

Core Training Workshop

# Hands-On Training on Artificial Intelligence Understanding AI Research Oversight in Healthcare

Mark Lifson, PhD

AI Research & Ethics Specialist

Core Training Workshop Series

2025

AI vs Traditional Research

Clinical Intent

Risk Assessment

Oversight Framework

Impact Metrics

Experience  
15+ Years  
Citations  
1300+

Mark Lifson, PhD  
Director, AI/ML Engineering

Mayo Clinic Center for Digital Health

Technical Expertise

Medical AI/ML  
FDA/CE Regulatory  
Diagnostic Biosensors  
Clinical Translation  
Health Equity  
SaMD Governance

Key Contributions

Regulatory & Oversight  
- AI/ML Expert IRB Reviewer  
- FDA/CE-Mark Approvals  
- Medical & Scientific Affairs

Technical Achievements

- Hematology AI Systems  
- Biosensor Platforms  
- Point-of-Care Diagnostics

Career Impact

Biosensor Development  
Label-free detection platforms  
AI/ML in Clinical Practice  
FDA-approved diagnostic algorithms  
Healthcare Governance  
Frameworks for responsible AI  
Regulatory Innovation  
Automated oversight systems

Mark Lifson, PhD

3/9

What Makes AI Different? Data

Traditional Research

1

Lab bench

2

Animals

3

IRB starts

4

Humans

5

Trials

VS

AI Research

1

Human data

2

Training

3

Validation

4

Testing

?

IRB?

AI research **starts with human data** - there's no pre-human exploration phase

Mark Lifson, PhD

← → Navigate

2025 - Core Training Workshop





# What Both Sides Want

IRB Specialists

## Speaking Regulatory

"Per 45 CFR 46.111(a)(2), risks must be reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits..."

Need: Complete documentation demonstrating compliance with Common Rule, FDA regulations, and institutional policies

Translation Gap

AI Researchers

## Speaking Technical

"Our CNN achieves 94% AUC with cross-validation on the hold-out test set..."

Want: Clear guidance on what's needed without having to learn regulatory frameworks

**The Challenge:** How do we bridge this gap without requiring either side to become experts in the other's domain?

Mark Lifson, PhD

← → Navigate

2025 - Core Training Workshop

The same technology described differently triggers different regulatory pathways

⚠Clinical Trigger Words

diagnose treat screen detect clinical decision deployed patient care

✓Research Indicators

investigate analyze explore retrospective patterns correlations hypotheses

STUDY OBJECTIVES

The primary objective is to develop a deep learning model that will diagnose pneumonia from chest X-rays with accuracy comparable to board-certified radiologists. The system will be deployed in emergency departments to expedite treatment decisions for patients with respiratory symptoms.

Clinical Intent - FDA pathway likely

RESEARCH AIMS

This study will investigate novel biomarkers in retinal images by analyzing patterns across large retrospective datasets. We aim to explore correlations between retinal features and cardiovascular risk factors to generate hypotheses for future prospective studies.

Exploratory Research - IRB focus on data privacy

METHODOLOGY

Our AI platform will analyze patient data to predict 30-day readmission risk. Results will be provided to clinicians for evaluation of potential treatment modifications. The system will support clinical teams in decision-making processes.

Mixed signals - Contains both clinical and research language

DATA ANALYSIS PLAN

The AI algorithm will screen mammography images to identify suspicious lesions requiring immediate radiologist review. Cases flagged as high-risk will trigger automatic scheduling for follow-up imaging, with the AI assessment documented in patient records.

Clinical Intent - Direct patient impact

Mark Lifson, PhD

← → Navigate

2025 - Core Training Workshop

# Patient impact level determines IRB oversight requirements

Minimal

Moderate

Significant

## Silent/Shadow Mode

AI runs in background without clinical use

**Example:** Algorithm validation study

**IRB Oversight:** Standard data review + annual reports

## Advisory Mode

AI provides recommendations for review

**Example:** Risk scores shown in EHR

**IRB Oversight:** Performance monitoring + quarterly safety reviews

## Active Intervention

AI triggers actions or alerts directly

**Example:** Auto-schedules follow-ups

**IRB Oversight:** Real-time monitoring + DSMB + FDA consultation

**Oversight Intensity:** Proportional to the degree of patient care impact

Mark Lifson, PhD

← → Navigate

2025 - Core Training Workshop



Direct Care  
Indirect  
No Impact

Enhanced Review  
Medium-High Risk  
Exploratory with direct impact  
Potential Risk Controls  
Full IRB  
High Risk  
Translational affecting patients  
Potential Risk Controls  
Full IRB + Monitoring  
Critical Risk  
Clinical deployment to patients  
Potential Risk Controls  
Standard Review  
Low-Medium Risk  
Exploratory with indirect effects  
Potential Risk Controls  
Enhanced Review  
Medium Risk  
Translational validation phase  
Potential Risk Controls  
Full IRB  
Medium-High Risk  
Clinical decision support  
Potential Risk Controls  
Minimal  
Low Risk  
Pure research, no patient involvement  
Potential Risk Controls  
Standard Review