



TIME TO MARKET





TIME TO MARKET



TIME TO MARKET



[MVP]



Achieve your **Minimum
Viable Product** to test
your concept.





TIME TO MARKET

[MVP]

The **perimeter** of your MVP must be **limited** while allowing you to market your product.

Bet on **Early Adopters** and get a maximum of **feedback**.

Your MVP is deployed and usable in **production**.



TIME TO MARKET



[FAIL-FAST]



Fail fast to **learn** fast.





TIME TO MARKET

[FAIL-FAST]

Quickly experience the solution (a few weeks), collect **feedback** from your users and learn from your mistakes.

Do not be afraid to **change** everything.

Do not forget, **you will fail!**



TIME TO MARKET



[KISS]



Keep It Simple and Stupid.

*Why make it complicated
when it can be simple?*





TIME TO MARKET

[KISS]

Avoid over-engineering, if a "paper" model or a Google Form is enough to test your concept, do not go further.

Keep it simple! Both technically and functionally.



TIME TO MARKET



[PRODUCTIVITY]

Less specification, **more
development.**





TIME TO MARKET

[PRODUCTIVITY]

Limit your specifications to the bare minimum, **focus on the "what"** rather than the "how".

The product must be **self-documented** as much as possible.

Documentation must be versioned in the same way the code is.



TIME TO MARKET



[SAAS]

Always consider **SaaS**
solutions.





TIME TO MARKET

[SAAS]

SaaS solutions are **sustainable**
and **cost-effective**.

In some cases **SaaS** can **speed up**
the **MVP** implementation.

Think of the **target economic vision** regarding alternatives in terms of **total cost (TCO** : Total Cost of Ownership) and not only in terms of license cost.



TIME TO MARKET



[CORE BUSINESS]

The **core business** should not hinder the construction of new services and applications.





TIME TO MARKET

[CORE BUSINESS]

The pace of evolution and delivery of the core business must be **compatible with the agility** of its consumer services.

The core business must **expose services**.

The core business must adopt an **Event-Driven** principle, it reports management actions in the form of events.



TIME TO MARKET



[CONTINUOUS
DEPLOYMENT]

Deployment in production
is a non-event.





TIME TO MARKET

[CONTINUOUS
DEPLOYMENT]

Take advantage of **continuous deployment** to **adapt deployment** in **production** to business constraints and requirements, and not the other way around.

Deployments across all environments, up to **production**, must be **automated** and **frequent**.



TIME TO MARKET



[PERPETUAL BETA]

A **perpetual beta** approach allows you to involve your users in the development process.





TIME TO MARKET

[PERPETUAL BETA]

Feel free to use the perpetual beta principle in which **users participate in development**.

The term perpetual beta refers to an application developed just-in-time, **constantly evolving**, not to an incomplete product.





USER EXPERIENCE





USER EXPERIENCE





The **experience perceived** by the user is fundamental.

Ergonomics is not negotiable.



USER EXPERIENCE

[PERCEPTION]

Do not neglect the work of **UX designers**, it is fundamental in the development of an application.

Integrate the **feedback** of your users, it is essential.



USER EXPERIENCE



[PERFORMANCE]

Bet on **efficient interfaces**
for both internal and
external uses.





USER EXPERIENCE

[PERFORMANCE]

The interfaces are geared towards **efficiency**.

The **performance** of an interface **saves time**, increases users' **satisfaction** and therefore **reduce their frustration**.

USER EXPERIENCE



[MOBILE FIRST]

Adopt a **Mobile First**
strategy.





USER EXPERIENCE

[MOBILE FIRST]

Mobile devices are the **most important market share**.

Thinking mobile is thinking about the **essential**.

Responsive Design is the norm, it is a source of savings (**MVP**).





Adapt to practices, **omni-channel** is the norm.



USER EXPERIENCE

[OMNI-CHANNEL]

The omni-channel approach provides the user with a **unified experience** (example: Netflix).

The different **channels** are **synchronized** and **coherent** (unlike batch processes).

All the actors (clients, advisers) access the same information.

USER EXPERIENCE



[SELF-DATA]

Users are **owners** of their data and their journey.





USER EXPERIENCE

[SELF-DATA]

Allow **individuals**, at any time, to **control** their **personal** data.

Establish **trust** by giving users real-time traceability and control.

Subsystems must meet the same requirements.



Customer relationship
needs to be unified and
contextualized with a
flexible, unifying and
event-driven **CRM/SFA.**



USER EXPERIENCE

[CRM/SFA]

Opt for a **CRM** that manages both customer relationship and sales force automation (**SFA** : **S**ales **F**orce **A**utomation).

CRM must be **open** to new opportunities.

CRM produces **events** corresponding to management actions to fit into the **Event-Driven** logic of the platform.





The Big Data platform allows you to **centralize** and process the user's data to best serve him/her in his/her **journey**.



USER EXPERIENCE

[BIG DATA]

Centralize **Maif Group, Partner** and **Vendor** data in a **journey** logic.

"Data Preparation" and processing help to **consolidate** the data.

Big Data teams collaborate with Feature Teams to ensure data **governance**.



USER EXPERIENCE



[WORKSTATION]

The workstation is adapted
and adaptable to **modern
practices and channels.**



USER EXPERIENCE

[WORKSTATION]

Adopt **identity federation** for a unified experience.

A **portal** offers an **overview**, it does not replace applications.

The workstation must be **mobile**, **multi-channel** and **standard** to allow opening within the **extended enterprise**.





Do not forget that your
colleagues are using
modern applications with
modern UX at home.



USER EXPERIENCE

[COLLEAGUES]

Treat **all your users** as
"customers": Internet users,
managers, ops, developers, etc.

Do not underestimate the **UX**
effort needed for internal-use
management applications.





[MEASURING EVERYTHING]



Everything that can be
measured must be.

**Without measure,
everything is only opinion.**



USER EXPERIENCE

[MEASURING EVERYTHING]

Think of the metrics during the **development** of the application.
Logs must have a **business as well as technical** dimension.

Do not neglect **performance metrics**, they are fundamental.

The Feature Team ensures **operation**: it is responsible for making the **application operable**.





A/B Testing saves time by
letting **feedback** decide.



USER EXPERIENCE

[A/B TESTING]

Rather than arbitrarily decide between two solutions, do not hesitate to set up **A/B testing**.

This pattern consists of presenting **two different versions** of the same application and choosing one of them based on **objective measures** of user activity.





Consider degradation
rather than service
interruption in the event of
a failure.



USER EXPERIENCE

[DEGRADATION]

In case of **failure** of one of the subsystems, **a degraded** version of the service must **be considered** in the first place rather than an interruption.

With the help of **Circuit Breakers**, **isolate a breakdown** to **avoid** its **impact** and **propagation** to the entire **system**.



HUMAN



HUMAN



HUMAN



[FEATURE TEAM]



The team is organized
around a **product** or a
provided **service**.



HUMAN

[FEATURE TEAM]

Teams are **Feature Teams**, organized around a coherent functional scope, and comprise all the **skills** necessary for this scope.

For example: Business Expert +
Web Developer + Java Developer +
Architect + DBA + Ops.

The **responsibility** is **collective**, the Feature Team has the necessary power for this responsibility.



HUMAN



[2-PIZZA TEAM]

Limit the **size of Feature Teams** (from 5 to 12 people).





HUMAN

[2-PIZZA TEAM]

Limit the size of a Feature Team:
between 5 and 12 people.

Below 5, the team is too sensitive to external events and lacks creativity. Above 12, it loses productivity.

The term "**2-Pizza Team**" indicates that the size of the Feature Team should not exceed the number of people that can be fed with two pizzas.



HUMAN



[SOFTWARE
CRAFTSMAN]



Bet on versatile people
who **know how to do** and
who **like to do**.





HUMAN

[SOFTWARE CRAFTSMAN
]

The most important are
development culture, scalability
and **adaptability**.

Recruit **software craftsman and**
full-stack developers, they bring a
real added value through their
know-how and their overall vision.

Nonetheless, mobile developers -
for example - are usually
specialized developers.



HUMAN



[RECRUITMENT]

Be **attractive** to recruit the
best.





HUMAN

[RECRUITMENT]

Offer ways of working adapted to employees: **mobility, home working, CYOD** (Choose Your Own Device).

Allow time for experimentation and ensure it happens **during working hours**.

HUMAN



[WATCH]

The organization must be a
watch apparatus

Watch is part of the job.



HUMAN

[WATCH]

The organization must be a **watch** apparatus by setting up plans like **continuous learning** or **corporate universities**.

Feel free to combine them with more **informal** ways such as:
Coding Dojos, Brown Bag Lunches, External Conferences.



HUMAN



[CO-CONSTRUCTION]

Break barriers between
jobs, bet on objectives
convergence.



HUMAN

[CO-CONSTRUCTION]

To break barriers between jobs, it is not enough to group people around a common product in a common place.

The **Agile Methodologies** can help to remove these barriers and ensure **objectives convergence**.

These practices are an integral part of the keys to success, the organization is responsible for it.

HUMAN



[DEVOPS]

DevOps practices help to
break walls between Build
and Run.





HUMAN

[DEVOPS]

Adopt **DevOps** to help **Dev** and **Ops** converge towards a common goal: **serve the organization**.

Both jobs remain different !

DevOps does not mean that the same person performs the tasks of Dev and Ops. **Developers** and **Ops** are required to **collaborate** in order to **benefit** from each other's **skills** and to improve **empathy**.

HUMAN



[PAIN]



Painful tasks are
performed **by the Feature
Team.**

Automation comes out of
it.





HUMAN

[PAIN]

In a traditional organization, a **lack of understanding** between teams is usually related to distance and **lack of communication**.

The **members of a Feature Team** are **co-responsible** and **united** facing up all tasks.

Pain is a key factor in **Continuous Improvement**.

HUMAN



[Service Center]

Service centers are difficult
to reconcile with the
collective commitment.





HUMAN

[Service Center]

Feature Teams are built around principles that rely heavily on **collaboration** and **collective engagement**.

Service centers tend towards rationalization and consolidation of IT by area of expertise, which is contrary to this notion of collective commitment.

HUMAN



[VALIDATION]

The organization has a
validation role, without
being dogmatic.



HUMAN

[VALIDATION]

Ensure that the organization retains its **validation role** on tools and uses. In particular regarding the **tools that affect assets** (example: source code management).

Provide Feature Teams with **means** to support their choices.

Do not be **dogmatic** and ensure to **encourage experimentation**.

HUMAN



[TRANSVERSALITY]



Feature Teams are
expected to **communicate**
and share their **experience**
and **skills**.



HUMAN

[TRANSVERSALITY]

Do not create barriers between
Feature Teams.

Set up an **organization** and the
required **agility** for Feature Teams
to communicate with each other
and share their skills and
experiences.

The organization of transversality
at **Spotify** (Tribes, Chapters and
Guilds) is an **eloquent example.**





INTEROPERABILITY





INTEROPERABILITY



INTEROPERABILITY



[API FOR ALL]



APIs for all usages:
internal, customers and
partners, public.





INTEROPERABILITY

[API FOR ALL]

Expand your organization to new usages and new customers with **Public APIs**.

As part of **commercial** partnerships, whether with **customers** or **providers**, APIs are the standard exchange format.

APIs are also meant to be used **internally** inside the organization.



INTEROPERABILITY



[SELF SERVICE]



Using an API must be
simple and **fast**.





INTEROPERABILITY

[SELF SERVICE]

Using APIs should be as simple as possible. Think about the **developer experience**.

The best solution to validate adequacy with the need is to **test the API quickly**: a few minutes must be enough!

The platform must offer a **graphical interface** to test the API in a simple manner.

INTEROPERABILITY



[API MANAGEMENT]

APIs usage must be
controlled and
understood.



INTEROPERABILITY

[API MANAGEMENT]

Implement an API Management solution to manage **quotas**, **throttling**, **authentication**, and **logging**.

Collect metrics to manage **monitoring**, **filtering**, and **reporting**.





Set **requirements** for
external systems and
services integrated into
the platform.



INTEROPERABILITY

[REQUIREMENTS]

Require **external systems** to meet the same **requirements** as **internal systems**.

External systems must publish **events** and allow **technical** monitoring.

In the case where the external systems data must be integrated, **total** synchronization have to be **possible**.

INTEROPERABILITY



[MULTI-TENANT]

The architecture must be designed as **multi-tenant**.



INTEROPERABILITY

[MULTI-TENANT]

Even if white labelling is not considered at the beginning, set up a multi-tenant architecture. Your initial **application** is the first **tenant**.

Think of the **functional multi-instantiation** of the system right from the start.

INTEROPERABILITY



[CONFIGURATION]

Systems must be **natively
configurable.**





INTEROPERABILITY

[CONFIGURATION]

Languages, currencies, business rules, security profiles must be simple to configure.

Beware of **hyper-genericity**, it is often useless and **costly**.

The **configuration** must be **scalable** and fast depending on needs.

INTEROPERABILITY



[FEATURE FLIPPING]



Create flexible and generic
systems using **feature
flipping**.





INTEROPERABILITY

[FEATURE FLIPPING]

Feature flipping is about designing an app as a set of **features** that can be **enabled** or **disabled** live, in **production**.

In a **multi-tenant** application, feature flipping allows you to **customize** by tenant.

Feature flipping **simplifies A/B testing**.



RULES OF THE GAME





RULES OF THE GAME





RULES OF THE GAME

[TECHNICAL CHOICES]

The **technical choices** are **made** and **assumed** by the **Feature Team**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[TECHNICAL CHOICES]

The Feature Team must act **responsibly** to identify the choices that impact itself exclusively and the choices that impact the organization.

The **choices** that **exceed the scope** of the Feature Team (eg, license, infrequent programming language) must be **validated** by the organization or by peer convergence process .





RULES OF THE GAME

[GOOD USE]

The **right tool** for the **good use** is a source of savings.



RULES OF THE GAME

[GOOD USE]

A **bad tool** imposed on everyone is a **risk**. The **misuse** of a good tool can have very **damaging consequences**. For example, poorly used Agile methods are dangerous.

Tools must be **questioned**.

Excel is often a **rational** choice but it is **not a tool to do everything** (CRM, ERP, Datamart, ...)



RULES OF THE GAME

[BUILD VS. BUY]

Prefer **Build** for the core business.

Consider **Buy** for the rest, case by case.



RULES OF THE GAME

[BUILD VS. BUY]

The more a tool brings a **differentiating feature** for the organization, the more it should be **built**. The core business must allow **specificity** and **adapt quickly and often**. Some **enterprise software** are **sometimes adapted** to this need.

For **the rest**: SaaS, Open Source, Build or Vendor are to be studied **case by case**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[OPEN SOURCE]

Opt for Open Source.

Alternative choices must
be explained.





RULES OF THE GAME

[OPEN SOURCE]

Proprietary solutions are a **risk** for the organization which must be able to take over maintenance if needed.

Few are the proprietary tools that do not have **open source alternatives**.

The organization **benefits** from the **Open Source Community** and can **pay it back with its contributions**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[MICRO-SERVICES]



Develop **stand-alone** and
loosely coupled services.





RULES OF THE GAME

[MICRO-SERVICES]

Loose coupling must be the norm.

Each micro-service has a **clearly defined interface**.

This **interface** determines the **coupling** between **micro-services**.

Domain Driven Design helps, especially through **Bounded Contexts**, to anticipate this problem.



RULES OF THE GAME

[DATA]

Each service has its **own**
data storage system.



RULES OF THE GAME

[DATA]

A **Data Store** is intended to be **coupled** only with a **single micro-service**.

Data access from one micro-service to another is done **exclusively via its interface**.

This design implies **consistency over time** across the platform. It must be **apprehended at all levels**, including UX.



RULES OF THE GAME

[PERIMETER]

Each micro-service must
have a reasonable
functional perimeter,
which **"fits in the head"**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[PERIMETER]

A micro-service offers a **reasonable number of features**.

Do not hesitate to split a micro-service when it begins to grow.

A service of reasonable size makes it possible to **consider** serenely the **rewriting**, if the need arises.



RULES OF THE GAME

[REACTIVE]

The **Reactive Manifesto**
opens the way towards the
design of reactive
architectures.





RULES OF THE GAME

[REACTIVE]

Responsive programming focuses on the flow of data and the propagation of change. It is based on the "**Observer**" pattern, contrary to the more traditional "**Iterator**" approach.

The Reactive Manifesto sets some fundamental axis: **availability** and speed, **resilience** to failure, **flexibility**, **elasticity** and **message oriented**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[ASYNC-FIRST]

Asynchronous processes
foster **decoupling** and
scalability in favor of
performance.





RULES OF THE GAME

[ASYNC-FIRST]

Exchanges between applications must be **asynchronous** first.

Asynchronous exchanges **naturally** allow **loose coupling**, **isolation** and flow **control** (**back-pressure**).

Synchronous communication should only be considered **when required**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[EVENTS]



The information system
must be **event-driven**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[EVENTS]

The **event-driven** functional **processes** are **naturally** implemented in an **asynchronous** manner.

Being **event-driven** allows for approaches such as **Command Query Responsibility Segregation (CQRS)** and **Event Sourcing**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[MESSAGE BROKER]



Prefer a **simple**, robust and powerful **message-broker** to a "smart pipe".



RULES OF THE GAME

[MESSAGE BROKER]

ESB showed their **limits**: **scalable maintenance** is **critical**, both from a **technical** and **organizational** standpoint.

Message brokers like **Kafka** offer a **simple, durable** and **resilient** solution.

Smart endpoints and **dumb pipes** is an architecture that works at scale: it's **Internet**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[SYNCHRONIZATION]

The **full synchronization** of the system should be thought of during its **design**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[SYNCHRONIZATION]

If the **synchronization** between two systems is ensured by an **event flow**, the total **resynchronization** of these systems must be **planned at design time**.

An automatic **synchronization audit** (example: by samples) allows to **measure** and **detect** any possible synchronization **errors**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[CENTRALIZATION]

Services **configuration** is
centralized, their
discovery is managed
through a **directory**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[CENTRALIZATION]

Micro-services configuration is **centralized** for all **environments**.

A central **directory** ensures **dynamic discovery** of **micro-services**.

The IS' global **scalability** depends on this **directory**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[SANDBOX]

Feature Teams provide a
sandbox environment.





RULES OF THE GAME

[SANDBOX]

Feature Teams maintain a **sandbox environment** (current and upcoming versions) to allow other teams to develop **at scale**.

In **non-nominal cases**, some **features** may be **disabled** in the **development** environment.





RULES OF THE GAME

[DESIGN FOR FAILURE]



Your system will crash!

Design it so that it is fault-tolerant.





RULES OF THE GAME

[DESIGN FOR FAILURE]

Your **system will fail**, it's inevitable.
It must be designed for this
(**Design For Failure**).

Plan **redundancy** at every level:
hardware (network, disk, etc.),
application (multiple instances of
applications), geographical **zones**,
providers (example: AWS + OVH).





RULES OF THE GAME

[TOOLKITS]

Provide **toolkits**, do not
impose strict frameworks.





RULES OF THE GAME

[TOOLKITS]

Beware of transversal in-house technical components! They are restrictive, expensive and difficult to maintain.

Accelerators, toolkits, technical stacks can be **pooled**, at the **choice** of the Feature Teams, avoiding a dogmatic approach.



RULES OF THE GAME

[CLOUD]

Public, private or hybrid,
the **cloud** (**IaaS** or **PaaS**) is
the standard for
production.



RULES OF THE GAME

[CLOUD]

PaaS services are **preferred**, **simple**, and scale quickly.

IaaS services allow for greater **flexibility** but require more operational work.

A private cloud is not a traditional virtualization environment, it relies on **commodity hardware**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[INFRASTRUCTURE]

Feature Teams do not manage the infrastructure, it is **provided and maintained by the organization.**



RULES OF THE GAME

[INFRASTRUCTURE]

Infrastructure issues are not the responsibility of the **Feature Teams**. Infrastructure must be **provided** and **maintained** by a **transversal** service.





RULES OF THE GAME

[CONTAINERS]

Containers provide the flexibility needed for heterogeneous tooling.



RULES OF THE GAME

[CONTAINERS]

Containers provide the **flexibility** needed by Feature Teams to enable **heterogeneous tooling** in a **homogeneous context**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[ENVIRONMENTS]

The use of **containers**
makes it possible to
overcome the problems of
technical environments.



RULES OF THE GAME

[ENVIRONMENTS]

The containers (example: **Docker**) make it possible **to abstract** the environments differences.

The **deployment** process must be **agnostic** to the environment.

Some components such as databases should not be deployed in containers. Their deployment is still automated.



RULES OF THE GAME

[METRICS]

Metrics must be
centralized and
accessible to all.



RULES OF THE GAME

[METRICS]

Metrics are **accessible** to everyone with different levels of granularity: detailed view for the relevant Feature Team, aggregations for other members of the organization.

Access to metrics **does not imply access to data**, access must be controlled to maintain confidentiality.

All environments are concerned.





RULES OF THE GAME

[QUALITY]

Software quality is a **key factor**.





RULES OF THE GAME

[QUALITY]

Code reviews are **systematic**.

They are conducted by members of the Feature Team or other members of the organization, as part of **Continuous Improvement**.

That **is not you being audited but your code**: "You are not your code!".

Qualimetry can be partly automated, but nothing beats the "**fresh eye**".





RULES OF THE GAME

[AUTOMATED TESTING]

Automated testing is a
non-negotiable
prerequisite for continuous
deployment.



RULES OF THE GAME

[AUTOMATED TESTING]

Automated **testing** ensures **quality** of the product **over time**.

It is a **prerequisite** to continuous deployment, it allows for **frequent changes and deployments**.

Production rollout becomes a **non-event!**





RULES OF THE GAME

[TEST LEVELS]

Tests at all levels : unit, integration, functional, resilience, performance.



RULES OF THE GAME

[TEST LEVELS]

Integration and **functional** tests are the most important ones, they **ensure** the correct **behavior** of the **product**.

Unit tests are suitable for **development**.

Performance tests measure performance **over time**.

Resilience tests help to anticipate **failures**.



RULES OF THE GAME

[COVERAGE]

Coverage is the primary unbiased indicator of test quality.



RULES OF THE GAME

[COVERAGE]

The tests **code coverage** is a **good** metric of code quality.

It is a **necessary condition** but **not sufficient**, the coverage of a **bad** test strategy can be high without guarantee that the code is of good quality.



RULES OF THE GAME

[SECURITY]

Security is a **process**, it should not be treated in response to problems.



RULES OF THE GAME

[SECURITY]

Security experts can be **integrated** directly into Feature Teams **if needed**.

Security experts are available in the organization for **audit** purposes, **awareness** and **sharing**.

