

1 **Technical Supplement to Network G: Robustness**
2 **Analysis and Comparative Framework of Impedance**
3 **Phase Persistence (IPP)**

4 **Miguel Antonio Navarro**
5 ORCID: 0009-0009-5600-7985

6 February 24, 2026

7 © 2026 Miguel Antonio Navarro. This work is licensed under a
8 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

9 **Abstract**

10 This supplement provides the technical defense and sensitivity analysis for the IPP framework.
11 We formally address the functional transition of vacuum phases as a mechanical response to volumetric Energy Density, the cross-verification of asymptotic trends observed
12 in KMOS3D, and the mechanical superiority of The Engine over Λ CDM paradigms. We
13 further document the systematic observational constraints involved in expressing Energy
14 Density through dynamic state functions coupled to the Einstein Tensor.

15 **1 Scientific Robustness and Covariant Compliance**

16 The Engine is architected as a locally covariant field theory, ensuring that the IPP framework
17 serves as a mathematically consistent extension of the Einstein Field Equations.

18 **1.1 Locally Covariant Metrics**

19 The Engine implements a disformal transformation of the metric governed by the State Function
20 $\Phi(\epsilon, \theta)$. This ensures General Covariance and Equivalence Principle convergence in high-Energy
21 Density environments.

22 **1.2 Dimensional Consistency and the Cosmic Floor**

23 The Network G framework maintains strict dimensional consistency across the transition from
24 local to global scales. The Impedance Scalar \mathcal{I}_θ is architected such that the cosmic floor factor
25 $(H_0^2/[(\theta/3)^2 + H_0^2])^{1/2}$ is unitless. This ensures that the effective acceleration $a_{\text{eff}} = a_{\text{bar}} + \alpha \mathcal{I}_\theta$
26 remains in units of L/T^2 without requiring ad-hoc conversion constants.

27 **1.3 Algorithmic Verifiability**

28 The Engine is subject to a 17-order-of-magnitude trace. This recursive validation ensures that
29 the results are emergent properties of a unified logic rather than scale-specific tuning.

30 2 Phase Transitions and Functionality: Multi-Regime Validation

31 The Engine identifies primary mechanical phases of the vacuum. In the Fluid Phase (SPARC),
32 the model achieves a Global $R^2 = 0.9561$ and a weighted RMSE of 18.25 km/s. The transition
33 to the Viscous Phase (SLACS/BELLS) is verified by circular velocity recovery with extreme
34 precision: 5.36 Boost predicted vs target for SLACS (0.17% error).

35 Diagnostics for these viscous systems confirm they are compression-dominated ($\log I_{\text{comp}} \approx$
36 2.0–2.2). We define $W_{\text{fluid_hi}}$ and W_{visc} as activation gates that may overlap during regime
37 transitions. The Coma cluster test further confirms this scaling, matching the 2.54 target boost
38 with 1.18% precision.

39 2.1 The Shatter Wall (Solid Phase)

40 Under extreme kinetic stress (Bullet Cluster), the engine engages the "Shatter Wall" governor.
41 Verification logs for the Solid Phase show a total suppression of metric stiffening ($enh = 0.0, B =$
42 1.0), returning a boost of 1.00. The engine's ability to discriminate between baryonic components
43 is verified by the Test 06 Ghost Ratio (2.33) between gas and galaxy invariants.

44 3 Comparative Analysis: Falsifying MOND and Λ CDM

45 The IPP framework provides a singular mechanical resolution to anomalies that current paradigms
46 address through ad-hoc parameters.

47 3.1 Verification of Architectural Emergence: The Boundary Anchor Proto- 48 col

49 To distinguish the physics signal from pipeline-tuning artifacts, we performed an ablation audit.
50 A local-only implementation yields a weighted $R^2 = 0.5362$. The transition to the full **Outside-
51 In Audit Protocol** elevates this to $R^2 = 0.9082$ via the following operational steps:

- 52 1. **Anchor Selection:** The Engine is pinned using only the outermost stable radial point
53 (R_{max}) and the global baryonic mass (M_{bar}).
- 54 2. **Withholding:** All internal radial data ($R < R_{max}$) is withheld from the model.
- 55 3. **Extrapolation:** The Engine predicts the entire internal rotation curve moving inward
56 from the boundary anchor using only the vacuum state defined at R_{max} and the Mechanical
57 Expansion Law.
- 58 4. **Blind Scoring:** The resulting $R^2 = 0.9082$ represents the Pearson correlation between
59 these purely extrapolated values and observed internal points, pooled across the dataset.

60 3.2 Bilateral Convergence and Outlier Bracketing

61 Validation of high-mass systems confirms The Engine's "Geodesic-Aware" architecture. In NGC
62 2841, the isolated baseline residual of +21.19 km/s is bracketed against a network-aware state
63 (simulated at 10% neighbor influence as a **Network Sensitivity Probe**), which collapses the
64 residual to 4.92 km/s. This bracketing (151.2% recovery) proves that high-mass rotation curves
65 sit at the interference pattern of internal stiffening and external neighborhood connectivity.

66 **3.3 BTFR Integrity Clause and Synthetic Robustness**

67 The robustness of The Engine is verified by its response to invariant synthetic scaling. In tests
 68 where baryonic surface density is held constant across mass scales (invariant-flat geometry), the
 69 engine correctly returns a constant velocity boost ($b \approx 3.09$). This proves that the framework is
 70 a strictly state-dependent machine.

Table 1: Comparative Multi-Scale Trace: Observed Velocity and Impedance Recovery.

Scale / Test	Network G (Engine)	Recovery of Target	Precision / Error
Atomic Clock (LPI)	Null Shift (10^{-16})	100% of GR	Search-Limit
Local (Solar System)	Null Deviation (10^{-18})	100% of GR	10^{-18} (Exact)
Predictive (SPARC)	$R^2 = 0.9561$ ($N = 149$)	98.7% Global Avg	± 18.25 km/s
Quasar Lensing	$R^2 = 0.9318$	H0LiCOW Offset	0.063" Residual
Viscous (SLACS/BELLS)	5.36 / 4.80 Boost	99.8% of Target	0.17% Error
Clusters (Coma)	2.51 Boost (Viscous)	98.8% of Target	1.18% Error
Bullet Cluster Offset	2.33 Ratio (Ghost)	100% of Offset	Geometric Match

71 **4 Addressing Energy Density and Observational Bias**

72 The expression of volumetric **Energy Density** is limited by observational constraints:

- 73 • **The Resolution Gap:** "Beam Smearing" induces a $\pm 4\%$ uncertainty in the Phase Clas-
 74 sifier threshold (Σ_{SI}) for galaxies with $R_{eff} < 0.5$ kpc.
- 75 • **Inclination** ($i < 30^\circ$): The "High-Ground" filter ($i > 30^\circ$) suppresses systematic de-
 76 projection errors to below 1.5 km/s.
- 77 • **Asymmetric Drift:** In dwarf systems ($V_{rot} < 50$ km/s), non-circular motions can lead
 78 to a 7% under-prediction of metric stiffening.

79 **5 Numerical Stability and Parameter Hygiene**

80 To satisfy statistical rigor and prove that Network G is more "informationally efficient" than
 81 Λ CDM, we document the degrees of freedom in Table 2.

Table 2: Parameter Hygiene: Universal vs. Nuisance Variables.

Category	Parameters	Count	Status
Universal (Global)	α, n, γ	3	Fixed (All 149 Galaxies)
Stellar Population	$\Upsilon_{3.6}$	1	Floated (Nuisance)
Spatial/External	D, i	2	Fixed (SPARC Standard)

82 **5.1 Likelihood Model and Weighted Statistics**

83 AIC comparison is performed using a Gaussian log-likelihood $\ln \mathcal{L} \propto -\frac{1}{2} \sum [(V_{obs} - V_{pred})/\sigma_i]^2$.
 84 The reported **Global Weighted R^2 ** is computed by pooling all radial points across the 149-
 85 galaxy sample into a single distribution, with each residual $(V_{obs} - V_{pred})$ weighted by its inverse
 86 measurement error $(1/\sigma_i^2)$. Robustness of the $R^2 = 0.9561$ result was verified using a **Radial
 87 Block Bootstrap** protocol.

88 **5.2 Leave-One-Galaxy-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)**

89 The robustness of the universal Modulus of Persistence ($\alpha = 0.062$) was verified via LOOCV.
90 This protocol maintains an average $R^2 > 0.85$.

91 **5.3 EFT-to-Engine Parameter Mapping**

92 To satisfy the parsimony requirement of the covariant Effective Field Theory (EFT), we derive
93 all structural scales from the primary invariants α and $L_* \equiv \sqrt{\ell_p c_0 / H_0}$:

$$m_\chi \equiv \frac{\alpha}{L_*}, \quad \mu \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} M_{\text{Pl}}}{L_*}, \quad \Lambda_\phi \equiv \left(\frac{\alpha M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{L_*^2} \right)^{1/4} \quad (1)$$

94 This explicit mapping proves that the EFT layer introduces no independent "hidden knobs."

95 **5.4 Software Integrity Protocol**

96 All tests call identical Engine logic. Zero per-galaxy tuning is permitted beyond the single
97 stellar population nuisance variable (Υ), ensuring that the $R^2 = 0.9561$ result represents an
98 architectural discovery.