# **6.1** k-Anonymity and and l-Diversity

#### **6.1.1** Distinct *l*-Diversity

For the distinct *l*-Diversity it is trivial to show that *l*-divers sanitized dataset also satisfies *l*-Anonymity. *l*-Diversity says that every subset contains at least *l* different values of the sensitive attributes. Because this is a given each subset must at least contain *l* different entries in order to satisfy this statement. This is of course the definition of *l*-Anonymity which is therefore also satisfied.

### **6.1.2** Probabilistic *l*-Diversity

The definition of probabilistic *l*-Diversity is that any value has a relative frequency of at most  $\frac{1}{l}$ . Therefore if a sanitized dataset fulfills this requirement each subset will have at least *l* entries, which is also satisfying the *l*-Anonymity criteria.

# **6.2** Implementing k-Anonymity with the Mondrian Algorithm

### **6.2.a** Normalized Certainty Penalty

Using only PLZ and points as QI (and represented as numerical attributes), and with system as S, compute at least a 3-, 5-, and 10-anonymization of the dataset and report its NCP.

What is the NCP of a 1-anonymization and that of a 74-anonymization?

For the different k-anonymizations we get the NCP values:

(1) 3-Anonymity: 16,40%

(2) 5-Anonymity: 26,49%

(3) 10-Anonymity: 48,08%

The NCP of a 1-anonymization would be 0,00 % and for 74-anonymization the NCP would be 100,00%.

## 6.2.b Normalized Certainty Penalty of Permutations

Permute the dataset randomly (e.g., calling shuf) and observe the outcome. Extend the algorithm (using randomization) to compute improved 3-, 5-, and 10-anonymizations, that is, achieving better NCP than under a).

When shuffling the data beforehand the NPCs change in a range of  $\pm a$  couple of%.

In order to automate the whole process a new class was created with the following code:

```
import os
```