Cryptography

5. Extension of the PRG

```
G: \Sigma^{\lambda} \to \Sigma^{\lambda} \qquad \qquad H_n: \Sigma^{\lambda} \to \Sigma^{(n+1)\lambda} \frac{H_n(s)}{s_o := s} \underbrace{\text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}}_{t_i \| s_i := G(s_{i-1})} \mathbf{return} \ t_1 \| t_2 \| ... \| t_n \| s_n
```

Theroem:

If G is a (2x) PRG, then H_n is a ((n+1)x) PRG.



 $egin{array}{c} L_{PRG-real}^{H_n} \ rac{ ext{QUERY()}}{s_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda}} \ rac{ ext{for } i=1 ext{ to } n ext{ do}}{t_i \|s_i \ := G(s_{i-1})} \ ext{return } t_1 \|t_2\| ... \|t_n\| s_n \end{array}$

Define hybrid-k L $\frac{L_{hyb-k}^{H}}{S_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda}}$ $\frac{\text{QUERY}()}{s_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda}}$ $\frac{\text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } k \text{ do} \\
t_i \| s_i := \{0,1\}^{2\lambda}$ $t_{k+1} \| s_{k+1} \leftarrow G(s_k)$ $\frac{\text{for } i = k + 2 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \\
t_i \| s_i := G(s_{i-1})$ $\mathbf{return } t_1 \| t_2 \| ... \| t_n \| s_n$

 \approx

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline L_{hyb-k}^H \\ \hline \hline QUERY() \\ \hline s_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda} \\ \hline \underline{for} \ i = 1 \ to \ k \ \underline{do} \\ \hline t_i \| s_i \ := \ \{0,1\}^{2\lambda} \\ \hline \underline{t_{k+1}} \| \underline{s_{k+1}} \leftarrow G(s_k) \\ \hline \underline{for} \ i = k+2 \ to \ n \ \underline{do} \\ \hline t_i \| s_i \ := \ G(s_{i-1}) \\ \hline \mathbf{return} \ t_1 \| t_2 \| ... \| t_n \| s_n \\ \hline \end{array} \right. \approx$$

```
E = \begin{bmatrix} L_{hyb-k+1}^{H} & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline QUERY() & & \\ s_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda} & & \\ & & & \\ for & i=1 \text{ to } k \text{ do} \\ & & & \\ t_i \| s_i & := \{0,1\}^{2\lambda} \\ & & & \\ t_{k+1} \| s_{k+1} \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{2\lambda} \\ & & \\ for & i=k+1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \\ & & \\ t_i \| s_i & := G(s_{i-1}) \\ & & \\ \textbf{return } t_1 \| t_2 \| ... \| t_n \| s_n \end{bmatrix}
```

This substitution can be made because $L_{PRG-real}^{G} \approx L_{PRG-rand}^{G}$

Therefore the theorem is proved.

6. Pseudorandom Functions

- * Stream cipher from PRG (\leftarrow one-time use) $G_k() \rightarrow \Box\Box\Box\ldots$ (pseudorandom keys
 - sequential access only
- * "Blockciphers" from a PRF (=pseudorandom function)
 - random-access characteristic

Definition:

A pseudorandom function (PRF)

$$F: \{0,1\}^{\lambda} \times \{0,1\}^{in} \to \{0,1\}^{out}$$

is a deterministic function, s.t.

$$L^F_{PRF-real} \; \approx \; L^F_{PRF-rand}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} L_{PRF-real}^F \\ k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\lambda} \\ \\ \underline{Lookup}(x \in \{0,1\}^{in}) \\ \mathbf{return} \ \mathrm{F(k,x)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} L_{PRF-rand}^F \\ \hline T := empty \ associated \ array \\ \hline \\ \frac{\text{Lookup(x)}}{\text{if} \ T[x] \ \text{undefined:}} \\ T[x] \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{out} \\ \text{return} \ T[x] \end{array}$$

For particular key k, F(k,-) is a deterministic function from in-bit strings to out-bit strings. There are 2^{λ} such functions. But in total there are $(2^{out})^{2^{in}} = 2^{out \cdot 2^{in}}$ functions in-bits to out-bits.

Failed attempts to build a PRG

$$\begin{array}{l} 1.\ F^*(k,x):=G(k)\oplus x\\ \text{where } k\in\{0,1\}^\lambda,\,G:\{0,1\}^k\to\{0,1\}^{2k}\\ F^*(k,x)=G(k)\oplus x\\ F^*(k,y)=G(k)\oplus y\\ F^*(k,x)\oplus F^*(k,y)=x\oplus y\\ P[A\diamond L_{real}^{F^*}\to 1]=1\\ P[A\diamond L_{rand}^{F^*}\to 1]=2^{-out}\\ \text{This } F^* \text{ is distinguishable from random.} \end{array}$$