# The GPML Toolbox version 3.1

# Carl Edward Rasmussen & Hannes Nickisch

February 18, 2011

#### **Abstract**

The GPML toolbox is an Octave 3.2.x and Matlab 7.x implementation of inference and prediction in Gaussian process (GP) models. It implements algorithms discussed in Rasmussen & Williams: Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning, the MIT press, 2006 and Nickisch & Rasmussen: Approximations for Binary Gaussian Process Classification, JMLR, 2008.

The strength of the function lies in its flexibility, simplicity and extensibility. The function is flexible as firstly it allows specification of the properties of the GP through definition of mean function and covariance functions. Secondly, it allows specification of different inference procedures, such as e.g. exact inference and Expectation Propagation (EP). Thirdly it allows specification of likelihood functions e.g. Gaussian or Laplace (for regression) and e.g. cumulative Logistic (for classification). Simplicity is achieved through a single function and compact code. Extensibility is ensured by modular design allowing for easy addition of extension for the already fairly extensive libraries for inference methods, mean functions, covariance functions and likelihood functions.

This document is a technical manual for a developer containing many details. If you are not yet familiar with the GPML toolbox, the *user documentation* and examples therein are a better way to get started.

# Contents

1	Gaussian Process Training and Prediction	3
2	The gp Function	4
3	3.3 Expectation Propagation	8 9 10 10
4	4.1 Prediction	12 12 13 14 15
	4.5 Gaussian Likelihood	15 16 16 17 17
	4.6       Laplace Likelihood	18 18 18 20 21
	4.7.1 Laplace's Approximation	21 21 21 22
5	5.1 Interface5.2 Implemented Mean Functions5.2 Implemented Mean Functions	23 23 24 24
6	6.1 Interface	26 26 28 28

# 1 Gaussian Process Training and Prediction

The gpml toolbox contains a single user function gp described in section 2. In addition there are a number of supporting structures and functions which the user needs to know about, as well as an internal convention for representing the posterior distribution, which may not be of direct interest to the casual user.

Inference Methods An inference method is a function which computes the (approximate) posterior, the (approximate) negative log marginal likelihood and its partial derivatives w.r.t.. the hyperparameters, given a model specification (i.e., GP mean and covariance functions and a likelihood function) and a data set. Inference methods are discussed in section 3. New inference methods require a function providing the desired inference functionality and possibly extra functionality in the likelihood functions applicable.

Hyperparameters The hyperparameters is a struct controlling the properties of the model, i.e.. the GP mean and covariance function and the likelihood function. The hyperparameters is a struct with the three fields mean, cov and lik, each of which is a vector. The number of elements in each field must agree with number of hyperparameters in the specification of the three functions they control (below). If a field has no entries it can either be empty or non-existent.

**Likelihood Functions** The likelihood function specifies the form of the likelihood of the GP model and computes terms needed for prediction and inference. For inference, the required properties of the likelihood depend on the inference method, including properties necessary for hyperparameter learning, section 4.

**Mean Functions** The mean function is a cell array specifying the GP mean. It computes the mean and its derivatives w.r.t.. the part of the hyperparameters pertaining to the mean. The cell array allows flexible specification and composition of mean functions, discussed in section 5. The default is the zero function.

Covariance Functions The covariance function is a cell array specifying the GP covariance function. It computes the covariance and its derivatives w.r.t.. the part of the hyperparameters pertaining to the covariance function. The cell array allows flexible specification and composition of covariance functions, discussed in section 6.

Inference methods, see section 3, compute (among other things) an approximation to the posterior distribution of the latent variables  $f_i$  associated with the training cases,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . This approximate posterior is assumed to be Gaussian, and is communicated via a struct post with the fields post.alpha, post.s and post.L. Often, starting from the Gaussian prior  $p(f) = \mathcal{N}(f|m,K)$  the approximate posterior admits the form

$$q(f|\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N}\big(f|\mu = m + K\alpha, \ V = (K^{-1} + W)^{-1}\big), \ \text{where} \ \ W \ \text{diagonal with} \ \ W_{ii} = s_i^2. \tag{1}$$

In such cases, the entire posterior can be computed from the two vectors post.alpha and post.s; the inference method may optionally also return  $L = \text{chol}(\text{diag}(s) \times \text{diag}(s) + I)$ .

If on the other hand the posterior doesn't admit the above form, then post L returns the matrix  $L = -(K + W^{-1})^{-1}$  (and post s is unused). In addition, a sparse representation of the posterior may be used, in which case the non-zero elements of the post alpha vector indicate the active entries.

# 2 The gp Function

39 %

The gp function is typically the only function the user would directly call.

It offers facilities for training the hyperparameters of a GP model as well as predictions at unseen inputs as detailed in the following help.

```
\langle gp \ function \ help \ 4b \rangle \equiv
4b
                                                                    (4a)
      1 % Gaussian Process inference and prediction. The gp function provides a
      2 % flexible framework for Bayesian inference and prediction with Gaussian
      3 % processes for scalar targets, i.e. both regression and binary
      4 % classification. The prior is Gaussian process, defined through specification
      5~\% of its mean and covariance function. The likelihood function is also
      6 % specified. Both the prior and the likelihood may have hyperparameters
      7 % associated with them.
      8 %
     9 % Two modes are possible: training or prediction: if no test cases are
     10~\% supplied, then the negative log marginal likelihood and its partial
     11 % derivatives w.r.t. the hyperparameters is computed; this mode is used to fit
     12 % the hyperparameters. If test cases are given, then the test set predictive
     13 % probabilities are returned. Usage:
     14 %
     15 %
          training: [nlZ dnlZ
                                          ] = gp(hyp, inf, mean, cov, lik, x, y);
     16 % prediction: [ymu ys2 fmu fs2 ] = gp(hyp, inf, mean, cov, lik, x, y, xs);
     17 %
                  or: [ymu ys2 fmu fs2 lp] = gp(hyp, inf, mean, cov, lik, x, y, xs, ys);
     18 %
     19 % where:
     20 %
     21 %
                    column vector of hyperparameters
           hyp
     22 %
                    function specifying the inference method
          inf
     23 %
                   prior covariance function (see below)
          COV
     24 % mean
                    prior mean function
     25 %
                    likelihood function
          lik
     26 %
          X
                   n by D matrix of training inputs
           y column vector of length n of training targets
xs ns by D matrix of test inputs
ys column vector of length nn of test targets
     27 %
           У
     28 %
     29 %
     30 %
           nlZ returned value of the negative log marginal likelihood
     31 %
     32 %
           dnlZ
                     column vector of partial derivatives of the negative
     33 %
                        log marginal likelihood w.r.t. each hyperparameter
     34 %
                     column vector (of length ns) of predictive output means
          ymu
     35 %
           vs2
                     column vector (of length ns) of predictive output variances
     36 %
                     column vector (of length ns) of predictive latent means
           fmu
     37 %
           fs2
                     column vector (of length ns) of predictive latent variances
     38 %
                     column vector (of length ns) of log predictive probabilities
```

```
40 % post struct representation of the (approximate) posterior
41 % 3rd output in training mode and 6th output in prediction mode
42 %
43 % See also covFunctions.m, infMethods.m, likFunctions.m, meanFunctions.m.
44 %
45 \langle gpml\ copyright\ 5a\rangle

5a \langle gpml\ copyright\ 5a\rangle (4b 8 9 13 15 23 24 26 29)
1 % Copyright (c) by Carl Edward Rasmussen and Hannes Nickisch, 2011-02-18
```

Depending on the number of input parameters, gp knows whether it is operated in training or in prediction mode. The highlevel structure of the code is as follows. After some initialisations, we perform inference and decide whether test set predictions are needed or only the result of the inference is demanded.

```
5b ⟨initializations 5b⟩≡ (4a)

1 ⟨minimalist usage 5c⟩

2 ⟨process input arguments 5d⟩

3 ⟨check hyperparameters 5e⟩
```

If the number of input arguments is incorrect, we echo a minimalist usage and return.

Set some useful default values for empty arguments, and convert inf and lik to function handles and mean and cov to cell arrays if necessary. Initialize variables.

```
5d
    \langle process\ input\ arguments\ 5d \rangle \equiv
                                                       (5b)
     1 if isempty(inf), inf = @infExact; else
                                                            % set default inf
        if iscell(inf), inf = inf{1}; end
                                                       % cell input is allowed
        3
    4 end
                                                           % set default mean
    5 if isempty(mean), mean = {@meanZero}; end
    6 if ischar(mean) || isa(mean, 'function_handle'), mean = {mean}; end % make cell
    7 if isempty(cov), error('Covariance function cannot be empty'); end % no default
    8 if ischar(cov) || isa(cov, 'function_handle'), cov = {cov}; end % make cell
    9 cov1 = cov{1}; if isa(cov1, 'function_handle'), cov1 = func2str(cov1); end
    10 if strcmp(cov1,'covFITC'); inf = @infFITC; end
                                                   % only one possible inf alg
    11 if isempty(lik), lik = @likGauss; else
                                                            % set default lik
        if iscell(lik), lik = lik{1}; end
                                                       % cell input is allowed
        14 end
    15 D = size(x,2);
```

Check that the sizes of the hyperparameters supplied in hyp match the sizes expected. The three parts hyp.mean, hyp.cov and hyp.lik are checked separately, and define empty entries if they don't exist.

```
7 error('Number of cov function hyperparameters disagree with cov function')
8 end
9 if ~isfield(hyp,'lik'), hyp.lik = []; end
10 if eval(feval(lik)) ~= numel(hyp.lik)
11 error('Number of lik function hyperparameters disagree with lik function')
12 end
```

Inference is performed by calling the desired inference method inf. In training mode, we accept a failure of the inference method (and issue a warning), since during hyperparameter learning, hyperparameters causing a numerical failure may be attempted, but the minimize function may gracefully recover from this. During prediction, failure of the inference method is an error.

6a

```
\langle inference 6a \rangle \equiv
                                                          % call the inference method
1 try
    % issue a warning if a classification likelihood is used in conjunction with
    % labels different from +1 and -1
    if strcmp(func2str(lik),'likErf') || strcmp(func2str(lik),'likLogistic')
5
       uy = unique(y);
       if any( uy~=+1 & uy~=-1 )
6
 7
         warning('You attempt classification using labels different from {+1,-1}\n')
8
9
10
    if nargin>7 % compute marginal likelihood and its derivatives only if needed
11
       post = inf(hyp, mean, cov, lik, x, y);
12
13
       if nargout == 1
14
         [post nlZ] = inf(hyp, mean, cov, lik, x, y); dnlZ = \{\};
1.5
16
         [post nlZ dnlZ] = inf(hyp, mean, cov, lik, x, y);
17
18
    end
19 catch
2.0
    msgstr = lasterr;
21
    if nargin > 7, error('Inference method failed [%s]', msgstr); else
2.2
       warning('Inference method failed [%s] .. attempting to continue', msgstr)
23
       dnlZ = struct('cov',0*hyp.cov, 'mean',0*hyp.mean, 'lik',0*hyp.lik);
24
       varargout = {NaN, dnlZ}; return
                                                            % continue with a warning
2.5
    end
26 end
```

We copy the already computed negative log marginal likelihood to the first output argument, and if desired report its partial derivatives w.r.t. the hyperparameters if running in inference mode.

Predictions are computed in a loop over small batches to avoid memory problems for very large test sets.

```
\langle compute\ test\ predictions\ 6b \rangle \equiv
6b
                                                                       (4a)
      1 alpha = post.alpha; L = post.L; sW = post.sW;
      2 if issparse(alpha)
                                              % handle things for sparse representations
        nz = alpha \sim 0;
                                                                % determine nonzero indices
          if issparse(L), L = full(L(nz,nz)); end
                                                           % convert L and sW if necessary
      5 if issparse(sW), sW = full(sW(nz)); end
      6 else nz = true(size(alpha)); end
                                                                % non-sparse representation
      7 \text{ if } numel(L) == 0
                                               % in case L is not provided, we compute it
          K = feval(cov{:}, hyp.cov, x(nz,:));
        L = chol(eye(sum(nz))+sW*sW'.*K);
     10 \text{ end}
     11 Ltril = all(all(tril(L,-1)==0));
                                                        % is L an upper triangular matrix?
     12 \text{ ns} = \text{size}(xs, 1);
                                                                    % number of data points
```

```
13 nperbatch = 1000;
                                         % number of data points per mini batch
                                % number of already processed test data points
14 \text{ nact} = 0;
15 ymu = zeros(ns,1); ys2 = ymu; fmu = ymu; fs2 = ymu; lp = ymu; % allocate mem
                            % process minibatches of test cases to save memory
16 while nact<ns
id = (nact+1):min(nact+nperbatch,ns);
                                                     % data points to process
18 (make predictions 7)
19 nact = id(end);
                      % set counter to index of last processed data point
20 end
21 if nargin<9
varargout = {ymu, ys2, fmu, fs2, [], post}; % assign output arguments
24 varargout = {ymu, ys2, fmu, fs2, lp, post};
25 end
```

In every iteration of the above loop, we compute the predictions for all test points of the batch.

```
\langle make\ predictions\ 7 \rangle \equiv
1 kss = feval(cov{:}, hyp.cov, xs(id,:), 'diag');
                                                              % self-variance
2 Ks = feval(cov{:}, hyp.cov, x(nz,:), xs(id,:);
                                                     % cross-covariances
3 ms = feval(mean{:}, hyp.mean, xs(id,:));
4 fmu(id) = ms + Ks'*full(alpha(nz));
                                                            % predictive means
                     % L is triangular => use Cholesky parameters (alpha,sW,L)
 5 if Ltril
6  V = L'\(repmat(sW,1,length(id)).*Ks);
7 fs2(id) = kss - sum(V.*V,1);
                                                        % predictive variances
                     % L is not triangular => use alternative parametrisation
8 else
9 fs2(id) = kss + sum(Ks.*(L*Ks),1);
                                                       % predictive variances
10 \text{ end}
11 fs2(id) = max(fs2(id),0); % remove numerical noise i.e. negative variances
12 if nargin<9
13 [lp(id) ymu(id) ys2(id)] = lik(hyp.lik, [], fmu(id), fs2(id));
15 [lp(id) ymu(id) ys2(id)] = lik(hyp.lik, ys(id), fmu(id), fs2(id));
16 end
```

# 3 Inference Methods

Inference methods are responsible for computing the (approximate) posterior post, the (approximate) negative log marginal likelihood n1Z and its partial derivatives dn1Z w.r.t. the hyperparameters hyp. The arguments to the function are hyperparameters hyp, mean function mean, covariance function cov, likelihood function lik and training data x and y. Several inference methods are implemented and described this section.

```
8
    \langle infMethods.m \rangle \equiv
    1 % Inference methods: Compute the (approximate) posterior for a Gaussian process.
    2 % Methods currently implemented include:
    3 %
    4 %
                      Exact inference (only possible with Gaussian likelihood)
          infExact
    5 %
          infFITC
                     Large scale regression with approximate covariance matrix
          infLaplace Laplace's Approximation
    6 %
    7 %
         infEP
                      Expectation Propagation
    8 %
          infVB
                      Variational Bayes
    9 %
   10 %
          infLOO Leave-One-Out predictive probability and Least-Squares Approxim.
   11 %
   12 % The interface to the approximation methods is the following:
   13 %
   14 %
          function [post nlZ dnlZ] = inf..(hyp, cov, lik, x, y)
   15 %
   16 % where:
   17 %
   18 %
                   is a struct of hyperparameters
          hyp
   19 %
                   is the name of the covariance function (see covFunctions.m)
   20 %
          lik
                   is the name of the likelihood function (see likFunctions.m)
   21 %
                   is a n by D matrix of training inputs
   22 %
                   is a (column) vector (of size n) of targets
   23 %
   24 %
                  is the returned value of the negative log marginal likelihood
          nlZ
   25 %
          dnlZ
                   is a (column) vector of partial derivatives of the negative
   26 %
                      log marginal likelihood w.r.t. each hyperparameter
   27 %
                   struct representation of the (approximate) posterior containing
         post
   28 %
          alpha is a (sparse or full column vector) containing inv(K)*m, where K
   29 %
                      is the prior covariance matrix and m the approx posterior mean
   30 %
                   is a (sparse or full column) vector containing diagonal of sqrt(W)
           sW
   31 %
                      the approximate posterior covariance matrix is inv(inv(K)+W)
   32 %
            L
                   is a (sparse or full) matrix, L = chol(sW*K*sW+eye(n))
   33 %
   34 % Usually, the approximate posterior to be returned admits the form
   35 % N(m=K*alpha, V=inv(inv(K)+W)), where alpha is a vector and W is diagonal;
   36 % if not, then L contains instead -inv(K+inv(W)), and sW is unused.
   37 %
   38 % For more information on the individual approximation methods and their
   39 % implementations, see the separate inf??.m files. See also gp.m
   40 %
   41 (gpml copyright 5a)
```

Not all inference methods are compatible with all likelihood functions, e.g.. exact inference is only possible with Gaussian likelihood. In order to perform inference, each method needs various properties of the likelihood functions, section 4.

#### 3.1 Exact Inference

9

For Gaussian likelihoods, GP inference reduces to computing mean and covariance of a multivariate Gaussian which can be done exactly by simple matrix algebra. The program inf/infExact.m does exactly this. If it is called with a likelihood function other than the Gaussian, it issues an error. The Gaussian posterior  $q(f|\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N}(f|\mu, V)$  is exact.

```
\langle inf/infExact.m 9 \rangle \equiv
1 function [post nlZ dnlZ] = infExact(hyp, mean, cov, lik, x, y)
3 % Exact inference for a GP with Gaussian likelihood. Compute a parametrization
4 % of the posterior, the negative log marginal likelihood and its derivatives
5 % w.r.t. the hyperparameters. See also "help infMethods".
7 (gpml copyright 5a)
9 % See also INFMETHODS.M.
11 likstr = lik; if ~ischar(lik), likstr = func2str(lik); end
12 if ~strcmp(likstr,'likGauss') % NOTE: no explicit call to likGauss
    error('Exact inference only possible with Gaussian likelihood');
14 \text{ end}
15
16 [n, D] = size(x);
17 K = feval(cov{:}, hyp.cov, x);
                                                     % evaluate covariance matrix
18 m = feval(mean{:}, hyp.mean, x);
                                                           % evaluate mean vector
19
20 sn2 = exp(2*hyp.lik);
                                                     % noise variance of likGauss
21 L = chol(K/sn2+eye(n));
                                      % Cholesky factor of covariance with noise
22 alpha = solve_chol(L,y-m)/sn2;
23
24 post.alpha = alpha;
                                                \% return the posterior parameters
25 post.sW = ones(n,1)/sqrt(sn2);
                                                % sqrt of noise precision vector
26 post.L = L;
                                                     % L = chol(eye(n)+sW*sW'.*K)
27
                                            % do we want the marginal likelihood?
    n1Z = (y-m)^**alpha/2 + sum(log(diag(L))) + n*log(2*pi*sn2)/2; % -log marg lik
29
                                                        % do we want derivatives?
30
    if nargout>2
31
      dnlZ = hyp;
                                                 % allocate space for derivatives
      32
33
      for i = 1:numel(hyp.cov)
        dnlZ.cov(i) = sum(sum(Q.*feval(cov{:}, hyp.cov, x, [], i)))/2;
34
35
      end
36
      dnlZ.lik = sn2*trace(Q);
37
      for i = 1:numel(hyp.mean),
38
        dnlZ.mean(i) = -feval(mean{:}, hyp.mean, x, i)'*alpha;
39
      end
40
    end
41 end
```

### 3.2 Laplace's Approximation

For differentiable likelihoods, Laplace's approximation, approximates the posterior by a Gaussian centered at its mode and matching its curvature inflaplace.m.

More concretely, the mean of the posterior  $q(f|D) = \mathcal{N}(f|\mu, V)$  is given by

$$\mu = \arg\min_{f} \varphi(f), \text{ where } \varphi(f) = \frac{1}{2} (f - m)^{\top} K^{-1} (f - m) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln p(y_i | f_i) \stackrel{c}{=} - \ln[p(f)p(y|f)]. \tag{2}$$

The curvature  $\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial f f^{\top}} = K^{-1} + W$  with  $W_{ii} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_i^2} \ln p(y_i|f_i)$  serves as precision for the Gaussian posterior approximation  $V = (K^{-1} + W)^{-1}$  and the marginal likelihood  $Z = \int p(f)p(y|f)df$  is approximated by  $Z \approx Z_{LA} = \int \tilde{\Phi}(f)df$  where we use the 2nd order Taylor expansion at the mode  $\mu$  given by  $\tilde{\Phi}(f) = \Phi(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}(f - \mu)^{\top}V^{-1}(f - \mu) \approx \Phi(f)$ .

Laplace's approximation needs derivatives up to third order for the mode fitting procedure (Newton method)

$$d_k = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial f^k} \log p(y|f), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

and

$$d_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial f^k} \log p(y|f), \quad k = 0, 2$$

evaluated at the latent location f and observed value y. The likelihood calls (see section 4)

and

return exactly these values.

#### 3.3 Expectation Propagation

The basic idea of Expectation Propagation (EP) is to replace the non-Gaussian likelihood terms  $p(y_i|f_i)$  by Gaussian functions  $t(f_i;\nu_i,\tau_i)=\exp(\nu_if_i-\frac{1}{2}\tau_if_i^2)$  and to adjust the parameters  $\nu_i,\tau_i$  such that the following identity holds:

$$\frac{1}{Z_{t,i}}\int f^kq_{-i}(f)\cdot t(f_i;\nu_i,\tau_i)df = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathfrak{p},i}}\int f^kq_{-i}(f)\cdot \mathfrak{p}(y_i|f_i)df, \quad k=1,2$$

with the so-called cavity distributions  $q_{-i}(f) = \mathcal{N}(f|m,K) \prod_{j \neq i} t(f_j;\nu_j,\tau_j) \propto \mathcal{N}(f|\mu,V)/t(f_i;\nu_i,\tau_i)$  equal to the posterior divided by the ith Gaussian approximation function and the two normalisers  $Z_{t,i} = \int q_{-i}(f) \cdot t(f_i;\nu_i,\tau_i) df$  and  $Z_{p,i} = \int q_{-i}(f) \cdot p(y_i|f_i) df$ .

In order to apply the moment matching steps in a numerically safe way, EP requires the expectations

$$d_k = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu_i^k} \log \int p(y|f) \mathcal{N}(f|\mu, \sigma^2) df, \quad k = 0, 1, 2$$

and

$$d \ = \ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \log \int p(y|f) \mathcal{N}(f|\mu, \sigma^2) df$$

which can be obtained by the likelihood calls (see section 4)

• [d0, d1, d2] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, 'infEP')

and

• d = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, 'infEP', i).

### 3.4 Variational Bayes

Based on individual lower bounds to every likelihood

$$p(y|f)\geqslant t(f;\gamma)=\exp\left(\beta(\gamma)f-\frac{1}{2}s^2\gamma-\frac{1}{2}h(\gamma)\right)\propto \mathcal{N}(f|\beta\gamma,\gamma)$$

of scaled Gaussian form, one can construct a joint lower bound on the marginal likelihood

$$Z = \int \mathcal{N}(f|m, V)p(y|f)df \geqslant Z_{VB} = \int \mathcal{N}(f|m, V)t(f; \gamma)df$$

that can be maximised w.r.t. to the variational parameters  $\gamma$ . Whenever, the likelihood is log-concave, the maximisation problem in  $\gamma$  is concave. Details about  $h(\gamma)$  and  $\beta(\gamma)$  can be found in papers by Palmer et al. Variational EM Algorithms for Non-Gaussian Latent Variable Models , NIPS, 2006 and Nickisch & Seeger Convex Variational Bayesian Inference for Large Scale Generalized Linear Models , ICML, 2009.

In practice, we use a Newton algorithm requiring

$$dh_k \ = \ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \gamma^k} h(\gamma), \ db_k \ = \ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \gamma^k} \beta(\gamma), \quad k = 0, 1, 2$$

and

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} h(\gamma)$$

which are delivered by the likelihood calls (see section 4)

• [dh0, db0, dh1, db1, dh2, db2] = lik(hyp, y, [], ga, 'infVB')

and

• d = lik(hyp, y, [], ga, 'infVB', i).

# 4 Likelihood Functions

A likelihood function  $\mathbb{P}_{\rho}(y|f)$  (with hyperparameters  $\rho$ ) is a conditional density  $\int \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(y|f) dy = 1$  defined for scalar latent function values f and outputs y. In the GPML toolbox, we use iid. likelihoods  $\mathbb{P}_{\rho}(y|f) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(y_i|f_i)$ . The approximate inference engine does not explicitly distinguish between classification and regression likelihoods: it is fully generic in the likelihood allowing to use a single code in the inference step.

Likelihood functionality is needed both during inference and while predicting.

#### 4.1 Prediction

A prediction at  $x_*$  conditioned on the data  $\mathcal{D}=(X,y)$  (as implemented in gp.m) consists of the predictive mean  $\mu_{y_*}$  and variance  $\sigma_{y_*}^2$  which are computed from the Gaussian marginal approximation  $\mathcal{N}(f_*|\mu_{f_*},\sigma_{f_*}^2)$  via

$$p(y_*|\mathcal{D}, x_*) = \int p(y_*|f_*)p(f_*|\mathcal{D}, x_*)df_*. \tag{3}$$

$$\approx \int p(y_*|f_*) \mathcal{N}(f_*|\mu_{f_*}, \sigma_{f_*}^2) df_*. \tag{4}$$

The moments are obtained by  $\mu_{y_*}=\int y_*p(y_*|\mathcal{D},x_*)dy_*$  and  $\sigma_{y_*}^2=\int (y_*-\mu_{y_*})^2p(y_*|\mathcal{D},x_*)dy_*$ . The likelihood call

• [lp,ymu,ys2] = lik(hyp, [], fmu, fs2)

does exactly this. Evaluation of the log of  $p_{y_*} = p(y_*|\mathcal{D}, x_*)$  for values  $y_*$  can be done via

• [lp,ymu,ys2] = lik(hyp, y, fmu, fs2)

where 1p contains the number  $\ln p_{y_*}$ .

The binary case is simple since  $y_* \in \{-1, +1\}$  and  $1 = p_{y_*} + p_{-y_*}$ . Using  $\pi_* = p_1$ , we find

$$\begin{array}{lll} p_{y_*} &=& \begin{cases} \pi_* & y_* = +1 \\ 1-\pi_* & y_* = -1 \end{cases} \\ \mu_{y_*} &=& \sum_{y_*=\pm 1} y_* p(y_*|\mathcal{D},x_*) = 2 \cdot \pi_* - 1 \in [-1,1], \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_{y_*}^2 &=& \sum_{y_*=\pm 1} (y_* - \mu_{y_*})^2 p(y_*|\mathcal{D},x_*) = 4 \cdot \pi_* (1-\pi_*) \in [0,1]. \end{array}$$

The continuous case for likelihoods depending on  $r_* = |f_* - y_*|$  only is also simple. By noting that  $p(y_*|f_*) = p(y_* + \rho|f_* + \rho)$ , we can swap the order of integration and use the Gaussian marginal approximation  $\mathcal{N}(f_*|\mu_{f_*}, \sigma_{f_*}^2)$  to find

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_{y_*} & \approx & \mu_{f_*}, \text{ and} \\ \\ \sigma_{y_*}^2 & \approx & \sigma_{f_*}^2 + \int y_*^2 p(y_*|0) dy_*. \end{array}$$

In the following, we will detail how and which likelihood functions are implemented in the GPML toolbox. Further, we will mention dependencies between likelihoods and inference methods and provide some analytical expressions in addition to some likelihood implementations.

#### 4.2 Interface

The likelihoods are in fact the most challenging object in our implementation. Different inference algorithms require different aspects of the likelihood to be computed, therefore the interface is rather involved as detailed below.

```
\langle likFunctions.m \ 13 \rangle \equiv
13
     1 % likelihood functions are provided to be used by the gp.m function:
     2 %
     3 %
           likErf
                           (Error function, classification, probit regression)
     4 %
                                            classification, logit regression)
           likLogistic
                           (Logistic,
     5 %
     6 %
          likGauss
                          (Gaussian, regression)
     7 %
          likLaplace
                          (Laplacian or double exponential, regression)
     8 %
          likSech2
                          (Sech-square, regression)
     9 %
                           (Student's t, regression)
           likT
    10 %
    11 % The likelihood functions have three possible modes, the mode being selected
    12 % as follows (where "lik" stands for any likelihood function in "lik/lik*.m".):
    13 %
    14 % 1) With one or no input arguments:
                                                     [REPORT NUMBER OF HYPERPARAMETERS]
    15 %
    16 %
            s = lik OR s = lik(hyp)
    17 %
    18 % The likelihood function returns a string telling how many hyperparameters it
    19 % expects, using the convention that "D" is the dimension of the input space.
    20 % For example, calling "likLogistic" returns the string '0'.
    21 %
    22 %
    23 % 2) With three or four input arguments:
                                                                        [PREDICTION MODE]
    24 %
    25 %
            lp = lik(hyp, y, mu) OR [lp, ymu, ys2] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2)
    26 %
    27 % This allows to evaluate the predictive distribution. Let p(y_*|f_*) be the
    28 % likelihood of a test point and N(f_*|mu,s2) an approximation to the posterior
    29 % marginal p(f_*|x_*,x,y) as returned by an inference method. The predictive
    30 % distribution p(y_*|x_*,x,y) is approximated by.
    31 %
           q(y_*) = \inf N(f_*|mu,s2) p(y_*|f_*) df_*
    32 %
    33 %
           lp = log(q(y)) for a particular value of y, if s2 is [] or 0, this
    34 %
                             corresponds to log(p(y|mu))
    35 %
                             the mean and variance of the predictive marginal q(y)
           ymu and ys2
    36 %
                             note that these two numbers do not depend on a particular
    37 %
                             value of y
    38 %
          All vectors have the same size.
    39 %
    40 %
    41 % 3) With five or six input arguments, the fifth being a string [INFERENCE MODE]
    43 % [varargout] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, inf) OR
    44 % [varargout] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, inf, i)
    45 %
    46 % There are three cases for inf, namely a) infLaplace, b) infEP and c) infVB.
    47 % The last input i, refers to derivatives w.r.t. the ith hyperparameter.
    48 %
    49 % a1) [sum(lp),dlp,d2lp,d3lp] = lik(hyp, y, f, [], 'infLaplace')
    50 % lp, dlp, d2lp and d3lp correspond to derivatives of the log likelihood
    51 \% \log(p(y|f)) w.r.t. to the latent location f.
```

```
lp = log(p(y|f))
53 \% dlp = d log(p(y|f)) / df
54 \% d21p = d^2 log(p(y|f)) / df^2
55 \% d3lp = d^3 log(p(y|f)) / df^3
56 %
57 % a2) [lp_dhyp,d2lp_dhyp] = lik(hyp, y, f, [], 'infLaplace', i)
58 % returns derivatives w.r.t. to the ith hyperparameter
59 \% lp_dhyp = d log(p(y|f)) / (df dhyp_i)
60 % d2lp_dhyp = d^3 log(p(y|f)) / (df^2 dhyp_i)
61 %
62 %
63 % b1) [1Z,d1Z,d21Z] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, 'infEP')
64 % let Z = \inf p(y|f) N(f|mu,s2) df then
65 \% 1Z = log(Z)
66 \% d1Z = d log(Z) / dmu
67 \% d21Z = d^2 \log(Z) / dmu^2
68 %
69 % b2) [dlZhyp] = lik(hyp, y, mu, s2, 'infEP', i)
70 % returns derivatives w.r.t. to the ith hyperparameter
71 \% dlZhyp = d log(Z) / dhyp_i
72 %
73 %
74 \% c1) [h,b,dh,db,d2h,d2b] = lik(hyp, y, [], ga, 'infVB')
75 % ga is the variance of a Gaussian lower bound to the likelihood p(y|f).
    p(y|f) \ge exp(b*f - f.^2/(2*ga) - h(ga)/2) \ge N(f|b*ga,ga)
77 % The function returns the linear part b and the "scaling function" h(ga) and
78 % derivatives dh = d h/dga, db = d b/dga, d2h = d^2 h/dga and d2b = d^2 b/dga.
79 %
80 % c2) [dhhyp] = lik(hyp, y, [], ga, 'infVB', i)
81 % dhhyp = dh / dhyp_i, the derivative w.r.t. the ith hyperparameter
82 %
83 % Cumulative likelihoods are designed for binary classification. Therefore, they
84 % only look at the sign of the targets y; zero values are treated as +1.
86 % See the help for the individual likelihood for the computations specific to
87 % each likelihood function.
88 %
89 (gpml copyright 5a)
```

# 4.3 Implemented Likelihood Functions

The following table enumerates all (currently) implemented likelihood functions that can be found at lik/lik<NAME>.m and their respective set of hyperparameters  $\rho$ .

<name></name>	regression $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{P}_{oldsymbol{ ho}}(y_i f_i) =$	$\rho =$
Gauss	Gaussian	$\mathcal{N}(y_i f_i,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i-f_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$	$\{ln \ \sigma\}$
Sech2	Sech-squared	$\left(rac{ au}{2\cosh^2( au( ext{y}_{i}- ext{f}_{i}))},\  au=rac{\pi}{2\sigma\sqrt{3}} ight)$	{ln σ}
Laplace	Laplacian	$\left( \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{ y_i - f_i }{b}\right), \ b = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$\{ln \sigma\}$
Т	Student's t	$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu\pi}\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{(y_i - f_i)^2}{\nu\sigma^2}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$	$\{\ln(\nu-1), \ln\sigma\}$
<name></name>	classification $y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$	$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{\rho}}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}}) =$	ρ =
Erf	Error function	$\int_{-\infty}^{y_i f_i} \mathcal{N}(t) dt$	Ø
Logistic	Logistic function	$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y_i f_i)}$	Ø

## 4.4 Compatibility Between Likelihoods and Inference Methods

The following table lists all possible combinations of likelihood function and inference methods.

Likelihood \ Inference	Exact	EP	Laplace	Variational Bayes	regression
Gaussian	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	regression
Sech-squared		<b>√</b>	✓	✓	regression
Laplacian		<b>√</b>		✓	regression
Student's t			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	regression
Error function		<b>√</b>	✓	✓	probit regression
Logistic function		<b>√</b>	✓	✓	logit regression

Exact inference is only tractable for Gaussian likelihoods. Expectation propagation together with Student's t likelihood is inherently unstable due to non-log-concavity. Laplace's approximation for Laplace likelihoods is not sensible because at the mode the curvature and the gradient can be undefined due to the non-differentiable peak of the Laplace distribution. Special care has been taken for the non-convex optimisation problem imposed by the combination Student's t likelihood and Laplace's approximation.

#### 4.5 Gaussian Likelihood

15

The Gaussian likelihood is the simplest likelihood because the posterior distribution is not only Gaussian but can be computed analytically. In principle, the Gaussian likelihood would only be operated in conjunction with the exact inference method but we chose to provide compatibility with all other inference algorithms as well because it enables code testing and allows to switch between different regression likelihoods very easily.

```
\langle lik/likGauss.m \ 15 \rangle \equiv
 1 function [varargout] = likGauss(hyp, y, mu, s2, inf, i)
3 % likGauss - Gaussian likelihood function for regression. The expression for the
4 % likelihood is
       likGauss(t) = exp(-(t-y)^2/2*sn^2) / sqrt(2*pi*sn^2),
6 % where y is the mean and sn is the standard deviation.
7 %
8 % The hyperparameters are:
9 %
10 \% \text{ hyp} = [\log(\text{sn})]
11 %
12 % Several modes are provided, for computing likelihoods, derivatives and moments
13 % respectively, see likelihoods.m for the details. In general, care is taken
14 % to avoid numerical issues when the arguments are extreme.
16 % See also likFunctions.m.
17 %
18 (gpml copyright 5a)
20 if nargin<2, varargout = {'1'}; return; end % report number of hyperparameters
22 sn2 = exp(2*hyp);
23
24 if nargin<5
                                               % prediction mode if inf is not present
    (Prediction with Gaussian likelihood 16a)
26 else
27
    switch inf
```

```
28
           case 'infLaplace'
      29
             (Laplace's method with Gaussian likelihood 16b)
           case 'infEP'
      30
      31
             (EP inference with Gaussian likelihood 17a)
           case 'infVB'
      32
             (Variational Bayes inference with Gaussian likelihood 17b)
      33
      34
      35 end
      ⟨Prediction with Gaussian likelihood 16a⟩≡
16a
                                                                          (15)
       1 if numel(y)==0, y = zeros(size(mu)); end
       2 \text{ s2zero} = 1; if nargin>3, if norm(s2)>0, s2zero = 0; end, end
                                                                                       % s2==0 ?
       3 if s2zero
                                                                              % log probability
           lp = -(y-mu).^2./sn2/2-log(2*pi*sn2)/2; s2 = 0;
       5 else
           lp = likGauss(hyp, y, mu, s2, 'infEP');
                                                                                    % prediction
       7 end
       8 \text{ ymu} = \{\}; \text{ ys2} = \{\};
       9 if nargout>1
      10 ymu = mu;
                                                                               % first y moment
      11
           if nargout>2
      12
             ys2 = s2 + sn2;
                                                                              % second y moment
      13
           end
      14 end
      15 varargout = {lp,ymu,ys2};
```

The Gaussian likelihood function has a single hyperparameter  $\rho$ , the log of the noise standard deviation  $\sigma_n$ .

#### 4.5.1 Exact Inference

Exact inference doesn't require any specific likelihood related code; all computations are done directly by the inference method, section 3.1.

#### 4.5.2 Laplace's Approximation

```
\langle Laplace's method with Gaussian likelihood 16b \rangle \equiv
                                                                      (15)
16b
      1 if nargin<6
                                                                    % no derivative mode
           if numel(y)==0, y=0; end
           ymmu = y-mu; dlp = {}; d2lp = {}; d3lp = {};
           1p = -ymmu.^2/(2*sn2) - log(2*pi*sn2)/2;
       5
           if nargout>1
       6
             dlp = ymmu/sn2;
                                                    % dlp, derivative of log likelihood
       7
             if nargout>2
                                               % d2lp, 2nd derivative of log likelihood
               d21p = -ones(size(ymmu))/sn2;
      8
      9
               if nargout>3
                                               % d3lp, 3rd derivative of log likelihood
      10
                 d3lp = zeros(size(ymmu));
      11
               end
      12
             end
      13
           varargout = {sum(lp),dlp,d2lp,d3lp};
     14
     15 else
                                                                       % derivative mode
           lp_dhyp = (y-mu).^2/sn2 - 1; % derivative of log likelihood w.r.t. hypers
           d2lp_dhyp = 2*ones(size(mu))/sn2; % and also of the second mu derivative
      17
           varargout = {lp_dhyp,d2lp_dhyp};
      19 end
```

#### 4.5.3 Expectation Propagation

```
17a
      \langle EP \text{ inference with Gaussian likelihood } 17a \rangle \equiv
                                                                       (15)
       1 if nargin<6
                                                                      % no derivative mode
           1Z = -(y-mu).^2./(sn2+s2)/2 - log(2*pi*(sn2+s2))/2;
                                                                      % log part function
           d1Z = \{\}; d21Z = \{\};
       4
           if nargout>1
       5
             d1Z = (y-mu)./(sn2+s2);
                                                             % 1st derivative w.r.t. mean
       6
             if nargout>2
               d21Z = -1./(sn2+s2);
                                                            % 2nd derivative w.r.t. mean
       8
             end
       9
           end
      10
           varargout = \{1Z, d1Z, d21Z\};
      11 else
                                                                         % derivative mode
           dlZhyp = ((y-mu).^2./(sn2+s2)-1)./(1+s2./sn2); % deriv. w.r.t. hyp.lik
      12
           varargout = {dlZhyp};
      13
      14 end
```

#### 4.5.4 Variational Bayes

```
⟨Variational Bayes inference with Gaussian likelihood 17b⟩≡
17b
                                                          (15)
      1 if nargin<6
      2
          % variational lower site bound
          \% t(s) = \exp(-(y-s)^2/2sn2)/sqrt(2*pi*sn2)
          % the bound has the form: b*s - s.^2/(2*ga) - h(ga)/2 with b=y/ga
          ga = s2; n = numel(ga); b = y./ga; y = y.*ones(n,1);
          db = -y./ga.^2; d2b = 2*y./ga.^3;
          h = zeros(n,1); dh = h; d2h = h; % allocate memory for return args
                                                           % OK below noise variance
      8
          id = ga(:) \le sn2 + 1e - 8;
      9
          h(id) = y(id).^2./ga(id) + log(2*pi*sn2); h(^id) = Inf;
          dh(id) = -y(id).^2./ga(id).^2;
     10
          d2h(id) = 2*y(id).^2./ga(id).^3;
     11
     12
          id = ga < 0; h(id) = Inf; dh(id) = 0; d2h(id) = 0; % neg. var. treatment
     13
          varargout = {h,b,dh,db,d2h,d2b};
     14 else
     15
          ga = s2; n = numel(ga);
          dhhyp = zeros(n,1); dhhyp(ga(:) <= sn2) = 2;
     16
                              % negative variances get a special treatment
     17
          dhhyp(ga<0) = 0;
     18
          varargout = {dhhyp};
                                                             % deriv. w.r.t. hyp.lik
     19 end
```

## 4.6 Laplace Likelihood

#### 4.6.1 Laplace's Approximation

The following derivatives are needed:

$$\begin{split} &\ln p(y|f) &= -\ln(2b) - \frac{|f-y|}{b} \\ &\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial f} &= \frac{sign(f-y)}{b} \\ &\frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{(\partial f)^2} &= \frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial f)^3} = \frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial \ln \sigma_n)(\partial f)^2} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \ln \sigma_n} &= \frac{|f-y|}{b} - 1 \end{split}$$

#### 4.6.2 Expectation Propagation

Expectation propagation requires integration against a Gaussian measure for moment matching.

We need to evaluate  $\ln Z = \ln \int \mathcal{L}(y|f,\sigma_n^2) \mathcal{N}(f|\mu,\sigma^2) df$  as well as the derivatives  $\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \mu^2}$  where  $\mathcal{N}(f|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(f-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(y|f,\sigma_n^2) = \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-f|}{b}\right)$ , and  $b = \frac{\sigma_n}{\sqrt{2}}$ . As a first step, we reduce the number of parameters by means of the substitution  $\tilde{f} = \frac{f-y}{\sigma_n}$  yielding

$$\begin{split} Z &= \int \mathcal{L}(y|f,\sigma_n^2) \mathcal{N}(f|\mu,\sigma^2) df \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sigma_n} \int exp\left(-\frac{(f-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) exp\left(-\sqrt{2}\frac{|f-y|}{\sigma_n}\right) df \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int exp\left(-\frac{(\sigma_n\tilde{f}+y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) exp\left(-\sqrt{2}|\tilde{f}|\right) d\tilde{f} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma\sigma_n\sqrt{2\pi}} \int exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_n^2\left(\tilde{f}-\frac{\mu-y}{\sigma_n}\right)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \mathcal{L}(\tilde{f}|0,1) d\tilde{f} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \int \mathcal{L}(f|0,1) \mathcal{N}(f|\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\sigma}^2) df \\ \ln Z &= \ln \tilde{Z} - \ln \sigma_n = \ln \int \mathcal{L}(f|0,1) \mathcal{N}(f|\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\sigma}^2) df - \ln \sigma_n \end{split}$$

with  $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu - y}{\sigma_n}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_n}$ . Thus, we concentrate on the simpler quantity  $\ln \tilde{Z}$ .

$$\begin{split} \ln Z &= \ln \int \exp \left( -\frac{(f-\tilde{\mu})^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} - \sqrt{2} |f| \right) df - \ln \tilde{\sigma} \sqrt{2\pi} - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \\ &= \ln \left[ \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp \left( -\frac{(f-\tilde{\mu})^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} + \sqrt{2} f \right) df + \int_0^\infty \exp \left( -\frac{(f-\tilde{\mu})^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} - \sqrt{2} f \right) df \right] + C \\ &= \ln \left[ \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp \left( -\frac{f^2 - 2(\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{2})f + \tilde{\mu}^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) df + \int_0^\infty \exp \left( -\frac{f^2 - 2(\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{2})f + \tilde{\mu}^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) df \right] + C \\ &= \ln \left[ \exp \left( \frac{m_-^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp \left( -\frac{(f-m_-)^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) df + \exp \left( \frac{m_+^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \int_0^\infty \exp \left( -\frac{(f-m_+)^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) df \right] - \frac{\tilde{\mu}^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} + C \\ &= \ln \left[ \exp \left( \frac{m_-^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{N}(f|m_-, \tilde{\sigma}^2) df + \exp \left( \frac{m_+^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \left( 1 - \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{N}(f|m_+, \tilde{\sigma}^2) df \right) \right] - \frac{\tilde{\mu}^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \\ &= \ln \left[ \exp \left( \frac{m_-^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \Phi \left( \frac{m_-}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) - \exp \left( \frac{m_+^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \Phi \left( \frac{m_+}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{m_+^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] - \frac{\tilde{\mu}^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \end{split}$$

Here,  $\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} \mathcal{N}(f|0,1) df$  denotes the cumulative Gaussian distribution. Finally, we have

$$\begin{split} \ln Z &= & \ln \left[ \exp \left( - \sqrt{2} \tilde{\mu} \right) \Phi \left( \frac{m_-}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) + \exp \left( \sqrt{2} \tilde{\mu} \right) \Phi \left( - \frac{m_+}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) \right] + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \\ &= & \ln \left[ \exp \left( \underbrace{\ln \Phi(-z_+) + \sqrt{2} \tilde{\mu}}_{\alpha_+} \right) + \exp \left( \underbrace{\ln \Phi(z_-) - \sqrt{2} \tilde{\mu}}_{\alpha_-} \right) \right] + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \\ &= & \ln (e^{\alpha_+} + e^{\alpha_-}) + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - \ln \sqrt{2} \sigma_n \end{split}$$

where  $z_{+} = \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}} + \tilde{\sigma}\sqrt{2} = \frac{\mu - y}{\sigma} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{n}}\sqrt{2}$ ,  $z_{-} = \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}} - \tilde{\sigma}\sqrt{2} = \frac{\mu - y}{\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{n}}\sqrt{2}$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu - y}{\sigma_{n}}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{n}}$ . Now, using  $\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln \Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} \frac{d}{d\theta} \Phi(z) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{\Phi(z)} \frac{dz}{d\theta}$  we tackle first derivative

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{e^{\alpha_+} \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \mu} + e^{\alpha_-} \frac{\partial \alpha_-}{\partial \mu}}{e^{\alpha_+} + e^{\alpha_-}} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \Phi(-z_+) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} \\ &= -\frac{\mathcal{N}(-z_+)}{\sigma \Phi(-z_+)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} = -\frac{q_+}{\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha_-}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \Phi(z_-) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_-)}{\sigma \Phi(z_-)} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} = \frac{q_-}{\sigma} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha_\pm}{\partial \mu} &= \mp \frac{q_\pm}{\sigma} \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n}. \end{split}$$

as well as the second derivative

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \mu^2} &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(e^{\alpha_+} \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \mu}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(e^{\alpha_-} \frac{\partial \alpha_-}{\partial \mu}\right)}{e^{\alpha_+} + e^{\alpha_-}} - \left(\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu}\right)^2} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(e^{\alpha_\pm} \frac{\partial \alpha_\pm}{\partial \mu}\right) &= e^{\alpha_\pm} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_\pm}{\partial \mu}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_\pm}{\partial \mu^2}\right] \\ \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial \mu^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathcal{N}(-z_+) \Phi(-z_+) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Phi(-z_+) \mathcal{N}(-z_+)}{\Phi^2(-z_+)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\mathcal{N}(-z_+) \Phi(-z_+) \frac{\partial -z_+^2/2}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{N}^2(-z_+) \frac{\partial -z_+}{\partial \mu}}{\Phi^2(-z_+)} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(-z_+)}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{\Phi(-z_+)z_+ - \mathcal{N}(-z_+)}{\Phi^2(-z_+)} = -\frac{q_+^2 - q_+ z_+}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_-}{\partial \mu^2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathcal{N}(z_-) \Phi(z_-) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Phi(z_-) \mathcal{N}(z_-)}{\Phi^2(z_-)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_-) \Phi(z_-) \frac{\partial -z_-^2/2}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{N}^2(z_-) \frac{\partial z_-}{\partial \mu}}{\Phi^2(z_-)} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_-)}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{-\Phi(z_-)z_- - \mathcal{N}(z_-)}{\Phi^2(z_-)} = -\frac{q_-^2 + q_- z_-}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_\pm}{\partial \mu^2} &= -\frac{q_\pm^2 \mp q_\pm z_\pm}{\sigma^2} \end{split}$$

which can be simplified to

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \mu^2} = \frac{e^{\alpha_+}b_+ + e^{\alpha_-}b_-}{e^{\alpha_+} + e^{\alpha_-}} - \left(\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu}\right)^2$$

using

$$\begin{split} b_{\pm} &= \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{\pm}}{\partial \mu}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{\pm}}{\partial \mu^2} &= \left(\mp \frac{q_{\pm}}{\sigma} \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n}\right)^2 - \frac{q_{\pm}^2 \mp q_{\pm} z_{\pm}}{\sigma^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{q_{\pm}}{\sigma} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma_n}\right)^2 - \frac{q_{\pm}^2}{\sigma^2} \pm \frac{q_{\pm} z_{\pm}}{\sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{8}}{\sigma \sigma_n} \mp \frac{z_{\pm}}{\sigma^2}\right) q_{\pm}. \end{split}$$

We also need

$$\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \ln \sigma_n} = \frac{e^{\alpha_+} \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \ln \sigma_n} + e^{\alpha_-} \frac{\partial \alpha_-}{\partial \ln \sigma_n}}{e^{\alpha_+} + e^{\alpha_-}} - \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma_n^2} - 1.$$

#### 4.6.3 Variational Bayes

We need  $h(\gamma)$  and its derivatives as well as  $\beta(\gamma)$ :

$$h(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} \gamma + \ln(2\sigma_n^2) + y^2 \gamma^{-1}$$

$$h'(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} - y^2 \gamma^{-2}$$

$$h''(\gamma) = 2y^2 \gamma^{-3}$$

$$\beta(\gamma) = y \gamma^{-1}$$

#### 4.7 Student's t Likelihood

The likelihood has two hyperparameters (both represented in the log domain to ensure positivity): the degrees of freedom  $\nu$  and the scale  $\sigma_n$  with mean y (for  $\nu > 1$ ) and variance  $\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}\sigma_n^2$  (for  $\nu > 2$ ).

$$p(y|f) = Z \cdot \left(1 + \frac{(f - y)^2}{\nu \sigma_n^2}\right)^{-\frac{\nu + 1}{2}}, \quad Z = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\sqrt{\nu \pi \sigma_n^2}}$$

### 4.7.1 Laplace's Approximation

For the mode fitting procedure, we need derivatives up to third order; the hyperparameter derivatives at the mode require some mixed derivatives. All in all, using r = y - f, we have

$$\begin{split} &\ln p(y|f) &= \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) - \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \nu \pi \sigma_n^2 - \frac{\nu+1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\nu \sigma_n^2}\right) \\ &\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial f} &= (\nu+1) \frac{r}{r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2} \\ &\frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{(\partial f)^2} &= (\nu+1) \frac{r^2 - \nu \sigma_n^2}{(r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2)^2} \\ &\frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial f)^3} &= 2(\nu+1) \frac{r^3 - 3r\nu \sigma_n^2}{(r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2)^3} \\ &\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \ln \nu} &= \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \ln \nu} - \frac{\nu}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\nu \sigma_n^2}\right) + \frac{\nu+1}{2} \cdot \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2} \\ &\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \ln \nu} &= \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{d \ln \nu} - \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}{d \ln \nu} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &\frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial \ln \nu)(\partial f)^2} &= \nu \frac{r^2(r^2 - 3(\nu+1)\sigma_n^2) + \nu \sigma_n^2}{(r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2)^3} \\ &\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \ln \sigma_n} &= (\nu+1) \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2} - 1 \\ &\frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial \ln \sigma_n)(\partial f)^2} &= 2\nu \sigma_n^2 (\nu+1) \frac{\nu \sigma_n^2 - 3r^2}{(r^2 + \nu \sigma_n^2)^3} \end{split}$$

# 4.8 Cumulative Logistic Likelihood

The likelihood has one hyperparameter (represented in the log domain), namely the standard deviation  $\sigma_n$ 

$$p(y|f) = Z \cdot cosh^{-2}(\tau(f-y)), \ \tau = \frac{\pi}{2\sigma_n\sqrt{3}}, \ Z = \frac{\pi}{4\sigma_n\sqrt{3}}$$

# 4.8.1 Laplace's Approximation

The following derivatives are needed where  $\phi(x) \equiv \ln(\cosh(x))$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \ln p(y|f) & = & \ln(\pi) - \ln(4\sigma_n\sqrt{3}) - 2\varphi\left(\tau(f-y)\right) \\ & \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial f} & = & 2\tau\varphi'\left(\tau(f-y)\right) \\ & \frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{(\partial f)^2} & = & -2\tau^2\varphi''\left(\tau(f-y)\right) \\ & \frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial f)^3} & = & 2\tau^3\varphi'''\left(\tau(f-y)\right) \\ & \frac{\partial^3 \ln p}{(\partial \ln \sigma_n)(\partial f)^2} & = & 2\tau^2\left(2\varphi''\left(\tau(f-y)\right) + \tau(f-y)\varphi'''\left(\tau(f-y)\right)\right) \\ & \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \ln \sigma_n} & = & 2\tau(f-y)\varphi'\left(\tau(f-y)\right) - 1 \end{array}$$

# 5 Mean Functions

A mean function  $\mathfrak{m}_{\Phi}: \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  (with hyperparameters  $\Phi$ ) of a GP f is a scalar function defined over the whole domain  $\mathfrak{X}$  that computes the expected value  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x})]$  of f for the input  $\mathbf{x}$ .

#### 5.1 Interface

44 %

In the GPML toolbox, a mean function  $m: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  needs to implement evaluation  $m = m_{\Phi}(X)$  and first derivatives  $m_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_i} m$  with respect to the components i of the parameter  $\varphi \in \Phi$  as detailed below.

 $\langle meanFunctions.m 23 \rangle \equiv$ 23  $1\ \%$  mean functions to be use by Gaussian process functions. There are two 2 % different kinds of mean functions: simple and composite: 3 % 4 % simple mean functions: 5 % 6 % meanZero- zero mean function 7 % meanOne - one mean function 8 % meanConst - constant mean function meanLinear - linear mean function 9 % 10 % 11 % composite covariance functions (see explanation at the bottom): 12 % 13 % meanScale - scaled version of a mean function 14 % meanPow - power of a mean function 15 % meanProd - products of mean functions
16 % meanSum - sums of mean functions
17 % meanMask - mask some dimensions of the data 18 % 19 % Naming convention: all mean functions are named "mean/mean\*.m". 20 % 21 % 22 % 1) With no or only a single input argument: 24 % s = meanNAME or s = meanNAME(hyp) 25 % 26 % The mean function returns a string s telling how many hyperparameters hyp it 27 % expects, using the convention that "D" is the dimension of the input space. 28 % For example, calling "meanLinear" returns the string 'D'. 29 % 30 % 2) With two input arguments: 31 % 32 % m = meanNAME(hyp, x)33 % 34 % The function computes and returns the mean vector where hyp are the 35 % hyperparameters and x is an n by D matrix of cases, where D is the dimension 36 % of the input space. The returned mean vector is of size n by 1. 37 % 38 % 3) With three input arguments: 39 % 40 % dm = meanNAME(hyp, x, i) 41 % 42 % The function computes and returns the n by 1 vector of partial derivatives 43 % of the mean vector w.r.t. hyp(i) i.e. hyperparameter number i.

```
45 % See also doc/usageMean.m.
46 %
47 \( gpml copyright 5a \)
```

### 5.2 Implemented Mean Functions

We offer simple and composite mean functions producing new mean functions  $m(\mathbf{x})$  from existing mean functions  $\mu_j(\mathbf{x})$ . All code files are named according to the pattern mean/mean<NAME>.m for simple identification. This modular specification allows to define affine mean functions  $m(\mathbf{x}) = c + \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x}$  or polynomial mean functions  $m(\mathbf{x}) = (c + \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x})^2$ . All currently available mean functions are summarised in the following table.

Simple n	mple mean functions $m(\mathbf{x})$				
<name></name>	> Meaning		Φ		
Zero	mean vanishes always	0	Ø		
One	One mean equals 1		Ø		
Const	mean equals a constant	С	$c\in\mathbb{R}$		
Linear	Linear mean linearly depends on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$		$\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{D}}$		
Compos	Composite mean functions $[\mu_1(\mathbf{x}), \mu_2(\mathbf{x}),] \mapsto m(\mathbf{x})$				
<name></name>	Meaning	$m(\mathbf{x}) =$	Φ		
Scale	scale a mean	$\alpha\mu(\mathbf{x})$	$lpha \in \mathbb{R}$		
Sum			Ø		
Prod			Ø		
Pow	raise a mean to a power	$\mu(\mathbf{x})^d$	Ø		
Mask	act on components $I \subseteq [1, 2,, D]$ of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ only	$\mu(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{I}})$	Ø		

# 5.3 Usage of Implemented Mean Functions

Some code examples taken from doc/usageMean.m illustrate how to use simple and composite mean functions to specify a GP model.

Syntactically, a mean function mf is defined by

24

```
mn := 'func' | @func // simple
mf := {mn} | {mn, {param, mf}} | {mn, {mf, ..., mf}} // composite
```

i.e., it is either a string containing the name of a mean function, a pointer to a mean function or one of the former in combination with a cell array of mean functions and an additional list of parameters.

```
⟨doc/usageMean.m 24⟩

1 % demonstrate usage of mean functions
2 %
3 % See also meanFunctions.m.
4 %
5 ⟨gpml copyright 5a⟩
6 clear all, close all
7 n = 5; D = 2; x = randn(n,D); % create a random data set
8
9 % set up simple mean functions
10 m0 = {'meanZero'}; hyp0 = []; % no hyperparameters are needed
11 m1 = {'meanOne'}; hyp1 = []; % no hyperparameters are needed
12 mc = {@meanConst}; hypc = 2; % also function handles are possible
13 ml = {@meanLinear}; hyp1 = [2;3]; % m(x) = 2*x1 + 3*x2
14
```

```
15 % set up composite mean functions
16 msc = {'meanScale', {m1}}; hypsc = [3; hyp1]; % scale by 3
17 msu = {'meanSum', {m0, mc, ml}}; hypsu = [hyp0; hypc; hypl]; % sum
18 mpr = {@meanProd,{mc,ml}}; hyppr = [hypc; hyp1]; % product
19 mpo = {'meanPow',{3,msu}}; hyppo = hypsu; % third power
20 mask = [0,1,0]; % binary mask excluding all but the 2nd component
21 mma = {'meanMask', {mask, mpo{:}}}; hypma = hyppo;
22
23 % 0) specify mean function
24 \% \text{ mean} = m0; \text{ hyp} = \text{hyp0};
25 % mean = msu; hyp = hypsu;
26 % mean = mpr; hyp = hyppr;
27 mean = mpo; hyp = hyppo;
28
29 % 1) query the number of parameters
30 feval(mean{:})
31
32 \% 2) evaluate the function on x
33 feval(mean{:},hyp,x)
35 \% 3) compute the derivatives w.r.t. to hyperparameter i
36 i = 2; feval(mean{:},hyp,x,i)
```

# **6** Covariance Functions

A covariance function  $k_{\psi}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  (with hyperparameters  $\psi$ ) of a GP f is a scalar function defined over the whole domain  $\mathcal{X}^2$  that computes the covariance  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbb{V}[f(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x}')] = \mathbb{E}[(f(\mathbf{x}) - m(\mathbf{x}))(f(\mathbf{x}') - m(\mathbf{x}'))]$  of f between the inputs  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}'$ .

#### 6.1 Interface

Again, the interface is simple since only evaluation of the full covariance matrix  $K = k_{\psi}(X)$  and its derivatives  $K_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_i} K$  as well as cross terms  $k_* = k_{\psi}(X, x_*)$  and  $k_{**} = k_{\psi}(x_*, x_*)$  for prediction are required.

```
\langle covFunctions.m \ 26 \rangle \equiv
26
       1 % covariance functions to be use by Gaussian process functions. There are two
       2 % different kinds of covariance functions: simple and composite:
       3 %
       4 % simple covariance functions:
       5 % covConst - covariance for constant functions
       6 % covLIN
                              - linear covariance function
            covLINard - linear covariance function with ARD covLINone - linear covariance function with bias
       7 %
       8 %
       9 % covMaterniso - Matern covariance function with nu=1/2, 3/2 or 5/2
      10 % covNNone - neural network covariance function - independent covariance function (i.e. white noise)
      12 % covPeriodic - smooth periodic covariance function (1d) with unit period
     13 % covPoly - polynomial covariance function
14 % covPpiso - piecewise polynomial covariance function (compact support)
15 % covRQard - rational quadratic covariance function with ARD
16 % covRQiso - isotropic rational quadratic covariance function
17 % covSEard - squared exponential covariance function with ARD
18 % covSEiso - isotropic squared exponential covariance function
19 % covSEisoU - as above but without latent scale
      20 %
      21 % composite (meta) covariance functions (see explanation at the bottom):
      22 % covScale - scaled version of a covariance function
      23 %
            covProd - products of covariance functions covSum - sums of covariance functions
      24 % covSum
      25 %
            covADD
                             - additive covariance function
            covMask - mask some dimensions of the data
      26 %
      27 %
      28 % special purpose (wrapper) covariance functions
            covFITC
                               - to be used in conjunction with infFITC for large scale
      30 %
                                  regression problems; any covariance can be wrapped by
      31 %
                                  {\tt covFITC} such that the FITC approximation is applicable
      32 %
      33 % Naming convention: all covariance functions are named "cov/cov*.m". A trailing
      34 % "iso" means isotropic, "ard" means Automatic Relevance Determination, and
      35 % "one" means that the distance measure is parameterized by a single parameter.
      37 % The covariance functions are written according to a special convention where
      38 % the exact behaviour depends on the number of input and output arguments
      39 % passed to the function. If you want to add new covariance functions, you
     40 % should follow this convention if you want them to work with the function gp.
      41 % There are four different ways of calling the covariance functions:
      42 %
```

43 % 1) With no (or one) input argument(s):

```
44 %
45 %
        s = covNAME
46 %
47 % The covariance function returns a string s telling how many hyperparameters it
48 % expects, using the convention that "D" is the dimension of the input space.
49 % For example, calling "covRQard" returns the string '(D+2)'.
50 %
51 % 2) With two input arguments:
52 %
53 %
        K = covNAME(hyp, x) equivalent to K = covNAME(hyp, x, [])
54 %
55 % The function computes and returns the covariance matrix where hyp are
56 % the hyperparameters and x is an n by D matrix of cases, where
 57 % D is the dimension of the input space. The returned covariance matrix is of
58 % size n by n.
59 %
60 % 3) With three input arguments:
61 %
62 %
        Ks = covNAME(hyp, x, xs)
63 %
        kss = covNAME(hyp, xs, 'diag')
64 %
65 % The function computes test set covariances; kss is a vector of self covariances
66 % for the test cases in xs (of length ns) and Ks is an (n by ns) matrix of cross
67 % covariances between training cases x and test cases xs.
69 % 4) With four input arguments:
70 %
 71 %
         dKi = covNAME(hyp, x, [], i)
 72 %
         dKsi = covNAME(hyp, x, xs, i)
73 %
         dkssi = covNAME(hyp, xs, 'diag', i)
74 %
75 % The function computes and returns the partial derivatives of the
76 % covariance matrices with respect to hyp(i), i.e. with
77 % respect to the hyperparameter number i.
78 %
 79 % Covariance functions can be specified in two ways: either as a string
80 % containing the name of the covariance function or using a cell array. For
81 % example:
82 %
83 %
     cov = 'covRQard';
84 %
     cov = {'covRQard'};
      cov = {@covRQard};
86 %
 87\ \% are supported. Only the second and third form using the cell array can be used
88 % for specifying composite covariance functions, made up of several
89 % contributions. For example:
90 %
 91 %
            cov = {'covScale', {'covRQiso'}};
 92 %
            cov = {'covSum', {'covRQiso','covSEard','covNoise'}};
 93 %
            cov = {'covProd',{'covRQiso','covSEard','covNoise'}};
 94 %
            cov = {'covMask',{mask,'covSEiso'}}
 95 %
      q=1; cov = {'covPPiso',q};
96 %
      d=3; cov = {'covPoly',d};
            cov = {'covADD', {[1,2], 'covSEiso'}};
97 %
98 %
            cov = {@covFITC, {@covSEiso}, u}; where u are the inducing inputs
99 %
100~\% specifies a covariance function which is the sum of three contributions. To
101 % find out how many hyperparameters this covariance function requires, we do:
```

```
102 %
103 % feval(cov{:})
104 %
105 % which returns the string '3+(D+1)+1' (i.e. the 'covRQiso' contribution uses
106 % 3 parameters, the 'covSEard' uses D+1 and 'covNoise' a single parameter).
107 %
108 % See also doc/usageCov.m.
109 %
110 \( \lambda gpml copyright 5a \rangle \)
```

## 6.2 Implemented Covariance Functions

Similarly to the mean functions, we provide a whole algebra of covariance functions  $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  with the same generic name pattern cov/cov<NAME>.m as before.

Besides a long list of simple covariance functions, we also offer a variety of composite covariance functions as shown in the following table.

Simple cova	riance functions $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$		
<name></name>	Meaning	$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') =$	ψ
Zero	mean vanishes always	0	Ø
Noise	additive measurement noise	$\sigma_f^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$	ln σ <sub>f</sub>
Const	covariance equals a constant	$\sigma_f^2$	ln σ <sub>f</sub>
LIN	linear, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{D}}$	$\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{x}'$	Ø
LINard	linear with diagonal weighting, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$	$\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-2} \mathbf{x}'$	$\{\ln \lambda_1,, \ln \lambda_D\}$
LINone	linear with bias, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x}'+1)/\ell^2$	ln ℓ
Poly	polynomial covariance, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$\sigma_f^2(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x}'+c)^d$	$\{\ln c, \ln \sigma_f\}$
SEard	full squared exponential, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$	$\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^{\top} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\right)$	$\{\ln \lambda_1,, \ln \lambda_D, \ln \sigma_f\}$
SEiso	diagonal squared exponential, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\ell^2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^{\top}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\right)$	$\{\ln \ell, \ln \sigma_f\}$
SEisoU	squared exponential, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$\exp(-\frac{1}{2\ell^2}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x}')$	ln ℓ
RQard	rational quadratic, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$\frac{\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^{\top} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\right)^{-\alpha}}{\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha \ell^2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^{\top} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\right)^{-\alpha}}$	$\{\ln \lambda_1,, \ln \lambda_D, \ln \sigma_f, \ln \alpha\}$
RQiso	rational quadratic, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$	$\sigma_{f}^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha\ell^2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^{\top} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\right)^{-\alpha}$	$\{\ln \ell, \ln \sigma_f, \ln \alpha\}$
Materniso	Matérn, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ , $f_1(t) = 1$ , $f_3(t) = 1 + t$ , $f_5(t) = f_3(t) + \frac{t^2}{3}$	$\sigma_f^2 f_d(r_d) \exp(-r_d), r_d = \sqrt{\frac{d}{\ell'}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}$	$\{\ln \ell, \ln \sigma_f\}$
NNone	neural net, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ , $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-2} \mathbf{x}$	$\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{{\bf x}^{\top} {\bf \Lambda}^{-2} {\bf x}'}{\sqrt{f({\bf x}) f({\bf x}')}} \right)$	$\{\ln \lambda_1,,\ln \lambda_D,\ln \sigma_f\}$
Periodic	periodic, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\sigma_{\rm f}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\ell^2}\sin^2\left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\right]\right)$	$\{\ln \ell, \ln \omega, \ln \sigma_f\}$
PPiso	compact support, piecewise polynomial $f_{\nu}(r)$ , $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,	$\sigma_f^2 \max(0, 1 - r) \cdot f_{\nu}(r), r = \frac{\ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\ }{\ell}, j = \lfloor \frac{D}{2} \rfloor + \nu + 1$	$\{\ln \ell, \ln \sigma_f\}$
Composite	covariance functions $[\kappa_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), \kappa_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'),] \mapsto k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$		
<name></name>	Meaning	$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') =$	Φ
Scale	scale a covariance	$\alpha \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$	$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
Sum	add up covariance functions	$\sum_{j} \kappa_{j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$	Ø
Prod	multiply covariance functions	$\prod_{j} \kappa_{j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$	Ø
Mask	act on components $I \subseteq [1, 2,, D]$ of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ only	$\kappa(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{I}},\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{I}}')$	Ø
ADD	additive, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{D}$ , index degree set $\mathfrak{D} = \{1,, D\}$	$\sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_{f_{\mathbf{d}}}^{2} \sum_{ I =\mathbf{d}} \prod_{i \in I} \kappa(x_{i}, x_{i}'; \psi_{i})$	$\{\psi_1,, \psi_D, \ln \sigma_{f_1},, \ln \sigma_{f_{ D }}\}$

The additive covariance function  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  starts from a one-dimensional covariance function  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i', \psi_i)$  acting on a single component  $i \in [1, ..., D]$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ . From that, we define covariance functions  $\kappa_I(\mathbf{x}_I, \mathbf{x}_I) = \prod_{i \in I} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i', \psi_i)$  acting on vector-valued inputs  $\mathbf{x}_I$ . The sums of exponential size can efficiently be computed using the Newton-Girard formulae. Samples functions drawn from a GP with additive covariance are additive functions. The number of interacting variables |I| is a measure of how complex the additive functions are.

#### 6.3 Usage of Implemented Covariance Functions

Some code examples taken from doc/usageCov.m illustrate how to use simple and composite covariance functions to specify a GP model.

Syntactically, a covariance function cf is defined by

```
cv := 'func' | @func // simple
```

cf := {cv} | {cv, {param, cf}} | {cv, {cf, ..., cf}} // composite i.e., it is either a string containing the name of a covariance function, a pointer to a covariance function or one of the former in combination with a cell array of covariance functions and an additional list of parameters.

```
\langle doc/usageCov.m 29 \rangle \equiv
29
      1 % demonstrate usage of covariance functions
      2 %
      3 % See also covFunctions.m.
      4 %
      5 (gpml copyright 5a)
      6 clear all, close all
      7 \text{ n} = 5; D = 3; x = \text{randn}(n, D); xs = \text{randn}(3, D); % create a data set
     9 % set up simple covariance functions
     10 cn = {'covNoise'}; sn = .1; hypn = log(sn); % one hyperparameter
     11 cc = {@covConst}; sf = 2; hypc = log(sf); % function handles OK
     12 cl = \{@covLIN\};
                                      hypl = []; % linear is parameter-free
     13 cla = {'covLINard'}; L = rand(D,1); hypla = log(L); % linear (ARD)
     14 \text{ clo} = \{\text{@covLINone}\}; \text{ ell = .9; hyplo = log(ell); } \% \text{ linear with bias}
     15 cp = {@covPoly,3}; c = 2; hypp = log([c;sf]); % third order poly
    16 cga = {@covSEard}; hypga = log([L;sf]); % Gaussian with ARD
17 cgi = {'covSEiso'}; hypgi = log([ell;sf]); % isotropic Gaussian
     18 cgu = {'covSEisoU'}; hypgu = log(ell); % isotropic Gauss no scale
     19 cra = {'covRQard'}; al = 2; hypra = log([L;sf;al]); % ration. quad.
     20 cri = {@covRQiso}; hypri = log([ell;sf;al]); % isotropic
    21 cm = {'covMaterniso',3}; hypm = log([ell;sf]); % Matern class q=3
     23 cpe = {'covPeriodic'}; om = 2; hyppe = log([ell;om;sf]); % periodic
     24 ccc = {'covPPiso',2}; hypcc = hypm; % compact support poly degree 2
    25
     26 % set up composite covariance functions
     27 csc = {'covScale', {cgu}}; hypsc = [log(3); hypgu]; % scale by 9
     28 csu = {'covSum', {cn,cc,cl}}; hypsu = [hypn; hypc; hyp1];
     29 cpr = {@covProd,{cc,ccc}}; hyppr = [hypc; hypcc];
                                                                    % product
     30 mask = [0,1,0]; % binary mask excluding all but the 2nd component
     31 cma = {'covMask',{mask,cgi{:}}}; hypma = hypgi;
     32 % additive based on SEiso using unary and pairwise interactions
     33 cad = {'covADD', {[1,2], 'covSEiso'}};
     34
     35 % 0) specify covariance function
     36 \text{ cov} = \text{cma}; \text{ hyp} = \text{hypma};
     38 % 1) query the number of parameters
     39 feval(cov{:})
     41 \% 2) evaluate the function on x
    42 feval(cov{:},hyp,x)
    43
    44 \% 3) evaluate the function on x and xs to get cross-terms
     45 kss = feval(cov{:},hyp,xs,'diag')
    46 Ks = feval(cov\{:\},hyp,x,xs)
    48~\%~4) compute the derivatives w.r.t. to hyperparameter i
     49 i = 1; feval(cov{:},hyp,x,[],i)
```