

Cryptography

12 Digital Signatures

- Integrity and Authenticity
- Dual to public-key encryption
- Applications:
 - signed email
 - certificates and public key infrastructures
 - software distribution
- universally verifiable (*neq* Message Auth.Codes - MACs)

12.1 Digital Signature Scheme

$\Gamma.\mathbb{M}$: message space

$\Gamma.\Sigma$: Signature space

$\Gamma.KeyGen() \rightarrow (pk, sk)$

$\Gamma.Sign(sk, m) \rightarrow \sigma$ (m message, σ signature)

$\Gamma.Ver(pk, m, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{TRUE/FALSE}$

Completeness

$\forall m \in \mathbb{M}, (pk, sk) \leftarrow KeyGen() :$
 $Ver(pk, m, Sign(sk, m)) = \text{TRUE}$

Security

Security means: \mathbb{A} cannot forge a valid message/signature pair that was not produced by the legitimate signer.

Definition: A digital-sign.-schme Γ is *secure* (existential unforgeability against **adaptive chosen-message attacks**) if

$\mathbb{L}_{sig-real}^\Gamma$	$\mathbb{L}_{sig-ideal}^\Gamma$
$(pk, sk) \leftarrow KeyGen()$	$(pk, sk) \leftarrow KeyGen()$ $\mathbb{S} := \emptyset$
$\frac{GETPK():}{\mathbf{return } pk}$	$\frac{GETPK():}{\mathbf{return } pk}$
$\frac{GETSIG(m):}{\mathbf{return } Sign(sk, m)}$	$\frac{GETSIG(m):}{\sigma \leftarrow Sign(sk, m)$ $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S} \cup \{(m, \sigma)\}$ $\mathbf{return } \sigma$
$\frac{CHECKSIG(m, \sigma)}{\mathbf{return } Ver(pk, m, \sigma)}$	$\frac{CHECKSIG(m, \sigma)}{\mathbf{return } (m, \sigma) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{S}}$

Relaxations are possible by permitting multiple equivalent signatures for each message.

12.2 RSA signatures

Recall RSA function:

- $\frac{KeyGen():}{p, q \dots \text{large primes}$
 $N := p \cdot q$
 $e \dots \text{(small) prime}$
 $d : d \cdot e \equiv_{\Phi(N)} 1 \quad \Phi(N) := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
 $(pk, sk) := ((N, e), d)$
- $\frac{Eval(pk, x):}{\mathbf{return } x^e \bmod N}$
- $\frac{Invert(sk, c):}{\mathbf{return } c^d \bmod N}$

Textbook RSA signatures - INSECURE

Direct application of RSA function for signatures:

$\Gamma.KeyGen():$
 $\mathbf{return } RSA.KeyGen()$

$\Gamma.Sign(sk, m)$
 $\mathbf{return } RSA.Invert(sk, m)$

$\Gamma.Ver(pk, m, \sigma)$
 $\mathbf{return } RSA.Eval(pk, \sigma) \stackrel{?}{=} m$

$\overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2$: (To forge sign on \overline{m} pick m_1, m_2 s.t. $\overline{m} = m_1 \cdot m_2$)
 $\sigma_1 := Sign(sk, m_1)$

$\sigma_2 := \text{Sign}(sk, m_2)$

It holds that:

$\sigma_1^e \equiv_N m_1$

$\sigma_2^e \equiv_N m_2$

$\}(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)^e \equiv_N m_1 \cdot m_2$

To forge signature of $\overline{m} = m_1 \cdot m_2$ compute $\overline{\sigma} = (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)^e \bmod N$

Textbook RSA

given m , difficult to produce σ

Attack to produce a valid message/signature pair:

pick random σ^*

$m^* := (\sigma^*)^e \bmod N$

This satisfies $(\sigma^*)^e \equiv_N m^*$, $\text{Ver}(pk, m^*, \sigma^*) = \text{TRUE}$

RSA Full-domain-hash signatures

How to properly sign with RSA in the random oracle model (R.O.M.)

Idea: Break the connection between message m and a signature σ by using a hash-function H and signing its output.

Let $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$

$J : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$

but $k \approx 256$

Define $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{|N|}$

$H(x) := J(0\|x) \| J(1\|x) \| \dots \| J(l-1\|x)$ for $l = \lceil \frac{|N|}{k} \rceil$

FDH-RSA

KeyGen() - same as before

Sign(sk, m)

return RSA.Inverse(sk, H(m))

Ver(pk, mσ)

return RSA.Eval(pk, σ) $\stackrel{?}{=} H(m)$

Hash and sign: Hash message first and then apply pk-transformation

Hybrid-encryption: pick a random symmetric key and then encrypt (long) plaintext with k and use the public-key-transformation to encrypt k itself

12.3 Schnorr Signatures

PK signatures based on the DLP

Group $G = \langle g \rangle$, generator g

$|G| = q$, for example $G \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, p prime, s.t. $q \mid (p-1)$

$p \approx 2048$

$q \approx 256$

$H : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$

KeyGen():

$x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$

return (g^x, x)

Sign(x,m):

$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$

$t := g^r \bmod p$

$c := H(m||t)$

$s := (r - c \cdot x) \bmod q$

return (c, s)

Ver(y (= g^x), m, (c,s)):

$\hat{t} := g^s \cdot y^c \bmod p (= g^s \cdot y^c = g^{r-c \cdot x} \cdot g^{x \cdot c} = g^{r-c \cdot x + c \cdot x} = g^r$

return $c \stackrel{?}{=} H(m||\hat{t})$

Completeness:

$\hat{t} = t$ because $g^s \cdot y^c \equiv_p t \equiv_p g^r$

$c = H(m||t) = H(m||\hat{t})$ because H deterministic.