6.1 k-Anonymity and and l-Diversity

6.1.1 Distinct l-Diversity

For the distinct l-Diversity it is trivial to show that l-divers sanitized dataset also satisfies l-Anonymity. l-Diversity says that every subset contains at least l different values of the sensitive attributes. Because this is a given each subset must at least contain l different entries in order to satisfy this statement. This is of course the definition of l-Anonymity which is therefore also satisfied.

6.1.2 Probabilistic l-Diversity

The definition of probabilistic l-Diversity is that any value has a relative frequency of at most $\frac{1}{l}$. Therefore if a sanitized dataset fulfills this requirement each subset will have at least l entries, which is also satisfying the l-Anonymity criteria.

6.2 Implementing k-Anonymity with the Mondrian Algorithm

6.2.a Normalized Certainty Penalty

Using only PLZ and points as QI (and represented as numerical attributes), and with system as S, compute at least a 3-, 5-, and 10-anonymization of the dataset and report its NCP.
What is the NCP of a 1-anonymization and that of a 74-anonymization?

For the different k-anonymizations we get the NCP values:

(1) 3-Anonymity: 16,40%

(2) 5-Anonymity: 26,49%

(3) 10-Anonymity: 48,08%

The NCP of a 1-anonymization would be 0,00 % and for 74-anonymization the NCP would be 100,00%.

6.2.b Normalized Certainty Penalty of Permutations

Permute the dataset randomly (e.g., calling shuf) and observe the outcome. Extend the algorithm (using randomization) to compute improved 3-, 5-, and 10-anonymizations, that is, achieving better NCP than under a).