Manifolds Notation

#### Notation

We will use the following notation to simplify computations with multilinear maps. Let E and F be sets, and  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in E$ .  $f: E \to F$ .

- Listing individual elements:  $v_{\underline{k}}$  means  $v_1,\dots,v_k$  as separate elements.
- Creating a k-list:  $(v_{\underline{k}}) = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \prod E_{j \leq k}$  if  $v_i \in E_i$  for  $i = \underline{k}$ .
- Double indices:  $(v_{n_k}) = (v_{n_k}) = (v_{n_1}, \dots, v_{n_k})$ , and

$$(v_{n_k}) \neq (v_{n_{(1,\ldots,k)}})$$

• Closest bracket convention:

$$(v_{(n_k)}) = (v_{(n_1,\dots,n_k)})$$
 and  $(v_{n_{(k)}}) = (v_{n_{(1,\dots,k)}})$ 

• Underlining 0 means it is iterated 0 times:

$$(v_0,a,b,c)=(a,b,c)$$

• Skipping an index:

$$(v_{i-1}, v_{i+k-i}) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k)$$
(1)

for  $i = \underline{k}$ .

• Applying f to a particular index:

$$(v_{\underline{i-1}}, f(v_i), v_{i+\underline{k-i}}) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, f(v_i), v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k)$$
(2)

Of course, if i = 1, then the above expression reads  $(f(v_1), v_2, \dots, v_k)$  by the  $\underline{0}$  interpretation.

• If  $\wedge : E \times E \to F$  is any associative binary operation,

$$(\land)(v_{\underline{k}}) = v_1 \land \dots \land v_k$$

• In any list using this 'underline' notation, we can find the size of a list by summing over all the underlined numbers and the number of terms without an underline. We see eq. (1), eq. (2) have k-1, k terms respectively.

### Remark 1.1: Preview of exterior calculus

We can write the cofactor expansion formula of the determinant of a  $\mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  matrix in this notation. Suppose  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  for  $i = \underline{k}$ .

$$M = egin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_k \ dash & & dash \ b_1 & \cdots & b_k \ dash & & dash \end{bmatrix}$$

Manifolds k-linear maps

The determinant of M, can then be written as

$$\det(M) = \sum_{i=k} (-1)^{i-1} a_i \det \left( b_{\underline{i-1}}, b_{i+\underline{k-i}} \right)$$

## k-linear maps

# Definition 2.1: k-linear maps

Let  $E_{\underline{k}}$ , F be Banach spaces. A map  $\varphi:\prod E_{\underline{k}}$  is k-linear if for every  $i=\underline{k},\,v_i\in E_i,$ 

$$\varphi(\cdot^{\underline{i-1}},v_i,\cdot^{\underline{k-i}}): (\Pi)(E_{i-1},E_{i+k-i})\to F$$
 is  $(k-1)$ -linear

The following theorem should give confidence to the notation we have adopted to use.

## Proposition 2.1

Let  $E_{\underline{k}}$  and F be Banach spaces, a k-linear map  $\varphi: \prod E_{\underline{k}} \to F$  is continuous iff there exists a C > 0, such that for every  $x_i \in E_i$ ,  $i = \underline{k}$ 

$$\left| \varphi(x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq C \prod \left| x_{\underline{k}} \right|$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi$  is continuous, then it is continuous at the origin. Picking  $\varepsilon = 1$  induces a  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $\left| (x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq \delta$ ,  $\left| \varphi(x_{\underline{k}}) \right| \leq 1$ . The usual trick of normalizing an arbitrary vector  $(x_{\underline{k}}) \in \prod E_{\underline{k}}$  does the job:

$$\left|\varphi(x_k\cdot\left|x_{\underline{k}}\right|^{-1}\cdot\delta)\right|\leq 1\implies \left|\varphi(x_{\underline{k}})\right|\leq \delta^{-k}\prod\left|x_{\underline{k}}\right|$$

Conversely, fix a sequence (indexed by n, in k elements in the product space  $\prod E_k$ ), so

$$(x_n^k) \to (x^k)$$
 as  $n \to +\infty$  (3)

To proceed any further, we need to prove eq. (4) that expresses the difference of two values of  $\varphi$  in terms its arguments.

$$\varphi(b^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \sum_{i=k} \varphi(b^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where  $(b^{\underline{k}})$  and  $(a^{\underline{k}})$  are elements in  $\prod E_{\underline{k}}$ , and  $\Delta_i = b^i - a^i$  for  $i = \underline{k}$ . The proof is contained in the following note, which is in more detail than usual - to help the reader ease into the new notation.

# Note 2.1

We proceed by induction, and eq. (4) follows by setting m = k in

$$\varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+\underline{k-m}}) - \sum_{i=m} \varphi(b^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$
 (5)

Base case: set m=1, by definition of k-linearity (definition 2.1) of  $\varphi$ . Since  $a^1=b^1-\Delta_1$ ,

$$\varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) = \varphi(b^1 - \Delta_1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}}) = \varphi(b^1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}}) - \varphi(\Delta_1, a^{1+\underline{k-1}})$$

Manifolds k-linear maps

Induction hypothesis: suppose eq. (5) holds for a fixed m. Since  $a^{m+1} = b^{m+1} - \Delta_{m+1}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \varphi(a^{\underline{k}}) &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+\underline{k}-\underline{m}}) - \sum_{i = \underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \\ &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}}, a^{m+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \sum_{i = \underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \\ &= \varphi(b^{\underline{m}+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \varphi(b^{\underline{m}+1}, \Delta_{m+1}, a^{(m+1)+\underline{k}-(m+1)}) - \sum_{i = \underline{m}} \varphi(b^{\underline{i}-1}, \Delta_i, a^{i+\underline{k}-\underline{i}}) \end{split}$$

and this proves eq. (4)

We substitute  $a^i=x^i,$  and  $b^i=x^i_n$  for  $i=\underline{k},$  and eq. (4) becomes eq. (6)

$$\varphi(x_n^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(x^{\underline{k}}) = \sum_{i=k} \varphi(x_n^{i-1}, x_n^i - x^i, x^{i+\underline{k-i}})$$
 (6)

Then the triangle inequality reads

$$\begin{split} \left| \varphi(x_n^{\underline{k}}) - \varphi(x^{\underline{k}}) \right| &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi(x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, x_n^i - x^i, x^{i + \underline{k-i}}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi \right| \cdot \left( \widehat{\prod} \right) \left( x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, \Delta_i, x^{i + \underline{k-i}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i = \underline{k}} \left| \varphi \right| \cdot \left| x_n^i - x^i \right| \left( \widehat{\prod} \right) \left( x_n^{\underline{i-1}}, x^{i + \underline{k-i}} \right) \\ &\lesssim_n \left| \varphi \right| \sup_{i = \underline{k}} \left| x_n^i - x^i \right| \to 0 \end{split}$$

where we identify the product  $(\Pi(v^{\underline{k}}))$  with the product of their norms  $(\Pi(v^{\underline{k}}))$ .