# Chapter B: Abstract Algebra

Manifolds Groups

## Groups

## Definition 1.1: Semigroups, Monoids

A non-empty set G equipped with an associative binary operation  $G \times G \to G$  is called a semigroup. For every  $a,b,c \in G$ , we have

$$a(bc) = (ab)c \tag{1}$$

A monoid is a semigroup G which contains a two-sided identity element  $e \in G$  such that ae = ea for all  $a \in G$ . (not necessarily unique)

Monoids admit unique two-sided identities.

#### Lemma 1.1: Monoids: unique identity

Let e and i be two-sided identities for a monoid G, then

Proof.

$$e = ei = i$$

## Definition 1.2: Group

A semigroup G is a group if every element  $a \in G$  admits a two-sided inverse  $a^{-1}$ . (not necessarily unique)

$$aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e$$

## Proposition 1.1: Properties of Groups (Hungerford: Theorem 1.2)

Let G be a group with identity e, which is unique by lemma 1.1. Then

- (i)  $c \in G$  and cc = c implies c = e.
- (ii) Left/Right cancellation:

$$\begin{cases} ab = ac \implies b = c \\ ba = ca \implies b = c \end{cases}$$

- (iii) If  $a \in G$ , its two-sided inverse is unique.
- (iv) Let  $a \in G$ , then the inverse of its two-sided inverse (uniqueness guaranteed by iii), is a itself; or  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ .
- (v) If  $a, b \in G$ , then the following equations in x, y admit unique solutions

$$egin{cases} ax = b \ ya = b \end{cases}$$

Proof of Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Part (i):

$$cc = c \implies (cc)c^{-1} = cc^{-1} \implies c(cc^{-1}) = e \implies ce = c = e$$

Proof of Part (ii): First claim:

$$ab=ac\implies a^{-1}(ab)=a^{-1}(ac)$$
  $\implies (a^{-1}a)b=(a^{-1}a)c\implies eb=ec\implies b=c$ 

Manifolds Groups

Second claim is the same, just cancel from the right using  $aa^{-1} = e$  and associativity.

Proof of Part (iii): Suppose b and c are two-sided inverse for a, it follows from Part ii that

$$ab = ac \implies b = c = a^{-1}$$

Proof of Part (iv): From Part iii, the two-sided inverses of group elements exist and are unique, and  $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1}$  so a is an inverse for  $a^{-1}$ , and it is the only inverse.

Proof of Part (v): First equation: write  $ax = b = a(a^{-1}b)$ , left-cancelling reads  $x = a^{-1}b$ , uniqueness follows from Part ii. Second equation is similar.

#### Lemma 1.2: Group: equality lemma

For any pair of elements  $a, b \in G$ ,  $a = b \iff ab^{-1} = e$ .

$$Proof. \ (\Longrightarrow): \ a=b \implies ab^{-1}=bb^{-1}=e. \ (\Longleftrightarrow): \ ab^{-1}=e \implies a(b^{-1}b)=eb \implies a=eb=b.$$

#### Proposition 1.2: Semigroup: upgrade to group I (Hungerford Proposition 1.3)

Let G be a semigroup, G is also a group iff both of the conditions below hold

- Existence of a left-identity: there exists  $e \in G$  for every  $a \in G$ , ea = a.
- Existence of left-inverses: for every  $a \in G$ , there exists a  $a^{-1} \in G$  with  $a^{-1}a = e$ , where e is any left-identity element.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial. Suppose both conditions hold, notice the proof for Proposition 1.1 Part (i) we only used left-cancellation.  $cc = c \implies e$ . To prove  $a^{-1}$  is also a right-inverse for a, we can force it as follows:

$$(aa^{-1})(aa^{-1}) = a(a^{-1}a)a^{-1} = aea^{-1} = e \implies aa^{-1} = e$$

and  $a^{-1}$  is also a right-inverse, so every element  $a \in G$  admits a two-sided inverse denoted by  $a^{-1}$ . To show e is also a right-identity for any arbitrary element  $a \in G$ ,

$$ae = a(a^{-1}a)$$
 left inverse  
=  $(aa^{-1})a$  associativity  
=  $ea$  right inverse  
=  $a$  left identity

# Proposition 1.3: Semigroup: upgrade to group II (Hungerford Proposition 1.4)

Let G be a semigroup, G is a group iff for every pair of elements  $a, b \in G$ , the equations in x and y

$$\begin{cases} ax = b \\ ya = b \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

have solutions (not necessarily unique).

Updated 2023-06-07 at 06:19

Manifolds Homomorphisms

*Proof.* If G is a group, the existence of the solutions to eq. (2) follow from Proposition 1.1. We will attempt the contrapositive. Suppose G has no left identity, for every  $e \in G$  we can always find an element  $a \in G$  such that  $ea \neq a$ , but this is precisely the (first) equation for a = a and b = a.

Now suppose G has a left identity element (not necessarily unique). Fix  $e \in G$  as any left-identity, and suppose there is an element  $a \in G$  with no left inverse, so for every  $b \in G$ ,  $ba \neq e$ . But b is precisely the solution to the (second) equation with parameters a = a and b = e. The negation of Proposition 1.2 is precisely the negation of Proposition 1.3, and the proof is complete.

## Proposition 1.4: Hungerford Theorem 1.5

Let  $R/\sim$  be an equivalence relation on a group G, such that it 'preserves' the group multiplication. More precisely,

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \sim a_2 \\ b_1 \sim b_2 \end{cases} \implies a_1 b_1 \sim a_2 b_2$$

Then the set G/R of all equivalence classes of G under R is a monoid under the binary operation defined by

$$(\overline{a})(\overline{b}) = \overline{ab}$$
 reads: the product of two classes is the class containing the product of any pair of elements from the two classes (3)

where  $\overline{a}$  denotes the equivalence class containing a. If G is a group, so is G/R, if G is an abelian group, so is G/R.

*Proof.* First, notice the binary operation in Equation (3) is well defined. It is independent of the equivalence class representatives chosen, as we have restriction on R that 'forces' the operation on G/R to be well defined. Indeed, let  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  be elements of G/R, if  $a_1, a_2 \in \bar{a}$ , and  $b_1, b-2 \in \bar{b}$ , by definition of R:

$$a_1 \sim a_2$$
 and  $b_1 \sim b_2$ 

by Equation (3),  $a_1b_1 \sim a_1b_2 \implies \overline{a_1b_1} = \overline{a_2b_2}$ .

Associativity is proven similarly, fix  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in G/R$ , we pass the argument to any of the representatives of the three classes, so

$$(\overline{a}\overline{b})\overline{c}\stackrel{\triangle}{=}\overline{ab}\overline{c}=\overline{(ab)c}=\overline{a(bc)}\stackrel{\triangle}{=}\overline{a}\overline{bc}=\overline{a}(\overline{b}\overline{c})$$

Pass the argument to the representatives, let e denote the identity element in G, it is easily shown that  $\overline{e}$  is the identity element in G/R, similarly for two-sided inverses and commutativity of the binary operation.

## Homomorphisms

## **Definition 2.1: Homomorphism**

Let G and H be semigroups,  $f: G \to H$  is a semi-group homomorphism if for all  $a, b \in G$ ,

$$f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \tag{4}$$

## Definition 2.2: Monomorphism

Injective homomorphism.

Manifolds Homomorphisms

## Definition 2.3: Epimorhpism

Surjective homomorphism.

#### Definition 2.4: Isomorphism

Bijective homomorphism.

## Definition 2.5: Endomorphism

Homomorphism for which the domain and codmain (not the range) are equal; i.e H = G.

#### Definition 2.6: Automorphism

Bijective endomorphism.

## Definition 2.7: Kernel of a homomorphism

The kernel of  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$  is defined

$$\operatorname{Ker} f = \left\{ a \in G, \, f(a) = e \in H \right\} \tag{5}$$

as the set of elements in G that get sent to the identity of H.

## Proposition 2.1: Hungerford Theorem 2.3

Let G and H be groups and let  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$ . Denote the identity elements of G and H by  $e_G$  and  $e_H$ 

- (i)  $f(e_G) = e_H$ ,
- (ii)  $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$  for every  $a \in G$ .
- (iii) f is a monomorphism iff  $\ker f = \{e_G\},$
- (iv) f is an isomorphism iff there exists a homomorphism  $f^{-1}: H \to G$  that is also a two-sided inverse for f. In symbols:

$$f \circ f^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_H \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1} \circ f = \mathrm{id}_G$$
 (6)

Proof of Proposition 2.1.

- Proof of Part (i): We will use Proposition 1.1 (i). Since  $f(e_G) = f(e_G e_G) = f(e_G) f(e_G)$  in H, we see that  $f(e_G) = H$  and  $e_G \in \operatorname{Ker} f$
- Proof of Part (ii): Let  $a \in G$  be arbitrary, using Part (i), we can 'pass the multiplication' between f(a) and  $f(a^{-1})$  into G,

$$f(a)f(a^{-1}) = f(e_G) = e_H \implies f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$$

Proof of Part (iii): Suppose  $\ker f = e_G$ . Let  $a, b \in G$  such that f(a) = f(b). The equality lemma Lemma 1.2 tells us  $(f(a))^{-1} = f(b)$  and  $b = a^{-1}$ , so a = b by the Lemma again; f is injective.

Manifolds Subgroups

Conversely, suppose f is injective, Part (i) tell us  $\{e_G\} \subseteq \ker f$ . Suppose  $a \in \ker f \subseteq G$ , but  $e_G \in \ker f$ , so  $f(a) = f(e_G) = e_H$  forces  $a = e_G$ , and  $\ker f = \{e_G\}$ .

Proof of Part (iv): ( $\iff$ ) is trivial since the existence of a (functional) two-sided inverse is equivalent to bijectivity. Suppose f is an isomorphism, and define  $f^{-1}$  as its two-sided (functional) inverse, it suffices to show that  $f^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(H,G)$ . Fix f(a) and f(b) as arbitrary elements in H. We can do this because f is a bijection, so every element in H has a unique 'representative' in G.

$$f^{-1}(f(a)) f^{-1}(f(b)) = ab = f^{-1}(f(ab)) = f^{-1}(f(a)f(b))$$

#### Definition 2.8: Subgroup H < G

Let G be a group. If H is a non-empty subset of G that is closed under group operations, then it is a subgroup of G. We write H < G.

The trivial subgroup of G is  $\{e\}$  and consists of one element. H is called a proper subgroup if  $H \neq G$  and  $H \neq \{e\}$ .

#### Proposition 2.2: Hungerford Exercise 9

Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$ , A < G and B < H,

- (i) Ker f is a subgroup of G,
- (ii) f(A) is a subgroup of H,
- (iii)  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a subgroup of G.

## Subgroups

#### Proposition 3.1: Subgroup criteria (Hungerford Theorem 2.5)

A non-subset  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup iff for any a, b in  $H, ab^{-1} \in H$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Choose a=b, then  $aa^{-1}=e\in H$  acts as the two-sided identity in H, and  $ea^{-1}=a^{-1}\in H$  for every  $a\in H$ . So H is a subgroup by Proposition 1.2. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ): If H is a subgroup, then Proposition 1.2 tells us  $b^{-1}\in H$  for every  $b\in H$ , hence  $ab^{-1}\in H$  for elements  $a,b\in H$ .

#### Corollary 3.1: Hungerford Corollary 2.6

If G is a group and  $\{H_i, i \in I\}$  is a nonempty family of subgroups, then their intersection  $H \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \cap_{i \in I} H_i$  is again a subgroup in G.

*Proof.* Let  $a,b \in H$ , then  $ab^{-1} \in H_i$  for every  $i \in I$ , hence  $ab^{-1} \in \cap_{i \in I} H_i = H$ , and H is a subgroup by Proposition 3.1.

## Definition 3.1: Subgroup generated by $A \subseteq G$

Let A be a subset of G, the subgroup generated by A is the smallest subgroup H < G that contains A as a subset, denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$ .

Manifolds Cyclic groups

Proposition 3.1 gives us an explicit formula for H,

$$H = \bigcap_{\substack{H_i < G \ A \subseteq H_i}} H_i$$

If A is finite, and  $H = \langle A \rangle$  is said to be *finitely generated*. We also write

$$H=\left\langle a_1,\ldots,a_n
ight
angle =\left\langle \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}
ight
angle$$

If A consists of one element,  $\{a\} = A$ , then  $\langle a \rangle = \langle \{a\} \rangle$  is called the cyclic group generated by a.

#### Proposition 3.2: Hungerford Theorem 2.8

If G is a group and  $A \subseteq G$  is a non-empty subset, the subgroup generated by A is precisely the collection of all finite products (powers included), or

$$\left\langle A
ight
angle =\left\{ a_{1}^{n_{1}}a_{2}^{n_{2}}\cdots a_{t}^{n_{t}},\ a_{i}\in A,\ n_{i}\in\mathbb{Z}
ight\}$$

 $\text{If } A=\{a\}, \text{ then } \left\langle A\right\rangle = \bigg\{a^k, \ k\in\mathbb{Z}\bigg\}.$ 

Proof. Let  $W = \left\{ a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} \cdots a_t^{n_t}, \ a_i \in A, \ n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ . We first show W is a subgroup of G. Indeed, if  $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_t = 0$ , then  $a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} \cdots a_t^{n_t} = e$ . And for any  $b \in W$ ,

$$b = a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} \cdots a_t^{n_t} \implies b^{-1} = a_t^{-n_t} \cdots a_2^{-n_2} a_1^{-n_1}$$

where each  $-n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $b^{-1} \in H$  as well.  $\langle A \rangle$  is the smallest subgroup containing A, so  $\langle A \rangle \subseteq W$ . Conversely, fix an element  $b \in W$ , so b has the form

$$b = a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} \cdots a_t^{n_t}, \ a_i \in A, \ n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and a simple induction will show each  $a_i^{n_i} \in \langle A \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , so  $b \in \langle A \rangle$  and  $\langle A \rangle = W$ .

## Definition 3.2: Lattice of subgroups

Let  $\{H_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of subgroups of G, then

$$\operatorname{glb}\{H_i\} = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i, \quad \operatorname{lub} = \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in H} H_i \right\rangle$$

The collection of subgroups of G is a *complete lattice*.

## Cyclic groups

The proof for the following is straight-forward, the book separates the case into  $H = \langle 0 \rangle$  and  $H \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ , then H contains a non-zero element  $h \neq 0$ , then  $|h| \in \mathbb{N}^+$  is an element in H as well, so H contains a least positive element by invoking the Well Ordering Property. For the second half of the proof, we force r = 0 by the Division Algorithm.

Manifolds Cyclic groups

## Definition 4.1: Order of a subgroup H < G

The order of a subgroup H is its cardinality |H|.

#### Definition 4.2: Order of an element $a \in G$

The order of an element  $a \in G$  is the order of  $|\langle a \rangle|$ .

#### Proposition 4.1: Hungerford Theorem 3.1

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be equipped with its usual addition operation. Then every subgroup  $H < \mathbb{Z}$  is cyclic, either  $H = \langle 0 \rangle$  or  $H = \langle m \rangle$ . With m being the least positive integer in H. If  $H \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ , then H is infinite.

#### Proposition 4.2: Hungerford Theorem 3.2

Every infinite cyclic group  $G = \langle a \rangle$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and every finite cyclic group of order  $0 < m < +\infty$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  and  $f(k) = a^k$ . By Proposition 3.2,

$$G=\left\{ a^{k},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}
ight\}$$

f is clearly surjective and  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$  is an easy exercise to verify. The proof splits into two parts

- (i) Ker f is trivial: By Proposition 2.1, f is an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to G, and  $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \implies |G| = |\mathbb{Z}|$ .
- (ii) Ker f is not trivial: Arguing as in Proposition 4.2, Ker f is a non-trivial subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so it contains a least positive element m, and Ker  $f = \langle m \rangle$ . m is an element of ker f, so

$$f(m) = a^m = e \implies f(jm) = a^{jm} = \prod_{l=1}^j a^m = e, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (7)

Now suppose r and s are integers with f(r) = f(s),

$$a^r = a^s \iff a^{r-s} = e$$
 $\iff r - s \in \operatorname{Ker} f$ 
 $\iff r - s \in \langle m \rangle$ 
 $\iff r \equiv s \mod m$ 
 $\iff \overline{r} = \overline{s}$ 

where  $\bar{r}$  denotes the  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  equivalence class of r. Let  $\beta$  be a map from  $\mathbb{Z}_m \to G$ , such that

$$\beta(\overline{k}) = f(k) = a^k$$

This is well defined, since  $\beta$  is an invariant on each equivalence class, if r-s differ by a multiple of m, then Equation (7) states that  $\beta(\bar{r}) = \beta(\bar{s})$ . G is finite, as

$$G = \left\langle a 
ight
angle = \left\{ a^k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m < k < m 
ight\}$$

and the kernel of  $\beta$  is trivial, it is an isomorphism and  $\mathbb{Z}_m \cong G$ .

Updated 2023-06-07 at 06:19

Manifolds Cosets

Cosets

Normal Subgroups

Isomorphism Theorems