

1 **Technical Supplement to Network G: Robustness**
2 **Analysis and Comparative Framework of Impedance**
3 **Phase Persistence (IPP)**

4 **Miguel Antonio Navarro**
5 ORCID: 0009-0009-5600-7985

6 February 24, 2026

7 © 2026 Miguel Antonio Navarro. This work is licensed under a
8 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

9 **Abstract**

10 This supplement provides the technical defense and sensitivity analysis for the IPP frame-
11 work. We formally address the functional transition of vacuum phases as a mechanical re-
12 sponse to volumetric Energy Density, the cross-verification of asymptotic trends observed
13 in KMOS3D, and the mechanical superiority of The Engine over Λ CDM paradigms. We
14 further document the systematic observational constraints involved in expressing Energy
Density through dynamic state functions coupled to the Einstein Tensor.

15 **1 The Resonance Ratio: The Navarro-Fine Structure Identity**

16 To move the Network G framework beyond empirical parameterization, we define the local Res-
17 onance Ratio (α_0) as the transverse geometric projection of the vacuum's information-coupling
18 limit. We postulate that the vacuum is a three-dimensional isotropic medium where the fun-
19 damental electromagnetic coupling (α_{fs}) is distributed across all spatial degrees of freedom.
20 Because gravity and light share a transverse symmetry—vibrating perpendicular to the direc-
21 tion of propagation—the macroscopic resonance is governed by the transverse dipole kernel.

22 Integrating this transverse kernel over a full 3D spherical volume (4π steradians) for a dipole
23 interaction results in a forced geometric identity of $8\pi/3$. By anchoring the macroscopic gravita-
24 tional anomaly to the microscopic constants of quantum electrodynamics, we identify a singular
25 link between the atom and the galaxy. At the current expansion epoch ($z = 0$), we define the
26 **Navarro-Fine Structure Identity** as:

$$\alpha_0 \equiv \alpha_{fs} \cdot \frac{8\pi}{3} \approx 0.06113 \quad (1)$$

27 This derivation implies that the observed "missing mass" is the volume-averaged harmonic
28 response of the vacuum medium to the electromagnetic coupling of baryonic matter.

29 **2 Scientific Robustness and Covariant Compliance**

30 The Engine is architected as a locally covariant field theory, ensuring that the IPP framework
31 serves as a mathematically consistent extension of the Einstein Field Equations.

32 **2.1 Locally Covariant Metrics**

33 The Engine implements a disformal transformation of the metric governed by the State Function
34 $\Phi(\epsilon, \theta)$. This ensures General Covariance and Equivalence Principle convergence in high-Energy
35 Density environments.

36 **2.2 Dimensional Consistency and the Cosmic Floor**

37 The Network G framework maintains strict dimensional consistency across the transition from
38 local to global scales. The Impedance Scalar \mathcal{I}_θ is architected such that the cosmic floor factor
39 $(H_0^2/[(\theta/3)^2 + H_0^2])^{1/2}$ is unitless. This ensures that the effective acceleration $a_{\text{eff}} = a_{\text{bar}} + \alpha_0 \mathcal{I}_\theta$
40 remains in units of L/T^2 without requiring ad-hoc conversion constants.

41 **2.3 Algorithmic Verifiability**

42 The Engine is subject to a 17-order-of-magnitude trace. This recursive validation ensures that
43 the results are emergent properties of a unified logic rather than scale-specific tuning.

44 **3 Phase Transitions and Functionality: Multi-Regime Validation**

45 The Engine identifies primary mechanical phases of the vacuum. In the Fluid Phase (SPARC),
46 the model achieves a Global $R^2 = 0.9563$ and a weighted RMSE of 18.21 km/s. The transition
47 to the Viscous Phase (SLACS/BELLS) is verified by circular velocity recovery with extreme
48 precision: 5.36 Boost predicted vs target for SLACS (0.17% error).

49 Diagnostics for these viscous systems confirm they are compression-dominated ($\log I_{\text{comp}} \approx$
50 2.0–2.2). We define $W_{\text{fluid_hi}}$ and W_{visc} as activation gates that may overlap during regime
51 transitions. The Coma cluster test further confirms this scaling, matching the 2.54 target boost
52 with 1.18% precision.

53 **3.1 The Shatter Wall (Solid Phase)**

54 Under extreme kinetic stress (Bullet Cluster), the engine engages the "Shatter Wall" governor.
55 Verification logs for the Solid Phase show a total suppression of metric stiffening ($enh = 0.0, B =$
56 1.0), returning a boost of 1.00. The engine's ability to discriminate between baryonic components
57 is verified by the Test 06 Ghost Ratio (2.334) between gas and galaxy invariants.

58 **4 Comparative Analysis: Falsifying MOND and Λ CDM**

59 The IPP framework provides a singular mechanical resolution to anomalies that current paradigms
60 address through ad-hoc parameters.

61 **4.1 Verification of Architectural Emergence: The Boundary Anchor Proto-
62 col**

63 To distinguish the physics signal from pipeline-tuning artifacts, we performed an ablation audit.
64 A local-only implementation yields a weighted $R^2 = 0.5362$. The transition to the full **Outside-
65 In Audit Protocol** elevates this to $R^2 = 0.9082$ via the following operational steps:

66 1. **Anchor Selection:** The Engine is pinned using only the outermost stable radial point
67 (R_{max}) and the global baryonic mass (M_{bar}).

68 2. **Withholding:** All internal radial data ($R < R_{\text{max}}$) is withheld from the model.

69 3. **Extrapolation:** The Engine predicts the entire internal rotation curve moving inward
70 from the boundary anchor using only the vacuum state defined at R_{max} and the Mechanical
71 Expansion Law.

72 4. **Blind Scoring:** The resulting $R^2 = 0.9082$ represents the Pearson correlation between
73 these purely extrapolated values and observed internal points, pooled across the dataset.

74 4.2 Bilateral Convergence and Outlier Bracketing

75 Validation of high-mass systems confirms The Engine's "Geodesic-Aware" architecture. In NGC
76 2841, the isolated baseline residual of +22.65 km/s is bracketed against a network-aware state
77 (simulated at 10% neighbor influence as a **Network Sensitivity Probe**), which collapses the
78 residual to 4.92 km/s. This bracketing (151.2% recovery) proves that high-mass rotation curves
79 sit at the interference pattern of internal stiffening and external neighborhood connectivity.

80 4.3 BTFR Integrity Clause and Synthetic Robustness

81 The robustness of The Engine is verified by its response to invariant synthetic scaling. In tests
82 where baryonic surface density is held constant across mass scales (invariant-flat geometry), the
83 engine correctly returns a constant velocity boost ($b \approx 3.09$). Evaluation at the Dwarf Spiral
84 tier ($10^8 M_\odot$) demonstrates a peak accuracy of -1.3% relative to target.

Table 1: Comparative Multi-Scale Trace: Observed Velocity and Impedance Recovery.

Scale / Test	Network G (Engine)	Recovery of Target	Precision / Error
Atomic Clock (LPI)	Null Shift (10^{-16})	100% of GR	Search-Limit
Local (Solar System)	Null Deviation (10^{-18})	100% of GR	10^{-18} (Exact)
Predictive (SPARC)	$R^2 = 0.9563$ ($N = 149$)	98.7% Global Avg	± 18.21 km/s
Quasar Lensing	$R^2 = 0.9318$	H0LiCOW Offset	0.0632" Residual
Viscous (SLACS/BELLS)	5.36 / 4.80 Boost	99.8% of Target	0.17% Error
Clusters (Coma)	2.51 Boost (Viscous)	98.8% of Target	1.18% Error
Bullet Cluster Offset	2.33 Ratio (Ghost)	100% of Offset	Geometric Match

85 5 Addressing Energy Density and Observational Bias

86 The expression of volumetric **Energy Density** is limited by observational constraints:

- 87 • **The Resolution Gap:** "Beam Smearing" induces a $\pm 4\%$ uncertainty in the Phase Clas-
88 sifier threshold (Σ_{SI}) for galaxies with $R_{eff} < 0.5$ kpc.
- 89 • **Inclination ($i < 30^\circ$):** The "High-Ground" filter ($i > 30^\circ$) suppresses systematic de-
90 projection errors to below 1.5 km/s.
- 91 • **Asymmetric Drift:** In dwarf systems ($V_{rot} < 50$ km/s), non-circular motions can lead
92 to a 7% under-prediction of metric stiffening.

93 6 Numerical Stability and Parameter Hygiene

94 To satisfy statistical rigor and prove that Network G is more "informationally efficient" than
95 Λ CDM, we document the degrees of freedom in Table 2.

Table 2: Parameter Hygiene: Universal vs. Nuisance Variables.

Category	Parameters	Count	Status
Universal (Global)	α_0, n, γ	3	Fixed (All 149 Galaxies)
Stellar Population	$\Upsilon_{3.6}$	1	Floated (Nuisance)
Spatial/External	D, i	2	Fixed (SPARC Standard)

6.1 Likelihood Model and Weighted Statistics

AIC comparison is performed using a Gaussian log-likelihood $\ln \mathcal{L} \propto -\frac{1}{2} \sum [(V_{obs} - V_{pred})/\sigma_i]^2$. The reported **Global Weighted R^2 ** is computed by pooling all radial points across the 149-galaxy sample into a single distribution, with each residual $(V_{obs} - V_{pred})$ weighted by its inverse measurement error $(1/\sigma_i^2)$. Robustness of the $R^2 = 0.9563$ result was verified using a **Radial Block Bootstrap** protocol.

6.2 Leave-One-Galaxy-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)

The robustness of the universal Resonance Ratio ($\alpha_0 = 0.06113$) was verified via LOOCV. This protocol maintains an average $R^2 > 0.85$ even when critical anchors are removed.

6.3 EFT-to-Engine Parameter Mapping

To satisfy the parsimony requirement of the covariant Effective Field Theory (EFT), we derive all structural scales from the primary invariants α_0 and $L_* \equiv \sqrt{\ell_p c_0 / H_0}$:

$$m_\chi \equiv \frac{\alpha_0}{L_*}, \quad \mu \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_0} M_{Pl}}{L_*}, \quad \Lambda_\phi \equiv \left(\frac{\alpha_0 M_{Pl}^2}{L_*^2} \right)^{1/4} \quad (2)$$

6.4 Software Integrity Protocol

All tests call identical Engine logic. Zero per-galaxy tuning is permitted beyond the single stellar population nuisance variable (Υ), ensuring that the $R^2 = 0.9563$ result represents an architectural discovery.