

Chapter C: Algebraic Topology

Homotopy

This section will follow Munkres Chapters 9 and 13 closely. Possibly other chapters as well.

Definition 1.1: Path

A *path* is a continuous function from the unit interval $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$. We say f is a *path from* x_0 *to* x_1 if $f(0) = x_0$ and $f(1) = x_1$.

We denote the set of paths from x_0 to x_1 by $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$. If $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, we sometimes denote the *reversal of* f by $\bar{f} \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_0)$, where $\bar{f}(s) \triangleq f(1 - s)$.

Definition 1.2: Loop

A *loop* at $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ is a path that begins and ends at x_0 , and $\text{Loop}(x_0) \triangleq \text{Path}(x_0, x_0)$. The constant path (or loop) at x_0 is denoted by $e_{x_0} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$.

$$e_{x_0}(s) = x_0, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]$$

Definition 1.3: Homotopy of $C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$

Let f , and g continuous functions from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{Y} . f and g are homotopic, denoted by $f \approx g$ if there exists a continuous function $F \in C(\mathbf{X} \times I, \mathbf{Y})$ where

$$F(x, 0) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x, 1) = g(x) \quad (1)$$

where $I = [0, 1]$.

The function F is called the *homotopy between* f *and* g .

If $f \approx h$, where h is the constant function, we say f is *nullhomotopic*.

Definition 1.4: Path Homotopy of $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$

Two paths $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ are said to be *path homotopic*, if there exists a continuous function $F \in C(I \times I, \mathbf{X})$, with

- F is a *homotopy between* f_0 *and* f_1 (in the sense of Definition 1.3). For every $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$F(s, 0) = f_0(s) \quad \text{and} \quad F(s, 1) = f_1(s) \quad (2)$$

- F leaves the endpoints fixed. For every $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$F(0, t) = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(1, t) = x_1 \quad (3)$$

If f_0 and f_1 are path-homotopic, we write $f_0 \simeq_p f_1$.

- The function $F \in C(I \times I, \mathbf{X})$ is called the *path homotopy between* f_0 *and* f_1 .
- If $f \in \text{Loop}(x_0)$ is path homotopic to the constant path e_{x_0} , then f is *nullhomotopic*.

- The relation \simeq_p is defined for paths that have the same initial and final points. So it is a relation on $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$.

Proposition 1.1: Munkres Lemma 51.1

The relations \simeq and \simeq_p are equivalence relations on $C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ and $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ respectively.

Proof. ($f \simeq f$): Let $f \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. Define

$$F : \mathbf{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \quad \text{For every } t \in [0, 1], F(x, t) = f(x)$$

F is continuous, since $F = \pi_{\mathbf{X}} \circ (f \times \text{id}_{[0,1]})$, where $f \times \text{id}_{[0,1]}$ is the product of two continuous functions, which is again continuous by Chapter A. Moreover, $F(x, 0) = f(x) = F(x, 1)$, so F is a homotopy between f and itself.

($f \simeq g \implies g \simeq f$): Let F be the homotopy between f and g . Let G be the 'reversal' in the second coordinate of F , meaning

$$G(x, t) = F(x, 1 - t) \quad \text{is continuous, since } G = F \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \times c)$$

where $c : I \rightarrow I$ that maps $t \mapsto 1 - t$ is continuous, so $\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \times c$ is continuous; hence G is continuous. Notice for every $x \in \mathbf{X}$,

$$G(x, 0) = F(x, 1) = g(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G(x, 1) = F(x, 0) = f(x)$$

therefore G is a homotopy between g and f .

($f \simeq g, g \simeq h \implies f \simeq h$): Let F be the homotopy between f and g , and G be the homotopy between g and h . Define a function $H : \mathbf{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ that morphs f into g on $[0, 2^{-1}]$, then g into h on $[2^{-1}, 1]$

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} F(x, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2^{-1} \\ G(x, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the *floor function*.

- H is well defined on the overlap $\mathbf{X} \times 2^{-1}$, since $F(x, 1) = G(x, 0) = g(x)$ at every $x \in \mathbf{X}$.
- If $t = 0$, then $H(x, 1) = F(x, 0) = f(x)$, and $t = 1$ gives $H(x, 1) = G(x, 1) = h(x)$.
- Since $H|_{\mathbf{X} \times [0, 2^{-1}]}$ and $H|_{\mathbf{X} \times [2^{-1}, 1]}$ are continuous functions, and they agree on the overlap, H is continuous by the pasting Lemma, and defines a homotopy between f and h .

Now consider paths f, g, h in $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, ($f \simeq_p f$) is trivial. So is symmetry of \simeq_p , as the reversal in the second coordinate (see above) of the path homotopy between f and g is path homotopy between g and f .

Suppose $f \simeq_p g$, and $g \simeq_p h$. Let F , and G be the path homotopies between f, g and g, h . Write H as in Equation (4), it is a continuous function on $I \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, that satisfies

$$H(s, 0) = F(s, 0) = f(s) \quad \text{and} \quad H(s, 1) = G(s, 1) = h(s) \quad \text{for every } s \in [0, 1]$$

If $s = 0$, it is easy to see from Equation (4) that for every $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(0, t) &= \begin{cases} F(0, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2^{-1} \\ G(0, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_0 & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} = x_0 \quad \text{and} \\ H(1, t) &= \begin{cases} F(1, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2^{-1} \\ G(1, 2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_1 & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} = x_1 \end{aligned}$$

So the endpoints remain fixed throughout the deformation in t , and H is a path homotopy between f and h . This proves transitivity. ■

Path and PathClass Products

Definition 2.1: Product of Paths $f * g$

Let $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ and $g \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$, the product of f and g , denoted by $f * g$ is another path from x_0 to x_2 . For $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$(f * g)(s) \triangleq \begin{cases} f(2s - \lfloor 2s \rfloor) & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2^{-1} \\ g(2s - \lfloor 2s \rfloor) & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Notice the similarities between Equations (4) and (5),

Proposition 2.1: Properties of the Path Product

Let $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ and $g \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$, let $k \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, then

- (i) Invariant under left-multiplication: $f \simeq_p g \implies k \circ f \simeq_p k \circ g$, where $k \circ f$ and $k \circ g$ are elements Paths from $k(x_0)$ to $k(x_1)$, and if F be a path homotopy between f and g , then $k \circ F$ is a path homotopy between $k \circ f$ and $k \circ g$.
- (ii) If we redefine $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, $g \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$, and k be as above, then

$$k \circ (f * g) = (k \circ f) * (k \circ g)$$

Proof.

Proof of Part (i): It is clear that $k \circ f$ and $k \circ g$ are elements of $\text{Path}(k(x_0), k(x_1))$, and see Part (ii) for the proof of $k \circ f \simeq_p k \circ g$.

Proof of Part (ii): Let F be the path homotopy between f and g . The composition $(k \circ F)$ is in $C(\mathbf{X} \times I, \mathbf{Y})$. Equation (2) reads

$$\begin{aligned} (k \circ F)(s, 0) &= k(F(s, 0)) = (k \circ f)(s) \text{ and} \\ (k \circ F)(s, 1) &= k(F(s, 1)) = (k \circ g)(s) \text{ for every } s \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and Equation (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (k \circ F)(0, t) &= k(F(0, t)) = k(x_0) \text{ and} \\ (k \circ F)(1, t) &= k(F(1, t)) = k(x_1) \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

therefore $k \circ F$ is a path homotopy between the paths $k \circ f$ and $k \circ g$. ■

Definition 2.2: Path Homotopy class $[f]$

Let $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, we define the *path homotopy class* of f as

$$[f] \triangleq \left\{ g \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1), g \simeq_p f \right\}$$

Definition 2.3: Product of PathClasses $[f] * [g]$

Let $*$: $\text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1) \times \text{PathClass}(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_2)$ be a binary operation, where

$$[f] * [g] \triangleq [f * g] \text{ is well defined.}$$

for arbitrary $[f] \in \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1)$ and $[g] \in \text{PathClass}(x_1, x_2)$. This means it is independent of the representative chosen. More formally, if $f \simeq_p f' \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, and $g \simeq_p g' \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$, then $f * g \simeq_p f' * g'$.

Proposition 2.2: Properties of the PathClass product

Let $[f]$, $[g]$ and $[h]$ be PathClasses from and to the points x_0, x_1, x_2 . Then

1. Associativity: $([f] * [g]) * [h] = [f] * ([g] * [h])$,
2. Left and Right identities: if $[f] \in \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1)$, e_{x_0}, e_{x_1} denote the constant paths on x_0 and x_1 (the initial and final points of any $f \in [f]$), then

$$[e_{x_0}] * [f] = [f] \quad \text{and} \quad [f] * [e_{x_1}] = [f]$$

3. Left and Right inverses: let $[\bar{f}]$ be the PathClass containing the reversal of f (see Definition 1.1) for the definition, then

$$[\bar{f}] * [f] = [e_{x_1}] \quad \text{and} \quad [f] * [\bar{f}] = [e_{x_0}]$$

4. Generalized Associativity: if $\{[f_j]\}_{j \leq n}$ is a sequence of PathClasses, such that $[f_j] \in \text{PathClass}(x_{j-1}, x_j)$, then

$$\prod [f_j] \triangleq [f_1] * [f_2] * \cdots * [f_n] \text{ is a well-defined object}$$

meaning we can place the brackets wherever we want.

Proof. We will give an outline for the proof of Generalized Associativity, the rest are trivial. Let $\{[f_j]\}$ be defined as above. If $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^n$, and $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^n$ are 'cell partitions' of the unit interval (in the sense of the Riemann integral), meaning

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = b_0 < b_1 < \cdots < b_n = 1$$

We agree to define the following

- the lengths of each cell $l_{a_j} \triangleq a_j - a_{j-1}$ and $l_{b_j} \triangleq b_j - b_{j-1}$, and
- the cells themselves are denoted by $\text{cell}(a_j) = [a_{j-1}, a_j]$, $\text{cell}(b_j) = [b_{j-1}, b_j]$,
- $p \in \text{Path}(0, 1)$, where p is given explicitly by

$$p(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{\text{cell}(a_j) \setminus \{a_{j-1}\}} \left(\frac{l_{b_j}}{l_{a_j}} (s - a_j) + b_j \right)$$

It is clear p is continuous, and for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$p|_{\text{cell}(a_j)} \text{ is the positive linear map from } \text{cell}(a_j) \text{ to } \text{cell}(b_j)$$

Using the same line of argumentation as in the proof for associativity, we see that any two 'ways' of bracketing the expression has no impact on the path-homotopy class. ■

Fundamental Group

Definition 3.1: Fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$

Let $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$, the *fundamental group of \mathbf{X} relative to (base point) x_0* is denoted by $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) = \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_0)$.

Definition 3.2: Isomorphism induced by $\text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$

Suppose $\alpha \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$, we define a map $\hat{\alpha} : \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$, with

$$\hat{\alpha}([f]) = [\bar{\alpha}] * [f] * [\alpha]$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the reversal of α . We call $\hat{\alpha}$ the *isomorphism induced by α* (Munkres Theorem 52.1).

Isomorphism proof. Let $[f]$ and $[g]$ be elements of $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}([f] * [g]) &= ([\bar{\alpha}] * [f] * [\alpha]) * ([\bar{\alpha}] * [g] * [\alpha]) \\ &= [\bar{\alpha}] * ([f] * [g]) * [\alpha] \\ &= \hat{\alpha}([f]) * \hat{\alpha}([g]) \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{\alpha}$ is a homomorphism. We claim inverse of $\hat{\alpha}$ is $\hat{\bar{\alpha}}$. Fix $[f] \in \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$, $[g] \in \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$, then

$$(\hat{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \hat{\alpha})([f]) = [\alpha] * ([\bar{\alpha}] * [f] * [\alpha]) * [\bar{\alpha}] = [f]$$

so $\hat{\bar{\alpha}}$ is the left-inverse for $\hat{\alpha}$. A similar argument shows it is the right inverse as well with $(\hat{\alpha} \circ \hat{\bar{\alpha}})([g]) = [g]$. Therefore $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$ is group isomorphic to $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$. ■

Homomorphisms

Definition 4.1: Homomorphism induced by a continuous map

Let $h \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, and $y_0 = h(x_0)$, it induces a map between loops at x_0 and y_0 .

$$h_* : \text{Loop}(x_0) \rightarrow \text{Loop}(y_0), f \mapsto h \circ f$$

It is also a group homomorphism between fundamental groups. We use the same symbol for the two maps, relying on context to distinguish between the two.

$$h_* : \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbf{Y}, y_0), [f] \mapsto [h \circ f]$$

is well defined because of Proposition 2.2, it is a homomorphism (again by Proposition 2.2) because h 'distributes' over $*$

$$h \circ (f * g) = (h \circ f) * (h \circ g)$$

Remark 4.1: Functorial properties of the h_*

If $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$, the tuple (x_0, \mathbf{X}) is an object in the category of *pointed topological spaces*, and the map h_* is a *covariant functor* from the category of pointed topological spaces to the category of groups.

Follows from Munkres Theorem 52.4, if the expressions below make sense,

$$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_* \quad \text{and} \quad h_* \circ (g \circ f)_* = (h \circ g)_* \circ f_*$$

And the identity map $i : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ gets 'sent' to the identity homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0), \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0))$.

And if h is a homeomorphism between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , then h_* is an isomorphism at every point.

Simply connected space

Definition 5.1: Simply connected space

A topological space \mathbf{X} is *simply connected* if it is path-connected, and $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) = \{[e_{x_0}]\}$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$. Notice this implies every fundamental group of \mathbf{X} is trivial.

Proposition 5.1: Properties of simply connected spaces

If \mathbf{X} is a simply connected space, then $\text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1)$ consists of one element. That is to say, if f and g are Paths from x_0 to x_1 , then $f \simeq_p g$.

Covering maps

Definition 6.1: Covering maps and spaces