

Because this homework is due right around an exam, this is meant to be a BRIEF homework set where you address the specific skills that come up regarding set notation. Make sure your responses are informed by what we have said in class. Look to the flowcharts that we have recently drawn. Look also at the responses in [blue](#) in Essential Check 3, which serve as a study guide for Essential Check 3.

Questions 1, 2, and 4 ask about **conclusions**. In those questions, state any/all conclusions that we get from the information we start with. When there is no conclusion, provide an explanation. (Sometimes, though not always, a way to explain no conclusion is to say mention a specific additional thing that if we knew it, then we could conclude something. For example,  $p \rightarrow q$  cannot be used on its own, but if we also knew  $p$ , then we could conclude  $q$ .)

1. Someone defines the set  $G$  for us as  $G = \{u \in L : u \text{ rollerblades}\}$ . Say that we know  $k \in L$ . What (if anything) can we conclude?

If we get one or more conclusions, just state what they are, and you don't have to explain further. If we get no conclusion, briefly explain. One way (but not the only way) to explain no conclusion is to mention something like "if we also just knew  $\dots$ , then we could conclude  $\dots$ "; and sometimes this approach is ideal, and sometimes an explanation of no conclusion doesn't look like this at all.

2. Someone defines the set  $G$  for us as  $G = \{u \in L : u \text{ rollerblades}\}$ . Say that we know  $s \in G$ . What (if anything) can we conclude?

If we get one or more conclusions, just state what they are, and you don't have to explain further. If we get no conclusion, briefly explain. One way (but not the only way) to explain no conclusion is to mention something like "if we also just knew  $\dots$ , then we could conclude  $\dots$ "; and sometimes this approach is ideal, and sometimes an explanation of no conclusion doesn't look like this at all.

- Someone defines the set  $G$  for us as  $G = \{u \in L : u \text{ rollerblades}\}$ . What would we have to know/prove in order to conclude  $x \in G$ ?

4. Someone defines the set  $M$  for us as  $M = \{\sqrt{h^2 + 10} \mid h \in B\}$ . Say we know  $r \in M$ . What (if anything) can we conclude?

If we get one or more conclusions, just state what they are, and you don't have to explain further. If we get no conclusion, briefly explain. One way (but not the only way) to explain no conclusion is to mention something like "if we also just knew  $\dots$ , then we could conclude  $\dots$ "; and sometimes this approach is ideal, and sometimes an explanation of no conclusion doesn't look like this at all.

5. Someone defines the set  $M$  for us as  $M = \{\sqrt{h^2 + 10} \mid h \in B\}$ . What would we have to know/prove in order to conclude  $t \in M$ ?