

Proof that the RSA decryption algorithm works

From the key generation algorithm:

- p and q are prime numbers
- $n=p \cdot q$
- $\phi(n)$ is the totient of n , which can be computed as $n=(p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
 - this computation of $\phi(n)$ comes from the factorization of n into a product of prime numbers
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler%27s_totient_function
- $d \cdot e = 1 \text{ mod } \phi(n)$

Theorem: for all M in $[0; n]$, $(M^e)^d = M \text{ mod } n$

Proof:

- Case 1: $\gcd(M, n)=1$.
 - Euler's Theorem states that if a and n are coprime positive integers, then $a^{\phi(n)} = 1 \text{ mod } n$
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler%27s_theorem
 - since M and n are coprime positive integers, then $M^{\phi(n)} = 1 \text{ mod } n$
 - $(M^e)^d = M^{ed} = M^{(1+k\phi(n))} = M \cdot (M^{\phi(n)})^k = M \cdot 1 = M \text{ mod } n$
- Case 2: $\gcd(M, n) > 1$.
 - The Chinese Remainder Theorem states that if $\gcd(p, q)=1$, then $x=y \text{ mod } p$ and $x=y \text{ mod } q$ implies that $x=y \text{ mod } pq$
 - Since $\gcd(p, q)=1$, we will simply show that (1) $M^ed=M \text{ mod } p$ and (2) $M^ed=M \text{ mod } q$ (which will imply that $M^ed=M \text{ mod } pq=M \text{ mod } n$)
 - Since $\gcd(M, n) > 1$ and $n=p \cdot q$, we have either $\gcd(M, n)=p$ or $\gcd(M, n)=q$. We assume without loss of generality that $\gcd(M, n)=p$.
 - Since p is a divisor of M , $M=k \cdot p=0 \text{ mod } p$, and $(M^e)^d = M^{ed} = (k^e)^d \cdot (p^e)^d = k^d \cdot 0 = 0 \text{ mod } p$ (the last equality comes from $p^e \dots = 0 \text{ mod } p$)
 - Thus, $M^ed=M \text{ mod } p$, and the first part is proven
 - $\gcd(M, q)=1$, thus we can apply Euler's theorem to show that $M^{\phi(q)}=1 \text{ mod } q$, with $\phi(q)=q-1$ as q is prime
 - $(M^e)^d = M^{ed} = M^{(1+k\phi(n))} = M \cdot M^{(k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1))} = M \cdot (M^{(q-1)})^{k \cdot (p-1)} = M \cdot 1^{k \cdot (p-1)} = M \text{ mod } q$, and the second part is proven (the second-to-last equality comes from $M^{(q-1)}=M^{\phi(q)}=1 \text{ mod } q$ thanks to Euler's Theorem)
 - Both parts are proven, which completes the proof of the second case.