convex set, f is C^1 and x^* is a local minima, then $\nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) \geq 0, \forall y \in S$.
4. In particular, if $S = \mathbb{R}^n$ and x^* is local minima, then $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$.

14.4 Tangent Cone

For $x^* \in S$, the tangent cone $T(x^*; S)$ is defined as:

$$T(x^*, S) := \left\{ d \mid \exists x_k \subseteq S : x_k \rightarrow x^* \text{ \& } t_k \downarrow 0 \text{ s.t. } d = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k - x^*}{t_k} \right\}. \quad (14.7)$$

Tangent cone of a set captures the precise shape of the set.

14.4.1 A more relaxed necessary condition for local minima over non-convex set S

Before we state the next theorem, we provide some preliminary background regarding the $O(t)$ and $o(t)$ notations.

Consider two sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$. We know that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = L \in (0, \infty) \implies$ For large enough k , $\frac{a_k}{b_k} \approx L$, i.e., $a_k = Lb_k + o(b_k) \implies \frac{a_k}{b_k} = L + \frac{o(b_k)}{b_k}$. Thus, for $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = L \in (0, \infty)$ to even hold in the first place, we must have $\frac{o(b_k)}{b_k} \downarrow 0$ as $b_k \downarrow 0$.

Note that $o(t) :=$ term such that $\frac{o(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $O(t) :=$ term such that $\frac{O(t)}{t} \rightarrow$ some finite constant $\neq 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. With slight abuse of notation, $o(1) :=$ a quantity $\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $O(1) :=$ a quantity \rightarrow some constant $\neq 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

With some simple calculations, we can show that: $o(t) \times o(t) \leq o(t)$, $o(t) \times O(t) = o(t)$, $O(t) \times O(t) = O(t^2)$. So also, $o(t) + o(t) = o(t)$, $o(t) + O(t) = O(t)$, $O(t) + O(t) = O(t)$.

With this background, we now come to the main statement of the theorem.

Theorem 14.4. *Consider the optimization problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } f(x) \\ & \text{subject to } x \in S \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in C^1$. If x^* is a local minimum, then $\nabla f(x^*)^\top d \geq 0$, $\forall d \in T(x^*, S)$.

Proof. Suppose not, i.e., $\exists d \in T(x^*, S)$ such that $\nabla f(x^*)^\top d < 0$. $\exists \{x_k\} \rightarrow x^*$ and $t_k \downarrow 0$ such that $t_k d = (x_k - x^*) + o(t_k)$. Rearranging the terms, we get, $x_k = x^* + t_k d + o(t_k)$. Recall Taylor's theorem: $f(x + p) = f(x) + \nabla f(x + tp)^\top p$ for some $t \in (0, 1)$. We thus obtain:

$$f(x_k) = f(x^* + t_k d + o(t_k)) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^* + \delta(t_k d + o(t_k)))^\top (t_k d + o(t_k)), \text{ where } \delta \in (0, 1).$$

Note that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $t_k \downarrow 0$, hence the $\delta(\cdot)$ term in $\nabla f(\cdot)$ vanishes. This leaves us with $\nabla f(x^*)$, which is in fact $O(1)$. Since $O(1) \times o(t_k) = o(t_k)$, we get $f(x_k) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^* + \delta(t_k d + o(t_k)))^\top t_k d + o(t_k)$. This can be written as $f(x_k) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^\top t_k d + \left[\nabla f(x^* + \delta(t_k d + o(t_k))) - \nabla f(x^*) \right]^\top t_k d + o(t_k)$. Once again notice that the term $[\cdot]$ vanishes as $t_k \downarrow 0$, and therefore, $[\cdot] t_k d$ becomes $o(t_k)$.

So, we are finally left with:

$$f(x_k) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^\top t_k d + o(t_k) = f(x^*) + t_k \left[\nabla f(x^*)^\top d + \frac{o(t_k)}{t_k} \right].$$

We know that $\frac{o(t_k)}{t_k} \downarrow 0$ as $t_k \downarrow 0$. Also, we started our proof with the assumption that $\nabla f(x^*)^\top d < 0$. This makes the term $\left(t_k \left[\nabla f(x^*)^\top d + \frac{o(t_k)}{t_k} \right] \right) < 0$ as $t_k \downarrow 0$, which in turn implies that for large k , $f(x_k) < f(x^*)$. This is contradictory to the fact of x^* being the local minimum. Hence, our initial assumption was wrong and indeed $\nabla f(x^*)^\top d \geq 0$, $\forall d \in T(x^*, S)$. \square