

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS General Certificate of Education Advanced Level

CANDIDATE NAME					
CENTRE NUMBER			CANDIDATE NUMBER		

8601301401

BIOLOGY 9700/05

Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation

May/June 2008

1 hour 15 minutes

Candidates answer on the Question Paper.

READ THESE INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Write your Centre number, candidate number and name on all the work you hand in.

Write in dark blue or black pen.

You may use a soft pencil for any diagrams, graphs or rough working.

Do not use staples, paper clips, highlighters, glue or correction fluid.

DO NOT WRITE IN ANY BARCODES.

Answer all questions.

At the end of the examination, fasten all your work securely together.

The number of marks is given in brackets [] at the end of each question or part question.

For Exam	For Examiner's Use							
1								
2								
3								
Total								

This document consists of **10** printed pages and **2** blank pages.



1 (a) A type of mollusc *Littorina littorea* is a consumer of a seaweed *Fucus spiralis*. This seaweed has leaf-like branches and grows attached to rocks on the seashore.

For Examiner's Use

Percentage cover is a simple, non-destructive method of estimating biomass.

A student thought that there would be a relationship between the percentage cover of seaweed and the numbers of the mollusc.

The student carried out an investigation on a public beach to test the hypothesis:

The number of *Littorina littorea* is proportional to the percentage cover of *Fucus spiralis*.

Fig. 1.1 shows a quadrat used to measure percentage cover of the seaweed and the mollusc

Fig. 1.2 shows how these quadrats were placed on the beach along a transect line.




Fig. 1.1 Fig. 1.2

The percentage cover of seaweed was measured by counting the number of squares in which it occurred and the number converted to a percentage. The molluscs were measured by counting the total number in the quadrat, both on the seaweed and on the surrounding rocks. The student made 20 measurements at one metre intervals along a transect line parallel to the sea. A further two transect lines were laid in the same area, the measurements repeated and the mean calculated.

(i)	Identify the independent and the dependent variable in this investigation.	
	independent variable	
	dependent variable	[1]
(ii)	Identify two ways in which the student has attempted to standardise investigation.	this
	1	
	2	
		[2]

(b) The summarised results of the student's investigation are shown in Table 1.1.

For Examiner's Use

Table 1.1

sample number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
mean number of <i>Littorina littorea</i>	3	2	4	3	4	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	0	2	2	3	1	3	3	3
mean percentage cover of Fucus spiralis	42	40	79	31	72	21	24	39	56	15	11	35	24	43	27	0	15	16	13	40

(i)	Suggest why the student identified the values of sample 13 as anomalous.											
	[47]											
	[1]											

Use

Fig. 1.3 shows the graph that the student plotted.

Graph to show the relationship between Littorina littorea and Fucus spiralis




Fig. 1.3

(ii)	State suitable labels for the graph axes.
	axis 1
	axis 2[1]
(iii)	State what the graph shows about the relationship between <i>Littorina littorea</i> and <i>Fucus spiralis</i> .
	[1]
(iv)	State the extent to which the result of the investigation support the hypothesis.
	[1]

For Examiner's Use	influenced the number of <i>Littorina littorea</i> .	(V)
	[1]	
	[Total: 8]	

2 Fig. 2.1 shows a simple apparatus used to measure the rate of photosynthesis.






Fig. 2.1

(a)	(i)	Using this apparatus, plan an investigation to show the effect of carbon dioxide concentration on the rate of photosynthesis.

		[8]
	(ii)	Sketch a graph in the space below to show the expected result of the investigation.
		Explain your expected result
		[3]
(b)		student measured the amount of oxygen in the gas collected by using an oxygen orbent. These results were used to calculate the rate of photosynthesis.
	(i)	State how the rate of photosynthesis is calculated from the student's results.
		[2]
	(ii)	Suggest why the gas collected is not pure oxygen.

[Total: 14]

3 Fig. 3.1 is a section of a human kidney.




Fig. 3.1

(a) A student investigated the proximal convoluted tubule and distal convoluted tubule of the human kidney using a microscope and slide showing similar detail to the one shown in Fig. 3.1. The mean diameter and the mean lumen diameter of twenty cross sections of each type of tubule was measured as shown in Fig. 3.2 using an eyepiece graticule.




Fig. 3.2

© UCLES 2008 9700/05/M/J/08

For Examiner's Use

Table 3.1

	tubule o	liameter	lumen c	liameter
	proximal tubule	distal tubule	proximal tubule	distal tubule
mean diameter / μm	65	35	22	20
standard deviation	0.89	0.99	2.26	2.27

(i)	Exp tubu		now 1	the s	tuden	t me	asure	d an	d cal	culate	ed the	e acti	ual d	iamet	ers c	f the
(ii)	Stat	bo	th													[2] r the
(11)							distal			ui c i	Gliab	iiity O	n une	1630	1115 10	ı uıc
(iii)	(iii) A t -test was carried out and gave the value $t = 2.09$.											[1]				
(,						J			0.05			st.				
						-	Table	3.2								
degrees of freedom	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	40	60	∞
critical value	2.10	2.09	2.09	2.08	2.07	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.05	2.05	2.04	2.04	2.02	2.00	1.96
	The	num	ber o	f deg	rees o	of free	edom	is 38								
	State and explain the meaning of these results.															
	••••	•••••		•••••			•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
																[2]

(b)	Based on the findings of the <i>t</i> -test the student concluded that the difference in lumen diameter was due to the thickness of the brush border of the columnar cells in the proximal tubule.	For Examiner's Use
	Evaluate the extent to which the information provided enables a valid and reliable conclusion to be drawn.	
	[2]	
	Suggest one reason why the student's conclusion may not be valid.	
	[1]	
	[Total: 8]	

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE

Permission to reproduce items where third-party owned material protected by copyright is included has been sought and cleared where possible. Every reasonable effort has been made by the publisher (UCLES) to trace copyright holders, but if any items requiring clearance have unwittingly been included, the publisher will be pleased to make amends at the earliest possible opportunity.

University of Cambridge International Examinations is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.