

Chapter 4

Notes on Chapter 4

Topological Spaces

This section will roughly follow Munkres text on General Topology, in particular we hope to cover Chapters 2, 3, 4 and 9. The rest of the Chapters should be covered proper by the subsequent section.

Definition 1.1 *Let \mathbf{X} be a non-empty set. A topology \mathcal{T} on \mathbf{X} , sometimes denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a family of subsets of \mathbf{X} ,*

- $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{X}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$,
- *If U_1 and U_2 are elements of \mathcal{T} , so is their intersection.*
- *If $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an arbitrary family of sets in \mathcal{T} , their union is also contained in \mathcal{T} as an element.*

We call the elements of \mathcal{T} open sets. The complements of elements in \mathcal{T} are closed sets.

Basis of a Topology

Definition 2.1 A basis \mathbb{B} is a family of subsets of \mathbf{X} , that satisfies:

- Every $x \in \mathbf{X}$ belongs (as an element) in some $V \in \mathbb{B}$.
- If B_1 and B_2 are basis elements, such that their intersection is non-empty. Then every $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$ induces a $B_3 \in \mathbb{B}$ with

$$x \in B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$$

This roughly means a basis is 'finitely' fine at every point in x .

If \mathbb{B} is a basis, it 'generates' a topology \mathcal{T} through

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ U \subseteq \mathbf{X}, \forall x \in U, x \in B \subseteq U \text{ for some } B \in \mathbb{B} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Notice this is equivalent to \mathcal{T} is the collection of all unions of basis elements in \mathbb{B} .

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathbb{B} be a basis as defined in Definition 2.1, then \mathcal{T} as defined in Equation (1) is a valid topology on \mathbf{X} . And every member of \mathcal{T} is and is precisely the union of elements in \mathbb{B} .

Proof. Every point in \mathbf{X} belongs in some basis element, so $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{T}$, so does \emptyset . Next, if U_1 and U_2 are in \mathcal{T} , then

$$\begin{cases} x \in U_1 \ni x \in B_1 \subseteq U_1 \\ x \in U_2 \ni x \in B_2 \subseteq U_2 \end{cases} \implies x \in B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$$

for some $B_3 \in \mathbb{B}$, so \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersections (perhaps after a standard induction argument).

If $\{U_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, and x belongs in the union of all U_α , then $x \in B_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$, which is a subset of the entire union. So the union over U_α is again contained in \mathcal{T} , and \mathcal{T} is a topology on \mathbf{X} .

It is worth noting that $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Finally, if $U \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_x$$

where B_x is the basis element taken to satisfy $x \in B_x \subseteq U$. Every point in U is included in some B_x , and hence is included in the union. For the reverse inclusion, notice the union of subsets of U is again a subset of U .

Now, if $E \subseteq X$ is the union of basis elements in \mathbb{B} , if E is non-empty, then every point $x \in E$ belongs in some B_x . Recycling the previous argument, and we see that E is open in \mathcal{T} . If E is empty, we define the 'union' of no sets as the empty set. So \mathcal{T} is precisely the collection of all unions of basis elements \mathbb{B} . ■

We are now in a position to compare the relative 'fineness' of topologies.

Definition 2.2 *If \mathcal{T}' and \mathcal{T} are both topologies on some non-empty set X . We say \mathcal{T}' is finer than \mathcal{T} , or \mathcal{T} is coarser than \mathcal{T}' if*

$$\mathcal{T}' \supseteq \mathcal{T}$$

Proposition 2.2. *If \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{B}' are bases for \mathcal{T}' and \mathcal{T} , the following are equivalent:*

- \mathcal{T}' is finer than \mathcal{T} ,
- If B is an arbitrary basis element in \mathbb{B} , then every point $x \in B$ induces a basis element in \mathbb{B}' with

$$x \in B' \subseteq B$$

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{T}' is finer than \mathcal{T} . Notice $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ as well. By Equation (1), each $x \in B$ induces a $B' \in \mathbb{B}'$

$$x \in B' \subseteq B$$

Conversely, fix any open set $U \in \mathcal{T}$, and for each $x \in U$,

$$x \in B' \subseteq B \subseteq U$$

Applying Definition 2.1 tells us U is open in \mathcal{T}' . ■

The last of the big three 'generating' definitions for topologies will be the sub-basis. It simply means the first condition (but not necessarily) the second, is satisfied in Definition [2.1](#)

Definition 2.3 *A sub-basis $S \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X})$ is a family of subsets of \mathbf{X} that satisfies one property. Any point x in \mathbf{X} belongs to at least one member of S .*

A sub-basis can be upgraded to a basis by collecting all of its finite intersections.

Proposition 2.3. *Let S be a sub-basis of \mathbf{X} , then the collection of all finite intersections of S forms a basis \mathbb{B} of \mathbf{X} .*

Proof. Every point in \mathbf{X} lies in some element of S , hence in some element of \mathbb{B} . The second basis property is immediate, since \mathbb{B} is closed under finite intersections. ■

Product Topology

We will start with products of a finite collection of topological spaces.

Definition 3.1 *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}})$ and $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Y}})$ be topological spaces. The product topology (denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}}$) on $X \times Y$ is defined as the topology generated by the basis*

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}} = \left\{ U \times V, (U, V) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Y}} \right\} \quad (2)$$

Since bases are easier to describe than topologies, we have the following statement concerning the basis of the product topology.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ are bases for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Y}}$, then the product topology (as described in Definition 3.1) is also generated by*

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ U \times V, (U, V) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}} \right\} \quad (3)$$

Proof. We will introduce (and use) the technique of 'double inclusion' by proving that the topologies generated are both finer than the other. Let us denote the topology generated by \mathcal{M} in Equation (3) by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Since $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Y}}$, if $U \times V \in \mathcal{M}$ as in Equation (3), then we can pick the same 'open rectangle' again. We trivially have

$$x \in \underbrace{U \times V}_{\text{member of } \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Y}}} \subseteq U \times V$$

and by WTS 2.2, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}}$ is finer than $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Fix any set $U \times V \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}}$, and if $(p, q) \in U \times V$, each coordinate induces basis elements from $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}}$, more precisely:

$$\begin{cases} p \in U \implies p \in \text{Basis element of } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}} \subseteq U \\ q \in V \implies q \in \text{Basis element of } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}} \subseteq V \end{cases} \implies (p, q) \in \underbrace{\quad}_{\text{in } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{X}}} \times \underbrace{\quad}_{\text{in } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{Y}}} \subseteq U \times V$$

by WTS 2.2, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is finer than $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. ■

The Cartesian Product of an arbitrary family of topological spaces, if equipped with the product topology, preserves a lot of the structure. If $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a family of topological spaces which are _____, then $\prod X_\alpha$ is _____. Replace _____ with:

1. Hausdorff, (Folland)
2. Regular,
3. Connected,
4. First countable, if A is countable,
5. Second countable, if A is countable,
6. Compact (Tychonoff, see Folland)

We will discuss Quotient Maps and the Quotient topology here.

Product Topology

Connectedness

Definition 4.1 *A topological space \mathbf{X} is connected iff U and V are disjoint open subsets whose union is \mathbf{X} , then at least one of U or V is empty.*

See Folland Exercise 4.10 for more properties.

Proposition 4.1. *Continuous functions map connected spaces to connected spaces (in the subspace topology).*

Proof. Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be topological spaces and $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be continuous. If $f(\mathbf{X})$ is disconnected, then we can find U and V , open and disjoint in $\mathcal{T}_{f(\mathbf{X})}$ such that

$$U \cup V = f(\mathbf{X}) \implies f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V) = \mathbf{X}$$

where $f^{-1}(f(\mathbf{X})) = \mathbf{X}$. Both $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are open, non-empty, and are pairwise disjoint. So \mathbf{X} is separated. ■

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\mathbf{X}_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)$ be a family of connected topological spaces indexed by $\alpha \in A$. Then $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ is disconnected in the product topology.*

Proof. We will attempt the contrapositive. Suppose $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ is disconnected, then ■

Topology in Analysis

Definition 4.2 A° is defined to be the largest open subset of A ,

$$A^\circ = \bigcup_{U \text{ open}, U \subseteq A} U$$

Corollary 4.1 The union of subsets of A is again a subset of A , therefore Corollary 4.1 implies $A^\circ \subseteq A$ for any $A \subseteq X$.

Definition 4.3 \bar{A} is the smallest closed superset of A ,

$$\bar{A} = \bigcap_{K \text{ closed}, A \subseteq K} K$$

Proposition 4.3. The complement of the closure is the interior of the complement, or equivalently: $(\bar{A})^c = A^{\circ c}$

Proof. Taking complements, and the substitution $U = K^c$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{A})^c &= \left(\bigcap_{K \text{ closed}, A \subseteq K} K \right)^c \\ &= \bigcup_{K \text{ closed}, K^c \subseteq A^c} K^c \\ &= \bigcup_{U \text{ open}, U \subseteq A^c} U \\ &= A^{\circ c} \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 4.1 Personally, I remember this as pushing the complement inside and flipping the bar to a c !

Definition 4.4 A neighbourhood of $x \in \mathbf{X}$ is a set $U \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ where $x \in U^\circ$. The set of neighbourhoods for a point $x \in \mathbf{X}$ will sometimes be denoted by $\mathcal{N}(x)$.

Proposition 4.4. If $W = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{X}, \text{ there exists a neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x, U \subseteq A \right\}$, then $W = A^\circ$.

Proof. If $x \in A^\circ$, then A is a neighbourhood of x , and $A \subseteq A$, so $x \in W$. Conversely, if x is a member of W , it has a neighbourhood $U \subseteq A$ (not necessarily open). By monotonicity of the interior,

$$x \in U^\circ \subseteq A^\circ$$

and $x \in A^\circ$. ■

It is easy to see that A is open $\iff A^\circ = A \iff A$ is a neighbourhood of itself.

- The first equivalence follows from:

$$E \subseteq \mathbf{X} \implies E^\circ \subseteq E$$

and if A is an open set, it is an open subset of itself, by Corollary 4.1 $A \subseteq A^\circ$. If $A^\circ = A$, then it suffices to show that A° is open. Which it is, since it is the arbitrary union of open sets.

- To prove the second equivalence: suppose $A^\circ = A$, then each $x \in A$ has a neighbourhood contained (as a subset) in A , namely A itself. (This statement is hard to parse, the reader is encouraged to really work through this and be honest).

$$x \in A^\circ \subseteq A \implies A \subseteq A^\circ$$

so A is a neighbourhood of itself. Conversely, if $A \subseteq A^\circ$, then $A = A^\circ$, since the reverse inclusion follows immediately from Corollary 4.1.

We will now discuss the closure of a set.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $A \subseteq X$, if $W = \left\{ x \in X, \text{ every neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x, U \cap A \neq \emptyset \right\}$, then $\overline{A} = W$*

Proof. Suppose $x \notin W$, then there exists a neighbourhood U of x where

$$U \cap A = \emptyset \iff U \subseteq A^c$$

this is exactly the definition of the interior of A^c , so $x \in A^{c\circ}$ and recall (from WTS 4.3) that $(\overline{A})^c = A^{c\circ}$, so $x \notin \overline{A}$. For the reverse inclusion, read the proof backwards, by flipping $\forall \rightarrow \exists$ within the set, and we see that

$$W^c = A^{c\circ} = (\overline{A})^c$$

■

Urysohn's Lemma Notes

Notes on the construction of the countable 'onion' sequence within a normal space \mathbf{X} .

If \mathbf{X} is a normal space, and A and B are disjoint closed subsets, then we can easily find an open U with

$$A \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq B^c \quad (4)$$

We say that U hides in B^c if the closure of U is contained in B^c . Define $\Delta_n = \left\{ k2^{-n}, 1 < k < 2^n \right\}$, so that $\Delta_n \subseteq (0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Notice

$$\Delta_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \Delta_n \supseteq \Delta_{n+1}$$

and the even indices for Δ_{n+1} are contained in Δ_n . Suppose Δ_n is well defined, it suffices to choose the odd indices for Δ_{n+1} . If $r = j2^{-(n+1)}$, where j is odd, then r sits in between precisely two elements in $\Delta_n \cup \{0, 1\}$. If r sits between an endpoint, then define $\overline{U}_0 = A$, and $B^c = U_1$. And denote the closest left and neighbours by s, t respectively. If $s < r < t$, it is clear that \overline{U}_s and U_t^c are disjoint closed sets.

Use the 'normal space' construction to obtain an superset of \overline{U}_s that hides in U_t , denote this open set by U_r , and similar to Equation (4)

$$\overline{U}_s \subseteq U_r \subseteq \overline{U}_r \subseteq U_t$$

Now that the construction of this sequence is complete, we wish to prove Urysohn's Lemma. Let A and B be disjoint closed sets. And define

$$f(x) = \inf \left\{ r \in \Delta \cup \{1\}, x \in U_r \right\}$$

where $U_1 = \mathbf{X}$. So that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ is immediate. If $x \in A$, then x is in all U_r , and by density of $\Delta \subseteq (0, 1)$, we have $f(x) = 0$. Conversely, if $x \in B$ then $x \notin U_r$ for all $r \in \Delta$, if E denotes the indices in Δ where $x \in U_s$ when $s \in E$,

$$(-\infty, r) \subseteq E^c \iff E \subseteq [r, +\infty) \iff \inf(E) \geq r \quad (5)$$

Send $r \rightarrow 1$ and $f(x) = 1$. Thus $f|_A = 0$ and $f|_B = 1$.

To show continuity, it suffices to show that the inverse images of the open half $\left\{ (x > \alpha), (x < \alpha) \right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$ lines are indeed open in \mathbf{X} . Let α be fixed. And if $x \in \{f < \alpha\}$, we can 'wiggle' the infimum towards the right (towards α), and using density of Δ within $(0, 1)$, there exists a $r \in E$ that satisfies $f(x) < r < \alpha$. This is equivalent to

$$x \in \bigcup_{r < \alpha} U_r$$

If there exists an $r < \alpha$ st x belongs to U_r as an element, then $f(x) \leq r < \alpha$.

If $f(x) > \alpha$, then $(-\infty, \alpha) \subseteq E^c$, by Equation (5). Suppose $\alpha < 1$, otherwise $\{f > \alpha\} = \emptyset$. Wiggle $f(x)$ to the left and obtain an $r \in \Delta$, $\alpha < r < f(x)$ with $x \notin U_r$. By density again, take any $s < r$ by a small amount (st $s > \alpha$, $s \in \Delta$), and

$$\overline{U}_s \subseteq U_r \iff U_r^c \subseteq \overline{U}_s$$

so that $x \in \overline{U}_s^c$ for some $s > \alpha$. This is equivalent to

$$x \in \bigcup_{s > \alpha} \overline{U}_s^c$$

Conversely, if $x \notin \overline{U}_s^c$ for some $s > \alpha$, since $\{U_r\}$ (thus $\{\overline{U}_r\}$) is increasing, and $x \notin U_r$ for every $r \leq s$. Hence,

$$(-\infty, s] \subseteq E^c \iff E \subseteq (s, +\infty) \iff f(x) \geq s > \alpha$$

Exercises

Exercise 4.1

Proposition 1.1. *If $\text{card } \mathbf{X} \geq 2$, there is a topology on \mathbf{X} that is T_0 but not T_1 .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{x\} \cup B, B \subseteq \mathbf{X}\}$, where $x \in \mathbf{X}$ is any point in \mathbf{X} . Suppose U_1 , and U_2 are open sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$, if either is empty then their intersection must be contained in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$. Otherwise $U_1 = \{x\} \cup B_1$, and $U_2 = \{x\} \cup B_2$, where B_1 and B_2 are subsets of \mathbf{X} .

$$U_1 \cap U_2 = \{x\}(B_1 \cap B_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$$

Notice also $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{X}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$. Fix an arbitrary family of open sets $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$, in similar fashion we have $\bigcup U_{\alpha} = \{x\} \cup \left(\bigcup B_{\alpha \in A}\right)$ so their union is contained in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ as well.

This topology is T_0 . Fix $y \neq z$ in \mathbf{X} , if either y or z is x , then choosing $\{x\}$ does the job. So assume $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, and $\{y\} \cup \{x\}$ is an open set that does not contain z . This topology cannot be T_1 , as x sticks onto every open set, so there are no open sets which separate x from the other points in \mathbf{X} . ■

Exercise 4.2

Proposition 2.1. *If \mathbf{X} is an infinite set, the cofinite topology on \mathbf{X} is T_1 but not T_2 , and is first countable iff \mathbf{X} is countable.*

Proof. We will first verify that the cofinite topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a topology.

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = \left\{ U, \quad U^c \text{ is finite.} \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

So that $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{X}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$. Let U_1 and U_2 be a pair of open sets, assuming if neither of them are empty, then U_2^c and U_1^c are finite sets, so that $U_1^c \cup U_2^c$ is finite as well. Use DeMorgan to see that $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$.

If $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an arbitrary collection of open sets, then

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}^c \subseteq U_{\beta}^c$$

where $\beta \in A$ is arbitrary, so U_{β}^c is finite. And the union $\bigcup U_{\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$.

To show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is T_1 , every singleton set is closed. To show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is not T_2 , fix $x \neq y$. If B_x and B_y are open sets that contain x and y respectively. If B_x and B_y disjoint, then

$$B_x \subseteq B_y^c$$

Which means B_x is an open, finite subset. But the only open and finite subset of \mathbf{X} is the empty set. This contradicts $x \in B_x$.

If \mathbf{X} is countable, we will find a neighbourhood base $\mathcal{N}_B(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{X}$ as follows:

- We can index \mathbf{X} using $\mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$, so without loss of generality, let $x_0 = x$, and
- Define $U_1 = \{x_1\}^c$, and $U_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \{x_j\}^c$ are open sets that contain x . Equivalently,

$$U_n = \left\{ x_j, j \geq n+1 \right\} \cup \{x_0\}$$

- If V is an open set that contains x_0 , then V^c is finite, let $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$ be the largest index of $x_j \notin V$ (the negation of this is that if $j \geq M + 1$, then $x_j \in V$) then $U_{M+1} \subseteq V$ as needed, and \mathbf{X} is first countable.

Conversely, if \mathbf{X} is first countable, we can find a descending sequence of neighbourhoods which form a neighbourhood base, $\{U_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$. And each U_j^c is finite, so $\bigcup U_j^c$ is countable. Assume for contradiction that \mathbf{X} is uncountable, then

$$\bigcup U_j^c = \left(\bigcap U_j \right)^c$$

is countable, hence the intersection $\bigcap U_j$ must be uncountable (hence infinite). Pick $y \neq x$, where y belongs in the intersection of all neighbourhoods U_j . This contradicts the fact that $\{U_j\}$ is a neighbourhood base, as x is an element in the open set $\{y\}^c$ therefore there must be a U_k

$$x \in U_k \subseteq \{y\}^c$$

But $y \in U_k$ for each U_k and the proof is complete. ■

Exercise 4.3

Proposition 3.1. *Every metric space is normal. (If A, B are disjoint closed sets in the metric space, consider the set of points x where $d(x, A) < d(x, B)$ or $d(x, A) > d(x, B)$).*

Proof. First, we show that if A is closed, then $d(x, A) = 0 \iff x \in A$. If $x \in A$, then $d(x, A) \leq d(x, x) = 0$. if $x \notin A$, then there exists a ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ where $B(\varepsilon, x) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence, ε is a lower bound for the set $\{d(x, y), y \in A\}$, taking the infimum over this set we see that $d(x, A) \geq \varepsilon > 0$.

Fix some $x \in \Phi_A$ where $\Phi_A = \left\{y \in X, d(y, A) < d(y, B)\right\}$. We wish to find an open ball about x that is contained in Φ_A . The Triangle Inequality works for this definition of distance as well, as

$$f(a) \leq g(a), \forall a \in A \implies \inf_{a \in A} f(a) \leq \inf_{a \in A} g(a) \quad (6)$$

If $a \in A$, then $d(z, A) \leq d(x, z) + d(x, A)$, using Equation (6) yields

$$\begin{cases} d(z, A) \leq d(x, A) + d(x, z) \\ d(x, B) - d(x, z) \leq d(z, B) \end{cases}$$

where $z \in B(\varepsilon, x)$ so $d(x, z) \lesssim \varepsilon$. The second estimate above is found by 'flipping an upper bound to become a lower bound'. We can choose $d(x, z)$ sufficiently small that

$$d(x, A) + d(x, z) < d(x, B) - d(x, z)$$

in order to 'pipe' the two inequalities, so

$$2d(x, z) < d(x, B) - d(x, A) \quad (7)$$

Take $\varepsilon = [d(x, B) - d(x, A)]3^{-1}$, then $z \in B(\varepsilon, x)$ implies $d(x, z) < \varepsilon$, and Equation (7) holds. See Figure 1 for details. ■




Figure 1: Exercise 4.3: Finding a ε small enough that fits within $d(x, A) < d(x, B)$

Exercise 4.4

Proposition 4.1.

Proof.

■

Exercise 4.5

Proposition 5.1. *Every separable metric space is second countable.*

Proof. We wish to show that if \mathbf{X} is a metric space, then

$$\text{second countable} \iff \text{separable}$$

Suppose \mathbf{X} is separable, where A is a countable dense subset in \mathbf{X} , and $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Let U be an open set that contains x , so $B(\varepsilon, x) \subseteq U$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. $B(\varepsilon/2, x)$ is a non-empty open set, therefore contains some $y \in A$ (this follows from the definition of density). If we choose $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ wisely,

$$d(x, y) < r < \varepsilon/2$$

So that $x \in B(r, y)$, and if $z \in B(r, y)$, then

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(z, y) < r + r < \varepsilon$$

So $x \in B(r, y) \subseteq U$. But $\{B(r, y), r \in \mathbb{Q}^+, y \in A\}$ is countable. Therefore \mathbf{X} is second countable.

Conversely, Proposition 4.5 gives us the \Leftarrow direction. But we will repeat anyway, if \mathbf{X} is second-countable with \mathcal{E} as a countable base, then take

$$W = \left\{ x_\alpha \in U, \quad U \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

by picking a point from each set, we claim W is dense in \mathbf{X} , so $\overline{W} = \mathbf{X}$. If not, then $\overline{W}^c \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\overline{W}^c = (W^c)^o \neq \emptyset$$

Pick a point $x \in W^o$, which is an open set containing x . But the way we chose W does not allow for any open set $U \in \mathcal{E}$ with $x \in U \subseteq W^o$, since

By picking one point from each of the base sets, grouping these points and call it W , and flipping to the complement. Each $U \in \mathcal{E}$ admits a point that escapes W^o . Therefore we can ensure no $U \in \mathcal{E}$ can be a subset of W^o .

■

Exercise 4.6

Proposition 6.1.

Proof.



Exercise 4.7

Proposition 7.1. *If \mathbf{X} is a topological space, a point $x \in \mathbf{X}$ is called a cluster point of the sequence $\{x_j\}$ if for every neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, $x_j \in U$ for infinitely many j . If \mathbf{X} is first countable, x is a cluster point of $\{x_j\}$ iff some subsequence of $\{x_j\}$ converges to x .*

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point in $z \in \mathbf{X}$. Fix a descending sequence of neighbourhoods $U_k \subseteq \mathcal{N}(z)$, where

$$U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq U_k$$

Define $n_k = \text{least } \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}^+, j > n_{k-1}, x_j \in U_k \right\}$ with $n_0 = 0$, so that for every $m \geq k$, $x_{n_m} \in U_k$ eventually. And $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a subsequence which converges to z . This proves (\implies).

Conversely (this part does not require that \mathbf{X} be first countable), if $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a subsequence that converges to $z \in \mathbf{X}$. Every neighbourhood of z must intersect all but infinitely many x_{n_k} , therefore z is a cluster point of $\{x_n\}$. ■

Exercise 4.8

Proposition 8.1. *If \mathbf{X} is an infinite set with the cofinite topology and $\{x_j\}$ is a sequence of distinct points in \mathbf{X} , then $x_j \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in \mathbf{X}$.*

Proof. The intuition here is that the cofinite topology does not distinguish between points, so it acts as a type of jelly that hides the points.

Let $x \in \mathbf{X}$ be arbitrary, if $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ then $U^o \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, so that $\{y_j\}_{j \leq k}$ are the k points that are required to extend U^o to \mathbf{X} . (All but finitely many points are in any open set of \mathbf{X}).

There exists a large $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ so that for every $n \geq N$,

$$x_j \notin \{y_j\}_{j \leq k} \implies x_j \in U^o$$

eventually. And $x_j \rightarrow x$. ■

Exercise 4.9

Proposition 9.1.

Proof.



Exercise 4.10

Proposition 10.1. *A topological space \mathbf{X} is called disconnected if there exists non-empty, disjoint open sets U, V and $U \cup V = \mathbf{X}$; otherwise \mathbf{X} is connected. When we speak of connected or disconnected subsets of \mathbf{X} , we refer to the relative topology on them*

- (a) \mathbf{X} is connected iff \emptyset and \mathbf{X} are the only two clopen sets.
- (b) If $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a collection of connected subsets of \mathbf{X} , and $\bigcap E_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcup E_\alpha$ is connected.
- (c) If $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ is connected, then \overline{A} is connected,
- (d) Every point in $x \in \mathbf{X}$ contained in a unique maximal connected subset of \mathbf{X} , and this subset is closed. It is called the connected component of x .

Proof. The proof is rather long, so we will split it in several parts. A topological space is disconnected iff it can be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty open sets. Often it is easier to show that a space is disconnected rather than connected.

Part A: Suppose \mathbf{X} is disconnected, this induces a pair of non-empty open sets, A , and B whose union is \mathbf{X} , and

$$A \cap B = \emptyset \iff A \subseteq B^c$$

their union is \mathbf{X} , hence

$$A \cup B = \mathbf{X} \iff B^c \subseteq A$$

combining the last two estimates, we see that $B = A^c$, so both A and $A^c = B$ are closed. This proves (\Leftarrow).

Now suppose $\{A, A^c\} \neq \{\emptyset, \mathbf{X}\}$ are both clopen. Clearly A is disjoint from its complement, and their union is \mathbf{X} .

Part B: We will attempt the contrapositive. Suppose $E = \bigcup E_\alpha$ is disconnected. This induces D and D^c which are clopen in the relative topology of E , (by Part A). More precisely,

$$\bigcup E_\alpha = \underbrace{\bigcup (E_\alpha \cap D)}_{\neq \emptyset} + \underbrace{\bigcup (E_\alpha \setminus D)}_{\neq \emptyset} \quad (8)$$

The intersection $\bigcap E_{\alpha \in A}$ is non-trivial, hence

$$\bigcap E_{\alpha} = \underbrace{\bigcap (E_{\alpha} \cap D)}_{\neq \emptyset} + \bigcap (E_{\alpha} \setminus D) \neq \emptyset \quad (9)$$

so at least one of the members on the right are non-empty. Assume without loss of generality that $\bigcap (E_{\alpha} \cap D)$ is not empty. This tells us $E_{\alpha} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha \in A$. But by Equation (8), if we concentrate on the right member,

$$\bigcup (E_{\alpha} \setminus D) \neq \emptyset \implies \exists \beta \in A, E_{\beta} \setminus D \neq \emptyset$$

And for this particular $\beta \in A$, we see that both D and D^c are non-trivially open in E_{β} , and the proof is complete. A poetic way to summarize the proof would be:

If the whole is disconnected, and there exists common ground over which the family of sets covers, and because the common ground (intersection) is non-trivial, either D or D^c is non-trivially open in all E_{α} . The intersection gives us "∀", while the union gives us "∃" for a non-trivially open D or D^c .

There is an alternate way of proving Part B, without using the clopen definition of connectedness. Let C and D be non-empty, disjoint, open sets in $\bigcup E_{\alpha}$ whose union is $\bigcup E_{\alpha}$.

$$\bigcap E_{\alpha} = \bigcap [E_{\alpha} \cap C] + \bigcap [E_{\alpha} \cap D] \neq \emptyset$$

Pick $p \in \bigcap E_{\alpha}$, without loss of generality, assume $p \in \bigcap [E_{\alpha} \cap C]$, then for every α we have

$$p \in E_{\alpha} \cap C \implies E_{\alpha} \cap C \neq \emptyset$$

Since E_{α} is connected, $E_{\alpha} \cap D = \emptyset$ for each α . Taking the union over all $E_{\alpha} \cap D$, we see that

$$\bigcup [E_{\alpha} \cap D] = \emptyset$$

which contradicts the assumption $D \neq \emptyset$.

Part C: Suppose \bar{A} is disconnected, this induces a non-trivial clopen set D relative to \bar{A} .

- Since $\bar{A} \cap D \neq \emptyset$, choose any $y \in \bar{A} \cap D \subseteq \bar{A}$, since D is a neighbourhood of y , and y is an adherent point of A . It is immediate that $A \cap D$ is non-empty.

- Similarly for $A \setminus D \neq \emptyset$,

therefore $\{D, D^c\}$ is non-trivially clopen in A , and A is disconnected.

Part D: The idea here is to use Part B. Let x be fixed, and $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be the family of all connected sets containing x , since their intersection is non-trivial, their union, E is connected. The closure of their union is then the maximal connected component containing x . Indeed, if G is a connected set containing x , then $G \subseteq \bigcup E_\alpha = E$, so $G \subseteq \overline{E}$. ■

Exercise 4.11

Proposition 11.1. *If E_1, \dots, E_n are subsets of a topological space, the closure of $\bigcup_1^n E_j$ is $\bigcup_1^n \overline{E_j}$*

Proof. The finite union of closed sets is again closed, so

$$\forall j \leq n, E_j \subseteq \overline{E_j} \implies \overline{\bigcup_1^n E_j} \subseteq \bigcup_1^n \overline{E_j}$$

For the reverse estimate, $E_j \subseteq \bigcup_1^n E_j \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_1^n E_j}$ is a closed set that contains each E_j , therefore

$$\forall j \leq n, \overline{E_j} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_1^n E_j} \implies \bigcup_1^n \overline{E_j} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_1^n E_j}$$

■

Corollary 11.1 *The interior operator distributes over intersections. If A and B are subsets of \mathbf{X} , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(A^c \cup B^c)} &= (\overline{A^c} \cap \overline{B^c}) \\ \left(\overline{(A^c \cup B^c)} \right)^c &= A^o \cap B^o \\ \left(A^c \cup B^c \right)^{co} &= A^o \cap B^o \\ (A \cap B)^o &= A^o \cap B^o \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4.12

Proposition 12.1. *Let \mathbf{X} be a set. A Kuratowski closure operator on \mathbf{X} is a map $A \mapsto A^*$ from $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X})$ to itself satisfying*

- (i) $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$ (does nothing to the empty set),
- (ii) $A \subseteq A^*$ (monotonicity),
- (iii) $(A^*)^* = A^*$ (idempotence)
- (iv) $(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$ (distributes over finite unions)

Prove

- (a) *If \mathbf{X} is a topological space, the map $A \mapsto \overline{A}$ is a Kuratowski closure operator. (Use Exercise 11.)*
- (b) *Conversely, given a Kuratowski closure operator, let $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq \mathbf{X}, A = A^*\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{U \subseteq \mathbf{X}, U^c \in \mathcal{F}\}$, then \mathcal{T} is a topology on \mathbf{X} , and for any set $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, A^* will be its closure with respect to \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Part A: The empty set is closed, so $\overline{\emptyset} = \emptyset$, and \overline{A} is the smallest closed superset of A , so $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$. $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ is closed iff $\overline{A} = A$, so idempotence holds. Distributivity follows from Exercise 11 directly.

Part B: We first show that \mathcal{T} is indeed a topology. Fix U_1 and U_2 in \mathcal{T} , so that $U_1^c \cup U_2^c = (U_1 \cap U_2)^c$. The map $A \mapsto A^*$ distributes over finite unions, hence

$$(U_1^c \cup U_2^c)^* = (U_1^c)^* \cup (U_2^c)^* = U_1^c \cup U_2^c$$

Therefore $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Now suppose $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, then

$$\left(\bigcup U_\alpha \right)^c = \bigcap U_\alpha^c$$

by monotonicity (Property ii): $\bigcap U_\alpha^c \subseteq \left(\bigcap U_\alpha^c \right)^*$. To prove the reverse inclusion, notice if α is held fixed,

$$\bigcap U_\alpha^c \subseteq U_\alpha^c \implies \left(\bigcap U_\alpha^c \right)^* \subseteq U_\alpha^{c*}$$

this follows from 'monotonicity' of the closure operator: if A is a subset of B , then we can write

$$B = A + (B \setminus A) \implies A^* \subseteq A^* + (B \setminus A)^* = B^*$$

Take the intersection over all $\alpha \in A$ on the right member,

$$\left(\bigcap U_\alpha^c \right)^* \subseteq \bigcap U_\alpha^{c*} = \bigcap U_\alpha^c$$

Hence $\left(\bigcap U_\alpha^c \right)^* = \bigcap U_\alpha^c$. The empty set and \mathbf{X} are elements of \mathcal{F} . Since $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{X}^* \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, and $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{X}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. So \mathcal{T} is a topology.

Finally, A^* is a closed superset of A and suppose K is another closed superset,

$$A \subseteq K \implies A^* \subseteq K^*$$

So A^* is the smallest closed superset of A and this proves the last claim. ■

Exercise 4.13

Proposition 13.1. *If \mathbf{X} is a topological space, U is open in \mathbf{X} and A is dense in \mathbf{X} , then $\overline{U} = \overline{U \cap A}$.*

Proof. The takeaway here is that if A is dense in \mathbf{X} , every point $z \in U$ can be approximated by points in $U \cap A$. And an important technique of 'demoting' the neighbourhood to become the interior of the neighbourhood can yield some nice properties. Since the interior of a neighbourhood is again a neighbourhood. This allows intersection with open sets to inherit the 'neighbourhoodness' of the set.

Let $z \in \overline{U}$, and fix a neighbourhood $V \in \mathcal{N}(z)$, so that the interior of V is also a neighbourhood. By the alternate definition of \overline{U} in terms of adherent points (see WTS 4.5) of \overline{U} , $V^\circ \cap U \neq \emptyset$. This is a non-empty open set, therefore it must intersect A non-trivially.

$$x \in (V^\circ \cap U) \cap A = V^\circ \cap (U \cap A)$$

and $z \in \overline{U \cap A}$.

■

Remark 13.1 *We simply used the fact*

$$\overline{E} = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{X}, \forall V \in \mathcal{N}(x), V \cap E \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and the following equivalent characterization of density

$$E \text{ is dense in } \mathbf{X} \iff \text{For every non-empty open set } U, U \cap E \neq \emptyset$$

Exercise 4.14

Proposition 14.1. *If \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are topological spaces, $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is continuous iff $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ iff $f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \subseteq \overline{f^{-1}(B)}$ for all $B \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$.*

Proof. First Equivalence: If f is continuous, fix any $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, and $z \in \overline{A}$, by WTS 4.5 (I will spare you the flipping by including):

$$\overline{A} = \{x \in \mathbf{X}, \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x), U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{N}(f(z))$, so that $f^{-1}(U^\circ)$ is an open set containing z and $f^{-1}(U^\circ) \in \mathcal{N}(z)$, so

$$f^{-1}(U^\circ) \cap A \neq \emptyset \implies U^\circ \cap f(A) \subseteq U \cap f(A)$$

so $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$. Conversely, suppose $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ holds for every $A \subseteq \mathbf{X}$. The following is a sequence of symbolic manipulations that I found but have zero intuitive understanding about. First take the inverse image

$$\overline{A} \subseteq f^{-1}\left(f(\overline{A})\right) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\overline{f(A)}\right)$$

Next, let F be a closed set in \mathbf{Y} , and make the substitution $A = f^{-1}(F)$, hence

$$\overline{f^{-1}(F)} \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\overline{f(f^{-1}(F))}\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{F}) = f^{-1}(F)$$

for the second inclusion we used the monotonicity of the closure, and since $\overline{f^{-1}(F)} = f^{-1}(F)$, we are done.

Second Equivalence: Suppose $f \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, then $\overline{B} \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$ is a closed set, so $f^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is closed in \mathbf{X} . By monotonicity of the inverse image,

$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \implies \overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$$

Conversely, if $\overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$ for any $B \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$, take any closed $B \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$, and

$$\overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \overline{f^{-1}(B)}$$

so $f^{-1}(B)$ is closed, and f is in $C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. ■

Exercise 4.16**Proposition 15.1.***Proof.*

- (a) Let $x \in \{f \neq g\}$, then there exists disjoint open subsets of \mathbf{Y} , $f(x) \in U$ and $g(x) \in V$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$, but $f^{-1}(U) \cap g^{-1}(V)$ is an open set in \mathbf{X} that contains x . Therefore $\{f \neq g\}$ is open in \mathbf{X} .
- (b) Suppose $\{f = g\} = E$ is dense in \mathbf{X} . Let $x \in E$, induces two disjoint open sets exactly like in part a. This is an open set that contains x , and $y \in f^{-1}(U) \cap g^{-1}(V) \cap E$. Since $y \in E$, it follows that $f(y) = g(y)$, and

$$\begin{cases} y \in f^{-1}(U) \implies f(y) \in U \\ y \in g^{-1}(V) \implies g(y) \in V \end{cases}$$

■

Exercise 4.17

Theorem 4.1

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that A is a subset of X , let $\text{acc } A$ be the set of accumulation points of A , then*

$$\overline{A} = A \cup \text{acc } (A) \quad (10)$$

and A is closed if and only if $\text{acc } (A) \subseteq A$.

Proof. Suppose that $x \notin \overline{A}$, then $x \in (\overline{A})^c = A^{\circ}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$. But this means that $x \notin \text{acc } (A)$, since there exists a neighbourhood of x (in the form of A^c), such that

$$A \cap A^c \setminus \{x\} = A \cap A^c = \emptyset$$

Also, $A \subseteq \overline{A} \implies (\overline{A})^c \subseteq A^c$ which means that

$$x \notin \overline{A} \implies x \notin A$$

Since $x \notin \overline{A} \implies x \notin A$ and $x \notin \text{acc } (A)$,

$$(\overline{A})^c \subseteq A^c \cap \text{acc } (A)^c = (A \cup \text{acc } (A))^c$$

Now, if $x \notin \text{acc } (A) \cup A$, then $x \notin \text{acc } (A)$, therefore there exists some $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$ such that

$$A \cap U \setminus \{x\} = A \cap U = \emptyset$$

Where for the second last equality we used the fact that $x \notin A \implies A \setminus \{x\} = A$, and taking complements gives us

$$U \subseteq A^c$$

And since $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, then $x \in U^{\circ} \subseteq A^{\circ}$ (since U° is an open subset of A^c). then

$$x \in A^{\circ} = (\overline{A})^c \implies x \notin (\overline{A})^c$$

Therefore $(A \cup \text{acc } (A))^c \subseteq (\overline{A})^c$. ■

Theorem 4.2

Proposition 2.1. *If \mathcal{T}_X is a topology on X and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_X$ then \mathcal{E} is a base for \mathcal{T}_X if and only if for every*

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{T}_X, U \neq \emptyset, \implies U = \bigcup_{V \in B} V$$

Where B is a subset of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is a base, then fix any non-empty $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$, then for every $x \in U$, there exists a neighbourhood base for this x and a member $V \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x \in V_x \subseteq U$. Take the union over all V_x and

$$U \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x$$

But each $V_x \subseteq U$, so $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x$, where $\{V_x\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.

Conversely, if every non-empty U is a union of members in \mathcal{E} then fix any $x \in X$, we claim that we have a neighbourhood base in

$$\{V \in \mathcal{E}, x \in V\}$$

The reason is as follows

- x belongs to every $E \in \{V \in \mathcal{E}, x \in V\}$ and
- For every open U , if $x \in U$ then there exists a union of members of \mathcal{E} such that $U = \bigcup E_\alpha$, then $x \in U \iff \exists E_\alpha \in \{V \in \mathcal{E}, x \in V\}$ and
- Using this particular $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ that we just found, $x \in E_\alpha \subseteq U$, and we are done.

■

Theorem 4.3

Proposition 3.1. *For every $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$, \mathcal{E} is base for a topology on X if and only if*

- (a) *each $x \in X$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{E}$, and*
- (b) *if $U, V \in \mathcal{E}$, and $x \in U \cap V$, then there must exist some $W \in \mathcal{E}$ with $x \in W \subseteq U \cap V$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is a base, then we get a), and b) follows since for every $U, V \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_X$, and by closure over finite intersections, $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_X$ implies that there exists some $W \in \mathcal{E}$ with

$$x \in W \subseteq U \cap V$$

Now, suppose both a) and b) hold, then we claim that this $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$ induces a topology on X

$$\mathcal{T} = \{U \subseteq X, \forall x \in U, \exists V \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ with } x \in V \subseteq U\}$$

Intuitively speaking, this means that \mathcal{T} is just fine (and not too fine) to satisfy the conditions for $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ to be a base of \mathcal{T} .

We first show that \mathcal{T} is a topology.

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}$, the first is trivial and the second is from a)
- Closure under unions: fix $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, and $U = \bigcup U_\alpha$, and for every $x \in U$ there exists some $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x \in V_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha \subseteq U$, therefore $U \in \mathcal{T}$.
- Closure under finite intersections, fix any U_1, U_2 as elements in \mathcal{T} , then suppose that they are not disjoint (if they are disjoint then their intersection is the empty set, which is also contained in \mathcal{T}). If $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, then for every $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ induces two sets $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ with $x \in V_1 \subseteq U_1$ and $x \in V_2 \subseteq U_2$, taking their intersection and applying b) gives us some $V \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2$ with $V \in \mathcal{E}$ therefore $x \in V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$, and \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersections.

Now to show that \mathcal{E} is a base for \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is obvious since every $V \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies the properties laid out by \mathcal{T} by simply choosing V again for any $x \in V$. Now fix any member $U \in \mathcal{T}$, then for every $x \in U$, there exists some $V \in \mathcal{E}$ with

$$x \in V \subseteq U$$

(This is an immediate consequence of how we defined \mathcal{T}). And we can conclude that \mathcal{E} is a base for this induced topology \mathcal{T} . ■

Theorem 4.4

Proposition 4.1. *If $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$, the topology $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$ generated by \mathcal{E} consists of \emptyset, X and all unions of finite intersections of \mathcal{E} , in symbols*

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) = \{\emptyset, X\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup W_\alpha, W_\alpha = \bigcap E_{j \leq n}, E_j \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

Proof. Denote the set

$$W = \{X\} \cup \left\{ \bigcap V_{j \leq n}, V_j \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

We claim this set W satisfies Theorem 4.3. Since 4.3a) is satisfied with $X \in W$. 4.3b) follows since the right member in W is closed under intersections.

And if we are taking an element from each member, $E_1 \in \{\emptyset, X\}$ and E_2 is an element in the right member, then it is trivial to verify that their intersection is always contained within W . Therefore W induces a topology by Theorem 4.2, and we call this topology \mathcal{T} — and for the sake of completeness

$$\mathcal{T} = \{U \subseteq X, \forall x \in U, \exists V \in \mathcal{E}, x \in V \subseteq U\}$$

We so claim that if we define \overline{W} as the union of all members $w \in W$, together with the empty set, is equal to the set \mathcal{T} .

$$\overline{W} = \left\{ \bigcup_{w \in W} w \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

- We want to show $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \overline{W}$, since W is a base for the topology \mathcal{T} , every (non-empty) $U \in \mathcal{T}$ is the union of members in W (Theorem 4.2), and there exists some $B \subseteq W$ with

$$U = \bigcup_{E_\alpha \in B} E_\alpha \in \overline{W}$$

Now if U is the empty set then it is trivially contained within \overline{W} .

- Next, we show that $\overline{W} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, fix any element $E \in \overline{W}$, if $E = \emptyset$ then there is nothing to prove since \mathcal{T} is a topology. Now for every $x \in E$,

$$x \in E = \bigcup_{w \in W} w \implies x \in w$$

Therefore $E \in \mathcal{T}$ by definition. This proves that $\mathcal{T} = \overline{W}$.

Now that \overline{W} is a topology, that contains \mathcal{E} as a subset, and by definition of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) = \bigcap \{A, \text{ is a topology, and } \mathcal{E} \subseteq A\}$$

Tells us

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \overline{W}, \quad \text{since } \overline{W} \in \{A, \text{ is a topology, and } \mathcal{E} \subseteq A\}$$

Conversely, fix any member $E \in \overline{W}$, if $E = \emptyset$ then $E \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$, if not, then there exists some subset $B \subseteq W$ such that

$$E = \bigcup_{w \in B} w = \bigcup_{w \in B} \bigcap_{j \leq n} V_{j \leq n}^w V_j \in \mathcal{E} \cup \{X\}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$ is closed under finite intersections and unions, and it contains \mathcal{E} as a subset, $\overline{W} = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$ and we are done. ■

Theorem 4.5

Proposition 5.1. *Every second countable space is separable. (Countable dense subset).*

Proof. What we wish to prove is that if a space X has a countable base, then it has a countable dense subset. Denote this base of X by \mathcal{E} as usual, then we claim that

$$W = \{x_u, U \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

Is a dense subset in X . Note that $(\overline{W})^c = W^{\circ} \in \mathcal{T}_X$. If $W^{\circ} = \emptyset$ then we simply take complements and we get $\overline{W} = X$. So suppose that W° is non-empty, then for each $x \in W^{\circ}$ (by definition of a base), it should induce some $V_x \in \mathcal{E}$ with

$$x \in V_x \subseteq W^{\circ}$$

But clearly, for every element in \mathcal{E} , the second estimate can never be satisfied, since for every $U \in \mathcal{E}$, $x_U \notin W^{\circ}$ for this particular set W° . Therefore W° must be empty, and this completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.6

Proposition 6.1. *If X is first countable, then for every $A \subseteq X$, $x \in \overline{A} \iff$ there exists some sequence $\{x_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subseteq A$ such that $x_j \rightarrow x$.*

Proof. Suppose that X is first countable, and $A \subseteq X$, and fix any element $x \in \overline{A}$. Since X is first countable, there is a sequence of descending neighbourhoods of $\{U_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of x such that

$$U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq U_j \supseteq U_{j+1}$$

If $x \in A$, take $x_n = x$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $x \in \text{acc}(A)$, then take $x_n \in U_n \cap A \setminus \{x\} = U_n \cap A$, which is not empty. Then it remains to show that this sequence converges to x . Fix any neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$ then there exists some N , for every $n \geq N$

$$x \in U^o \implies \exists N \in \mathbb{N}^+, x \in U_N \subseteq U^o$$

Then every $x_n \in A \cap U_N \subseteq A \cap U^o \subseteq U^o$. And this establishes \implies .

Now suppose that $x \notin \overline{A}$, so that $x \notin A$ and $x \notin \text{acc}(A)$, then fix any sequence $\{x_j\} \subseteq A$. We wish to show that $x_j \not\rightarrow x$.

Since $x \notin \text{acc}(A)$, there exists some $V \in \mathcal{N}_B(X)$ with

$$A \cap V \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset \implies V \subseteq A^c$$

Since $\{x_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subseteq A \implies x_j \notin A^c$ for every $j \geq 1$, then choose V as the neighbourhood around x , and $x_j \not\rightarrow x$ for any arbitrary sequence x_j in A . ■

Remark 6.1 *To truly understand what is going on one should recall that all metric space spaces are first countable.*

Theorem 4.7

Proposition 7.1. *X is a T_1 space $\iff \{x\}$ is closed for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. If X is T_1 and $x \in X$, then for every $y \neq x$ there exists some open U_y that contains y but not x . Following Folland's argument closely, every $y \neq x$ is in $\cup U_{y \neq x}$. Hence $\{x\}^c \subseteq \cup U_{y \neq x}$. To show the converse, for every $z \in \cup U_{y \neq x}$ that is open, there exists a $y \neq x$ such that $z \in U_y$. But every U_y does not contain x as an element, so $z \neq x$ implies that $z \notin \{x\}$. And $z \in \{x\}^c$. Hence $\cup U_{y \neq x} = \{x\}^c$.

Now conversely if every $x \in X$ satisfies the fact that $\{x\}^c$ is open, then $\{x\}^c$ is an open set that contains every $y \neq x$. Now fix some $y \neq x$, since $\{y\}$ is also closed, we have $X \cap \{x\}^c$ is an open set that contains x but not y . Also, $\{x\}^c$ is an open set that contains y but not x . And therefore X is T_1 . ■

Theorem 4.8

Proposition 8.1. *The map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if at f is continuous at every $x \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose that f is continuous, then fix any $f(x) \in Y$ and any of its neighbourhood $V \in \mathcal{N}_B(f(x))$,

$$f(x) \in V^o \implies f^{-1}(V^o) \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$$

But by continuity, $f^{-1}(V^o)$ is an open set that contains x , with

$$f\left(f^{-1}(V^o)\right) \subseteq V^o$$

Therefore f is continuous at x . Now suppose that f is continuous at every $x \in X$, then for every open subset $V \subseteq Y$, and for every point $f(x) \in V = V^o$ means that $V \in \mathcal{N}_B(f(x))$ for all such points $f(x)$. By continuity, for every x in $f^{-1}(V)$, implies that $f^{-1}(V)$ is a neighbourhood of all of its elements, therefore $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq (f^{-1}(V))^o$, and $f^{-1}(V)$ is open. ■

Theorem 4.9

Proposition 9.1. *If \mathcal{E}_Y generates the topology on Y , and f is a mapping from $X \rightarrow Y$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ for every $V \in \mathcal{E}_Y$.*

Proof. The inverse image commutes with intersections, complements, and unions. To prove \Leftarrow , use Theorem 4.4, since every $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ can be represented the union of finite intersections of elements \mathcal{E}_Y , and use the fact that \mathcal{T}_X is closed under arbitrary unions and finite intersections.

To show \Rightarrow , since $\mathcal{E}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{T}_Y$, if f^{-1} is open for every $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$, then it is open for every $U \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ as well. ■

Theorem 4.10

Proposition 10.1. *If X_α is Hausdorff for each $\alpha \in A$, then $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. If two elements in X , $x \neq y$ then there exists some $\alpha \in A$ such that $\pi_\alpha(x) \neq \pi_\alpha(y) \in X_\alpha$, but this X_α is Hausdorff, then there exists two open, disjoint sets $V_x, V_y \subseteq X_\alpha$ such that

- $x \in \pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_x)$, and $y \in \pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_y)$
- $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_x) \cap \pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_y) = \pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_x \cap V_y) = \emptyset$
- $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_x), \pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_y) \in \mathcal{T}_X$

Where for the last bullet point we used the fact that the product topology makes all the projection maps continuous. This proves that X is Hausdorff. ■

Theorem 4.11

Proposition 11.1. *If X_α and Y are topological spaces, and $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$, and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a mapping. Then f is continuous if and only if $\pi_\alpha \circ f$ is continuous for each $\alpha \in A$.*

Proof. If $\pi_\alpha \circ f$ is continuous at each α , this means that

$$\forall \alpha \in A, \forall E_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha, f^{-1}(\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)) \in \mathcal{T}_Y$$

But it is exactly sets of the form $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ which generate the weak topology for \mathcal{T}_X . Therefore f is continuous.

Now, suppose that f is continuous, by definition of the weak topology (as it is generated by the set of inverse projections), for every $\alpha \in A$, $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ and by continuity of f , its inverse image is open in Y as well. ■

Remark 11.1 *The take-away intuition here is that if the range space is generated by some \mathcal{E} , then a function is continuous if and only if all inverse images of sets in \mathcal{E} are open in the domain space. Furthermore, if the range space is endowed with the product topology (which is generated by sets of the form $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$, where $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$), then it suffices to check all inverse images of those. And this is equivalent to checking that $\pi_\alpha(\cdot) \circ f$ is continuous at each α .*

Theorem 4.12

Proposition 12.1. *If X is a topological space, and A is any non-empty set, $\{f_n\} \subseteq X^A$ is a sequence, then $f_n \rightarrow f$ with respect to the product topology if and only if $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.*

Proof. Suppose that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. Since the product topology \mathcal{T}_X is generated from sets of the form

$$\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha), \quad E_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$$

And by Theorem 4.4, \mathcal{T}_X consists of \emptyset, X and unions of finite intersections of $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$. We claim that for every $f \in X^A$, the following is a valid neighbourhood base for f

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{j \leq n} \pi_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(E_{\alpha_j}), \quad E_{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_j} \cap \mathcal{N}_B(\pi_{\alpha_j}(f)) \right\}$$

A couple things to note

- Each E_{α_j} is open in X_{α_j} , so that its inverse image is also open (in X). Since any neighbourhood base has to be a subset of \mathcal{T}_X .
- Only finitely many intersections are involved, so each element in the above set is open in X .
- Each E_{α_j} is a neighbourhood of $\pi_{\alpha_j}(f)$, meaning $f \in E_{\alpha_j}^\circ = E_{\alpha_j}$.
- Last and perhaps most importantly for intuition, fix any non-empty open set $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$ then by Theorem 4.4 (or my reading of it), U can be written as the union of sets like

$$\bigcap_{j \leq m} \pi_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(E_{\alpha_j}), \quad E_{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_j}$$

Then applying Theorem 4.2, the family of finite intersections of $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ is a base for \mathcal{T}_X . Then,

$$N_{base}(f) = \left\{ V = \bigcap_{j \leq m} \pi_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(E_{\alpha_j}), \quad E_{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_j}, \quad f \in V \right\}$$

Has to be a neighbourhood base for any $f \in X$.

Now to show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in the product topology, fix any neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(f)$, then $f \in U^o$, and by definition of a neighbourhood base, there exists some $E \in N_{base}(f)$ such that $f \in E \subseteq U^o$, but this E is just the finite intersection of $\pi_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(E_{\alpha_j})$, then at every α_j

- Let N_j be an integer such that for every $n \geq N_j$, $\pi_{\alpha_j}(f_n) \in E_{\alpha_j}$
- Set $N = \sum_{j \leq m} N_j \geq N_j$ for every $j \leq m$.

Then for every $n \geq N$, $f_n \in E \subseteq U^o \subseteq U$ for any arbitrary neighbourhood U of f . So $f_n \rightarrow f$ in the product topology.

Conversely, suppose that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in the product topology, then fix any $\alpha \in A$, and for every neighbourhood E_α of $\pi_\alpha(f)$, $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ is a neighbourhood of f . Hence for every $\alpha \in A$, and for every neighbourhood E_α of $\pi_\alpha(f)$, $\pi_\alpha(f_n)$ is eventually in E_α . This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.13

Proposition 13.1. *If X is a topological space then $BC(X)$ is a closed subspace of $B(X)$ in the uniform metric, and $BC(X)$ is complete.*

Proof. We will prove four things, the last two are just book-keeping. Parts (b, d) imply Part (c), as the closure of any set under a complete metric space is again complete.

- (a) $B(X)$ endowed with the uniform norm of an $f \in B(X)$

$$\|f\|_u = \sup\{|f(x)|, x \in X\}$$

Is indeed a normed vector space.

- (b) $B(X)$ with its norm (and induced metric), is a complete metric space. So that our $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$ at worst, converges to $f \in B(X)$.
- (c) If $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq BC(X)$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence, and $f_n \rightarrow f$, then $f \in BC(X)$.
- (d) If f is an adherent point of $BC(X)$, then $f \in BC(X)$.

To show that $B(X)$ is a normed vector space, for any $k \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_1, f_2 \in B(X)$, then at every $x \in X$

$$|f_1(x) + kf_2(x)| \leq |f_1(x)| + |k| \cdot |f_2(x)| \leq \|f_1\|_u + |k|\|f_2\|_u$$

And to show absolute homogeneity, note that $\sup |kA| = |k| \cdot \sup A$ for any non-empty bounded above set of reals A . This proves (a).

To show (b), fix any Cauchy sequence in $B(X)$ (with respect to the uniform metric), then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an N so large that for every $n, m \geq N$ we have

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_u < \varepsilon$$

This shows that $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is Cauchy, and it makes sense to call its limit $f(x) = \lim f_n(x)$. To show that for this f ,

- $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, and

- $f \in B(X)$

Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$, and there exists an N so large that for every $m, n \geq N$ implies that

$$\|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\|_u < \varepsilon$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$, this means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| = |f(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

The above holds for any x , hence

$$\|f_m - f\|_u \leq \varepsilon \implies \|f\|_u \leq \|f_m - f\|_u + \|f_m\|_u < +\infty$$

This proves both bullet points.

Proof of (c): Now we will prove Theorem 4.13, for any sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq \text{BC}(X)$, if it does converge to some f uniformly, then we claim that $f \in \text{BC}(X)$. Note that $f \in B(X)$, so it suffices to show continuity at every $x_0 \in X$.

Fix any ball with radius $\varepsilon > 0$ at $f(x_0) \in \mathbb{C}$, and since

- $\varepsilon/3 > 0$ induces some N such that for every $n \geq N$, at every point $x \in X$

$$|f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| \leq \|f_n - f\|_u < \varepsilon/3$$

- Another $\varepsilon/3$ gives us an open ball around $f_n(x_0)$ in \mathbb{C} (using the same point $x_0 \in X$). Continuity of f_n gives us

$$f_n^{-1}(B(\varepsilon/3, f_n(x_0))) = U \in \mathcal{T}_X$$

- If x is a point in U ,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f_n - f\|_i < \varepsilon/3$$

this gives us the last $\varepsilon/3$.

Combining these three,

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \underbrace{|f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f(x_0) - f_n(x_0)|}_{\text{uniform convergence}} + \underbrace{|f_n(x_0) - f_n(x)|}_{\text{continuity of } f_n} < \varepsilon$$

So there exists some open set $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$ (and hence neighbourhood of every x), for every open ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$, around every $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$f(U) \subseteq B \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Since the open balls are a neighbourhood base at every point in \mathbb{C} , and f is continuous at every point $x \in X$, we must conclude that $f \in \text{BC}(X)$.

Part (d): Let $f \in \overline{\text{BC}(X)}$. Notice $\text{BC}(X)$ is a metric space, hence first countable. There exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq \text{BC}(X)$ that converges to f . Convergent sequences in any metric space is Cauchy, apply Part (c) finishes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.14

Proposition 14.1. *Suppose that A and B are disjoint closed subsets of the normal space X , and let $\Delta = \{k2^{-n} : n \geq 1 \text{ and } 0 < k < 2^n\}$ be the set of dyadic rationals in $(0, 1)$. There is a family $\{U_r : r \in \Delta\}$ of open sets such that*

1. $A \subseteq U_r \subseteq B^c$ for every $r \in \Delta$,
2. $\overline{U_r} \subseteq U_s$ for $r < s$, and
3. For every $r < s$, $\overline{U_r} \subseteq U_s$

Proof. The goal of this proof is to show that for every $r \in \Delta$, there exists a open U_r that satisfies the above. As usual for these types of proofs we will proceed by induction. We can divide the problem by 'layers' (as I will hereinafter explain).

Let us suppose that for some $N \geq 1$ that all previous U_r in previous layers have been constructed properly, meaning if $r = k/2^n$, then for every $1 \leq n \leq N - 1$, we have

$$r = \frac{k}{2^n}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N - 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$$

And by 'constructed properly', we mean that for each U_r ,

- $A \subseteq U_r \subseteq B^c$ and
- $U_r \in \mathcal{T}_X$

Then for this fixed layer $N \geq 1$, we only have to construct the $U_{k/2^N}$ for every odd k , this is because if k is an even number, then $k = 2j$ and $r = 2j/2^N = j/2^{N-1}$ and for this particular U_r is already constructed. So for every odd $k = 2j + 1$, the sets of the form $U_{(k-1)/2^N}$ and $U_{(k+1)/2^N}$ are already defined, and satisfy

$$A \subseteq \overline{U_{(k-1)/2^N}} \subseteq U_{(k+1)/2^N} \subseteq B^c$$

For every $k - 1 \neq 0$ and $k + 1 \neq 1$. (We will consider these cases later). We claim that for every pair of open sets, $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_X$, then there exists some open set $G \in \mathcal{T}_X$ such that if $(E_1, E_2) \in H \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_X \times \mathcal{T}_X)$ where H is defined as the set

$$H = \{(E_1, E_2) \in (\mathcal{T}_X \times \mathcal{T}_X) : \overline{E_1} \cap E_2^c = \emptyset\}$$

Then there exists some $G = \mathcal{J}(E_1, E_2) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ such that

$$E_1 \subseteq \overline{E_1} \subseteq G \subseteq \overline{G} \subseteq E_2$$

Now consider any any $(E_1, E_2) \in H$, then this pair induces a pair of disjoint sets $\overline{E_1}$ and E_2^c since

$$\overline{E_1} \subseteq E_2 \implies \overline{E_1} \cap E_2^c = \emptyset$$

And by normality, there exists disjoint open sets G_1, G_2 such that

- $\overline{E_1} \subseteq G_1 \in \mathcal{T}_X$
- $E_2^c \subseteq G_2 \in \mathcal{T}_X$
- $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset \implies G_1 \subseteq G_2^c \subseteq E_2$
- Since G_2^c is a closed set that contains G_1 as a subset, $\overline{G_1} \subseteq G_2^c \subseteq E_2$

It is at this point that we will make no further mention of G_2 (so we may discard the notion of G_2 in our minds). Let us now replace G with G_1 then it is an easy task to verify that $G = G_1 = \mathcal{J}(E_1, E_2)$ has the required properties.

Now define for every odd k , since $(U_{(k-1)/2^N}, U_{(k+1)/2^N}) \in H$ (we note in passing that \mathcal{J} is not a function as the set G may not be unique).

$$U_{k/2^N} = \mathcal{J}(U_{(k-1)/2^N}, U_{(k+1)/2^N})$$

Then, if $U_{(k-1)/2^N}$ and $U_{(k+1)/2^N}$ is 'well constructed' we have

$$A \subseteq \overline{U_{(k-1)/2^N}} \subseteq U_{(k+1)/2^N} \subseteq B^c$$

Therefore $U_{k/2^N} = \mathcal{J}(U_{(k-1)/2^N}, U_{(k+1)/2^N})$ sits 'right inbetween' the two sets so that

- $A \subseteq \overline{U_{(k-1)/2^N}} \subseteq U_{k/2^N}$ and
- $\overline{U_{k/2^N}} \subseteq U_{(k+1)/2^N} \subseteq B^c$

Combining the above two estimates will give us a 'well constructed' $U_{k/2^N}$ for every $k - 1 \neq 0$ and $k + 1 \neq 1$. Now let us deal with the remaining pathological cases.

If $k - 1$ so happens to be 0, then no $r \in \Delta$ satisfies $r = 0/2^N$, and we substitute

$$\overline{U}_0 = A, \quad \text{or alternatively, } U_0 = A^c$$

Then $U_0 \in \mathcal{T}_X$, $\overline{U}_0 = A \subseteq B^c$. It is at this point that we must mention that $0, 1 \notin \Delta$, so U_0 and U_1 do not have to obey the rules we have laid out for $U_{r \in \Delta}$.

Now if $k + 1$ is equal to 2^N (this makes $r = (k + 1)/2^N = 1$) we define

$$U_1 = B^c \in \mathcal{T}_X$$

With this, for every $0 \leq m \leq 2^N - 1$, $U_{m/2^N}$ must satisfy

$$\overline{U}_{m/2^N} \subseteq B^c = U_1$$

And the pair $(U_{(k-1)/2^N}, U_{(k+1)/2^N}) \in H$ (even for when $N = 1$, since $A = \overline{U}_0 \subseteq U_1 = B^c$) and a corresponding $U_{k/2^N} = \mathcal{J}(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that

- $A \subseteq \overline{U}_{(k-1)/2^N} \subseteq U_{k/2^N}$
- $\overline{U}_{(k+1)/2^N} \subseteq B^c$

Now as a final step, we complete the base case for when $N = 1$. We would only have to construct for $k = 1$, since

$$U_{1/2} = \mathcal{J}(U_0, U_1) = \mathcal{J}(A, B^c)$$

Apply the induction step, and the proof is complete, at long last. ■

Theorem 4.15

Proposition 15.1. *Urysohn's Lemma. Let X be a normal space, if A and B are disjoint closed subsets of X , then there exists a $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that $f = 0$ on A and $f = 1$ on B .*

Proof. Let $r \in \Delta$ be as in Lemma 4.14, and set U_r accordingly except for $U_1 = X$. Define

$$f(x) = \inf\{k : x \in U_k\}$$

Let us also write $W = \{k : x \in U_k\}$, Then for every $x \in A$ we have $f(x) = 0$, since by the construction of the 'onion' function in Lemma 4.14, for each $r \in \Delta \cap (0, 1)$,

$$x \in A \subseteq U_r \implies f(x) \leq r$$

Since $r > 0$ is arbitrary, and $0 \in W$, we can use a classic ε argument. If $f(x) > 0$ then there exists some $0 < r < f(x)$ by density of the dyadic rationals on the line, if $f(x) < 0$ then this implies that there exists some $f(x) < r < 0$ such that $x \in U_r$, but no $r \in \Delta$ can be negative, hence $f(x) = 0$.

Now, for every $x \in B$, since A and B are disjoint, and $A \subseteq U_r \subseteq B^c$, then for every $x \in B$ means that x is not a member of any U_r , but we set $U_1 = X$. Since none of the $r \in (0, 1)$ is a member of the set we are taking the infimum, and $x \in U_1 = X$. The ε argument follows: suppose for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $(1 - \varepsilon) \notin W$, and $1 \in W$, then $f(x) = 1$.

Since $x \in U_1 = X$, for every $x \in X$, $f(x) \leq 1$, and $f(x)$ cannot be negative as $r > 0$ for every $r \in \Delta$. So $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$. Now we have to show that this $f(x)$ is continuous. The remainder of the proof is divided into two parts. We would like to show that the inverse images of the half lines are open in X . So $f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{T}$.

Suppose that $f(x) < \alpha$, so $\inf W < \alpha$, and using the density of Δ , there exists an r , $f(x) < r < \alpha$ such that $x \in U_r$ such that $x \in \bigcup_{r < \alpha} U_r$. So $f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha)) \subseteq \bigcup_{r < \alpha} U_r$.

Fix an element $x \in \bigcup_{r < \alpha} U_r$, this induces an r such that $\inf W \leq r < \alpha$ therefore $f(x) < \alpha$, and $\bigcup_{r < \alpha} U_r \subseteq f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha))$.

For the second case, suppose that $f(x) > \alpha$, then $\inf W > \alpha$, and there exists an r (by density) such that $\inf W > r > \alpha$ such that for every $k \in W$, $k \neq r$. Therefore $x \notin U_r$, but by density again, and using the property of the onion function: for every $s < r$ we get $\overline{U_s} \subseteq U_r$, taking complements (which reverses the estimate) — we have $x \notin \overline{U_s}$, but $(\overline{U_s})^c$ is open in X . It immediately follows that

$$x \in f^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty)) \implies x \in (U_r)^c \subseteq (\overline{U_s})^c \subseteq \bigcup_{s > \alpha} (\overline{U_s})^c$$

So $f^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty))$ is a subset of $\bigcup_{s > \alpha} (\overline{U_s})^c$. To show the reverse, fix an element x in the union, then this induces some $x \in (\overline{U_s})^c \subseteq (U_s)^c$. Then for this $s > \alpha$, $(-\infty, s)$ contains no elements of W . This is because for every $p < s$ implies that $(U_s)^c \subseteq (U_p)^c$, so $p \notin W$. Our chosen s is a lower bound for W , and $\alpha < s \leq \inf W = f(x)$.

Since all of the inverse images from the generating set of $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}})$ are open in X , using Theorem 4.9 finishes the proof. ■




Figure 2: Lemma 16.1 for Theorem 4.16: Separate the range of $f \in C(A, [0, 1])$ into three parts. Subtract an additional g that reduces the error even further.

Theorem 4.16

Proposition 16.1. *The Tietze's Extension Theorem. Let X be a normal space, and for any closed subset $A \subseteq X$, and $f \in C(A, [a, b])$, there exists an $F \in C(X, [a, b])$ which extends f .*

Proof. We begin with an important lemma that will serve as a 'black box' for the induction.

Lemma 16.1 *For every $f \in C(A, [0, 1])$, there exists a $g \in C(X, [0, 1/3])$ such that*

$$0 \leq f - g \leq 2/3 \quad \text{pointwise on } A \quad (11)$$

Proof. Since f is continuous, $B = f^{-1}([0, 1/3])$, and $C = f^{-1}([2/3, 1])$ are closed, disjoint subsets. Applying Urysohn's Lemma (Theorem 4.15) we get a continuous function $g \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that $g|_B = 0$ and $g|_C = 1$. Rescale g by a factor of $1/3$, and $g \in C(X, [0, 1/3])$.

To show that Equation (11) holds, suppose $x \in B$, then $f(x) \in [0, 1/3]$ and $g(x) = 0 \implies 0 \leq f - g \leq 1/3 \leq 2/3$. Now suppose that $x \in C$, then $f(x) \in [2/3, 1]$ and $g(x) = 1/3$ (recall that we relabelled g). So we have $0 \leq 1/3 \leq f - g \leq 2/3$. Lastly, for the case where $x \notin (B \cup C)$, then $f(x) \in (1/3, 2/3)$, and $g(x) \in [0, 1/3]$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 1/3 < f(x) < 2/3 & \implies 1/3 \leq f(x) \leq 2/3 \\ 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1/3 & \implies -1/3 \leq -g(x) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $0 \leq f(x) - g(x) \leq 2/3$. See Figure 2. ■

We can assume that $f \in C(A, [0, 1])$, since we can relabel $f = (f - a)/(b - a)$. The main part of this proof consists of constructing a sequence of $\{g_n\} \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$ where $0 \leq g_n \leq (2/3)^n(1/2)$, and $0 \leq f - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j \leq (2/3)^n$ on A . Let us begin with the base case with $n = 1$. We can apply Lemma 16.1 to get $g_1 \in C(X, [0, 1/3])$

$$0 \leq f - g_1 \leq (2/3)^1$$

Now let us suppose that $\{g_j\}_{j \leq n}$ has been chosen, we will find our g_{n+1} by noting that

$$0 \leq f(x) - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j(x) \leq (2/3)^n$$

Here is where my proof deviates from that of Folland's, we multiply both sides by $(2/3)^{-n}$ and we obtain a new function in $C(A, [0, 1])$.

$$0 \leq \left(f(x) - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j(x) \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^n \leq 1$$

Applying Lemma 16.1, we get a function $h \in C(X, [0, 1/3])$ that reduces the error between f and the partial sums of $g_{j \leq n-1}$. For every $x \in A$

$$0 \leq \left(f(x) - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j(x) \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^n - h \leq 2/3$$

Multiplying across gives

$$0 \leq \left(f(x) - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j(x) \right) - h \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n \leq \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n+1}$$

Set $g_{n+1} = h \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n$ and $g_{n+1} \in C(X, [0, 2^n/3^{n+1}])$. Furthermore, the sum of all g_j pointwise converges uniformly, as

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \|g_j\|_u \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^j \cdot \frac{1}{2} < +\infty$$

Denote the pointwise sum $F = \sum g_j$, then this $F \in BC(X)$ (by Theorem 4.13 and 5.1). And

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j \leq n} g_j \right\|_u \leq \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n \rightarrow 0$$

So $F = f$ on A , now if we want to obtain our F on $[a, b]$ we simply relabel $F = F(b - a) + a$. This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.17

Proposition 17.1. *If X is a normal space, and A is a closed subspace of X , and $f \in C(A)$, then there exists an $F \in C(X)$ such that F extends f .*

Proof. First we suppose that f is real valued, so $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. And define a $g \in C(A, (-1, +1)) \subseteq C(A, [-1, +1])$, using

$$g = \frac{f}{1 + |f|}$$

Since g satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.16 (note that we do not require g to be injective), there exists a $G \in C(X, [-1, +1])$ such that $G|_A = g$. Since the set $\{-1, +1\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R} , $G^{-1}(\{-1, +1\})$ is closed as well. Since $G^{-1}((-1, +1)) \subseteq A$, this makes A and $B = G^{-1}(\{-1, +1\})$ disjoint closed sets in X .

By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a continuous function $h \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that $h|_B = 0$ and $h|_A = 1$, so that the product $|hG| < 1$ for all $x \in X$. We can think of this h as a continuous indicator function that filters out the parts we do not want, namely $G^{-1}\{-1, +1\}$. Now define F in the following manner, since division is permissible

$$F = \frac{hG}{1 - |hG|}$$

We will show that $F|_A = g/(1 - |g|) = f$ indeed. Since $|g| = \frac{|f|}{1+|f|}$, and $g(1 + |f|) = f$ implies that $g/(1 - |g|) = f$, because $g \in C(A, (-1, +1))$. This completes the proof for any $f \in \mathbb{R}$ if $f \in C(A)$, then

1. $\operatorname{Re}(f) = f_1 \in C(A, \mathbb{R})$
2. $\operatorname{Im}(f) = f_2 \in C(A, \mathbb{R})$

And by our previous argumentation, there exists two functions in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ that extends f_1 and f_2 , and $F_1 + iF_2 = f$ on A and $F_1 + iF_2 \in C(X)$, and the proof is complete. ■

Theorem 4.18

Proposition 18.1. *If X is a topological space, and $E \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$, then $x \in \text{acc } E \iff$ there exists a net in $E \setminus \{x\}$ that converges to x , and $x \in \overline{E} \iff$ there exists a net in E that converges to x .*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \text{acc } E$, then for every neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, $E \cap U \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$, then choose $\mathcal{N}(x)$ as the set of neighbourhoods directed by reverse inclusion (and this makes $(\mathcal{N}(x), \supseteq)$ a directed set), and we will define the net as follows.

Map each $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ to some $x_U \in E \cap U \setminus \{x\}$, then this net converges to x . Suppose that we fix a neighbourhood, $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, then for every $U \supseteq V$ we have $x_U \in U \subseteq V$. So $\langle x_U \rangle$ is eventually in V .

Conversely, if $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \subseteq E \setminus \{x\}$, and $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$, then every $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ there exists a $x_\alpha \in E \cap U \setminus \{x\}$ that makes

$$E \cap U \neq \emptyset \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$$

Hence $x \in \text{acc } E$.

Now for the second part of the Theorem, suppose that $x \in \overline{E}$, if $x \notin E$ then $E = E \setminus \{x\}$ and $x \in \text{acc } E$, so there exists a net in $E \setminus \{x\} \subseteq E$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. If $x \in E$ then simply choose $\langle x_\alpha \rangle = x$ for every $\alpha \in A$.

Now, suppose that there is a net that converges to x , and this net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \subseteq E$, if $x \in E$ then there is nothing to prove, since $E \subseteq \overline{E}$, so suppose that $x \notin E$, then there exists a net in $E \setminus \{x\} = E$ such that

$$x_\alpha \rightarrow x \implies x \in \text{acc } E \subseteq \overline{E}$$

■

Theorem 4.19

Proposition 19.1. *Let X and Y be topological spaces, then every $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at a point $x \in X \iff$ every net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ that converges to x implies that $\langle f(x_\alpha) \rangle$ converges to $f(x)$.*

Proof. If f is continuous at a point $x \in X$, then $V \in \mathcal{N}(f(x)) \implies f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, then for every net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ that converges to this x , there exists an α_0 such that for every $\alpha \gtrsim \alpha_0$ implies that $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(V)$. Hence

$$f(x_\alpha) \in f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$$

And this is equivalent to saying that for every $V \in \mathcal{N}(f(x))$, $\langle f(x_\alpha) \rangle$ is eventually in V , and this proves convergence.

Now suppose that f is not continuous at some x , then there exists a $V \in \mathcal{N}(f(x))$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \notin \mathcal{N}(x)$, so

$$x \notin (f^{-1}(V))^o \implies x \in (f^{-1}(V))^{oc} = \overline{f^{-1}(V^c)}$$

Where for the last equality we pulled the complement inside the inverse image. Then by Theorem 4.18, our $x \in \overline{f^{-1}(V^c)}$ induces a net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \subseteq f^{-1}(V^c)$ that converges to x . But every element in the net is contained within $f^{-1}(V^c)$, and for every $\alpha \in A$

$$f(x_\alpha) \in f(f^{-1}(V^c)) \subseteq V^c$$

gives $f(x_\alpha) \notin V$, but V is a neighbourhood of $f(x)$, hence there exists some $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ and $f(x_\alpha) \not\rightarrow f(x)$. ■

Theorem 4.20

Proposition 20.1. *If $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is a net in X , and $x \in X$ is a cluster point of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \iff$ there exists a subnet of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ that converges to x .*

Proof. Suppose that $\langle y_\beta \rangle_{\beta \in B}$ is a subnet of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ that converges to x , then for every neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, there exists a β_1 such that for every $\beta \gtrsim \beta_1$ we get $y_\beta = x_{\alpha_\beta} \in U$.

Furthermore, let us fix a $\alpha_0 \in A$ to attempt to show that $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is frequently in U , then by the subnet property of $\langle y_\beta \rangle$, there exists some $\beta_2 \in B$ such that for every $\beta \gtrsim \beta_2$, $\alpha_\beta \gtrsim \alpha_0$. (Intuitively this property means that the directed set of B 'grows' as much as the directed set of A , so we can always find elements that are greater than any fixed α_0 .)

Since $\langle y_\beta \rangle$ is a net, we there exists some $\beta \in B$ such that $\beta \gtrsim \beta_1$ and $\beta \gtrsim \beta_2$, we then apply the $\beta \mapsto \alpha_\beta$ map and we obtain some $\alpha = \alpha_\beta$ that satisfies:

- $\alpha = \alpha_\beta \gtrsim \alpha_0$
- $x_\alpha = x_{\alpha_\beta} \in U$

Where for the second property we used the fact that $\beta \gtrsim \beta_1$ so that y_β falls into U .

Conversely, suppose that x is a cluster point of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$, then by definition

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x), \forall \alpha_0 \in A, \exists \alpha \gtrsim \alpha_0, x_\alpha \in U$$

Denote the directed neighbourhoods of x by $\mathcal{N}(x)$, and construct our directed set B for our subnet as follows, define

$$B = \mathcal{N}(x) \times A$$

Where for every $(U, \gamma) \in B$ we can map it to some $\alpha_{(U, \gamma)} \in A$, if we choose some $\alpha_{(U, \gamma)} \gtrsim \gamma$ and $\alpha_{(U, \gamma)} \in U$.

To show that B is a directed set, we say that $(U, \gamma) \gtrsim (U', \gamma')$ if and only if $U \subseteq U'$ and $\gamma \gtrsim \gamma'$. And to show that $\langle y_\beta \rangle = \langle x_{\alpha_{(U, \gamma)}} \rangle$ is indeed a subnet of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$, fix any $\alpha_0 \in A$, then simply take any neighbourhood U of x (we always

have $X \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ — and therefore $(U, \alpha_0) \in B$.

Now for every $(U', \alpha'_0) \gtrsim (U, \alpha_0)$ implies that $\alpha'_0 \gtrsim \alpha_0$, therefore we have

$$\alpha_{(U', \alpha'_0)} \gtrsim \alpha'_0 \gtrsim \alpha_0$$

And this satisfies the subnet property. Now to show that $\langle y_\beta \rangle$ indeed converges to x , fix any $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, then with any $\alpha_0 \in A$, and for every $(V', \alpha'_0) \gtrsim (V, \alpha_0) \in B$, we have

$$x_{\alpha_{(V', \alpha'_0)}} \in V' \subseteq V$$

So $\langle x_{\alpha_{(U, \gamma)}} \rangle$ converges to x . ■

Theorem 4.21

Proposition 21.1. *A topological space X is compact \iff every family of closed sets, $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ that has the finite intersection property, implies that*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. We first examine the assertion, Theorem 4.21 proposes for any family of closed sets $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, and for every finite subset $B \subseteq A$ then,

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in B} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset \implies \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

Taking the contrapositive (which is logically equivalent), we get

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha = \emptyset \implies \text{there exists a finite } B \subseteq A, \bigcap_{\alpha \in B} F_\alpha = \emptyset$$

Applying DeMorgan's theorem, and since every $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ induces a family of open sets (and vice versa), where $U_\alpha = F_\alpha^c$, so for any family of open sets $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ we have

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = X \implies \text{there exists a finite } B \subseteq A, \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha = X$$

Which is equivalent to saying that X is compact. ■

Theorem 4.22

Proposition 22.1. *A closed subset of a compact space X is compact.*

Proof. Suppose $F \subseteq X$ and F is open, then fix an open cover for F , so

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$$

Since F^c is a closed set, we can obtain a valid open cover for X , then we pick out a finite subcover, for some finite $B \subseteq A$

$$X = F \cup F^c \subseteq F^c \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \right)$$

Taking the intersection with F on both sides yields

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X \cap F \subseteq (F^c \cap F) \cup \left(F \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \right) \right) \\ F &= \left(F \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \right) \right) \iff \\ F &\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Therefore every open cover of F has a finite subcover, and F is compact. ■

Theorem 4.23

Proposition 23.1. *If F is a compact subset of a Hausdorff space X , and $x \notin F$, there are disjoint open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$.*

Proof. Since $x \in F^c$, for every $y \in F$, $x \neq y$ induces two sets U_y, V_y (because X is T_2).

- $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$
- $x \in U_y$
- $y \in V_y$

But $\{V_y\}_{y \in F}$ is an open cover for the compact set F , then there exists a finite subcollection $H \subseteq F$ such that

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in H} V_y$$

Since H is finite, $U = \bigcap_{y \in H} U_y$ is an open set that contains x , also define $V = \bigcup_{y \in H} V_y$. If for every $y \in H$, $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$, then $U \cap V_y = U \cap V = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 23.1 *Every metric space (X, d) is first countable, and T_2 (it is actually T_4 , but that will require some effort to prove, see Exercise 3). The first claim is easily verified if we fix any element $x \in X$ and we notice that $W_x = \{V_r(x), r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ is a countable neighbourhood base for every x . To show that (X, d) is T_2 , for every pair of elements $x \neq y$, we can take $r = d(x, y)/2$ and there exists disjoint open sets $V_r(x)$ and $V_r(y)$ such that $x \in V_r(x)$ and $y \in V_r(y)$.*

Theorem 4.24

Proposition 24.1. *Every compact subset of a Hausdorff (T_2) space is closed.*

Proof. If F is compact, then for every $x \in F^c$, by Theorem 4.23, there exists two disjoint open sets such that $x \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$, but

$$U \cap V = \emptyset \implies U \cap F = \emptyset \implies U \subseteq F^c$$

But since $x \in F^c$ is arbitrary, and U is an open subset of F^c ,

$$x \in U \subseteq F^{co} \implies F^c \subseteq F^{co}$$

Which shows that F^c is open and F is closed. ■

Theorem 4.25

Proposition 25.1. *Every compact Hausdorff (T_2) space is normal (T_4).*

Proof. Fix A, B which are disjoint closed subsets of X , by Theorem 4.22, we know that these two sets are compact. Hence for every $y \in B$ there exists two disjoint open sets U, V_y (by Theorem 4.23)

$A \subseteq U_y$ and $y \in V_y$. But the family $\{V_y\}_{y \in B}$ is a valid open cover for the compact set B , hence there exists a finite subcollection $H \subseteq B$ such that

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in H} V_y, \quad U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$$

The second equality holds for every $y \in H$ so that $U_y \cap (\bigcup_{y \in H} V_y) = \emptyset$. Define $U = \bigcap_{y \in H} U_y$ and $V = \bigcup_{y \in H} V_y$, where both of these are disjoint open sets that contain A and B as subsets, since for each $y \in H$, $A \subseteq U_y$ hence the intersection of all U_y also contains A as a subset. Therefore X is normal. ■

Theorem 4.26

Proposition 26.1. *If X is compact, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $f(X)$ is compact.*

A small lemma.

Lemma 26.1 *For every $\{E_j\} \subseteq X$, $f(\cup E_j) = \cup f(E_j)$.*

The proof is trivial.

Proof. If $\{V_{\alpha \in A}\}$ is an open cover for $f(X)$, then

$$X \subseteq f^{-1}(f(X)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \subseteq X$$

Since f is continuous, we have an open cover in the form of $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})\}$ for X , then there exists a finite subset $B \subset A$ such that

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$$

Then we wish to show that for this $B \subseteq A$, $\{V_{\alpha \in B}\}$ is a finite open cover for $f(X)$. Fix any element $y \in f(X)$, then this induces a $x \in X$ such that $y = f(x)$, but because $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha \in B})\}$ is an open cover for X , there exists some $\alpha \in B$ such that $x \in f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$, hence by definition of the inverse image

$$f(x) \in V_{\alpha} \implies f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} V_{\alpha}$$

Therefore $f(X)$ is compact and this completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.27

Proposition 27.1. *If X is compact, then $C(X) = BC(X)$.*

Proof. Notice that $BC(X) \subseteq C(X)$, so we only have to show the reverse estimate. Fix any $f \in C(X)$, since X is compact, by Theorem 4.26 we know that $f(X)$ is also compact. Since $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ is a complete metric space, $f(X)$ is bounded and $f \in BC(X)$. ■

Theorem 4.28

Proposition 28.1. *If X is compact, and if Y is Hausdorff, then any continuous bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. If $E \subset X$ is closed, then since X is compact, E is compact as well. By continuity of f , $f(E)$ is a compact set in Y , but compact subsets of Y are closed, so f is continuous.

We used the fact that the inverse of f^{-1} is f , since it suffices to check that every inverse image of a closed set is also closed, f^{-1} is continuous. And by definition of a homeomorphism (f has to be bijective and both f and f^{-1} have to be continuous), f is a homeomorphism. ■

Theorem 4.29

Proposition 29.1. *If X is any topological space, the following are equivalent.*

- (a) X is compact.
- (b) Every net has a cluster point.
- (c) Every net in X has a convergent subnet.

Proof. By Theorem 4.20, every net in X has a cluster point \iff there exists a subnet that converges to this cluster point, so these two points are equivalent.

Suppose a) holds, then X is compact, and fix an arbitrary net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ in X . and define the 'tail' of the net

$$E_\alpha := \{x_\beta, \beta \succeq \alpha\}$$

We wish to show that the arbitrary intersection of $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \overline{E}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Where \overline{E}_α is closed, so it suffices to check that every finite $B \subseteq A$, the intersection over \overline{E}_α is non-empty.

Suppose we are given a finite $B \subseteq A$, then fix any two elements α and $\beta \in B$, by the definition of a net there exists a $\gamma \in A$ such that $\gamma \succeq \alpha$ and $\gamma \succeq \beta$, and

$$\emptyset \neq E_\alpha \cap E_\beta \implies \overline{E}_\alpha \cap \overline{E}_\beta \neq \emptyset$$

Therefore for any finite collection of $\{\overline{E}_{\alpha \in B}\}$, then

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \overline{E}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

Now fix an element $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \overline{E}_\alpha$. Then for every $\alpha \in A$, $x \in \overline{E}_\alpha$, and for every neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, $U \cap E_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. This is because if $x \in E_\alpha$, then $U \cap E_\alpha$ contains at least $\{x\}$, if $x \in \text{acc } E_\alpha$, then by definition of an accumulation point, $U \cap E_\alpha \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$, so the intersection is non empty.

Now let us turn our attention to how we defined the 'tail' of the net, E_α , if for every $\alpha \in A$, $x \in E_\alpha$ if and only if there exists some $\gamma \succeq \alpha$, $x_\gamma \in U \cap E_\alpha$,

this is equivalent to saying that x is a cluster point of $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$. So $a) \implies b)$.

Now let us suppose that X is not compact, then there exists an open cover $\{U_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of X that has no finite subcover. Let \mathbb{B} be the collection of all finite subsets of \mathcal{A} , directed by set inclusion (we will show that this set is indeed a directed set at another time, for now it is a needless distraction).

Now for every $B \in \mathbb{B}$, find some $x_B \in (\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha)^c$. So we have a net in X . Now we will show that no $x \in X$ can be a cluster point of this net. Suppose not, then take a neighbourhood U_β with $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that U_β belongs to the open cover we first discussed. Then for any $B \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $B \gtrsim \{\beta\}$ (meaning that $\{\beta\} \subseteq B$, where B is a finite set), then

$$x_B \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \right)^c \implies x_B \notin \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \{\beta\}} U_\alpha \right) \implies x_B \in U_\beta^c$$

Hence no point in X can be a cluster point for this net, and the proof is complete. ■

Theorem 4.30

Proposition 30.1. *If X is a LCH space, and for every $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x) \cap \mathcal{T}_X$, there exists a compact $N \subseteq U$ where $N \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$.*

Proof. For every $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x) \cap \mathcal{T}_X$, we can find an E open subset of U that has a compact closure, since every $x \in X$ induces some compact $F \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, therefore

$$E := U \cap F^o \implies \overline{E} \subseteq F$$

Since closed subsets of compact sets are compact (by Theorem 4.22), \overline{E} is compact. More is true, since E is open,

$$x \in U \cap F^o \implies x \in E^o \implies E \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$$

Now it suffices to show that there exists some compact $N \subseteq E \subseteq U$ such that $N \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$. Since \overline{E} is compact, the closed subset $\partial E = \overline{E} \cap \overline{E}^c$ of \overline{E} is also compact.

Since $\partial E \cap E^o = \emptyset$, $x \in E^o = E$ means that $x \notin \partial E$. Applying Theorem 4.23 to the compact set ∂E and $x \notin \partial E$ gives us two disjoint open sets V' and W' . We list their properties

1. $V', W' \in \mathcal{T}_X$
2. $x \in V'$
3. $\partial E \subseteq W'$
4. $V' \cap W' = \emptyset$

The two disjoint pairs induce another pair of open sets relative to \overline{E} , recall the definition of the topology relative to \overline{E} ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\overline{E}} = \{A \cap \overline{E} : A \in \mathcal{T}_X\}$$

We now agree to define

- $V = V' \cap \overline{E}$
- $W = W' \cap \overline{E}$

Then evidently $V, W \in \mathcal{T}_{\overline{E}}$ and

1. $x \in V' \cap \overline{E} \implies x \in V$
2. $\partial E \subseteq \overline{E} \implies \partial E \subseteq W$
3. $V' \cap W' = \emptyset \implies V \cap W = \emptyset$

Furthermore,

$$\partial E \subseteq W \implies W^c \subseteq (\partial E)^c = E^o \cup E^{co}$$

Taking the intersection over \overline{E} gives us

$$\overline{E} \setminus W \subseteq \overline{E} \cap (E^o \cup E^{co})$$

Note that $E^{co} = (\overline{E})^c$, since $(E^c)^{oc} = \overline{(E^{cc})} = \overline{E}$ therefore $\overline{E} \cap E^{oc} = \emptyset$, hence

$$\overline{E} \setminus W \subseteq \overline{E} \cap E^o = E^o$$

Using the fact from 3, $V \subseteq W^c$ and $V \subseteq \overline{E}$ and $V \subseteq W^c$ implies that $V \subseteq \overline{E} \setminus W$. Compiling everything, we have

$$V \subseteq \overline{E} \setminus W \subseteq E$$

Note that the set $\overline{E} \setminus W$ is closed in \mathcal{T}_X (and hence closed in \overline{E}) by closure over intersections, \overline{V} is therefore a closed subset of $\overline{E} \setminus W$, and \overline{V} is compact. Also

$$\overline{V} \subseteq \overline{E} \setminus W \subseteq E$$

To check that $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, note that

$$x \in V^o \subseteq (\overline{V})^o \implies \overline{V} \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$$

The subset relation $V^o \subseteq \overline{V}^o$ comes from the fact that V^o is an open subset of \overline{V} , and hence is contained in $(\overline{V})^o$ as a subset. Now let us define $N = \overline{V}$, and N satisfies the assertions in the Theorem, since

- $N \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$
- N is compact
- $N \subseteq E \subseteq U$

And this completes the proof. ■

Remark 30.1 *Intuitively speaking, this means that if X is any LCH space, then for every open neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, there exists a compact $E \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$ such that $x \in E \subseteq U^o$. This property is indeed a very strong one as it allows us to have effectively 'infinite' descending compact neighbourhoods of x .*

Theorem 4.31

Proposition 31.1. *X is a LCH space, and $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$ where K is compact, and U is open, then there exists some precompact, open V with*

$$K \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$$

Proof. For every $x \in K$, we can apply Proposition 4.30, since $x \in K \subseteq U$, this induces some compact $F_x \subseteq U$ where $F_x \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$. Then we can obtain an open cover of U in the form of $\{F_x^o\}_{x \in K}$. By compactness of K , there exists a finite $B \subseteq K$ such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} F_x^o$$

Let $V = \bigcup_{x \in B} F_x^o$, then clearly V is open, and $K \subseteq V$. Since each F_x is closed (compact sets are closed in any Hausdorff Space), we have

$$V \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} F_x \implies \bar{V} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} F_x$$

Since $\bigcup_{x \in B} F_x$ is a finite union of compact sets, we claim that it is also compact. Consider two compact sets E_1 and E_2 , then if $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is any open cover of $E_1 \cup E_2$, it must be an open cover for E_1 and E_2 as well, because

$$E_1, E_2 \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$$

Since E_1 and E_2 are both compact sets, they each induce two finite subsets of B_1, B_2 of A whose union $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ is also compact. Therefore

$$E_1 \cup E_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha$$

Then a simple proof by induction will show that if $\{E_{j \leq n}\}$ is a family of compact sets, then $E = \bigcup E_{j \leq n}$ is also compact.

Returning to the main part of the proof, $\bigcup_{x \in B} F_x$ is a compact set, therefore \bar{V} is also compact. Moreover

$$\forall x \in K, F_x \subseteq U \implies \bar{V} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} F_x \subseteq U$$

Combining, we have

- $K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V}$,
- V is open and \overline{V} is compact, and
- $\overline{V} \subseteq U$

This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.32

Proposition 32.1. *Urysohn's Lemma, Locally Compact Version. For any LCH space X , and if $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$ where K is compact and U is open, then there exists some $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ with*

- $f = 1$ on K
- $f = 0$ outside some compact $\bar{V} \subseteq U$

Proof. Let V be as in Theorem 4.31, for our fixed $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$, there exists a pre-compact, open V that satisfies

$$K \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq X$$

It follows that this $(\bar{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\bar{V}})$ is a normal space by Theorem 4.25 (compact Hausdorff spaces are normal), and by Urysohn's Lemma (Theorem 4.15) on normal spaces, since we can easily find two disjoint closed subsets of \bar{V} in the form of

- $K \subseteq V^\circ = V \subseteq \bar{V}$ (compact sets in Hausdorff spaces are closed)
- $\partial V = \bar{V} \cap \bar{V}^c$ (closed sets in compact spaces are compact)
- $K \subseteq V^\circ$ implies that $K \cap \partial V = K \cap (\bar{V} \setminus V^\circ) = \emptyset$

Then there exists a continuous $f|_{\bar{V}} \in C(\bar{V}, [0, 1])$ that evaluates to

- $f|_{\bar{V}} = 1$ on closed K
- $f|_{\bar{V}} = 0$ on closed ∂V

Now let us extend $f|_{\bar{V}}$ to f by defining

$$f|_{(\bar{V})^c} = 0$$

We will show that this extension of f is indeed continuous. Indeed, for every closed set $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ that does not contain 0, we have:

$$0 \notin E \implies \{0\} \cap E = \emptyset \implies f^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap f^{-1}(E) = \emptyset$$

But $(\bar{V})^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\{0\})$ therefore

$$(\bar{V})^c \cap f^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap f^{-1}(E) = (\bar{V})^c \cap f^{-1}(E) = \emptyset \implies f^{-1}(E) \subseteq \bar{V}$$

We can write

$$f^{-1}(E) = f|_{\bar{V}}^{-1}(E)$$

But we know that $f|_{\bar{V}}$ is continuous, so $f|_{\bar{V}}^{-1}(E)$ must be closed (with respect to \bar{V}), and therefore is closed wrt X , since \bar{V} is closed wrt X .

For the case where $0 \in E$, note that

$$f^{-1}(E) = (f^{-1}(E) \cap \bar{V}) \cup (f^{-1}(E) \cap (\bar{V})^c) = (f|_{\bar{V}})^{-1}(E) \cup (f|_{\bar{V}^c})^{-1}(E)$$

The above equalities are messy in print. They are but a simple consequence of disjoint decomposition of the pre-images, since

$$\bar{V} \cap f^{-1}(E) = \{x \in \bar{V} : f(x) \in E\} = f|_{\bar{V}}^{-1}(E)$$

Back to our main discussion, recall that for every $x \in \partial V$

$$f(x) = 0 \in f^{-1}(\{0\}) \subseteq f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E)$$

Therefore $\partial V \subseteq f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E)$, and $(\bar{V})^c = f^{-1}|_{(\bar{V})^c}(E)$ gives us (since V^c is closed),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(E) &= f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E) \cup \partial V \cup (\bar{V})^c \\ &= f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E) \cup \overline{(V^c)} \cup (\bar{V})^c \\ &= f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E) \cup (V^c \cup V^{\text{co}}) \\ &= f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E) \cup V^c \end{aligned}$$

Since $f^{-1}|_{\bar{V}}(E)$ and V^c are closed subsets of X , then $f^{-1}(E)$ is also closed, and $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$. ■

Theorem 4.33

Proposition 33.1. *Every LCH space is completely regular (or $T_{3.5}$).*

Proof. Recall that a space X is completely regular if it is T_1 and every closed subset A and every $x \notin A$ there exists some

$$f \in C(X, [0, 1]), \quad f(x) = 1, \quad f|_A = 0$$

Fix a closed set $A \subseteq X$, then for every $x \in A^c$, there exists a compact $E_x \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$ with $E_x \subseteq A^c$ (by Theorem 4.30).

Note that $E_x \subseteq A^c$ where E_x is compact and A^c is closed, then an application of Theorem 4.31 tell us that there exists an $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that for every $x \in E_x$, $f(x) = 1$ and for points $y \notin A^c$ (which means that $y \in A$), $f(y) = 0$. Therefore X is completely regular. ■

Theorem 4.34

Proposition 34.1.

Proof.



Theorem 4.35

Proposition 35.1. *If X is a LCH space, we claim that*

$$\overline{C_c(X)} = C_0(X)$$

Proof. We begin by proving several things that are mentioned before this Theorem, namely

$$C_c(X) \subseteq C_0(X) \subseteq BC(X)$$

Fix an $f \in C_c(X)$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$x \in |f|^{-1}([\varepsilon, +\infty)) \implies |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$$

Therefore $|f|^{-1}([\varepsilon, +\infty))$ is a closed subset of $\text{supp}(f)$, since $(-\infty, \varepsilon)$ is open in \mathbb{R} , then $[\varepsilon, +\infty)$ is a closed set. And by continuity of $|\cdot| \circ f$ (a composition of two continuous functions), $|f|^{-1}([\varepsilon, +\infty))$ is closed. Using the fact that closed subsets of compact $\text{supp}(f)$ are also compact, we get $f \in C_0(X)$.

Next, we show that $C_0(X) \subseteq BC(X)$. Fix any element f of $C_0(X)$ with an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, then $E_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact. The continuity of f guarantees that the direct image of a compact set is another compact set (Theorem 4.26)

$$|f|(E_\varepsilon) \text{ is a compact subset of } \mathbb{R}$$

And therefore for every $x \in E_\varepsilon \implies |f(x)| \in |f|(E_\varepsilon)$, then by Heine-Borel, there exists some $M \geq 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M$. If $x \notin E_\varepsilon$, then by definition of E_ε , implies that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$. Then $|f(x)| \leq M + \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$. Hence $f \in BC(X)$.

Here I wish to offer an alternate proof for $C_0(X) \subseteq BC(X)$, we begin by constructing an open cover for $\text{supp}(f)$ such that

$$\{U_n\}_{n>0} = \{x \in X : |f(x)| < n\}$$

Then there exists a finite subcollection of $\{U_n\}_{n \in B}$ where B is a finite set, then define $M = 1 + \sum_{n \in B} n$ and for every $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ we have $|f(x)| < n$ and since $n > 0$ this holds for every $x \in X$ too. Therefore $f \in BC(X)$.

For the main proof of Theorem 4.35, since $BC(X)$ is endowed with the uniform metric, it is also first countable, and therefore by Theorem 4.6, it suffices to show that every sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C_c(X)$ converges in $C_0(X)$. And every element $f \in C_0(X)$ has a convergence sequence in $C_c(X)$.

Fix a convergent sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C_c(X)$ that converges uniformly to some $f \in BC(X)$ (since $BC(X)$ is a closed subset of $C(X)$ with respect to the uniform norm), then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $n \geq 1$ with

$$\|f_n - f\|_u < \varepsilon$$

We aim to show that $(\text{supp}(f_n))^c \subseteq |f|^{-1}((-\infty, \varepsilon))$, so fix any $x \notin \text{supp}(f_n)$, then

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| = |f(x)| \leq \|f - f_n\|_u < \varepsilon$$

This establishes the estimate, and taking complements

$$|f|^{-1}([\varepsilon, +\infty)) \subseteq \text{supp}(f_n)$$

Therefore for any arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{x \in X, |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact, and $\overline{C_c(X)} \subseteq C_0(X)$. Conversely, fix any $f \in C_0(X)$, and for every $n \geq 1$, define

$$K_n = \{x \in X, |f(x)| \geq 1/n\}$$

Using Urysohn's Lemma for our LCH space X , there exists some g_n that has a compact support, and $g_n(x) = 1$ for every $x \in K_n$. We then write $f_n = g_n \cdot f \in C_c(X)$. We wish to show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Notice that for any fixed $n \geq 1$, if $x \in K_n$ then

$$f_n(x) = f(x) \implies |f_n - f|(x) = 0$$

If $x \notin K_n$, $|f(x)| < 1/n$ (recall what K_n does), and $f_n = g_n \cdot f \in [0, 1]$ by definition of g_n from Theorem 4.32, hence

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(x)| \cdot |1 - g_n| \leq |f(x)| < 1/n$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in X$, we have

$$\|f_n - f\|_u < 1/n \rightarrow 0$$

As we send n to $+\infty$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.36

Proposition 36.1.

Proof.



Theorem 4.37

Proposition 37.1. *If X is an LCH space and $E \subseteq X$. E is closed if and only if $E \cap K$ is closed for every compact $K \subseteq X$.*

Proof. Suppose that E is closed, then $E \cap K$ is closed, since compact subsets of Hausdorff spaces are closed, and $E \cap K \subseteq K$ tells us that $E \cap K$ is indeed compact.

Now suppose that E is not closed, by Theorem 4.1, $E \neq \overline{E}$, so pick some $x \in (\overline{E} \setminus E) = \text{acc}(E) \cap E^c$, since X is locally compact, let K_x be a compact neighbourhood of x , then for every neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, we have

$$x \in U^\circ, x \in K_x^\circ \implies x \in (U^\circ \cap K_x^\circ) \subseteq (U \cap K_x)^\circ$$

Since $(U^\circ \cap K_x^\circ)$ is an open subset of $(U \cap K_x)$, then $(U \cap K_x) \in \mathcal{N}_B(x)$, and recall that $x \in \text{acc}(E)$, therefore

$$(U \cap K_x) \cap E \setminus \{x\} = U \cap (K_x \cap E) \neq \emptyset$$

But $x \notin E \implies x \notin E \cap K_x$. So x is an accumulation point of $E \cap K_x$ that is not in $E \cap K_x$. Therefore there exists some $E \cap K_x$ (with K_x compact) that is not closed. ■

Theorem 4.38

Proposition 38.1. *If \mathbf{X} is an LCH space, $C(\mathbf{X})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{X}}$ in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. (We will sometimes refer to this as the topology of compact convergence.)*

Proof. Let $E \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ be closed and endowed with the subspace topology.

$$\mathcal{T}_E = \left\{ U \cap E, U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \right\}$$

Then $A \cap E$ is closed relative to E iff it is closed relative to \mathbf{X} . The proof for this can be found in the Notes.

Let f be an adherent point of $C(\mathbf{X})$ endowed with the topology of compact convergence. If W is closed in \mathbb{C} , let K range through compact sets of \mathbf{X} . $f|K$ is in the closure of $C(K, \mathbb{C})$, therefore continuous by Proposition 4.13, as $C_c(K) \subseteq BC(K)$. So $f|K$ is continuous, and $(f|K)^{-1}(W)$ is closed Rel. K . Notice

$$(f|K)^{-1}(W) = f^{-1}(W) \cap K \tag{12}$$

since we can write $(f|K)(x) = (f \circ \iota_K)(x)$. Where $\iota_K : K \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is the inclusion map, which is an embedding. Equation (12) follows immediately. Therefore $f^{-1}(W) \cap K = (f|K)^{-1}(W)$ is closed Rel. K , so it is closed Rel. \mathbf{X} . This holds for every compact K , so $f^{-1}(W)$ is closed for any closed $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and f is continuous. ■

Theorem 4.39

Proposition 39.1.

Proof.



Theorem 4.40

Proposition 40.1.

Proof.



Theorem 4.41

Proposition 41.1.

Proof.

