Manifolds Notation

Notation

We will use the following notation to simplify computations with multilinear maps. Let E and F be sets, and $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in E$. $f: E \to F$.

- Listing individual elements: $v_{\underline{k}}$ means v_1,\dots,v_k as separate elements.
- Creating a k-list: $(v_{\underline{k}}) = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \prod E_{j \leq k}$ if $v_i \in E_i$ for $i = \underline{k}$.
- Double indices: $(v_{n_k}) = (v_{n_k}) = (v_{n_1}, \dots, v_{n_k})$, and

$$(v_{n_k}) \neq (v_{n_{(1,\ldots,k)}})$$

• Closest bracket convention:

$$(v_{(n_k)}) = (v_{(n_1,\dots,n_k)})$$
 and $(v_{n_{(k)}}) = (v_{n_{(1,\dots,k)}})$

• Underlining 0 means it is iterated 0 times:

$$(v_0,a,b,c)=(a,b,c)$$

• Skipping an index:

$$(v_{i-1}, v_{i+k-i}) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k)$$
(1)

for $i = \underline{k}$.

• Applying f to a particular index:

$$(v_{\underline{i-1}}, f(v_i), v_{i+\underline{k-i}}) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, f(v_i), v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k)$$
(2)

Of course, if i = 1, then the above expression reads $(f(v_1), v_2, \dots, v_k)$ by the $\underline{0}$ interpretation.

• If $\wedge : E \times E \to F$ is any associative binary operation,

$$(\land)(v_{\underline{k}}) = v_1 \land \dots \land v_k$$

• In any list using this 'underline' notation, we can find the size of a list by summing over all the underlined numbers and the number of terms without an underline. We see eq. (1), eq. (2) have k-1, k terms respectively.

Remark 1.1: Preview of exterior calculus

We can write the cofactor expansion formula of the determinant of a $\mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ matrix in this notation. Suppose $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ for $i = \underline{k}$.

$$M = egin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_k \ dash & & dash \ b_1 & \cdots & b_k \ dash & & dash \end{bmatrix}$$

Manifolds k-linear maps

The determinant of M, can then be written as

$$\det(M) = \sum_{i=\underline{k}} (-1)^{i-1} a_i \det\left(b_{\underline{i-1}}, b_{i+\underline{k-i}}\right)$$

k-linear maps

Definition 2.1: k-linear maps

Let $E_{\underline{k}}$, F be Banach spaces. A map $\varphi:\prod E_{\underline{k}}$ is k-linear if for every $i=\underline{k},\,v_i\in E_i,$

$$\varphi(\cdot^{\underline{i-1}},v_i,\cdot^{\underline{k-i}}): (\Pi)(E_{i-1},E_{i+k-i})\to F$$
 is $(k-1)$ -linear

The following theorem should give confidence to the notation we have adopted to use.

Proposition 2.1

Let $E_{\underline{k}}$ and F be Banach spaces, a k-linear map $\varphi: \prod E_{\underline{k}} \to F$ is continuous iff there exists a C > 0, such that for every $x_i \in E_i$, $i = \underline{k}$

$$\left| \varphi(x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq C \prod \left| x_{\underline{k}} \right|$$

Proof. Suppose φ is continuous, then it is continuous at the origin. Picking $\varepsilon = 1$ induces a $\delta > 0$ such that for $\left| (x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq \delta$, $\left| \varphi(x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq 1$. The usual trick of normalizing an arbitrary vector $(x_{\underline{k}}) \in \prod E_{\underline{k}}$ does the job:

$$\left|\varphi(x_k\cdot\left|x_{\underline{k}}\right|^{-1}\cdot\delta)\right|\leq 1\implies \left|\varphi(x_{\underline{k}})\right|\leq \delta^{-k}\prod\left|x_{\underline{k}}\right|$$

Conversely, fix a sequence (indexed by n, in k elements in the product space $\prod E_k$), so

$$(x_n^k) \to (x^k)$$
 as $n \to +\infty$ (3)

To proceed any further, we need to prove eq. (4) that expresses the difference of two values of φ in terms its arguments.

$$\varphi(b^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \sum_{i=k} \varphi(b^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $(b^{\underline{k}})$ and $(a^{\underline{k}})$ are elements in $\prod E_{\underline{k}}$, and $\Delta_i = b^i - a^i$ for $i = \underline{k}$. The proof is contained in the following note, which is in more detail than usual - to help the reader ease into the new notation.

Note 2.1

We proceed by induction, and eq. (4) follows by setting m = k in

$$\varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+\underline{k-m}}) - \sum_{i=m} \varphi(b^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$
 (5)

Base case: set m=1, by definition of k-linearity (definition 2.1) of φ . Since $a^1=b^1-\Delta_1$,

$$\varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \varphi(b^1 - \Delta_1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}}) = \varphi(b^1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}}) - \varphi(\Delta_1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}})$$

Manifolds k-linear maps

Induction hypothesis: suppose eq. (5) holds for a fixed m. Since $a^{m+1} = b^{m+1} - \Delta_{m+1}$,

$$\begin{split} \varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+\underline{k}-\underline{m}}) - \sum_{i=\underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \\ &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \sum_{i=\underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \\ &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \varphi(b^{\underline{m}+1}, \Delta_{m+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \sum_{i=\underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \end{split}$$

and this proves eq. (4)

We substitute $a^i=x^i,$ and $b^i=x^i_n$ for $i=\underline{k},$ and eq. (4) becomes eq. (6)

$$\varphi(x_n^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(x^{\underline{k}}) = \sum_{i=k} \varphi(x_n^{i-1}, x_n^i - x^i, x^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$
 (6)

Then the triangle inequality reads

$$\begin{split} \left| \varphi(x_n^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(x^{\underline{k}}) \right| &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi(x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, x_n^i - x^i, x^{i + \underline{k-i}}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi \right| \cdot \left(\overline{\prod} \right) \left(x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, x^{i + \underline{k-i}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi \right| \cdot \left| x_n^i - x^i \right| \left(\overline{\prod} \right) \left(x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, x^{i + \underline{k-i}} \right) \\ &\lesssim_n \left| \varphi \right| \sup_{i = \underline{k}} \left| x_n^i - x^i \right| \to 0 \end{split}$$

where we identify the product $(v^{\underline{k}})$ with the product of their norms $(v^{\underline{k}})$.