

# Assignment – 5.1

**Name: K.MANOJ KUMAR**

**Hall Ticket No: 2303A52201**

**Batch: 35**

## **Task 1: Privacy in API Usage**

AI-generated code may expose API keys by hardcoding them.

Secure practice involves storing API keys in environment variables to prevent leakage.

**Prompt:** *Generate Python code to fetch weather data securely without exposing API keys in the code.*

**AI-Generated Code:** import  
requests

API\_KEY = "abcd1234MYSECRETKEY" url =

f"https://api.openweathermap.org/data/2.5/weather?q=London&appid={API\_KEY}"

response = requests.get(url) print(response.json())

### **Issues Identified:**

API key is hardcoded in the source code.

Anyone accessing the file can misuse the API key.

Violates secure coding and privacy best practices.

### **Revised Secure Code (Ethical Version):**

import os import

requests api\_key

=

os.getenv("WEA

THER\_API\_KEY")

```
if not api_key:
    raise Exception("API key not found") url =
f"https://api.openweathermap.org/data/2.5/weather?q=London&appid={api_key}"
response = requests.get(url) print(response.json())
```

### **Ethical Reflection**

Developers must ensure that sensitive credentials are not exposed in source code.  
AI-generated code should always be reviewed and improved to follow secure development practices.

### **Task 2: Privacy & Security in File Handling** Storing

passwords in plain text is unsafe.

Using hashing techniques protects sensitive data.

**Prompt:** *Generate a Python script that stores user data such as name, email, and password in a file.*

#### **AI-Generated Code:**

```
name = input("Enter name: ") email =
input("Enter email: ") password =
input("Enter password: ") with
open("users.txt", "a") as file:
    file.write(f"{name},{email},{password}\n")
```

#### **Issues Identified:**

- Passwords are stored in plain text.
- Anyone with file access can read sensitive information.
- High risk of data breach and privacy violation.
- Does not follow ethical data protection practices.

#### **Revised Secure Code (Ethical Version):**

```
import hashlib name = input("Enter name: ") email = input("Enter  
email: ") password = input("Enter password: ") hashed_password =  
hashlib.sha256(password.encode()).hexdigest()
```

```
with open("users.txt", "a") as file:
```

```
    file.write(f"{name},{email},{hashed_password}\n")
```

### **Ethical Reflection**

Storing passwords securely is a fundamental developer responsibility. AI-generated code must be reviewed to ensure sensitive user data is protected using encryption or hashing techniques.

### **Task 3: Transparency in Algorithm Design**

Armstrong number checking code should be well-commented and explained line-by-line.

**Prompt:** *Generate a Python function to check whether a number is an Armstrong number and explain the code line by line.*

**AI-Generated Code:** def

```
is_armstrong(number):
```

```
    digits = str(number)
```

```
    power = len(digits)
```

```
    total = 0    for digit in
```

```
    digits:
```

```
        total += int(digit) ** power
```

```
    return total == number
```

### **AI Explanation (Line-by-Line)**

- The number is converted to a string to count digits.
- The length of the number is stored as the power.
- A variable is initialized to store the sum.
- Each digit is raised to the power of total digits.
- The final sum is compared with the original number.

## Transparency Analysis

- The explanation matches the actual code behavior.
- Each step of the algorithm is clearly described.
- The logic is simple and easy to understand.
- No hidden or unexplained operations are present.

## Ethical Reflection

Transparent explanations help developers understand and trust AI-generated code. Clear documentation ensures accountability and prevents misuse of algorithms.

## Task 4: Transparency in Algorithm Comparison

Bubble Sort and Quick Sort implementations should clearly explain differences in logic and efficiency.

**Prompt:** *Generate Python code for Quick Sort and Bubble Sort, and include comments explaining step-by-step how each algorithm works and how they differ.* **AI-Generated Code – Bubble Sort**

```
def bubble_sort(arr):    n = len(arr)    for i in range(n):  
        for j in range(0, n - i - 1):  
            if arr[j] > arr[j + 1]:  
                arr[j], arr[j + 1] = arr[j + 1], arr[j]
```

### AI-Generated Code – Quick Sort

```
def quick_sort(arr):    if len(arr)  
<= 1:        return arr    pivot =  
arr[len(arr) // 2]    left = [x for x  
in arr if x < pivot]    middle = [x  
for x in arr if x == pivot]    right =  
[x for x in arr if x > pivot]  
return quick_sort(left) + middle +  
quick_sort(right)
```

## Comparative Explanation

- Bubble Sort works by repeatedly swapping adjacent elements if they are in the wrong order.
- It is easy to understand but inefficient for large datasets.
- Quick Sort uses a divide-and-conquer approach by selecting a pivot and partitioning the list.
- Quick Sort is significantly faster for large inputs.

## Efficiency Comparison

- Bubble Sort Time Complexity:  $O(n^2)$
- Quick Sort Average Time Complexity:  $O(n \log n)$

## Ethical Reflection

Comparing algorithms transparently allows developers to make informed decisions. AI-generated code should clearly explain performance trade-offs to avoid misuse or inefficient implementations.

## Task 5: Transparency in AI Recommendations

Recommendation systems must explain why suggestions are made to users.

**Prompt:** *Generate a simple product recommendation system in Python that also explains the reason for each recommendation.*

### AI-Generated Code:

```
def recommend_products(user_interest):  
  
    products = {  
  
        "technology": ["Laptop", "Smartphone"],  
  
        "fitness": ["Dumbbells", "Yoga Mat"],  
  
        "music": ["Guitar", "Headphones"]  
  
    }  
  
    if user_interest in products:        for  
product in products[user_interest]:  
  
        print(f"Recommended: {product} because you are interested in {user_interest}")
```

else:

```
print("No recommendations available")
```

### **Explainability Analysis**

Each recommendation clearly states why it was suggested.

The system avoids black-box behavior.

Users can understand how their interests affect recommendations.

No hidden or biased decision logic is present.

### **Ethical Reflection**

AI systems should always provide understandable explanations for their decisions.

Explainable recommendations build user trust, reduce bias, and ensure accountability in AI-assisted decision-making.

### **Conclusion:**

This lab demonstrates that while AI tools can assist in software development, they may generate insecure or non-transparent code. Developers are responsible for reviewing, correcting, and ethically improving AI-generated outputs. Secure coding, transparency, and accountability are essential principles of responsible AI usage.